



Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

6. Literature Review

This section presents a literature on the subject matter under study. It covers previous researches that have been done to establish the gap for further study. It reviews literature on risk associated with strategic partnership with a keen interest on the performance of telecommunication industry.

6.1 Theoretical Framework

Mobile network sharing can be found in both mature and developing markets, with 3G providing an additional impetus to assess the commercial and regulatory viability of network sharing. This is mostly due to commercial considerations, rather than regulatory mandates [10]. It is further pointed out [12] that, infrastructure sharing among telecom companies fosters competition and leads to optimization of investment. They further note that although the operators can have autonomous collaborations, it is important to have a clear regulatory policy to ensure successful infrastructure sharing. According to them involvement of the regulatory authorities is key in successful infrastructure sharing.

It was noted that for industries in the service sector to achieve superior performance they need to have technical and managerial innovations in their organizational structure [20]. The study looked at innovation as a management design that does not come by a random approach but rather by design. It entails focusing on the organization's mission, searching for unique opportunities, determining whether they fit the organization's strategic direction, defining the measures for success, and continually reassessing opportunities. They point out that it requires total dedication in pursuit of a unique opportunity.

Strategic partnerships are viewed as entrepreneurial ventures whereby there is an association of interest [21]. It is pointed out [1] that, such strategic partnerships are complex to formulate, implement as well as manage. It is, therefore, warned that, managers need to be clear on what the strategic partnership process entails. He further notes that professional management of the entire process is key if the partnership is to work. He however notes that entering into a strategic partnership leads to enhanced skills, reduces costs as well as add value to the organization.

6.1.1 Michael Porter's Analysis of Industries and Competitors

Competition in an industry is said to depend on the following five forces; rivalry among existing firms, threat of

new entrants, threat of substitute products or services, bargaining power of suppliers as well as bargaining power of buyers [24]. He believes that the collective strength of these forces determine the ultimate profitability of an industry.

It is explained [24] that, new entrants bring with them new capacity, competition for market share and more often than not substantial resources. This can lead to reduced prices and thus reduced profitability. He goes on to say that the threat of new entry depends on existing barriers to entry as well as expected reaction from existing competitors.

Substitute products or services limit an industry's returns since they set a limit on the prices firms in the industry can profitably charge. It is cautioned that firms should be wary of substitute products that are likely to beat the industry's products price-wise as well as substitutes that are produced by industries earning higher profits. Other than substitute products, Porter explains that buyers also compete with the industry by bringing prices down. Buyers bargain for higher quality, play competitors against each other and all this affects the industry's profitability.

Suppliers can exert their bargaining power by threatening to raise prices or reduce the quality of purchased goods and services. This in turn affects profitability of the industry especially if the industry is unable to recover cost increases in its own prices. Porter also pointed out that rivalry among existing players in an industry can either make an industry better than it was or worse off. He cautioned against price competition since it leaves the industry worse off. When one firm reduces prices, the other firms will also reduce prices so as to be competitive. This in turn reduces revenue for all the firms in the industry leaving the industry worse off. He opted for competition based on advertising since it enhances demand as well product differentiation leaving the industry better than it was.

On competition among industry players, it was noted [24] that it is rooted in the underlying economic structure and goes beyond the behaviour of current competitors. It is pointed out that competitors need to understand the sources of competitive pressure as this will show them where the industry promises to hold the greatest significance as either opportunities or threats. He acknowledges that there are different forces that shape competition in each industry. Competition should therefore not be looked at as a matter of coincidence or bad luck.

Porter further notes that all the five forces jointly determine the level of competition as well the industry's profitability. He also notes that each industry is shaped by the different forces that take prominence. He further indicated that the strength of the forces should be distinguished from other short run factors that affect competition and profitability so as to set a competitive strategy. He finally notes that the starting point of strategic analysis should be the understanding of the industry structure.

6.2 Empirical Review

This part reviews literature by other scholars on industry performance, and risks associated with strategic partnership.

