

Table 3: Users' Experience with e-Journal Features [Hyperlinks from One Article to a Cited Article in a Different Journal at No Charge (Toll Free Linking)]

SN	Organizations	(4) Hyperlinks from one article to a cited article in a different journal at no charge (toll free linking)					Organization Wise, Total No. of Respondents	% of Total Sample
		(I) I have used it and found it.....		(II) I have not used it, and I.....				
		0 – Not useful	1 – Useful	2 – Would like to try it	1 – No plan to use it	0 – Would not like to try it		
1	ADA	6 (10.3)	25 (43.1)	24 (41.4)	1 (1.7)	2 (3.4)	58	9.9
2	AFTC	5 (33.3)	3 (20.0)	5 (33.3)	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	15	2.6
3	ADE	0 (0.0)	6 (50.0)	6 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12	2.1
4	ASTE	2 (6.9)	8 (27.6)	17 (58.6)	0 (0.0)	2 (6.9)	29	5.0
5	CABS	0 (0.0)	4 (28.6)	8 (57.1)	1 (7.1)	1 (7.1)	14	2.4
6	CEMILAC	5 (17.2)	10 (34.5)	11 (37.9)	2 (6.9)	1 (3.4)	29	5.0
7	C-MMACS	0 (0.0)	5 (83.3)	1 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6	1.0
8	DARE	1 (11.1)	5 (55.6)	2 (22.2)	1 (11.1)	0 (0.0)	9	1.5
9	LRDE	0 (0.0)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2	0.3
10	GTRE	2 (9.5)	12 (57.1)	7 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	21	3.6
11	HAL	15 (11.2)	37 (27.6)	62 (46.3)	15 (11.2)	5 (3.7)	134	23.0
12	IAM	5 (15.2)	10 (30.3)	15 (45.5)	2 (6.1)	1 (3.0)	33	5.7
13	ISRO-ISTRAC	1 (4.5)	8 (36.4)	10 (45.5)	1 (4.5)	2 (9.1)	22	3.8
14	IISc	2 (5.9)	19 (55.9)	10 (29.4)	2 (5.9)	1 (2.9)	34	5.8
15	JNCASR	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1	0.2
16	NAL	11 (6.7)	69 (42.1)	70 (42.7)	7 (4.3)	7 (4.3)	164	28.1
Total for all Organizations		55	224	248	34	22	583	100.0
Percent for all Organizations		(9.4)	(38.4)	(42.5)	(5.8)	(3.8)	(100.0)	
Chi-Square		67.726						
P Value		0.230						

(Numbers in Brackets indicate Percentages)

Table 3: Users' Experience With e-Journal Features [Hyperlinks from an Article to a Scientific Database]

SN	Organizations	(5) Hyperlinks from an article to a scientific database					Organization Wise, Total No. of Respondents	% of Total Sample
		(I) I have used it and found it.....		(II) I have not used it, and I.....				
		0 – Not useful	1 – Useful	2 – Would like to try it	1 – No plan to use it	0 – Would not like to try it		
1	ADA	1 (1.7)	30 (51.7)	24 (41.4)	2 (3.4)	1 (1.7)	58	9.9
2	AFTC	6 (40.0)	3 (20.0)	4 (26.7)	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	15	2.6
3	ADE	1 (8.3)	4 (33.3)	7 (58.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12	2.1

