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Abstract: In this paper, a supervised learning method, called as a multi-level fuzzy min-max neural network classifier (MLF), is described. MLF utilizes fundamental ideas of the fuzzy min-max (FMM) method in a multi-level structure to arrange patterns. This method uses separate classifiers with litter hyperboxes in distinctive levels to group the specimens that are found in overlapping areas. The last outcome of the network is shaped by consolidating the outcomes of these classifiers. MLF is fit for learning nonlinear limits with a solitary pass through the information. As per the acquired results, the MLF method, contrasted with the other FMM networks, has the most elevated execution and the least affectability to maximum size of the hyperbox parameter (θ), with the best preparation precision as in most of the cases.
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1. Introduction

Fuzzy sets have been proposed by Zadeh [16]. Contrasted with the exemplary sets, fuzzy sets and their operations are more perfect with true frameworks and are exceedingly effective in example distinguishing and machine learning issues. Fuzzy logic typically is consolidated with a learning instrument. Neuro-fuzzy frameworks are made by joining fuzzy logic and fake neural networks [15], [22]. Computational effectiveness of neural networks and capacity of fuzzy logic to present complex class limits make these networks an immaculate device for example distinguished problems [19], [23]. Fuzzy sets have been proposed by Zadeh [16]. Contrasted with the exemplary sets, fuzzy sets and their operations are more perfect with true frameworks and are exceedingly effective in example distinguishing and machine learning issues. Fuzzy logic typically is consolidated with a learning instrument. Neuro-fuzzy frameworks are made by joining fuzzy logic and fake neural networks [15], [22].

The proposed MLF algorithm is a homogenous classifier and uses a multilevel tree structure. The system, as opposed to its appearance, doesn't work like a decision tree yet meets expectations more like a homogenous classifier [17]. Different levels of characterization in MLF different sizes in distinctive levels of the system to build exactness and execution. Essentially, MLF tries to utilize more modest hyperboxes with more precision in the limit regions. Here, regardless of classic FMM techniques, the contraction step is not used to handle the overlap issue. Hyperboxes of the recent levels are littler and more exact instead of hyperboxes of prior levels. Subsequently, in this strategy the examples that fit in with overlapped regions will be grouped all the more accurately in the following level of the system by distinctive classifiers. Every node in the system of the proposed strategy known as a subnet and is a free classifier that groups inspect that have a place with the characterized district of example space. The classifier in first level (root node) is in charge of characterizing a large portion of the non limit area of example space, and nodes (classifiers) of second level deal with the remaining regions that are the same overlapped district of root subnet. In the same way, every node in the level of the system arranges examples having a place with an overlapped district in lower level of the system. Toward the end, the node that has the best outcome among all nodes is chosen as the system's outcome. In MLF, as in other FMM techniques, all hyperboxes are made and balanced amid preparing the stage and are utilized as a part of test stage. Different routines handle overlap issue regulated when a overlap is created; whereas in MLF overlap handling is carried out after creation and alteration of all hyperboxes. This reasons diminished space and time complexity.

On account of MLF’s elite and accuracy, it can be utilized as an integral part of different applications. Specially, order problems with eccentric and nonlinear restricts are regular focuses of MLF. Besides, as indicated by the velocity of the MLF preparing period, it can be utilized within powerful and real time situations. Down the road, we want to apply MLF to application areas, as an example, content arrangement and unique the discourse, which have high-dimensional gimmick areas with complicated school limits. The remaining paper is summed as follows: Section II gives the review regarding the similar techniques that has been developed prior to this technique. The section III gives the reviewed conclusion of this paper and finishes with the features, which can be studied and added to this field.
2. Literature Review

Many methods have been developed in the FMM domain [12], [18], [19], [9], [10], [4], [5], [6], and [23], and in this section we review some of the important methods of these methods that are related to your planned strategy, their progress, in addition to their merits and demerits.

In 1992, Simpson [22] proposed a machine learning language method, called as Fuzzy Min-Max (FMM). With just one pass over the learning samples, the learning stage is completed. This can be used for the purpose of clustering or classification. The hyperboxes used in this method are convex boxes in the pattern space. The hyperbox is determined by the min and max points of the correspondent.

General Fuzzy Min-Max Neural Network (GFMM) was developed in 2000, by Gabrys and Bargiela [11], who added some changes in the FMM so that the efficiency will be increased. They have proved that the input patterns like fuzzy hyperboxes or crispoints in the pattern space are more efficient. The input samples proposed in FMM [15] were fuzzy hyperbox membership functions were also changed. They made it useful for pure clustering/classification or hybrid clustering/classification by making sure that the labeled and unlabeled input patterns will be processed simultaneously. They also proposed that, in the course of GFMM neural network training, the parameter which is regulating the maximum hyperbox. The sizes can be changed adaptively.

Inclusion/Exclusion Fuzzy Hyperbox Classifier method was proposed by Bargiela [1] in 2003. In this method, the problem of overlapping areas was followed by making some changes in the learning process. This method does not use the contraction to eliminate the overlaps, as compared to the previous schemes. In addition, to increase the efficiency, some unnecessary parts of the hyperboxes are eliminated. The combination of inclusion and exclusion of hyperboxes is used to handle the overlapping problem, and to the complex topology data approximation.

Nandedkar and Biswas [8] developed a Fuzzy Min-Max Neural Network Classifier (FMCN) in 2007. The compensation nodes (CNs) are used in this technique to handle the overlapped regions, which are similar to exclusion nodes in EFC. The CNs are divided into two groups, namely, Containment Compensation Neuron (CCN) and Overlap Compensation Neuron (OCN). The former handles the overlaps, where a box is partially or completely enclosed by another box. And the latter is used to handle the simpler overlaps.

Although, the classic method is used, the overlap problem in not handled by the contradiction step. And the hyperbox of the prior levels are bigger and inaccurate rather than the latter levels. Hence, in the proposed method, the patterns from the overlapped regions are classified more accurately in the latter level of network by various classifiers. A Subnet, i.e. every node in the network of proposed method, is an independent classifier. This classifier is used to classify the samples, those belongs to the particular region of pattern space. The classifiers in the root node will be responsible for the classification of the non boundary regions of pattern space. And similarly, each node in any level of the network classifies patterns belonging to the overlapped region in the previous level of the network. The node having the best output among all the nodes will be selected as the network’s outcome in the end.

3. Conclusion

A neural algorithm for arrangement called MLF has been exhibited. This neural network utilizes a multi-level tree structure where each one overlapped region of the guardian hubs is taken care of by a kid hub in the following level. We have utilized two sorts of datasets, in particular, made and standard sets, to explore and assess the execution of MLF. Through different investigations, we have contrasted the execution of MLF and that of existing comparable methodologies, such FMCM and DCFMN. Tries over orchestrated and standard sets none overlapped that, with the development of the extension coefficient, the slip rates of all methodologies increment. In correlation, MLF has little varieties, while the blunder rates of different routines increment quickly by a few requests of size. This is because of the better choices made in the lower levels of MLF. Since the MLF settles on choices in limit locales by overlapping the example space with little hyperboxes, its space many-sided quality relies on upon the measure of limit districts in example space.

On account of MLF’s elite and precision, it can be utilized as a part of different applications. Particularly, order issues with eccentric and nonlinear limits are ordinary focuses of MLF. Besides, as indicated by the velocity of the MLF preparing stage, it can be utilized within dynamic and real time situations. Later on, we want to apply MLF to application territories, for example, content arrangement and distinguishing the discourse, which have high-dimensional gimmick spaces with convoluted class limits.
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