Dairy Production Constraints in Kericho and Bomet Counties of Kenya: Evidence from Farmers Fields

Omunyin, M. E.¹, Ruto, J.², Yegon, M. K. A³., Bii, F⁴.

^{1, 2, 3}School of Agriculture and Biotechnology, P.O. Box 2030-20200, Kericho, University of Kabianga;

⁴Caritas Kericho, P. O. Box 1500- 20200, Kericho

Abstract: A survey was conducted to analyze constraints to dairy production in Kericho and Bomet Counties in Kenya. Primary data was collected, using structured questionnaire and validated interview schedule, from 151 dairy farmers who were selected using purposive and simple random sampling techniques. The study aimed to characterize dairy production and prioritize constraints and opportunities for development. It revealed that the leading production constraint was inadequate livestock feeds (22.5 %) while the least was tick control (1.8 %). The top disease constraint was East Cost Fever (E.C.F.), rated at 43.1 %. The study further revealed that the main feed resources were the grasses Pennisetum clandestinum (1.85 \pm 0.26) acres, Pennisetum purpureum (0.37 \pm 0.04) acres and Chloris gayana (0.16 \pm 0.03) acres. Alleviation of the identified constraints would improve dairy cattle production in the area.

Keywords: survey, dairy cattle, production constraints, Kericho, Bomet, Kenya

1. Introduction

Livestock production is one of the important economic and social activities in Kenya. It is estimated that the livestock sector directly supports the livelihoods of 600 million poor smallholder farmers in the developing world [1], [2]. The dairy sub-sector in Kenya is dominated by smallholder farmers who contribute over 80 percent of the total milk production annually [3], [4]. A study by the Inter-Governmental Authority on Desertification (IGAD) Livestock Policy Initiative showed that milk was the country's most important livestock product at 4,780,620,000 litres, valued at KSh 257.811 billion, translating to about 70% of the total gross value of livestock's contribution to the agricultural sector. Out of this, the cattle milk production was valued at KSh 197.018 billion [5].

In many farms in Kenya, milk productivity per animal is low compared to other parts of the world [6-8], despite the technological advances in animal breeding and value addition [9-11]. This low production is an economic and nutritional challenge to Kenya when the demand and value for dairy products is projected to increase in the world [12], [13].

To build the dairy industry's competitive position, it was important to identify challenges and interrelating factors that will be strategically important for the long-term success of the industry. This study generated baseline information on production constraints, challenges and opportunities for

Corporation, USA) followed by general linear model and multivariate analysis procedures of Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) Version 12.0.1. Mean separation of variables was accomplished using Tukey's Test. Probability value of (p<0.05) was used to show statistical significance of means using the R (R software, version 2.15.0) programme. Data obtained was grouped as follows: Household characteristics, ranking of the constraints limiting dairy production, feed resources and adequacy of feeds, dairy cattle breeds, breeding practices, and cattle health problems improving the dairy industry in the Counties of Kericho and Bomet.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study area

The study area was divided into four (4) main agroecological zones namely Upper Highland, Lower Highland, Upper Midland and Lower Midland [14]. All the areas identified in these zones were high potential for dairy production, with capacity for improvement [15]

2.2. Sampling techniques

Field visits were undertaken, between February and March 2012, to study locations and farms that were purposively selected in collaboration with the Kenya Government extension and administration staff. At each study location, the farmers were randomly selected based on the intensity of dairy practices informed by previous pre-testing and stakeholder meetings.

Purposive and simple random sampling techniques were used to select a sample of 151 dairy cattle farmers. Data was collected using pre-tested questionnaires from all the selected farmers.

2.3. Data analysis

The responses to the questionnaires were entered into a computer spreadsheet, Microsoft Excel® (Microsoft

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Household characteristics

Socio-economic characteristics of households in the study area are shown in Table 1. A larger component of farmers (34.4 %) was between the ages 50 and 59 while 6 % were more than 60 years old. More than half of the respondents (92.1 %) had acquired some level of education, 31.8 % had a

Volume 3 Issue 12, December 2014 www.ijsr.net

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

primary school qualification, 37.1 % had secondary school education and 23.2 % had a tertiary qualification. A large percentage of the respondents (49 %) practise farming as their main occupation while others have other sources of income. This illustrates the importance of dairy farming in

the area. Majority of the respondents (98 %) own the land in which they practice dairy farming, while decision making in most household (58.3 %) occurred between the husband and the wife.

