Cat Scratch Disease: Follow up of a Clinical Case

P. Stanimirov¹, M Dimitrov²

^{1,2}Department of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, FDM, Medical University-Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract: Cat scratch disease is a non-epidemic infection caused by Gram negative bacillus Bartonella henselae. The most common course of the disease includes regional lymphadenitis and skin papule at the entrance site of the infection. We report a case of a female, 22 years of age, with cat scratch disease. Clinically we observed the typical skin papule at the entrance site of the infection with severe suppurative lymphadenitis of the parotid region and the neck. We have observed the course of the disease.

Keywords: Cat scratch disease; lymphadenopathy, head and neck

1. Introduction

Cat scratch disease /CSD/ is caused by Bartonella henselae. Perinaud over hundred years ago described inflammation of the conjunctiva and periauricular lymphadenopathy as a result from an animal contact. In 1931 Debré observes regional lymphadenitis after cat scratch and 20 years later describes the disease (8). In 1983 Wear et al. (40) are the first to discover small Gram-negative microorganism in the lymph nodes of a CSD patient.

2. Epidemiology of CSD

Every year CSD is diagnosed and verified in 22 000 patient in the USA. Over 2000 among them are treated in hospitals. The peak of the disease is in autumn and winter (16). The frequency is from 1,8 to 9,3 cases over 100 000 (16, 43). Most common is among youngsters, 87% being under 18 years old (3). A newer research found that 43% of the patients are over 21 years old (13). The patients report cat contact in more than 90%. Licking of the face, scratching or bite by a kitten (less than 12 months) can be attributed to the disease (43). Eighty four percent of the CSD patients are seropositive for B henselae (43). The examination of the cats of the CSD patients found positive blood result for B. henselae in 68%, while all cats had flees (10). Clinical characteristics

It is typical for CSD to present as 3-5 mm papule at the site of inoculation. The papule develops from the second up to 3-4 weeks after the inoculation (3, 23, 27). The lesion evolves into macula, pustule and vesicle. Primary skin lesion is found in 60-93% of the cases, which refers to the CSD diagnosis (3, 34). Lymphadenopathy can be observed between 7-th to 60-th day of the papule eruption and in half of the cases, it is isolated to the head and neck region and upper limbs. The lymph nodes are tender or painful in 80% of the cases, cellulitis is rarely observed. Lymphadenitis resolves spontaneously in 2 to 4 months (3, 23). In 30% of the patient fever and indisposition can be observed, and in 15% - anorexia (23).



Figure 1: Lymphadenopathy of the preauricular and retromandibular lymph nodes. The lymph nodes from II and III level are also affected



Figure 2: Inoculation site. An erythematous skin papule can be seen on the lower right eyelid.

3. Diagnosis

Bartonella henselae is demanding microorganism and as such, it is difficult to be isolated. Routine culturing is difficult (11), which can be contributed to the long incubation period of 2-4 weeks (18). Histopathological findings are not characteristic for the disease, but contribute for the clinical and diagnostic correlations (10). Serological tests for Bartonella henselae are sensitive and specific (31, 43). Serological testing for IgA and IgG through indirect immunofluorescence method shows high sensitivity of 88% International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

and specificity of 98% (2, 28). For positive is considered titre of 1:512 or higher (6). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is considered most sensitive method for confirming Bartonella infection (24).

4. Case Report

The patient, female, 22 years old, came for consultation in the Maxillofacial surgery department of St. Anna University hospital with complaints from painful lymphadenitis of the right preauricular and cervical lymph nodes. The complaints started 3 weeks ago, when the lymph nodes enlarged quickly over few days. The patient was suffering from severe pain and tension in the affected region. She complained also from several periods of fever over the past few weeks. Treatment for 14 days with broad-spectrum antibiotic didn't lead to relief. The clinical examination revealed lymphadenopathy affecting preauricular, parotid and neck lymph nodes from II and III level. The affected nodes were round, noninosculated, with firm-elastic structure, tender and with no suppuration. A distinctive, non-suppurative periadenitis and cellulitis was observed in the affected region. (Fig. 1) The size of the lymph nodes was ranging from 3x2 cm to 1x1 cm. Skin examination found erythematous papule on the lower eyelid on the same side of the face. (Fig. 2) The patient reported about a scratch on the eyelid from a kitten. An elevated, non-suppurating, and non-healing slightly painful papule gradually appeared at the scratch site. The clinical symptoms and the patient history strongly suggested a possible cat-scratch infection. At admission, the patient was in moderately disturbed general health, with complaints of weakness and pain in the preauricular area. The blood count showed the following results: Leu - 8,3 x10 9 / L, Lymph - 2,8 x x10 9 / L, Mono - 0,4 x 10 9 / L; Gran - 5,1 x10 ⁹ / L; Lymph % - 32,2%; Mono % - 5,2%; Gran % - 61,6%; Hemoglobin – 125 g/L; Ery - 4,31 x10 ¹² / L; Thr – 385,0 x10⁹ / L; ESR - 16. Microbiological culturing was without result. Histopathological examination of a curettage material found granulomatous necrotizing lymphadenitis.