6.2.1 Performance of Mobile Industry

Modern technology in the contemporary life is a common factor; people are willing to use advanced technology in their daily lives. They are now moving away from the traditional communication systems to advanced technologies [15]. People connect with each other more easily than before due to advanced mobile technologies that are ubiquitous, portable and can be used to receive and disseminate personalized and localized information throughout the world [19]. Mobile services are no longer what they used to be. They now include internet access, digital imaging, mobile banking, and financial instrument trading and shopping [15].

It is posited that, mobile phones are used as status symbols, life symbols, they state an owner's identity, and they are used for internet access and entertainment such as music and games [4]. It is indicate that [2] that, there are three types of possible consumer groups within the mobile environment, that is,. children and teenagers, business users and young adults. The children and teenagers use mobile phones mainly to access the internet and play games. Business users use the mobile phone primarily for communication, news and weather information. Young adults utilize their phones mainly for internet access. Each group has it unique characteristics which in turn determine how they use mobile services. Kenya's household phone ownership has increased at an average rate of 30% per year since 2005 [28].

Businesses need to understand the external factors beyond their boundaries that influence their ability to survive in their environment as well as remain competitive. It is observed that, strategically relevant influences from the macro environment can sometimes have a significant impact on a company's business situation as well as the company's direction and strategy [26]. Other than the five forces put forward by Michael Porter, other scholars have come up with other factors that equally affect the performance of an industry.

6.2.2 Risks Associated with Strategic Partnership in the Mobile Industry

Strategic partnership/alliance occurs when two or more parties stand to gain when they combine resources [29]. It is argued that to realize the full potential of the partnership they must share information as well as make investments that are specific to the agreement. It is also opined [3] that, strategic partnership enables companies to intercept the technology of other companies as well as close skill gaps faster than internal development would allow. It is further pointed out that strategic partnership enables transfer of knowledge among members.

It is noted that collaboration structures and relationships need to be formed and managed throughout the project. It is further suggested that the strategic partners need to have strategic, organizational and cultural compatibility. They argue that the selection and engagement of strategic partners is crucial to the success of the partnership. As such the

search for a strategic partner should be systematic and should take into consideration competition, market situation and existing knowledge base. It is further asserted [3] that trust and reliability should also be considered.

It is emphasized [1] that, the ability of partners to manage the partnership depended on the planning process. It is outlined three stages of developing a strategic partnership, that is, foundation, formulation and formulation stage. In the foundation stage is whereby partners look at the benefits they stand to gain by getting into a strategic partnership. They also do an internal analysis as well as identify what they need in a strategic partner. In the formulation stage, the respective companies get to select partners, sign into an agreement and train and align staff in accordance with the agreement. In the final stage, implementation of the agreement takes place.

A successful strategic partnership is not easy to pull off as has been noted by several writers [1], [9], [29]. It is further noted that inter-firm conflicts sometimes arise due to competing interests, incompatible goals, disagreements regarding resource allocation, and opportunistic behaviour. When strategic partners pursue self-interest they undermine the benefits of strategic partnership in two ways. Partners avoid being held up by their counterpart by under-investing in alliance specific assets and skill and this in turn leads to collapse of the partnership. Secondly, partners may learn each other's skills and apply them in other areas outside the partnership. This has dire consequences especially if the partners are competitors. To avoid this, partners become overprotective on their contributions blocking information that might be necessary for partnership.

It is noted [27] that, uncertainty in partnership sometimes makes it difficult for one partner to evaluate the intentions of the other partner. Though the other firm may not be having any opportunistic thoughts one firm may misinterpret the intentions of the other firm. This can lead to blocking of information that may be useful in the partnership. It is pointed out [29] that, partners will be less likely to cooperate if they have a low level of identification with the partnership and if they perceive there is competition within the partnership.