Table 1: Household characteristics and socioeconomic profile of the respondents in the selected districts of Kericho and Bomet Counties, 2012

		Bomet	Chepalungu	Sotik	Konoin	Bureti	Kericho West	Total
		n=25	n=26	n=25	n=25	n=25	n=25	n=151
Gender of House	Male (%)	60	88.5	64	80	80	88	76.8
hold head	Female (%)	40	11.5	36	20	20	12	23.2
Age group of	30-39 (%)	48	38.5	4	60	16	12	29.8
house hold head	40-49 (%)	20	30.8	48	20	32	28	29.8
	50-59 (%)	32	30.8	48	20	52	24	34.4
	Above 60 (%)	0	0	0	0	0	36	6
Education level	No formal education (%)	12	7.7	16	8	4	0	7.9
	Primary level (%)	20	50	24	24	44	28	31.8
	Secondary level (%)	56	23.1	32	44	40	28	37.1
	Tertiary level (%)	12	19.2	28	24	12	44	23.2
Non farm	Entrepreneurship (%)	28	53.8	24	40	40	52	39.7
occupations	Salaried employment(%)	20	15.4	16	4	4	8	11.3
	None (%)	52	30.8	60	56	56	40	49
Land ownership	Own (%)	92	100	100	96	100	100	98
	Lease (%)	8	0	0	4	0	0	2
Decision maker	Husband (%)	16	19.2	40	20	0	28	20.5
	Wife (%)	36	7.7	28	16	28	12	21.2
	Husband and wife (%)	48	73.1	32	64	72	60	58.3

n - Number of respondents

Source: survey data, 2012

3.2. Ranking of the constraints limiting dairy production

Consideration of the relative significance of the different production constraints is basic prior to beginning any livestock improvement program. The ranking of cattle production constraints are presented in Table 2. Among the constraints, feed shortage, poor quality cattle breeds (low milk production), high cost of inputs especially feeds and supplements, low milk prices, inadequate markets for milk, presence of cattle diseases and pests, and inadequate knowledge and skills on various farm practices were considered as the major problems. Their ranking order varied in different areas surveyed. Overall, lack of adequate feed was ranked as the most serious production constraint. **Table 2:** Comparison of different production constraints (% response) limiting dairy development within Kericho and Bomet Counties

	District									
Constraint	% Mean total n = 151	Kericho n =25	Bureti n = 25	Sotik n =25	Konoin n = 25	Bomet n = 25	Chepalungu n=26			
Disease	13.5	14.6	18	16	5.7	14.3	-			
Pest	1.8	5.4	0	2.1	0	1	1			
Inadequate feed	22.5	17.7	20	26	25.3	22.4	25			
Inadequate knowledge on production techniques	10.5	10.8	20	2.1	11.5	12.2	6.7			
High cost of input	13.7	7.7	9.6	12	17.2	13.3	24			
Poor animal breeds	15.5	15.4	20	21	11.5	11.2	13.5			
Low milk prices	14.6	13.8	6.4	19	16.1	16.3	16.3			
Inadequate of market for milk	7.9	14.6	5.3	2.1	12.6	9.2	1.9			

n - Number of respondents Source: survey data, 2012

3.3. Feed resources

Animal feeds are inputs with major impact on dairy cattle production. The farmers had different preferences for the types of feeds, as seen in Table 3.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

Both the conventional and non-conventional feed sources were used in the study Counties. The common feed resources used by dairy producers included natural pastures of *Pennisetum clandestinum* (Kikuyu grass) and the planted pastures of *Pennisetum purpureum* (Napier grass), *Chloris gayana* (Rhodes grass), banana stems of local varieties and maize stovers. Grazing on Kikuyu grass pastures was widely used by the farmers in smallholder farms (92 % of response, 1.85 ± 0.26 acres of land), while the least was the feeding with the maize stalks collected after harvests (18 % of response, 0.0 acres of land) (Table 3a and 3b). This result was in line with previous researchers who reported grazing as the main feed source commonly practised under free grazing systems [16], [17]. Significant difference (p<0.05) was observed in land allocated for Kikuyu grass in the surveyed area.