Figure 3: Abscess formation in the preauricular lymph nodes



Figure 4: Lymphadenopathy in progress. All affected lymph nodes were with abscesses and incisions were undertaken.

5. Discussion

Cat scratch disease can express great variety of clinical signs and symptoms. The most common of them is the lymphadenopathy, which can be found in over 50% of the cases (37). Atypical course of the disease is reported in 5-14% of the patients (9, 23). The Parinaud syndrome (oculoglandular syndrome) is characterized by conjunctivitis and regional lymphadenopathy and can be found in 6% of the cases (23). There is a case report of orbital abscess and osteomyelitis (26). Systemic forms with hepatosplenic involvement have been observed (9, 19, 32). Periportal and periaortal adenopathy can be observed. Involvement of the liver and spleen is not necessarily accompanied by enlargement or deviation in liver test results (37). Complaints from the central nervous system can occur in 2-6 weeks after the lymphadenopathy occurrence, and present as seizures, headache and encephalopathy (4, 20, 30). Neurological complaints can be found in 1-7% from the cases (3, 4). Temporary dysfunction of the cranial nerves and myelopathy (20), neuroretinitis, severe vision reduction or blindness (4, 25, 42) are amongst the symptoms, described by clinicians. Lung manifestations of the disease can be pneumonia or pleural effusion (1). Bone involvement in the form of osteomyelitis with radiological osteolytic lesions is related to Bartonella henselae infection (29, 35, 38). Bone lesions can be observed adjacent to the lymphadenopathy. Osteolytic lesions can occur with localized pain without erythema, tenderness or swelling (5, 39). The disease can present with skin eruption (5%) most often maculopapular rush, observed in the early stages. Vesicular rush, erythema nodosum, erythema multiphorme, hives, purpura and leukocitoclastic vasculitis are amongst possible symptoms. (7, 14, 21). There are reports of hematological test changes, such as thrombocytopenia and hemolytic anemia (12, 21). One has to consider CSD in the cases with non-clarified elevated body temperature (32). Prolonged fever without local infection can occur in immune compromised and in immune-competent patients with persistent bacteremia of B henselae and B quintana (36, 41).

In the reported case, we observed the primary affect in the region of the scratch, which is also the entry point for the infection (Fig. 2). We found erythematous, slightly elevated papule, which stayed for about 3 weeks and gradually disappeared. During that time, we did not observed pus

Volume 3 Issue 11, November 2014 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

formation in the lesion and the patient didn't have any complaints related to it. By the time of hospitalization, the patient complained of pain and tension in the parotid and masseter region, lasting 3 days after the beginning of the antibiotic and anti-inflammatory treatment. The typical clinical characteristics suggested CSD. Serological tests found IgG antibodies against Bartonella, with positive titer of 1:512. We started the treatment with parenteral administration of Ciprofloxacin and consecutive per oral Azitromycin and Vibramycin. The analysis of the course of the disease led to the following conclusions: 1. The patient was afebrile with unbiased blood test results: 2. In the beginning the lymph nodes were firm and in the course of the disease all of them did form abscesses in different periods of time. Active antibiotic treatment didn't affect this process and didn't achieve regress of the lymphadenopathy. This advocates the statements, that disease is self-healing, and the antibiotic treatment is not always necessary (31). On Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 we present the course of the lymphadenopathy. When there was obvious pus formation, we undertook incision and curettage of the affected lymph nodes, which resulted in quick resolution of the local symptoms. The disease took prolonged period to heal completely. From clinical point of view, we observed two distinctive phases of the disease. The characteristics of the first phase were the primary affect on the skin, regional lymphadenitis without suppuration, periadenitis and cellulitis of the affected region. This phase developed over period of 4 weeks. The second phase took longer time, up to 16 weeks, and during this period, we observed successive suppuration of the lymph nodes, with total resolution after treatment.

Treatment of choice is antibiotic therapy. Surgical treatment is recommended for abscess formation. Biopsy of lymph node is undertaken when in doubt for malignant disease.

