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Abstract: Palmaris Longus Muscle is one of the most variable muscles in the human body (Brones & Wilgis, 1978) in the concern of presence and absence. Palmaris longus (PL) muscle, although of little functional use to the human upper limb, assumes great importance when used as a donor tendon for transfer or transplantor grafting. It is the most desirable tendons in reconstructive surgery. In the present study, 500 Medical students (210 males and 290 females) of different ages from Teerthankar Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, Moradabad were examined for the presence or absence of the PL tendon, using the conventional four tests following Schaeffer’s test, Thompson’s test, Mishra’s test, Pushpakumakar’s two finger sign method. PL agenesis was further analyzed statistically for differences in the prevalence and agenesis of PL with regard to sex and body side. It was observed the 290 females, the palmaris longus muscle is present in 72.06% (n=209) of the total population. Bilateral absence of the muscle is found in 18.96% (n=55) of the sample. Unilateral absence on the left side was found in 5.86% (n=17) of the cases and on the right side in 3.10% (n=9) of the cases. In 210 males, 97.61% (n=205) had the palmaris longus muscle on both the left and the right sides. In the sample population, 0.47% (n=1) had bilateral absence of palmaris longus. The muscle was absent on the left side in 0.95% (n=2) of the cases and on the right side in 0.95% (n=2) of the cases.
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1. Introduction

The palmaris longus muscle has been well studied following the first report of its absence in 1559 by Colombos in De Re Anatomica Libri (Schaeffer, 1909; Thompson et al., 2001). It is one of the most variable muscles in the human body (Brones & Wilgis, 1978). It varies in the incidence of its absence, form, attachment, duplication and its ability of having accessory slips and substitute structures (Reimann et al., 1944). The palmaris longus muscle is a slender, fusiform shaped muscle arising from the common flexor origin of the medial epicondyle of the humerus, passing between the flexor carpi radialis and flexor carpi ulnaris muscles, it ends as a slender, flattened tendon passing superficially over the transverse carpal ligament and inserting into the palmar aponeurosis (Roohi et al., 2007). The action of the palmaris longus muscle is weakly flex the wrist and tense the palmar aponeurosis, synergized by flexor carpi radialis, flexor carpi ulnaris and flexor digitorum superficialis muscles. It is supplied by the median nerve. The muscle belly of palmaris longus is supplied by a small branch from anterior ulnar recurrent artery.

2. Material & Methods

To determine the prevalence of the palmaris longus muscle, 500 Medical students are randomly selected from various age groups at Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, Moradabad. There are four tests performed with every subjects for examining the presence or absence of palmaris longus muscle. The name of four tests are following, Schaeffer’s test, Thompson’s test, Mishra’s first test, Pushpakumakar’s two finger sign method. The Schaeffer’s test is the standard test for determining the presence or absence of palmaris longus muscle and rest three tests are supportive tests.

Schaeffer’s Test: Schaeffer’s test is used in order to visualize or palpate the palmaris longus tendon. Participants are asked to oppose their thumb and little finger with slight flexion of the wrist. If the palmaris longus tendon is present, it would be visible at the distal aspect of the forearm (see figure 1).

Thompson’s Test: It involves flexion of the fingers to form a fist followed by flexion of the wrist and finally the thumb is opposed and flexed over the fingers (see figure 2).
Mishra’s Test: It involves passive hyperxtension of the metacarpophalangeal joints followed by resisted active flexion at the wrist (see figure 3).

Pushpakumakar’s test: It involves extension of the index and middle finger with flexion of the other fingers and the wrist followed by opposition and flexion of the thumb (see figure 4).

3. Statistical Analysis

The result of observation will be statistically calculated with the help of following formula.

Formula:-
Mean= ∑X/n
∑X = Sum of observations
n = total no. of observations

4. Result

In the sample of 210 males, 97.61% (n=205) had the palmaris longus muscle on both the left and the right sides. In the sample population, 0.47% (n=1) had bilateral absence of palmaris longus. The muscle was absent on the left side in 0.95% (n=2) of the cases and on the right side in 0.95% (n=2) of the cases.