4	ASTE	1 (3.4)	7 (24.1)	19 (65.5)	1 (3.4)	1 (3.4)	29	5.0	
5	CABS	0 (0.0)	3 (21.4)	8 (57.1)	2 (14.3)	1 (7.1)	14	2.4	
6	CEMILAC	6 (20.7)	6 (20.7)	12 (41.4)	2 (6.9)	3 (10.3)	29	5.0	
7	C-MMACS	0 (0.0)	5 (83.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (16.7)	6	1.0	
8	DARE	1 (11.1)	4 (44.4)	3 (33.3)	1 (11.1)	0 (0.0)	9	1.5	
9	LRDE	0 (0.0)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2	0.3	
10	GTRE	2 (9.5)	11 (52.4)	7 (33.3)	1 (4.8)	0 (0.0)	21	3.6	
11	HAL	15 (11.2)	42 (31.3)	56 (41.8)	14 (10.4)	7 (5.2)	134	23.0	
12	IAM	7 (21.2)	9 (27.3)	15 (45.5)	1 (3.0)	1 (3.0)	33	5.7	
13	ISRO-ISTRAC	0 (0.0)	11 (50.0)	9 (40.9)	2 (9.1)	0 (0.0)	22	3.8	
14	IISc	3 (8.8)	18 (52.0)	10 (29.4)	2 (5.9)	1 (2.9)	34	5.8	
15	JNCASR	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1	0.2	
16	NAL	12 (7.3)	61 (37.2)	75 (45.7)	10 (6.1)	6 (3.7)	164	28.1	
Total for all Organizations		55	217	249	40	22	583	100.0	
Percent for all Organizations		(9.4)	(37.2)	(42.7)	(6.9)	(3.8)	(100.0)		
Chi-Square		88.222							
P Value		0.010							

(Numbers in Brackets indicate Percentages)

Table 3: Users' Experience With e-Journal Features [Hyperlinks from an Article to an Author's E-Mail Address]

SN	Organizations	(6) Hyperlinks from an article to an author's e-mail address					Organization Wise, Total No. of Respondents	% of Total Sample
		(I) I have used it and found it.....		(II) I have not used it, and I.....				
		0 – Not useful	1 – Useful	2 – Would like to try it	1 – No plan to use it	0 – Would not like to try it		
1	ADA	6 (10.3)	18 (31.0)	30 (51.7)	2 (3.4)	2 (3.4)	58	9.9
2	AFTC	3 (20.0)	5 (33.3)	4 (26.7)	3 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	15	2.6
3	ADE	1 (8.3)	4 (33.3)	7 (58.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12	2.1
4	ASTE	3 (10.3)	5 (17.2)	17 (58.6)	2 (6.9)	2 (6.9)	29	5.0
5	CABS	0 (0.0)	2 (14.3)	8 (57.1)	2 (14.3)	2 (14.3)	14	2.4
6	CEMILAC	10 (34.5)	7 (24.1)	11 (37.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.4)	29	5.0
7	C-MMACS	2 (33.3)	3 (50.0)	1 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6	1.0
8	DARE	1 (11.1)	6 (66.7)	2 (22.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	9	1.5
9	LRDE	0 (0.0)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2	0.3
10	GTRE	3	10	7	1	0	21	3.6

SN	Organizations	(6) Hyperlinks from an article to an author's e-mail address					Organization Wise, Total No. of Respondents	% of Total Sample
		(I) I have used it and found it.....		(II) I have not used it, and I.....				
		0 – Not useful	1 – Useful	2 – Would like to try it	1 – No plan to use it	0 – Would not like to try it		
		(14.3)	(47.6)	(33.3)	(4.8)	(0.0)		
11	HAL	18	33	60	17	6	134	23.0
		(13.4)	(24.6)	(44.8)	(12.7)	(4.5)		
12	IAM	7	6	15	4	1	33	5.7
		(21.2)	(18.2)	(45.5)	(12.1)	(3.0)		
13	ISRO-ISTRAC	1	10	9	2	0	22	3.8
		(4.5)	(45.5)	(40.9)	(9.1)	(0.0)		
14	IISc	4	9	15	3	3	34	5.8
		(11.8)	(26.5)	(44.1)	(8.8)	(8.8)		
15	JNCASR	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.2
		(0.0)	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)		
16	NAL	15	52	76	13	8	164	28.1
		(9.1)	(31.7)	(46.3)	(7.9)	(4.9)		
Total for all Organizations		74	173	262	49	25	583	100.0
Percent for all Organizations		(12.7)	(29.7)	(44.9)	(8.4)	(4.3)	(100.0)	
Chi-Square		72.693						
P Value		0.126						