Strategic partnerships are already complex as they are [1]. Employees of the firms in partnership pose a risk to the partnership as well especially if they feel that the partnership could lead to redundancies that strategic partnerships collapse when each process of the partnership is not well laid out. He emphasized the importance of tackling each phase very well and to the satisfaction of all partners. It is, however, notes [16] that at times the process may be flawless but other factors arise in the course of execution that may not have been captured in the initial contract. This can cause friction if the issue is not well handled.

7. Research Methodology

This section looks at how the researcher systematically solved the research problem [18]. It is indicated [25] that research design is the general plan of how the researcher goes about answering the research questions. For this study,

descriptive research design was used. Descriptive research portrays an accurate profile of persons, events or even situations. It describes characteristics of individuals or groups. It is noted that there are different research strategies in descriptive research design, one of them being case study. The case study was used since it enables the generalized knowledge to get richer.

The target population was 30 managers from the senior and mid management level of Essar Telecom Kenya Limited. For this study the census method was used. It is noted that a census requires a researcher to examine all the elements in the target population [7]. For data collection questionnaires were used. The questionnaires were pilot tested to assess their reliability and validity. Reliability was tested using the Cronbach alpha coefficient while validity was determined through consultation with the University's research supervisors

7.1 Data Processing and Analysis

Data processing involved editing, coding, classification and tabulation of collected data so that they were suitable for analysis [18]. Data was coded and entered into Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS) for analysis. Both descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were conducted. The findings of the study were presented in descriptive and inferential statistical tables.

7.2 Research Findings

The response rate was 100% and resulted from the method of administration of the questionnaires. The researcher emphasized the significance of the research to the respondents. Respondents' queries concerning clarity were also addressed during data collection. The foregoing explained the high response rate.

7.2.1 Descriptive Findings for Risks associated with Strategic Partnership in the Mobile Industry

The specific objective of the study was to establish the risks associated with partnership in the mobile industry. The respondents were given the option to choose more than one risk from the available options. Concerning this objective, it was first imperative to establish the risks first and afterwards the effects on mobile telephone industry. The results of the former are presented in Table 1

Table 1: Risks associated with Strategic Partnership in the Mobile Industry

	Min	Max	Mean	S.D.
Risks that lead to challenges in cooperation	1	2	1.37	.490
Risks that lead to challenges in competing interests	2	4	2.32	.646
Risks that lead to challenges in incompatible goals	3	6	3.47	1.007
Risks that lead to challenges in mistrust	4	4	4.00	0.000
Risks that lead to challenges in poor formulation	5	5	5.00	0.000
Risks that lead to challenges in poor implementation	6	6	6.00	0.000

The results in Table 1 reveal that, the major risks in strategic partnerships were poor implementation of the terms of associations (mean = 6, SD = 0.00) probably resulting from poor formulation of the terms of engagement (mean = 5, SD= 0.00) and mistrust (mean = 4, SD = 0.00). These could be attributed to the presence of incompatible goals (mean = 3.47, SD = 1.007) and competing interests (mean = 2.32, SD = 0.646). These findings generally imply that the risks associated with partnerships in the mobile industry were high owing to the competition for subscribers.

7.2.2 Descriptive Findings for Performance of Mobile Industry

Table 2: Performance of the Mobile Industry

	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Dev
How would you rate the increase in market share among mobile operators in the telecommunication industry in the last 5 years?	2	4	2.53	.629
How significant were (political, technological and economic factors) to performance of mobile industry?	1	4	1.70	.837
Has management of mobile firms have contributed to the changes in market share in the telecommunication industry in the last 5 years?	1	2	1.03	.183

According to the results in 1, the increase in market share among mobile operators in the telecommunication industry in the last 5 years was average (mean = 2.53, SD = 0.629) and could be attributed to political, economic and technological factors that have led to changes in the telecommunication industry which were rated as significant (mean = 1.70, SD = 0.837). The management of the firms also contributed to their performance (mean = 1.03, SD = 0.183) especially through aggressive marketing policies.

