International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

Serum Anti-Mullerian Hormone, Serum Follicle Stimulating Hormone and Serum Estradiol in the Prediction of Ovarian Reserve: A Comparative Study

Dr. Prabhleen Kaur¹, Dr. Manju Maheshwari²

Study conducted at: Infertility Clinic, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College & Hospital, Jaipur.

Abstract: Objective: Our study was undertaken to find if any correlation exists between levels of Anti-Mullerian (AMH), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and estradiol (E2), with the ovarian reserve. Methods: A Randomized, prospective study was conducted at Infertility Clinic, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur on 105 patients aged 25-40 years undergoing in-vitro fertilization (IVF)/ intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) cycle from from May-Dec2010. Serum levels of hormones were determined at day 3 and ovarian follicle response was assessed on day of administration of hCG (human chorionic gonadotropin). The results were analyzed using proportions, standard deviation and chi-square test. Results: Results revealed that Serum AMH was significantly correlated (r 0.302, p<0.001) with higher follicular response while FSH (r 0.0283, p>0.1) and E2 (r 0.999, p>0.1) were not found to have any such association with ovarian reserve in the study.

Keywords: AMH, FSH, estradiol, ovarian reserve, IVF.

1. Introduction

Infertility is defined as the inability of a couple to achieve conception after a year or more of regular, unprotected intercourse. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that approximately 8-10% of couples experience some form of infertility problems. Based on these estimates and on the current world population, 72.4 million women are currently infertile; of these, 40.5 million are currently seeking infertility medical care [1]. Good ovary reserve is necessary for successful conception. Assessment of ovarian reserve is routinely undertaken in all cases seeking assisted reproductive techniques (ART) with the aim to identify women with a high risk of producing a poor response to ovarian stimulation and a very low probability of becoming pregnant through in vitro fertilization (IVF), as well as those who still produce enough oocytes to have a good chance of becoming pregnant even if female age is advanced.

The biochemical markers indicative of ovarian reserve (OR) are serum levels of Anti- Müllerian Hormone (AMH), Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH) and estradiol (E2). Their levels are determined on the third day of the menstrual cycle. The determination of the quantity of the follicular pool would allow the prediction of women who may underrespond or over-respond to controlled ovarian hyper stimulation protocols in ART programs [3]. In clinical terms, all IVF programs have criteria for cancellation of IVF cycles that do not produce an adequate number of follicles to go through with egg retrieval procedure followed by IVF. Such patients, whose prevalence is estimated to be 5–20% of the artificial reproductive treatment population, have been

Paper ID: OCT14406

referred to as low responders and having diminished ovarian reserve.

Day 3 post menstruation level of FSH and serum E2 biomarkers are considered indirect measures of ovarian reserve, as they require stimulation from either a feedback inhibition or stimulation loop. As women and their follicles age, the amount of FSH secreted increases due to the lack of responsiveness of the ovary [4]. As day 3 FSH levels climb, it is indicative of a diminished ovarian reserve. Estradiol is a product of the granulosa cells and is indicative of follicular activity. An increased estradiol level early in the menstrual cycle suggests that follicular development is in advanced stage which is inappropriate for day 3 [4].

AMH is an example of direct measures of ovarian reserve as these hormones are produced during specific stages of follicular development, rather than by follicular stimulation. AMH is produced by the granulosa cells of pre-antral and small antral follicles. Follicular growth is modulated by AMH, which inhibits recruitment of follicles from the primordial pool by modifying the FSH sensitivity of those follicles [6]. AMH is considered to be reflective of the non-FSH dependent follicular growth. AMH is the only marker of ovarian reserve that can be tested in follicular as well as luteal phase [7].

From the chemical point of view AMH is a peptide homodimer of molecular weight 140 kDa, consisting of two identical glycoprotein subunits, connected by disulfide bridges. These receptors for AMH are trans-membrane heteromeric proteins, composed from two subunits, denoted Type I and II. As all the receptors for growth factors of the

¹Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, India

²Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, India

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

TGF beta family, they do not use G-proteins and possess intrinsic kinase activity. Type II (better subunit) binds specifically the ligand leading thus to activation of the Type I, the intracellular part of which acts as threonine kinase. Activation of the latter starts a signal cascade resulting in a respective biological response.