(Numbers in Brackets indicate Percentages)

Table 3: Users' Experience With e-Journal Features [Hyperlinks from an Article to an Author's Website]

SN	Organizations	(7) Hyperlinks from an article to an author's website					Organization Wise, Total No. of Respondents	% of Total Sample
		(I) I have used it and found it.....		(II) I have not used it, and I.....				
		0 – Not useful	1 – Useful	2 – Would like to try it	1 – No plan to use it	0 – Would not like to try it		
1	ADA	6	12	32	7	1	58	9.9
		(10.3)	(20.7)	(55.2)	(12.1)	(1.7)		
2	AFTC	6	2	4	3	0	15	2.6
		(40.0)	(13.3)	(26.7)	(20.0)	(0.0)		
3	ADE	1	5	6	0	0	12	2.1
		(8.3)	(41.7)	(50.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)		
4	ASTE	5	3	16	1	4	29	5.0
		(17.2)	(10.3)	(55.2)	(3.4)	(13.8)		
5	CABS	0	2	8	0	4	14	2.4
		(0.0)	(14.3)	(57.1)	(0.0)	(28.6)		
6	CEMILAC	7	6	12	3	1	29	5.0
		(24.1)	(20.7)	(41.4)	(10.3)	(3.4)		
7	C-MMACS	1	4	1	0	0	6	1.0
		(16.7)	(66.7)	(16.7)	(0.0)	(0.0)		
8	DARE	1	7	1	0	0	9	1.5
		(11.1)	(77.8)	(11.1)	(0.0)	(0.0)		
9	LRDE	0	2	0	0	0	2	0.3
		(0.0)	(100.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)		
10	GTRE	6	5	7	3	0	21	3.6
		(28.6)	(23.8)	(33.3)	(14.3)	(0.0)		
11	HAL	24	25	58	16	11	134	23.0
		(17.9)	(18.7)	(43.3)	(11.9)	(8.2)		
12	IAM	6	6	18	2	1	33	5.7
		(18.2)	(18.2)	(54.5)	(6.1)	(3.0)		
13	ISRO-ISTRAC	3	8	5	4	2	22	3.8
		(13.6)	(36.4)	(22.7)	(18.2)	(9.1)		

14	IISc	3 (8.8)	11 (32.4)	13 (38.2)	4 (11.8)	3 (8.8)	34	5.8	
15	JNCASR	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1	0.2	
16	NAL	23 (14.0)	55 (33.5)	64 (39.0)	14 (8.5)	8 (4.9)	164	28.1	
Total for all Organizations		92	154	245	57	35	583	100.0	
Percent for all Organizations		15.8	(26.4)	(42.0)	(9.8)	(6.0)	(100.0)		
Chi-Square		97.434							
P Value		0.002							

(Numbers in Brackets indicate Percentages)

Table3: Users' Experience With e-Journal Features [Pay-Per View]