7.2.3 Correlational Analytical Findings

In this subsection the findings of the correlation analysis are presented. The findings illustrate the degree of association between the independent and dependent variables. These results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Correlation between Risks associated with Strategic Partnership and Performance of Mobile Industry

		Performance of Mobile Industry
Risks associated with strategic partnerships	Pearson Correlation	.752*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	30

**Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

The correlation analysis indicated that, there exists a significant relationship between risks associated with strategic partnerships and the performance of the mobile industry shows that a relationship exists (r = 0.752, p < 0.05). The Pearson's product moment coefficient of correlation r = 0.752 is very high and suggests that a very strong positive relationship exists between the two variables. These findings imply that navigating the risks associated

with strategic partnerships could significantly improve the performance of the mobile service industry

8. Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations

This section provides a detailed summary of the major study findings. It then draws conclusions and discusses implications emanating from the findings. Finally, it makes some recommendations pertinent to the study objectives.

8.1 Summary

Challenges most of the partnerships were having were poor implementation of the terms of associations probably resulting from poor formulation of the terms of engagement and mistrust. These could be attributed to the presence of incompatible goals and competing interests. These findings generally imply that the risks associated with partnerships in the mobile industry were high owing to the competition for subscribers. In addition the change in performance due to risks in strategic partnerships in the telecommunication industry within the last 5 years was rated as significant. The partnerships stretched between the mobile service providers and the banks due to money transfers and also other institutions. The performance in the mobile industry based on the existing strategic partnerships in the last 5 years was good.

8.2 Conclusions

Risk tolerance of the industry players when considering forming strategic partnerships was largely influencing performance of mobile industry. This is indicated by the significant change in performance due to risks as well as good performance in the industry based on the existing strategic partnership. This can also be seen from the strong positive relationship between risks associated with strategic partnerships and performance of the mobile industry.

8.3 Recommendations

There is need for the industry players to manage risks that arise from strategic partnership. They also need to carefully evaluate the risks associated with their joint ventures and also ensure seamless transfer of services to the advantage of the subscribers.