Serum AMH levels are in the literature usually given in mass units (ng/ml or pg/l), which are used also in this article, though according to a good laboratory practice, more accurate is usage of S.I. units (pmol/l). The respective converting factor is $[pmol/1] = 7.14 \times [ng/ml]$. As mentioned already, AMH levels in healthy women decline continually with age reaching nonmeasurable values after menopause. Since the end of nighties the immunological kits are available for AMH determination in body fluids (serum, plasma and also a follicular fluid). They usually apply sandwich systems with two specific antibodies to AMH: the first bound to a solid phase (in most instances the tube walls or titration wells), and the second labeled with biotin, to which the streptavidin-labeled enzyme is bound (usually horse-radish peroxidase). After addition of the substrate (a chromogenic conjugate which affords a coloured product after cleavage by the enzyme) its absorbance is measured. The inserted streptavidin-biotin system increases the specificity and sensitivity of the method, which amounts approximately 1 ng/ml.

2. Materials& Methods

This study was carried out at Infertility Clinic, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Hospital Jaipur. One hundred and five patients of infertility entering their first IVF cycle from May to Dec2010 were the studied.All patients were subjected to a detailed history taking which included duration of infertility, prior infertility workup and treatment taken and significant past, medical and surgical history.

In order to be included, the candidate had to meet the following criteria: regular ovulatory menstrual cycles, normal BMI (18–25 kg/m2), no current hormone therapy, and no current or past diseases affecting ovaries or gonadotropin or sex steroid secretion, clearance or excretion and adequate visualization of both ovaries at trans-vaginal ultrasound scanning. Women who had polycystic ovarian syndrome were excluded.

On day-2 of the menstrual cycle, morning blood sample around 10 AM were taken for measurement of serum levels of AMH, FSH and E2.Serum AMH levels were determined using an ultrasensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Serum levels of FSH and E2 were determined automated multi-analysis an system with detection. chemiluminescence Transvaginal ultrasonography was used to estimate the number of follicles in the ovary. Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) was used to bring about follicle rupture prior to performing oocyte retrieval. The resultant ovarian response was monitored by trans-vaginal ultrasound. Follicular response on day of human chorionic gonadotropin administration was recoded. On the basis of number of follicles, the patients were divided into two groups i.e. poor responders i.e. those patients having less than 4 follicles on ovulation study by ultrasonography and good responders i.e. those patients having more than 4 follicles on ovulation study. Scope of the study objects was limited to only correlating hormone levels with ovarian reserve and not to correlate with age as the difference in age was negligible among subjects.

The results were tabulated and statistically analyzed. The sample mean (X), standard deviation (SD), and standard error of the mean as well as the range were obtained for numerical variables. For non-numerical variables, the frequency, distribution and percentage were calculated. The student's (t) test was used to test the significance of the difference between the two independent means as per normal distribution. The Chi square test $(\chi 2)$ was used to test whether the distribution of a certain phenomenon among two or more groups was equal or not.

Correlation coefficient (r) was used to find out a correlation between two parameters where (r) value will be either +1 (positive correlation), 0 (no correlation) or -1 (negative correlation). To evaluate significance of a test, we determined its sensitivity, specificity, +ve predictive value (PPV) and -ve predictive value (NPV). The probability (P) value was calculated and a P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 105 oocyte retrievals were performed. The mean age of patients was 31.61 years. On ultrasonography, the number of patients showing good response (>4 follicles) and poor response (<4 follicles) in relation with serum levels of the hormones is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Showing Serum levels of Hormones in relation with Ovarian reserve response

| Hormone levels | Good responders | Poor responders |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Mean AMH (ng/l) and SD | 3.923+- 3.007 | 1.274+- 1.098 |
| Mean FSH (IU/l) and SD | 5.015 +-2.16 | 3.500+ -1.612 |
| Mean E2 (IU/l) and SD | 40.927+- 24.466 | 28.000+ -10.58 |

The mean serum basal levels of AMH, FSH and E2 were higher in good responders and low in poor responders (Table 1 and Table 2).

Table 2: Correlation and significance levels of Hormones with ovarian reserve

| Hormone | Correlation factor (r value) | Significance value* |
|---------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| AMH | 0.302 | P<0.001 |
| FSH | 0.028 | p>0.1 |
| E2 | 0.084 | p>0.1 |

^{*}t value at df103 and also normal curve analysis values

However the level of AMH was significantly high among good responders as compared to low responders (p<0.001) while no such difference was noticeable between levels of FSH and E2. The validity of the various tests of hormone assay also confirms the similar findings (Table 3). However uniformly low NPV suggest bigger sample need to confirm the findings.