SN	Organizations	(8) Pay-per-view					Organization Wise, Total No. of Respondents	% of Total Sample	
		(I) I have used it and found it.....		(II) I have not used it, and I.....					
		0 – Not useful	1 – Useful	2 – Would like to try it	1 – No plan to use it	0 – Would not like to try it			
1	ADA	11 (19.0)	5 (8.6)	27 (46.6)	11 (19.0)	4 (6.9)	58	9.9	
2	AFTC	7 (46.7)	1 (6.7)	5 (33.3)	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	15	2.6	
3	ADE	1 (8.3)	3 (25.0)	6 (50.0)	2 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	12	2.1	
4	ASTE	2 (6.9)	3 (10.3)	17 (58.6)	4 (13.8)	3 (10.3)	29	5.0	
5	CABS	3 (21.4)	1 (7.1)	6 (42.9)	1 (7.1)	3 (21.4)	14	2.4	
6	CEMILAC	4 (13.8)	5 (17.2)	10 (34.5)	3 (10.3)	7 (24.1)	29	5.0	
7	C-MMACS	3 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	2 (33.3)	6	1.0	
8	DARE	4 (44.4)	3 (33.3)	2 (22.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	9	1.5	
9	LRDE	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2	0.3	
10	GTRE	6 (28.6)	2 (9.5)	11 (52.4)	1 (4.8)	1 (4.8)	21	3.6	
11	HAL	27 (20.1)	16 (11.9)	56 (41.8)	20 (14.9)	15 (11.2)	134	23.0	
12	IAM	9 (27.3)	5 (15.2)	13 (39.4)	1 (3.0)	5 (15.2)	33	5.7	
13	ISRO-ISTRAC	6 (27.3)	4 (18.2)	5 (22.7)	5 (22.7)	2 (9.1)	22	3.8	
14	IISc	6 (17.6)	10 (29.4)	3 (8.8)	6 (17.6)	9 (26.5)	34	5.8	
15	JNCASR	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1	0.2	
16	NAL	36 (22.0)	21 (12.8)	66 (40.2)	17 (10.4)	24 (14.6)	164	28.1	
Total		127	79	229	73	75	583	100.0	
Percent		(21.8)	(13.6)	(39.3)	(12.5)	(12.9)	(100.0)		
Chi-Square		81.812							
P Value		0.032							

(Numbers in Brackets indicate Percentages)

Table 3: Users' Experience With e-Journal Features [Electronic Manuscript Subscription]

SN	Organizations	(9) Electronic manuscript subscription					Organization Wise, Total No. of Respondents	% of Total Sample
		(I) I have used it and found it.....		(II) I have not used it, and I.....				
		0 – Not useful	1 – Useful	2 – Would like to try it	1 – No plan to use it	0 – Would not like to try it		
1	ADA	10 (17.2)	8 (13.8)	32 (55.2)	6 (10.3)	2 (3.4)	58	9.9
2	AFTC	5 (33.3)	2 (13.3)	6 (40.0)	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	15	2.6
3	ADE	0 (0.0)	4 (33.3)	5 (41.7)	2 (16.7)	1 (8.3)	12	2.1
4	ASTE	2 (6.9)	2 (6.9)	19 (65.5)	3 (10.3)	3 (10.3)	29	5.0
5	CABS	3 (21.4)	2 (14.3)	7 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (14.3)	14	2.4
6	CEMILAC	6 (20.7)	7 (24.1)	11 (37.9)	2 (6.9)	3 (10.3)	29	5.0
7	C-MMACS	1 (16.7)	5 (83.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6	1.0
8	DARE	2 (22.2)	5 (55.6)	2 (22.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	9	1.5
9	LRDE	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2	0.3
10	GTRE	3 (14.3)	6 (28.6)	11 (52.4)	1 (4.8)	0 (0.0)	21	3.6
11	HAL	26 (19.4)	17 (12.7)	55 (41.0)	21 (15.7)	15 (11.2)	134	23.0
12	IAM	6 (18.2)	8 (24.2)	15 (45.5)	2 (6.1)	2 (6.1)	33	5.7
13	SRO-ISTRAC	4 (18.2)	7 (31.8)	5 (22.7)	5 (22.7)	1 (4.5)	22	3.8
14	IISc	3 (8.8)	12 (35.3)	9 (26.5)	6 (17.6)	4 (11.8)	34	5.8
15	JNCASR	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1	0.2
16	NAL	25 (15.2)	58 (35.4)	58 (35.4)	11 (6.7)	12 (7.3)	164	28.1
	Total	96	145	236	61	45	583	
	Percent	(16.5)	(24.9)	(40.5)	(10.5)	(7.7)		100.0
	Chi-Square	92.842						
	P Value	0.004						

(Numbers in Brackets indicate Percentages)