References

- [1] Adams, P. R. (2001). Making Strategic Alliances Work in the Healthcare Industry. *International Journal of Medical Marketing*, 1(3), 252-265.
- [2] Baldi, S. & Thaug, H. P. P. (2002). The Entertainment Way to M-Commerce: Japan's Approach to the Mobile Internet – A Model for Europe? *Electronic Markets*, 12(1), 6-13.
- [3] Baloh, P., Jha, S. & Awazu, Y. (2008). Building Strategic Partnerships for Managing Innovation Outsourcing. *Strategic Outsourcing: An International Journal*, 1(2), 100-121.
- [4] Barnes, S. & Huff, S. L. (2003). Rising Sun: I-Mode and the Wireless Internet. *Communications of the ACM*, 46 (11), 78-84.
- [5] CAK (2014). *Sector Statistical Reports*. <http://www.ca.go.ke/images/downloads/STATISTICS/Sector%20Statistics%20Report%20Q3%2013-2014.pdf> Accessed on 29/9/2014
- [6] CCK (2014). *Sector Statistical Reports*. http://www.cck.go.ke/resc/downloads/Sector_statistics_for_Quarter_2_-_2012-2013.pdf. Accessed on 5/6/2014
- [7] Cooper, D & Schindler, P. (2011). *Business Research Methods* (11th Ed). Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- [8] Cubitt, S. (2014). Telecommunication Networks: Economy, Ecology, Rule. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 0(0), 1–15.
- [9] Das, T.K. & Teng, B. S. (2000). A Resource-Based Theory of Strategic Alliances. *Journal of Management*, 26(1), 31–61.
- [10] GSMA. (2008). *Mobile Infrastructure Sharing*. www.gsma.com/.../Mobile-Infrastructure-sharing.pdf Accessed on 25/7/2014
- [11] GSMA. (2007). *Taxation and the Growth of Mobile in East Africa*. <http://www.gsma.com/publicpolicy/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/taxationandthegrowthofmobileineastafrica2007.pdf>. Accessed on 3/6/2014
- [12] Hasbani, G, El-Darwiche, B, Chanab, L & Mourad, M., (2007). Telecom Infrastructure Sharing Regulatory Enablers and Economic Benefits. <http://www.strategyand.pwc.com/media/file/Telecom-Infrastructure-Sharing.pdf>. Accessed on 25/7/2014
- [13] Institute of Economic Affairs. (2002). *Telecommunications Policy in Transition: Mainstreaming Kenya into the Global Information Economy* (ISBN: 9966-9985-9-4). Nairobi: Institute of Economic Affairs.
- [14] International Monetary Fund. (2007). *Kenya: Poverty Reduction Strategy Annual Progress Report - 2003/2004*. http://books.google.co.ke/books?id=ws6syTuVWqsC&pg=PA27&dq=Growth+in+the+telecommunication+industry+in+kenya&hl=en&sa=X&ei=QX2HU_amFsjsATF8oLoAg&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Growth%20in%20the%20telecommunication%20industry%20in%20kenya&f=false Accessed on 4/6/2014
- [15] Islam.Z.M., Low. P. K.C., & Hasan.I. (2013). Intention to Use Advanced Mobile (AMPS). *Management Decision*, 51(4), 824-838.
- [16] Jamali, D. (2004). A Public-Private Partnership in the Lebanese Telecommunications Industry: Critical Success Factors and Policy Lessons. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 9(2), 103-119.
- [17] Jaureguierry, F. (2000). Mobile Telecommunications and the Management of Time. *Social Science Information*, 39(2), 255-268.
- [18] Kothari,C.R. (2004). *Research Methodology; Methods and Techniques* (2nd Ed).New Delhi: New Age International (P) Limited.
- [19] Mafe', C.R., Blas, S.S. & Tavera-Mesías, J.F. (2010). A Comparative Study of Mobile Messaging Services Acceptance to Participate in Television Programmes. *Journal of Service Management*, 51(1), 69-102.
- [20] Mahmoud, M. A. & Hinson, R. E. (2012). Market Orientation, Innovation and Corporate Social Responsibility Practices in Ghana's

Telecommunication Sector. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 8(3), 327-346.

- [21] Mockler, R.J., Dologite, D. G., & Gartenfeld, M. E. (2002). The Relation of Partner Entrepreneurial Characteristics to Strategic Alliance Success: A Comparative US-China Study. *Global Business Review*, 3(1), 1-24.
- [22] Ndung'u, G. (2011). Yu Losing Subscribers at an Alarming Rate. <http://www.kenyanmagazines.com/yu-india-essar-group-business-struggling-in-kenya/> Accessed on 4/06/2014
- [23] Polak, L. F. & Kleiner, B. H. (2001). Managing for Excellence in the Telecommunication Industry. *Management Research News*, 24(3/4), 29-32.
- [24] Porter, M. (2008). *Competitive Strategy; Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors*. New York: Free press.
- [25] Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students* (5thEd). England: Pearson Education.
- [26] Thompson, A. A. Jr., Strickland, A.J. III., & Gamble J.E. (2008). *Crafting and Executing Strategy-Concepts and Cases* (16th Ed). Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill.
- [27] Williamson, O. E. (1985). *The Economic Institutions of Capitalism*. New York: Free Press.
- [28] World Bank. (2013). Kenya Economic Update, 8th Ed. <http://www.worldbank.org/content/dam/Worldbank/document/Africa/Kenya/kenya-economic-update-june-2013.pdf>. Accessed on 03/09/2014
- [29] Zeng, M. & Cheng, X. (2003). Achieving Cooperation in Multiparty Alliances: A Social Dilemma Approach to Partnership Management. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(4), 587-605.

Author Profile



Wachira Grace, W is an MBA (Strategic Management Option) finalist at Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya. She has a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Economics and Sociology. She has more than 4 years experience in the telecommunication sector. Currently, she is the

Nakuru Territory Manager (Essar Telcom Kenya Limited).