Although in vitro tests suggest susceptibility of Bartonella henselae to macrolides, tetracycline, rifampicin, third generation of cephalosporins, in vivo there is insufficient treatment result (11). Positive result from erythromycin and doxycycline treatment is reported (42). Recent studies advocate azithromycin usage (15, 33). Moderate susceptibility is found to aminoglycosides, while the microorganism is resistant to first generation of cephalosporins (11). Retrospective study found treatment result in 87% with rifampin and 84% with ciprofloxacin (22). Doxycycline 100 mg every 12 hours is recommended for ocular bartonelosis, for high ocular penetration of the drug. The course of treatment should continue for 2 to 4 weeks in immune competent patients, and four or more months in immune compromised patients (17, 33).

6. Conclusion

CSD is caused by Bartonella henselae. The disease affects more often young patients and is characterized by the symptoms of lymphadenitis. Many different atypical forms of the disease are possible. The most significant epidemiological risk is the contact with kittens and cats with flees. The patients with systemic involvement or immune incompetence are treated with antibiotics. Surgical treatment is needed when there is obvious suppuration or malignant disease is suspected.

References

- [1] Abbasi S. Pulmonary manifestations of cat-scratch disease; a case report and review of the literature. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1995;14:547-8.
- [2] Anderson B, Kelly C, Threlkel R, Edwards K. Detection of Rochalimaea henselae in cat scratch disease skin test antigens. J Infect Dis 1993;168:1034–6.
- [3] Carithers HA. Cat-scratch disease. An overview based on a study of 1200 patients. Am J Dis Child 1985;139:1124-33.
- [4] Carithers HA, Margileth AM. Cat-scratch disease Acuteencephalopathy and other neurologic manifestations. Am J Dis Child 1991;145:98-101.
- [5] Cohen-Abbo A, Cheatham S, Edwards K. Disseminated cat-scratch disease simulating neuroblastoma. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1992;11:1058-60.
- [6] Dalton MJ, Robinson LE, Cooper J, Regnery RL, Olson JG, Childs JE. Use of bartonella antigens for serologic diagnosis of cat-scratch disease at a national referral center. Arch Intern Med 1995;155:1670-6.
- [7] Daye S, McHenry J, Roscelli JD. Pruritic rash associated with cat scratch disease. Pediatrics 1988;81:559-61.
- [8] Debré R, Lamy M, Jammet ML, Costil L, Mozziconacci P. La maladie des griffes de chat. Bull Mem Soc Med Hop Paris 1950;66:76-9.
- [9] Delahoussaye PM, Osborne BM. Cat-scratch disease presenting as abdominal visceral granulomas. J Infect Dis 1990;161:71-8.
- [10] Demers DM, Bass JW, Vincent JM, et al. Cat-scratch disease in Hawaii: Etiology and seroepidemiology. J Pediatr 1995;127:23-6.
- [11] Dolan MJ, Wong MT, Regnery RL, et al. Syndrome of *Rochalimaea henselae* adenitis suggesting cat scratch disease. Ann Intern Med 1993;118:331-6.
- [12] Greenbaum B, Nelson P, Marchildon M, Donaldson M. Hemolytic anemia and hepatosplenomegaly associated with cat-scratch fever. J Pediatr 1986;108:428-30.
- [13] Hamilton DH, Zangwill KM, Hadler JL, Carter ML. Cat-scratch disease-Connecticut, 1992-1993. J Infect Dis 1995;172:570-3.
- [14] Hashkes PJ, Trabulsi A, Passo MH. Systemic catscratch disease presenting as leukocytoclastic vasculitis. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1996;15:93-5.
- [15] Huarcaya E, Infante B, Maguiña-Vargas C, Merello J, Birtles R, Ventosilla P. Identificación de Bartonella sp. mediante reacción en cadena de la polimerasa y métodos microbiológicos. Diagnóstico 2003; 42:151-3.
- [16] Jackson LA, Perkins BA, Wenger JD. Cat scratch disease in the United States: An analysis of three national databases. Am J Public Health 1993;83:1707-11.
- [17] Koehler JE. Bartonellosis. In: Dolin R, Masur H, Saag MS, editors. AIDS therapy. 2nd ed. San Francisco: Churchill Livingstone; 2003. p. 491-7.
- [18] Lee SC, Fung CP, Lee N, Shieh WB. Cat-scratch disease caused by Bartonella henselae:the first case report in Taiwan. J Formos Med Assoc 1998;97:569–72.