$International\ Journal\ of\ Science\ and\ Research\ (IJSR)$

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

Table 3: Validity of the serum Hormones levels at threshold values

| Hormone levels | Sensitivity | Specificity | Positive predictive value | Negative Predictive value | Significance |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| AMH TV 1.8ng/L | 73.91 | 66.67 | 94.45 | 24.24 | P<0.001 |
| FSH TV 10 IU/L | 0.00 | 100.00 | 7.67 | 7.61 | P<0.01 |
| E2 TV 50 IU/L | 25.77 | 75.00 | 92.59 | 7.69 | p>0.1 |

4. Discussion

The ability of the ovaries to respond to gonadotropin with adequate follicular development has been referred to as ovarian reserve. Ovulation stimulation allows the retrieval of more than one mature oocyte, thus increasing the chance of embryonic implantation during an IVF (in vitro fertilization) cycle. The assessment of ovarian reserve can be beneficial to patients undergoing assisted conception treatment. As suggested above it helps in determining the dose of the medication to induce multiple follicular developments [8]. Patients with reduced reserve will require higher doses of medication and those with sensitive ovaries require a more measured approach.

Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) has been suggested as an indicator of ovarian response and been found to decline with advanced female age [9]. Our study showed a significant correlation of AMH and ovarian response to follicular stimulation. Earlier studies have even suggested that AMH is the single best predictor of poor response for ART [10]. As AMH may permit the identification of both the extremes of ovarian stimulation, a possible role for its measurement may be in the individualization of treatment strategies in order to reduce the clinical risk of ART along with optimized treatment burden [11,12].

The fact that AMH is secreted without dependence on other hormones, particularly the gonadotropins, and that AMH is expressed at a constant level, independent of cycle day make AMH very attractive as a direct measurement of OR [13]. The freedom that AMH testing offers both clinicians and patients by allowing collections to be performed on any day during the menstrual cycle is a vast logistical advantage over other biomarkers. It also helps predict excessive response to ovarian hyper stimulation [14]. A recent study has also concluded that AMH is able to specify a woman's reproductive age more realistically than chronological age alone [15]. However some studies have showed that AMH cannot predict pregnancy [16]. Even though the true place of AMH in reproductive medicine remains to be fully elucidated, undoubtedly AMH measurement allows an assessment of ovarian reserve with several advantages over other biochemical and biophysical markers [17]. In fact, even reports by oncologists indicate that it may be feasible to assess ovarian reserve in cancer patients by emerging markers such AMH which may be more sensitive than FSH measurements [18].

In our study, FSH did not show a significant correlation with ovarian reserve. Studies by Brodin and colleagues showed that low FSH level probably reflects a well-preserved ovarian reserve and is associated with good success rates at IVF/ICSI although they suggested it to be combined with high LH to increase the predictive value [19]. However, patients with mildly elevated levels (10-15IU/L) seem to have a good probability of getting pregnant. Also, between

cycle fluctuation in day 3 FSH levels make OR estimation difficult [4]. Additionally, an increased day 3 FSH level is considered a late indicator of marked decreased fertility potential. Our findings suggest that it is not to essential to evaluate a sub fertile patient routinely by FSH values nor is it justified to exclude patients with regular cycles from treatment on the basis of FSH value alone. Increased estradiol level early in the menstrual cycle suggests that follicular development is in an advanced stage that is inappropriate for day 3 [4]. However, estradiol levels can be increased for two very different reasons. Estradiol levels can become elevated due to the occurrence of rapid folliculogenesis. Alternatively, an increased estradiol level can be due to an enhanced OR, such as in women afflicted with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), where a small amount of estradiol is being produced by a large number of antral follicles [20].

Regarding day 3 estradiol, no significant correlation was detected by us between elevated levels ≥ 50 pg/ml and follicular response. In contrast, this level was associated with higher cancellation and lower pregnancy rates independent of FSH levels in an earlier study [21]. Thus, the role of estradiol remains at best debatable.

Analyses of our results show that among the biochemical ORTs known to date, AMH is most suitable for relevant clinical use. Serum AMH levels may reflect ovarian response better than the usual hormone markers [22]. Accuracy of testing on the basis of biochemical markers for the occurrence of poor ovarian response appears to be modest. Simultaneous evaluation of a combination of tests could be used as a marker of diminished ovarian reserve and a sensitive predictor of response to ovarian stimulation in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization treatment.

5. Conclusion

Amongst the biochemical markers AMH, FSH and estradiol; AMH level is a reliable and a good predictor of ovarian reserve while level of FSH has a poor association and levels of estradiol have no association with the ovarian reserve in our study.