Inadequate feed and poor feeding practices are constraints in smallholder dairy farmer as evidenced in Table 3(a). Grazing was the main form of cattle feeding while crop residues were the least used feed resources for cattle. It was also observed that 25.5 % of the respondents had cultivated grasses for additional feeding. The growing of pastures and other forages was due to the scarcity of land and lack of awareness of their importance. Judicious use of crop residues, as alternative feeds, and proper storage of seasonally available feeds can alleviate the problem of feed shortages. However, the utilization of fodder and other crop residues may be associated with inherent constraints of low digestibility, protein, energy and mineral contents that often result in poor intake and palatability. Feeding crop residues with the supplements of protein, energy and minerals as well as chemical treatment can overcome these constraints and thus improve intake and usage as ruminant feeds. The study showed that supplementation of livestock with common salt was practised by 13.4 % of the respondents.

Type of feed											
	Napier	Kikuyu	Boma	Banana	Maize						
		grass	Rhodes	stems	stalks						
District	9	% response preference of feed type									
Bomet	88	88	36	0	32						
Chepalungu	77	100	42.3	3.8	15						
Sotik	79	95.8	25	0	0						
Konoin	80	80	28	8	8						
Bureti	84	92	16	0	8						
Kericho W.	96	96	24	24 40							
Grand Mean	84	84 92 28.7 40 18									

 Table 3 a: percentage preference of type of feed in 2012

Table 3b: Mean ±SE of acreage of different pasturedevelopment in 2012

		Kikuyu	Boma	Banana	Maize
	Napier	grass	Rhodes	stems	stalks
Bomet	0.225 ± 0.04	1.0±0.43b	0.18 ± 0.09	-	-
Chepalungu	0.38±0.08	$1.48 \pm 0.41b$	0.29 ± 0.11	-	-
Sotik	0.20±0.04	4.22±1.21a	0.12 ± 0.05	-	-
Konoin	$0.45 \pm 0.0.13$	1.13±0.48b	0.18 ± 0.08	-	-
Bureti	0.51±0.13	1.79±0.35b	0.05 ± 0.04	-	-
Kericho W.	0.52±0.14	1.8±0.37b	0.10 ± 0.04	-	-
Grand Mean	0.37±0.04	1.85±0.26	0.16±0.03	-	-
LSD	_	17	_	-	-

Means with the same letter within the column are not significantly different. SE - Standard Error. n - Number of respondents

Source: Survey data, 2012

3.4. Adequacy of feeds

The majority of the respondents (74 %) experienced shortage of cattle feeds (Table 4) in their farms. Twenty five percent of farmers had hay, while 12.5 % of the respondents had silage under conservation. Production of grass for hay and fodder for silage conservation required skills and labour, which were noted as limiting factors in the study area.

Table 4: Percent response on the adequacy and use of
various feed and feed supplements in 2012

	District									
		Ker								
	Bomer	Chepalungi	Sotik	Konoin	Bureti	<i>W</i> .	Mean			
		Adequacy	of ava	ilable fo	eed					
No	68	92	50	92	72	68	74			
Yes	32	7.7	50	8	28	32	26			
Percent	tage (%	6) of farmers	s with	fodder u	under co	onservati	on			
Hay	40	15	30	20	12	36	26			
Silage	16	3.8	23	16	8	8	12			
Perce	ntage o	of farmers us	sing di	fferent f	feed sup	oplement	s			
Vitamins	16	7.7	4.3	28	4	20	13			
Concentrates	44	54	17	48	60	40	44			
Mineral salts	64	81	22	76	88	100	73			

3.5. Dairy cattle breeds

The mean number of dairy herd and composition is shown in Table 5. There is a high preference for improved dairy breeds of cattle than for autochthonous breeds. Based on the survey data, the Friesian (2.16 ± 0.22) cattle predominated the breeds of dairy livestock kept by smallholder farmers, followed by Ayrshire (0.70±0.085), autochthonous breeds (0.60±0.16), Guernsey (0.04±0.02), and lastly Jersey breeds (0.03 ± 0.02) ; though there were wide variations (p<0.05) across the districts. Evidently, the Jersey and Guernsey breeds were not distributed in all the districts surveyed. The data obtained supports assertions by [18] that smallholders in developing countries have often not followed recommended breeding practices and preferred to keep the larger dairy breeds (Friesian and Ayrshire), as opposed small-sized breeds. The high grades cattle have higher nutritional demands, poor adaptability, and low production efficiency under smallholder conditions [18].

