

Table 4: Three level CCD and the experimental responses of dependent variable, Y

		<i>Factor 1</i>	<i>Factor 2</i>	<i>Factor 3</i>	<i>Response 1</i>	<i>Predicted Values</i>
Std	Run	A:Inoculum conc	B:Nutrient	C: Temperature	Ethanol yield	
					mg/ml	
18	1	4	2	32	4.5	4.47
2	2	6	1	30	10.3	9.95
3	3	2	3	30	4	3.83
11	4	4	1	32	6.9	7.11
17	5	4	2	32	4.5	4.47
9	6	2	2	32	4.4	4.25
5	7	2	1	34	7.4	7.25
14	8	4	2	34	5.2	5.05
1	9	2	1	30	6.5	6.65
16	10	4	2	32	4.5	4.47
20	11	4	2	32	4.5	4.47
19	12	4	2	32	4.5	4.47
4	13	6	3	30	6.1	6.23
13	14	4	2	30	4.2	4.45
8	15	6	3	34	7	6.83
10	16	6	2	32	6.4	6.65
15	17	4	2	32	4.5	4.47
7	18	2	3	34	5	5.33
12	19	4	3	32	4.4	4.29
6	20	6	1	34	9.5	9.65

Table 5: ANOVA for the quadratic polynomial model for ethanol production

Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]						
Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	p-value Prob > F	
Model	60.20	9	6.69	110.36	< 0.0001	significant
<i>A-Inoculum conc</i>	14.40	1	14.40	237.59	< 0.0001	
<i>B-Nutrient</i>	19.88	1	19.88	328.02	< 0.0001	
<i>C-Temperature</i>	0.90	1	0.90	14.85	0.0032	
<i>AB</i>	0.40	1	0.40	6.68	0.0272	
<i>AC</i>	0.40	1	0.40	6.68	0.0272	
<i>BC</i>	0.41	1	0.41	6.68	0.0272	
<i>A²</i>	2.65	1	2.65	43.74	< 0.0001	
<i>B²</i>	4.17	1	4.17	68.85	< 0.0001	
<i>C²</i>	0.22	1	0.22	3.60	0.0869	
Residual	0.61	10	0.061			
<i>Lack of Fit</i>	0.61	5	0.12			
<i>Pure Error</i>	0.000	5	0.000			
Cor Total	60.81	19				