

# A Survey on Discrimination Prevention in Data Mining

Vrushali Atulrao Mane<sup>1</sup>, Prof. A. R. Deshpande<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pune University, Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Dhankawadi, 411043, Pune, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Pune University, Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Dhankawadi, 411043, Pune, Maharashtra, India

**Abstract:** *Data mining is an essential engineering for concentrating valuable learning covered up in vast accumulations of information. Protection is a fundamental issue in Data mining. Consequently, privacy preserving data mining has been acquainted with exchange of the utility of the information for ensuring individual security. Alongside discrimination is an extremely essential issue when considering the legitimate and moral parts of data mining, where people are treated on the basis of their background for grouping principle mining have secured the best approach to settling on mechanized choices, in the same way as credit allowing, protection premium reckoning and so many. For this basic, anti-discrimination strategies including discrimination disclosure and prevention have been proposed in data mining. To take care of such issues there are a few calculations exhibited by different creators around the world. The essential objective of this overview paper is to include the current techniques of discovering prevention procedures and to accomplish effectiveness.*

**Keywords:** Data Mining, Discrimination, Anti-discrimination, Privacy Preserving, CPAR algorithm

## 1. Introduction

Data mining and learning revelation in databases are two new research areas that examine the programmed extraction of hidden patterns, rules from huge data. Data mining systems are utilized as a part of business and exploration furthermore are getting to be more well-known with time for getting patterns, decisions etc. Data mining includes the extraction of valuable and verifiable information from substantial databases. Data mining is an extremely useful for extracting knowledge from hidden information. [9]

Discrimination is the treatment biased on person's background or class. Treating people partially on their basis of gender, marital status, age disabilities etc. While mining its found that discrimination has two types like direct and indirect discrimination for this some attributes are considered direct and some indirect Ex. Gender, age, income, marital stats etc. are in direct discrimination and zip code, address etc. are belonged to indirect discrimination. [8][10].

Discrimination might be seen as the demonstration of illicitly treating individuals on the premise of their having particular category. For example, people may be separated in view of their race, belief system, sex, living area etc. especially when those properties are utilized for settling on choices about them like providing for them a credit, protection, account, and so on. Finding such potential inclinations and dispensing with them from the preparation information without hurting their choice making utility is thusly exceptionally attractive.

To avoid this there are antidiscrimination acts, laws, intended to forestall separation on the premise of a number of qualities e.g., age, marital status, nationality. Alongside protection, discrimination is an exceptionally imperative issue at the point when considering the legitimate and moral parts of data mining. For this premise, Antidiscrimination

procedures including discrimination disclosure and prevention have been proposed in data mining.

## 2. Related Work

Discrimination might be either direct or indirect (likewise called systematic). Direct discrimination comprises of set of laws (rules) or procedures (events) that expressly say minority or denied gatherings focused around touchy discriminable attributes identified with gathering enrolment. Indirect discrimination comprises of set of laws (principles) or strategies that, while not unmistakably saying prejudicial traits, deliberately or not deliberately could produce prejudicial choices. Redlining by budgetary foundations (Declining to allow home loans or protections in urban ranges they consider as weakening) is a prototype illustration of segregation, in spite of the fact that most likely not alone. With a slight disregard of dialect for the purpose of packing, in this paper indirect discrimination will likewise be suggested to as redlining and principles bringing on indirect discrimination will be called redlining rules [6].

Indirect discrimination could happen in light of the accessibility of some foundation learning [9], in light of the presence of non-discriminatory properties that are exceedingly associated with the delicate ones in the first information set. The principle of this paper is to give the best result for evacuating immediate direct and/or indirect discrimination inclinations in the first information set while saving information quality. Regardless of the wide sending of data frameworks based on information mining innovation in choice making, the issue of antidiscrimination in information mining did not get much consideration until 2008 [1]. A few suggestions are arranged to the revelation and measure of separation. Others manage the prevention of discrimination.

The disclosure of biased choices was first proposed by Pedreschi et al. [1] [10]. The methodology is taking into account mining grouping controls (the inductive part) and thinking on them (the deductive part) on the premise of quantitative measures of segregation that formalize legitimate meanings of discrimination. Current discrimination systems consider each rule exclusively for measuring discrimination without considering different rules or the connection between them. Discrimination prevention, the other real antidiscrimination point in information mining, comprises of provoke examples that don't prompt biased choices regardless of the fact that the first preparing information sets are inclined. Three promising approaches are there mentioned follows for handling discrimination in data mining [4] [9]:

### 2.1 Pre-processing

Changing the source information in such a way that the oppressive inclinations contained in the unique information is uprooted so that no uncalled for choice principle could be mined from the changed information and apply any of the standard data mining algorithms. The pre-processing methodologies of information change what's more chain of command based generalization might be adjusted from the privacy preserving related work. Along this line, [4] [6] perform a controlled twisting of the preparation information from which a classifier is adapted by making insignificantly meddlesome alterations prompting a fair data set.

### 2.2 In-Processing

Here the direct change in the data mining algorithms in such a way, to the point that the ensuing models don't contain unreasonable decision rules. Case in point, an option methodology to cleaning the discrimination from the unique information set is proposed in [11] whereby the non-discriminatory requirement is implanted into a decision tree learner by transforming its part basis and pruning technique through a novel leaf relabeling methodology.

### 2.3 Post-Processing

Alter the ensuing information mining models, as opposed to cleaning the first information set or changing the data mining algorithms. Case in point, in [2], a confidence-altering methodology is proposed for order principles gathered by the CPAR algorithm.

Although, a few strategies have as of now been proposed for each of the aforementioned methodologies (pre-processing, in-handling, post-processing), separation aversion stays to a great extent unexplored exploration parkway. In this paper, we focus on survey of discrimination prevention in data mining.

