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Abstract: The present study was conducted in Department of Anatomy, MM institute of medical sciences & research, Mullana (Ambala), on 600 Haryanvi adults comprising of 300 males and 300 females aged 18 to 40 years. Prior informed written consent was obtained from subjects. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study were predefined. The purpose of study was to create, evaluate data on face anthropometry. Two measurements, the morphological facial length, bizygomatic breadth were taken by using standard anthropometric instruments. From the study it was concluded that the mean morphological facial length was 11.07cm in male and 10.21cm in female. Bizygomatic breadth was 13.08 cm in male & 12.35cm in female. The facial index (mean) was 86.09 in male and 84.84 in female. So all the measurements were more in males as compared to females. It was concluded that the dominant type of face shape in males was mesoproscopic (49.66 %) followed by euriprosopic (24%), leptoprosopic (12.33%), Hypereuriprosopic (11%) & Hyperleptoprosopic (3%). In females the dominant type of face was also mesoprosopic (35%) followed by Hypereuriprosopic (25%), euriprosopic (19.33%), leptoprosopic (19%) and hyperleptoprosopic (1.66%). Data of this study will be useful to anthropologist, plastic surgeons, anatomists and forensic experts.
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1. Introduction

In forensic applications, personal identification is one such field where facial measurements play a very important role, particularly in different techniques of facial reconstruction where these measurements may help forensic artist to make out final face irrespective of the method used. Anthropometric measurements especially facial measurements are important for determining various face shape. Climatic adaptations and nutritional factors are found to be detrimental to body shape and size. Geometrical variability not only helps to understand the variations in the bodily measurements in various populations but also make the data base available to help automate the process of various features with computer based animation technologies. Comparison of changes in facial index between parents, offspring and siblings can give a clue to genetic transmission of inherited characters. Accurate facial analysis is essential for diagnosis of genetic and acquired anomalies, for the study of normal and abnormal growth and for morphometric investigation. The cephalometric analysis is a diagnostic tool which can provide specific and important information about the facial disharmonies which is critical for the follow up of the patients. For evaluation of variations in craniofacial morphology, standards of anthropometric measurements should be established for particular population. A person with euryproscopic facial type favours the nasal breathing mode. Facial form may be an important factor in increasing susceptibility to obstructive sleep apnea. The human facial contour has always been an interesting subject for anatomists, anthropologists, plastic surgeons and artists.

2. Material and Methods

The present study was conducted on 600 adult Haryanvi Banias (300 of either sex). Prior informed consent both in English & Vernacular were obtained from subjects in writing. The subjects of age group 18-40 years were included in the study. The subjects were apparently healthy and without any cephalo-facial deformity. A series of three somatometric landmarks and two anthropometric measurements were taken on 600 Haryanvi Banias. The methodology for facial measurements was adopted from Montague A. MF.

3. Somatometric Measurements

1) Morphological facial length: It is straight distance from the nasal root (nasion) to the lowest point on the lower border of the mandible in the mid sagittal plane (gnathion).

2) Bizygomatic breadth: It is the straight distance between two zygia (zy) i.e. the most lateral points on the zygomatic arch.

The measurements were taken with the help of a spreading caliper.

Total facial index = (Morphological face length/ Bizygomatic breadth)*100

Total facial index (Martin –Seller scale) Face shape Range of facial (prosopic) index (FI)

Male

Female

Hypereuriprosopic ×-78.9 ×-76.9

Euriprosopic 79.9-83.9 77.0-80.9

Mesoprosopic 84-87.9 81.0-84.9

Leptoprosopic 88-92.9 85.0-89.9

Hyperleptoprosopic 93.0-× 90.0-×

*cited from Singh & Bhasin(1968)

4. Observations and Results

On the basis of two measurements the usual constants for various facial measurements like Mean, SD of male and female under the study are presented in Table-4.1. From the observations of tables it is revealed that
The mean Facial index was 86.09 in males & 84.84 in females. The minimum facial index was found to be 70.24 in males and 68.99 in females. The maximum facial index was found to be 99.16 cm in males & 97.50 cm in females.

Table 4.2: Showing classification of subjects based on total facial index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Face Shape</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%AGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypereuriprosopic</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euriprosopic</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoprosopic</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>49.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptoprosopic</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperleptoprosopic</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: Comparison of Facial index (Mean) with other population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research worker</th>
<th>Country/ people</th>
<th>Facial index</th>
<th>%AGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pandey AK</td>
<td>Onges of Andaman &amp; Nicobar Island</td>
<td>75.29</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh &amp; Bhasin</td>
<td>Indians population</td>
<td>86.34</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meka &amp; Rexhepi</td>
<td>Albanian Kosova Population</td>
<td>90.38</td>
<td>90.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shetti et al</td>
<td>Indian population</td>
<td>87.19</td>
<td>86.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salve et al</td>
<td>Malaysian population</td>
<td>85.72</td>
<td>87.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Andhra region students</td>
<td>89.50</td>
<td>86.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haryanvi Banias</td>
<td>86.09</td>
<td>84.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

The present study showed the anthropometrical variations among Haryanvi Banias. The mean value of present study in male was lower than the previous studies done on Albanian population, Andhra population and the Indian population (Shetti et al) but higher than Onges and Malasian population and close to the study done on Indian population by Singh & Bhasin. The mean value of Facial index in females was lower than the previous studies.

6. Conclusion

From above study it was concluded that the dominant type of face shape in males was mesoprosopic (49.66 %) followed by euriprosopic (24%), leptoprosopic (12.33%), Hypereuriprosopic (11%) & Hyperleptoprosopic (3%). In females the dominant type of face was also mesoprosopic (35%) followed by Hypereuriprosopic (25%), euriprosopic (19.33%), leptoprosopic (19%) and hyperleptoprosopic (1.66%) so the data of this study will be very useful to anthropologist, anatomists plastic surgeons forensic examiner.
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