For the 290 females, the palmaris longus muscle is present in 72.06% (n=209) of the total population. Bilateral absence of the muscle is found in 18.96% (n=55) of the sample. Unilateral absence on the left side was found in 5.86% (n=17) of the cases and on the right side in 3.10% (n=9) of the cases.

The prevalence of the palmaris longus muscle is summarized in table.

Table No. 1 showing the the prevalence and agenesis of PL with regard to sex and body side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>210 (41.92%)</td>
<td>290 (58.07%)</td>
<td>500 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent in Both Hand</td>
<td>1 (0.47%)</td>
<td>55 (18.96%)</td>
<td>56 (11.20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent in Left Hand</td>
<td>2 (0.95%)</td>
<td>17 (5.87%)</td>
<td>19 (3.80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent in Right</td>
<td>2 (0.95%)</td>
<td>9 (3.10%)</td>
<td>11 (2.20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present in Both Hand</td>
<td>205 (97.61%)</td>
<td>209 (72.06%)</td>
<td>414 (82.80%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

A bilateral absence of the palmaris longus was noted in 8.3% of cases, 3.6% was absent in the left arm only and 4.7% in the right arm only (Reumann et al., 1944). A bilateral absence of 0.6% was recorded for a Zimbabwean population (Gangata, 2009) compared to the results obtained from a study done on an Amazon Indian population, which revealed a bilateral absence of 2.6% of this muscle (Machado et al., 1967). Sebastin and co-workers (2005) reported on a study done on subjects of Asian descent and found a bilateral absence of 2%. Unilateral absence was found in 2.9% of the cases in the left arm and 1.2% in the right arm.

Schaffer’s et al (1909) studied Caucasian subjects and reported a bilateral absence of 8.7% of the palmaris longus muscle. Unilateral absence of this muscle was noted in 6.7% of the left arm and 9.7% of the right arm. Webbe and Mawr (1992) reported a bilateral absence of 5% in a sample made up of mainly Caucasian subjects. Another study done on
Caucasian subjects reported a bilateral absence of 9.7% of the palmaris longus muscle. This muscle was absent in the right arm only (2.2%) (Vanderhooft, 1996).

Kapoor and co-workers (2008) studied the palmaris longus muscle in an Indian population and found a bilateral absence of 17.2%; unilateral absence consisted of 6.2% on the left side and 3% on the right side. The author noted that the method used was not entirely reliable and therefore a weak tendon could be mistaken for an absent tendon. The findings from the above studies are summarized in Table 2.

Since the palmaris longus is an expendable muscle, its absence will not affect the function of the wrist significantly (Roohi et al., 2007). However, the congenital absence of this muscle can be seen as a disadvantage when the use of this muscle is indicated for use in reconstructive surgery (White, 1960; Carlson et al., 1993). The prevalence of the palmaris longus muscle has been shown to differ between various population groups (Roohi et al., 2007).

Most of the literature only gives the bilateral absence of the palmaris longus muscle in a percentage value: 0.6% (Gangata, 2009), 2.6% (Machado et al., 1967), 5% (Wehbé & Mawr, 1992), 13% (Sinnatamby, 1999), just to name a few. Other studies revealed the bilateral absence as well as the unilateral absence of the palmaris longus, whether it was on the left or right side. In such studies the bilateral absence varied from 2-18.75% (Vanderhooft, 1996; Thompson et al., 2002; Sebastin et al., 2005; Kapoor et al., 2008; Oluyemi et al., 2008). The absence of the palmaris longus muscle on the left side was reported to be 0-25%, and on the right side 1.2-25%, for the same studies.

If one should regard population variation and combine the results of all the above-mentioned studies, where a total of 4799 subjects were examined, the results show that on average the palmaris longus is present in 41.11% (bilaterally), absent in 7.23% (bilateral), absent on the left in 4.47% and on the right in 3.69% of people worldwide. Kapoor and co-workers (2008) supports this statement by stating that the palmaris longus muscle is not diminishing as fast in the Indian population as in other races.

6. Conclusion

In the present study the prevalence and agenesis of palmaris longus muscle, the females are more prone to Bilateral absence of the muscle (about 18.96%), Unilateral absence on the left side (about 5.86%) and on the right side in (about 3.10%) and present (about 72.06%) in the total population male. Thus this is the most significant anatomical variation in the human body.
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