 Table 5: Mean±SE composition and number of milking cows, in Kericho and Bomet counties, 2012

	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	terreme and	2011101 00	annies, 201	
					Local
	Friesian	Jersey	Guernsey	Ayrshire	Breeds
Bomet	1.32 ± 0.28^{b}	0.00 ± 0.00^{b}	0.00 ± 0.00	0.76 ± 0.19	$0.24{\pm}0.20^{c}$
Chepalun					
gu	1.35±0.43 ^b	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.58 ± 0.17	2.04 ± 0.61^a
Sotik	4.17 ± 0.94^{a}	$0.76{\pm}0.04^a$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.43 ± 0.25	1.52 ± 0.66^{ab}
Konoin	2.40 ± 0.50^{b}	0.00 ± 0.00^{b}	0.00 ± 0.00	0.80 ± 0.23	$0.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm c}$
Bureti	2.40±0.36 ^b	0.00 ± 0.00^{b}	0.08 ± 0.08	0.56 ± 0.14	$0.04{\pm}0.04^{c}$
Kericho	1.50±0.39 ^b	0.16 ± 0.09^{b}	0.16 ± 0.08	1.08 ± 0.24	0.40 ± 0.19^{bc}
Grand					
Mean	2.16	0.03	0.04	0.7	0.6
LSD 0.05	1 47	0.58	_	-	1 16

a, b, c Means with the same letter within the columns are not significantly different (p<0.05), SE=Standard Error

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

3.6. Breeding practices

Access to quality breeding services is essential for the development of the dairy industry. In the studied area, 49.7 % of the households used natural mating through bulls to breed their cattle (Table 6). Some 5.3 % households use either the natural or the artificial insemination breeding systems, depending on their availability. These practices lead to use of bulls with unknown breeding values and hence low productivity in most smallholder dairy farms.

A high prevalence of bull service in an area, especially where records-keeping is poor, may pose risks of inbreeding and spread of breeding diseases. Increased inbreeding and use of genetically unproven bulls, coupled with breeding diseases, can have unfavorable long-term effects on productivity through the degradation of the herd genotype [18].

Most of the foundation stocks in the sampled area were introduced through purchases (91.4 %), which suggests that the farmers were either not keen on/or did not have access to on-farm selection for quality dairy cattle. The availability of high grade dairy cattle was low (90.1 %) in the study area.

Table 6: Comp	arison (in per	centage) of differe	nt breeding and	d reproductive	techniques u	used by farmer	s in Kericho and
			D / /	1. 2012			

		Donic		2012	IZ ·	D (*	IZ 1 IV	0/ T / 1
		Bomet	Chepalungu	Sotik	Konoin	Bureti	Kericho W.	% Total
		n=25	n=26	n=25	n=25	n=25	n=25	
Breeding system used	Bull	68	57.7	28	60	48	36	50
	AI	32	38.5	72	36	40	52	45
	Both	0	3.8	0	4	12	12	5
Breeding service	Available	44	88.5	100	40	76	80	72
availability	Occasionally	44	11.5	0	0	20	16	25
	Not available	12	0	0	0	4	4	3.3
Source of cattle	Gift	16	3.8	0	4	0	4	4.6
	Farmers group	0	0	0	20	4	0	4
	Purchase	84	96.2	100	76	96	96	91
Availability of cattle	Easy	24	19.2	0	0	0	16	9.9
	Not easy	76	80.8	100	100	100	84	90
Calving interval	Yearly	64	8.7	12	54	50	52	40
	Two years or more	36	91.3	88	46	50	48	60
AI services per	Once	40	16.7	89	15	42	76.5	51
conception	More than once	60	83.3	11	85	58	23.5	49
Knowledge of	Know	62.5	92	88	50	84	84	77
breeding cycle	Does not know	29.2	8	13	29	8	16	17
	Done by worker	8.3	0	0	21	8	0	6.1

n – Number of respondents

Source – Survey data, 2012

3.7. Calving interval

The average calving interval in majority of the farms was two years or more (Table 6), contrary to recommended rates of one year [19]. This indicated a decline in dairy cow fertility as evidenced by prolonged the calving interval, and may be attributed to among other factors, poor breeding management, small pool of quality bulls as most farmers use bulls for breeding or poor animal nutrition [20]. Number of services per conception was higher than two and should be rated as poor [21]. There is need to evaluate the reproductive performance of the dairy cattle and improve the quality of the breeds for better productivity in dairy cattle business [22].