Volume 3 Issue 11, November 2014 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

- [19] Lenoir AA, Storch GA, DeSchryver-Kecskemeti K, et al. Granulomatous hepatitis associated with cat scratch disease. Lancet 1988;i:1132-6.
- [20] Lewis DW, Tucker SH. Central nervous system involvement in cat scratch disease. Pediatrics 1986;77:714-21.
- [21] Margileth AM. Dermatologic manifestations and update of cat scratch disease. Pediatr Dermatol 1988;5:1-9.
- [22] Margileth AM. Antibiotic therapy for cat-scratch disease; clinical study of therapeutic outcome in 268 patients and a review of the literature. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1992;11:474-8.
- [23] Margileth AM. Cat scratch disease. Adv Pediatr Infect Dis 1993;8:1-21.
- [24] Matar GM, Koehler JE, Malcolm G, et al. Identification of Bartonella species directly in clinical specimens by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of a 16S rRNA gene fragment. J Clin Microbiol 1999;37:4045–7.
- [25] McCrary B, Cockerham W, Pierce P. Neuroretinitis in cat-scratch disease associated with the macular star. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1994;13:838-9.
- [26] Mirakhur B, Shah SS, Ratner AJ et al. Cat scratch disease presenting as orbital abscess and osteomyelitis. Journal of clinical microbiology, Aug. 2003, p. 3991– 3993 Vol. 41, No. 8
- [27] Mikolajczyk, M.G., O'Reilly, K.L., 2000. Clinical disease in kittens inoculated with a pathogenic strain of Bartonella henselae. Am. J. Vet. Res. 61 (4), 375–379.
- [28] Murakami K, Tsukahara M, Tsuneoka H, et al. Cat scratch disease: analysis of 130 seropositive cases. J Infect Chemother 2002;8: 686–91.
- [29] Muszynski MJ, Eppes S, Riley HD. Granulomatous osteolytic lesion of the skull associated with cat-scratch disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1987;6:199-201.
- [30] Noah DL, Bresee JS, Gorensek MJ. Cluster of five children with acute encephalopathy, associated with catscratch disease in South Florida. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1995;14:866-9.
- [31] Opavsky MA. Cat scratch disease: The story continues. Can J Infect Dis 1997;8(1):43-49.
- [32] Regnery RL, Olson JG, Perkins BA, Bibb W. Serological response to '*Rochalimaea henselae*' antigen in suspected cat-scratch disease. Lancet 1992;339:1443-5.
- [33] Rizkallah MF, Meyer L, Ayoub EM. Hepatic and splenic abscesses in cat scratch disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1988;7:191-5.
- [34] Rolain JM, Brouqui P, Koehler JE, Maguiña C, Dolan MJ, Raoult D.Recommendations for treatment of human infections caused by Bartonella species. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother 2004;48:1921-3.
- [35] Schwartzman WA. Infections due to rochalimaea: The expanding clinical spectrum. Clin Infect Dis 1992;15:893-902.
- [36] Shanon ABZ, Marchessault J, McDonald P. Cat-scratch disease associated with vertebral osteolytic lesion. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1989;8:51.
- [37] Slater LN, Welch DF, et al. A newly recognized fastidious Gram-negative pathogen as a cause of fever and bacteremia. N Engl J Med 1990;323:1587-93.
- [38] Talenti E, Cesaro S, Scapinello A, Perale R, Zanesco L. Disseminated hepatic and splenic calcifications

following cat-scratch disease. Pediatr Radiol 1994;24:342-3.

- [39] Waldvogel K, Regnery RL, Anderson BE, Caduff R, Caduff J, Nadal D. Disseminated cat-scratch disease: Detection of *Rochalimaea henselae* in affected tissue. Eur J Pediatr 1994;153:23-7.
- [40] Walterspiel JN, Nimityongskul P. Positive bone scan in cat-scratch disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1987;6:944-5.
- [41] Wear DJ, Margileth AM, Hadfield TL, Fischer GW, Schlagel CJ,King FM. Cat scratch disease: A bacterial infection. Science 1983;221:1403-5.
- [42] Welch DF, Picket DA, Slater LN, Steigerwalt AG, Brenner DJ. *Rochalimaea henselae* sp nov, a cause of septicemia, bacillary angiomatosis, and parenchymal bacillary peliosis. J Clin Microbiol 1992;30:275-80.
- [43] Wong MT, Dolan MJ, Lattuada CP, et al. Neuroretinitis, aseptic meningitis and lymphadenitis associated with *Bartonella (Rochalimaea) henselae* infection in immunocompetent patients and patients infected with human immundeficiency virus type 1. Clin Infect Dis 1995;21:352-60.
- [44]Zangwill KM, Hamilton DH, Perkins BA, et al. Cat scratch disease in Connecticut. N Engl J Med 1993;329:8-13.