References

- [1] Boivin J, Bunting L, Collins JA, Nygren KG. International estimates of infertility prevalence and treatment-seeking: potential need and demand for infertility medical care. Hum Reprod Update 2007; 22:1506–12.
- [2] Baird DT, Collins J, Egozcue J, Evers LH, Gianaroli L, Leridon H. Fertility and ageing. Hum Reprod Update 2005; 11:261-76.
- [3] Bukulmez O, Arici A. Assesment of ovarian reserve. Current opinion ObstetGynecol 2004; 16:231-7.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Impact Factor (2012): 3.358

- [4] Perloe M, Levy DP, Sills ES. Strategies for ascertaining ovarian reserve among women suspected of subfertility. Int. J. Fertil. Women's Med 2000;45:215-24.
- [5] Pearlstone AC, Fournet N, Gambone JC, Pang SC, Buyalos RP. Ovulation induction in women age 40 and older: the importance of basal follicle-stimulating hormone level and chronological age. FertilSteril. 1992; 58:674-9.
- [6] Visser JA, de Jong FH, Laven JS, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone: a new marker for ovarian function. Reproduction 2006: 131:1–9.
- [7] Fanchin R, Schonauer LM, Righini C, Guibourdenche J, Frydman R, Taieb J. Serum anti- Mullerian hormone is more strongly related to ovarian follicular status than serum inhibin B, estradiol, FSH and LH on day 3. Hum Reprod 2003;18:323–7.
- [8] Ernesto Bosch, Diego Ezcurra. Individualised controlled ovarian stimulation (iCOS): maximising success rates for assisted reproductive technology patients. ReprodBiolEndocrinol. 2011;9:82.
- [9] Van Rooiji IA, Broekmans FJ, te Velde ER, Fauser BC, BancsiLF*et al.* Serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels: a novel measure of ovarian reserve. Hum Reprod.2002; 17:3065-71.
- [10] Muttukrishna S, McGarrigle H, Wakim R, Khadum I, Ranieri DM, Serhal P. Antral follicle count, anti-Mullerian hormone and inhibin B: predictors of ovarian response in assisted reproductive technology? BJOG 2005; 112:1384–90.
- [11] La Marca A, Singhinolfi G. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) as a predictive marker in assisted reproductive technology (ART). Hum. Reprod. Update 2010;16:113-30
- [12] Nelson SM, Yates RW, Fleming R. Serum anti-Müllerian hormone and FSH: prediction of live birth and extremes of response in stimulated cycles implications for individualization of therapy. Hum. Reprod. Update 2008; 14 95-100.
- [13] Hehenkamp WJ, Looman CW, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, teVeldeER, Broekmans FJ. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in the spontaneous menstrual cycle do not show substantial fluctuation. J ClinEndocrinolMetab 2006; 91:4057–4063.
- [14] Broer S L, Dólleman M, Opmeer BC, Fauser BC, Mol BW, Broekman FJ. AMH and AFC as predictors of excessive response in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation: a metaanalysis. Hum. Reprod. Update 2011; 17: 46-54.
- [15] Disseldorp J V, Faddy M J, Themmen A P N, Peeters P H M, Schouw V D, Broekmans F J M. Relationship of Serum Antimüllerian Hormone Concentration to Age at Menopause. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2008; 93: 2129-2134.
- [16] Ficicioglu C, Kutlu T, Baglam E, BaKacak Z. Early follicular antimullerian hormone as an indicator of ovarian reserve. FertilSteril 2006; 85: 592-596.
- [17] Ledger W L. Clinical Utility of Measurement of Anti-Müllerian Hormone in Reproductive Endocrinology. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2010; 12: 5144-5154.
- [18] Oktay K, Oktem O, Reh A, Vahdat L. Measuring the Impact of Chemotherapy on Fertility in Women With Breast Cancer. JCO 2006; 24: 4044-4046.

Paper ID: OCT14406

- [19] Brodin T, Bergh T, Berglund L, Hadziosmanovic N, Holte J. High basal LH levels in combination with low basal FSH levels are associated with high success rates at assisted reproduction. Hum. Reprod. 2009; 24: 2755-2759.
- [20] Toner JP. Ovarian reserve, female age and chance of successful pregnancy. Minerva Gynecol 2003;55:399-406
- [21] Licciardi FL, Liu HC, Rosenwaks 2. Day 3 estradiol serum concentrations as prognosticators of ovarian stimulation response and pregnancy outcome in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization. FertilSteril 1995; 64: 991-994.
- [22] MoawadA, Elmawgood H A, Shaeer M. Early follicular anti-mullerian hormone as a predictor of ovarian response during ICSI cycles. Middle East Fertility Society Journal 2010; 15:281-287.