3.8. Dairy cattle health problems

According to the respondents, common dairy cattle health problems identified in order of importance were East Coast Fever (E.C.F), mastitis, foot and mouth, lumpy skin disease, sensitivity to light of some animal breed especially Friesian breeds, milk fever, black water and red water (Table 7). This study confirms findings of [23-24], that ECF is the most important tick-borne disease of cattle. Other tick borne diseases identified in the study as causing production constraints include Red water and Black quarter (Table 7). The diseases identified are as result of uncontrolled movement of livestock into and out of the area. However, the study was very limited in scope and the data collected was largely qualitative based on a questionnaire. Further studies will be needed to determine disease prevalence through serological evidence and other relevant methods.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN (Online): 2319-7064
Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

District											
Disease	Bomet	Chepalungu	Sotik	Konoin	Bureti	Kericho W.	Mean				
Mastitis	9.4	61.5	23	11	2	20	17				
Foot and Mouth	31	0	15	14	31	7	17				
E.C.F	56	38.5	38	67	47	28	43				
Milk Fever	3.1	0	1.6	2.8	0	4.2	2.3				
Lumpy Skin Disease	0	0	9.8	2.8	10	14	8.4				
Red Water	0	0	1.6	0	2	4.2	1.9				
Black Quarter	0	0	1.6	0	2	5.6	2.3				
Skin sensitivity to light	0	0	98	2.8	6	16	84				

 Table 7: Multiple response analysis (%) of important disease constraints in 2012

Source: Survey data, 2012

4. Conclusion

Livestock play an important role in the economy of different communities by supporting the livelihoods of farmers, consumers, and traders through provision of food, employment and resources for industrialization. Increasing the productivity and competitiveness of the dairy cattle through reduction of identified constraints will spur the dairy production chain.

Based on the results of the study, the following recommendations should be considered for improving the dairy industry in the counties of Kericho and Bomet:

- **1.** The shortage of feed could be addressed through improvement of feed production and nutritional quality, with conservation for sustained feeding.
- **2.** Upgrading the foundation stocks through quality breeding for milk production should be encouraged through extension services to improve genotypes and productivity of the cattle.
- **3.** Improvement of farmers' knowledge base and skills on dairy cattle management should be done through training and extension services for improved productivity.
- **4.** Disease and pest control services should be utilized optimally to create conducive conditions for dairy cattle productivity.

5. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the livestock farmers, Administrators, District Livestock Production Officers in Kericho and Bomet Counties, research assistants and field enumerators, for their assistance during the data collection process. The study was accomplished through financial support from the Council of University of Kabianga.

References

- [1] Lanyasunya, T. P., Wang, H., Rong, E., Mukisira, A. and Abdulrazak, S. A (2006): Performance of Dairy Cows in Different Livestock Production Systems on Smallholder Farms in Bahati Division, Nakuru District Kenya. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 5 (2): 130-134.
- [2] Thornton, P.K. (2010): Livestock production: recent trends, future prospects. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 365(1554): 2853-2867