## 3. Comparative Analysis

Here comparative study to understand how different methods affects the discrimination in mining. New different researches go under for finding reliable result with no discrimination present in databases without data loss.

**Table 1:** Different Mining Techniques for Discrimination with Advantages and Limitations

<i>Paper References</i>	<i>Methods /Techniques used</i>	<i>Advantages</i>	<i>Limitations</i>
"Classification with no Discrimination by Preferential Sampling", 2010 [4]	- it's for less intrusive technique to get unbiased data without changing class labels as efficient as result of massaging and reweighing by sampling algorithm.	- introduced the idea of Classification with No Discrimination (CND).	- did not consider such as do not proposing discrimination model which is used in many cases.
"EU Directive 2006/54/EC on Anti-Discrimination" in 2006[3]	- Social information case discrimination and for that antidiscrimination study.	- Presented the issue of discrimination in social sense viz. against minorities and disadvantaged groups.	- Not focused on the rule based framework process for direct and indirect discrimination.
"Discrimination Aware Decision Tree Learning", in 2010[5]	- planting decision tree with relabeling with KNAPSACK algorithm for non-discriminatory attributes	- proposed new technique of discrimination aware model for decision making in data mining. - introduced classification model which works impartially for future data.	- don't deem other classification models for discrimination-free classification. - do not incorporate numerical attributes and groups of sensitive attributes.
"Fast Algorithms for Mining Association Rules in Large Databases", in 1994 [7]	- Apriori, AprioriTid are designed - comparison between AIS and SETM algorithms with Apriori, AprioriTid	- Association rule method for the large database. - get large item sets to work.	- Performance gap is increases with the problem size increasing.
"Rule Protection for Indirect Discrimination Prevention in Data Mining", in 2011 [8]	- study to find combinations of attributes to get indirect discrimination with preprocessing with algorithm- 1,2 [10] - Prevention degree and protection preservation (DPD and DPP) used for results	- Antidiscrimination in the context of cyber security. - proposed data transformation method for indiscrimination prevention and considered several discriminatory attributes and their combinations.	- does not run method on real dataset. - don't consider background knowledge.

“Three Naive Bayes Approaches for Discrimination-Free Classification”, in 2010 [11]	- Naive Bayes approach with 3 methods (1)change probability like no discrimination in predictions (2) balance models with $S = 0/1$ (3) true class with no discrimination by Latent variable.	- presented a modified Naive Bayes classification approach for taking decisions easily.	- doesn't consider numerical attributes viz. Income as a sensitive attribute.
---	---	---	---

#### 4. Conclusion

Alongside privacy, discrimination is an extremely imperative issue when considering the lawful and moral parts of data mining. It is more than evident that most individuals don't need to be separated in light of their sex, religion, nationality, income, age particularly when those characteristics are utilized for settling on choices about them like providing for them an occupation, advance, protection, and so on. In this paper, we have completed a wide overview of the distinctive methodologies for discrimination prevention for data mining.

Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, vol.21 No.2, pp.227-292, 2010F.

#### Author Profile

**Vrushali Attrao Mane** received the B.E. degree in Computer Science and Engineering from Walchand Institute of Technology in 2013. Now doing PG in Computer Engineering, from Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Dhankawadi, Pune, Maharashtra, India

**Prof. A. R. Deshpande** is working as Professor at Pune Institute of Computer Technology, Pune, Maharashtra, India

#### References

- [1] D. Pedreschi, S.Ruggieri, F. Turini, “Discrimination-Aware Data Mining”, Proc. 14th ACM Int'l Conf. Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining , pp. 560-568, 2008.
- [2] D. Pedreschi, S. Ruggieri, and F. Turini, “Measuring Discrimination in Socially-Sensitive Decision Records”, Proc. Ninth SIAM Data Mining Conf. (SDM '09), pp. 581-592, 2009.
- [3] European Commission, “EU Directive2006/54/EC on Anti-Discrimination”, <http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:204:0023:0036:en:PDF>, 2006.
- [4] F. Kamiran and T. Calders, “Classification with no Discrimination by Preferential Sampling”, Proc. 19th Machine Learning Conf. Belgium and The Netherlands, 2010.
- [5] F. Kamiran, T. Calders, and M. Pechenizkiy, “Discrimination Aware Decision Tree Learning,” Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Data Mining (ICDM '10), pp. 869-874, 2010.
- [6] Kamiran and T. Calders, “Classification without Discrimination”, Proc. IEEE Second Int'l Conf. Computer, Control and Comm. (IC4 '09), 2009.
- [7] R. Agrawal and R. Srikant, “Fast Algorithms for Mining Association Rules in Large Databases”, Proc 20<sup>th</sup> Int'l Conf. Very Large Databases, pp.487-499, 1994.
- [8] S. Hajian, J. Domingo-Ferrer, and A. Martinez-Balleste, “Rule Protection for Indirect Discrimination Prevention in Data Mining,” Proc. Eighth Int'l Conf. Modeling Decisions for Artificial Intelligence (MDAI '11), pp. 211-222, 2011.
- [9] Sara Hajian and Josep Domingo-Ferrer, “A Methodology for Direct and Indirect Discrimination Prevention in Data Mining”, IEEE Transaction on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Vol.25, No 7, July 2013.
- [10] S. Ruggieri, D. Pedreschi and F. Turini, “Data Mining for Discrimination Discovery”, ACM Trans. Knowledge Discovery from Data, vol. 4, no. 2, article 9, 2010.
- [11] T. Calders and S. Verwer, “Tree Naïve Bayes Approaches for Discrimination Free Classification”,