- [3] Omore A., Muriuki H., Kenyanjui M., Owango, M. and Staal S. (1999): "The Kenya Dairy Sub-Sector: A Rapid Appraisal". Smallholder Dairy (Research & Development) Project Report, 51.
- [4] Thorpe, W., Muriuki, H., Omore, A., Owango, M. and Staal S J (2000): Development of smallholder dairying in Eastern Africa with particular reference to Kenya. Paper prepared for the University of Zimbabwe (UZ), Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University of Denmark (RVAU), Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies (DIAS) and the Danish International Development Agency-The Danish Bilateral Programme for Enhancement of Research Capacity in Developing Countries (DANIDA-ENRECA) Project Review Workshop 10-13 January 2000. Bronte Hotel Harare, Zimbabwe.
- [5] Behnke R., Muthami D. (2011): The Contribution of Livestock to the Kenyan Economy: AIGAD LPI Working Paper No. 03 – 11.
- [6] Karanja, A. M. (2003): The dairy industry in Kenya: *The post liberalization agenda*.
- [7] Zegeye Y. (2003): Imperative and challenges of dairy production, processing and marketing in Ethiopia. In: Y Jobre and G Gebru (editors), Challenges and opportunities of livestock marketing in Ethiopia. Proceedings of the 10th annual conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, August 21–23, 2003: 61-67
- [8] International Dairy Federation (2007): The world dairy situation 2007, Bulletin of the World Dairy Federation
- [9] Simm, G., Bünger L., Villanueva B. and Hill W. G. (2004): Limits to yield of farm species: genetic improvement of livestock. In Yields of farmed species: constraints and opportunities in the 21st century (eds Sylvester-Bradley R., Wiseman J., editors.), pp. 123– 141 Nottingham, UK: Nottingham University Press.
- [10] Moore, K. and Thatcher W. W. (2006): Major Advances Associated with Reproduction in Dairy Cattle. *Dairy Sci.* 89:1254–1266
- [11] Gunnar, R. and Jens, K. (2006): Extended shelf life milk – advances in technology. 59(2): 85-96
- [12] Zohra, B-M., Vincent, R. C. S. and Audrey, T. (2008): Demand for dairy products in the EU. *Food Policy* 33(6): 644–656
- [13] Ashraf, I., Zadeh, M.N. and Laxmi, R. D (2011): Dairy marketing strategies in the context of globalisation: Issues and challenges. *International Journal of Trade Economics and Finance*. 2(2): 138-143

- [14] FAO (1996): Agro-ecological Zoning Guidelines. FAO Soils Bulletin 73. Rom. www.fao.org
- [15] Sombroek, W.G., Braun, H.M.H. and van der Pouw. (1982): Exploratory soil survey map and agro-climatic map of Kenya. Exploratory soil map soil report No E1, Kenya Soil Survey, Nairobi, Kenya.
- [16] Reynolds, L., Mureithi, G., Mullins, G., and Thorpe, W. (1993): Food resource availability and milk production on smallholder dairy farms in the sub-humid coastal region of Kenya. In: Animal Production in Developing Countries. Proceedings of the meeting held at Ashford, UK, 2-4 September 1991. British Society of Animal Production, Occasional Publication No. 16, pp158-159.
- [17] Muinga, R. W., Bimbuzi, S and Mambo, L. C. (1999): Nutrient composition of locally available feedstuffs in coastal lowland Kenya. In: Kenya Agricultural Research Institute. Proceedings of the 6th Biennial KARI Conference, Nairobi, Kenya, 8-13 November 1998. pp. 287-293.
- [18] Bebe, B. O, Udo, H. M. J, Rowlands G J and Thorpe, W. (2003): Smallholder dairy systems in the Kenya highlands: breed preferences and breeding practices. Livestock Production Science 82:117-127
- [19] French, P. D. and Nebel R. L. 2003: The simulated economic cost of extended calving intervals in dairy herds and comparison of reproductive management programs. Journal of Dairy Science 86 (Suppl. 1):54 (abstract).
- [20] Lalman, D. L., Keisler, D. H., Williams, J. E., Scholljegerdes, E. J. and Mallet, D. M. (1997): Influence of postpartum weight and body condition change on duration of anestrus by undernourished suckled beef heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 75: 2003-2008.
- [21] Mukasa-Mugerewa, E. (1989): A review of Reproductive Performance of Female Bos indicus (Zebu) Cattle. ILCA Monograph N 6, ILCA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- [22] Gabriel, H. K., John, C. M., Trail, M.Y., Kurtu, G. W., Frank, M.A. and Jeffrey, D. (1983): Crossbred dairy cattle productivity in Arsi Region, Ethiopia. ILCA Research Report No. 11.
- [23] Young A. S., Groocock C. M. and Kariuki D. P. (1989): Integrated control of ticks and tick-borne diseases of cattle in Africa. *Parasitology* 96:403-432.
- [24] Norval R. A. I., Lawrence A. J., Young A. S., Perry B. D., Dolan T. T., Mukhebi W. A., Bishop R. and McKeever D. (1992): The epidemiology of Theileriosis in Africa. London, Academic Press.