Factors Affecting Service Delivery in the Judicial System in Kenya: A Case of Makadara Law courts

Leonard K. Kurgat¹, Kepha Ombui²

MBA: Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya

Abstract: Quality of service delivery in the judicial system, need to move to the forefront of the justice dispensation. This study is therefore a survey of factors that affect service delivery in the Kenyan judicial system, Makadara Law Courts in particular. The objectives of the study were to determine the influence of information technology on service delivery at Makadara Law Courts; to establish influence of bulkiness of the pending cases on service delivery at Makadara Law Courts; to determine how conciliation of parties in dispute influence service delivery at the Makadara Law Courts; to establish the influence of collaboration between government agencies and judicial officers on service delivery at Makadara Law Courts. The study relied on previous related studies by other scholars and researchers to determine what has been done in this area and also to identify gaps in those studies. The population of the study consisted of Magistrates, Police Investigators, Prosecutors, Court Clerks, Court Orderlies and Prison Officers. Stratified random sampling was used to sample the population. From each stratum, 20% of the respondents formed the sample size of 80 respondents. However, the actual number of respondent who returned the filled questionnaires was 37 persons which is equivalent to 43 % response. Data collection instruments used included questionnaires and document analysis. Data was analyzed qualitatively using descriptive methods and quantitatively using pie charts, tables, graphs, frequencies and percentages. Data was analyzed based on the research questions of the studies. The key findings of the study revealed that lack of adequate sufficiently trained staffs, insufficient IT system and poor relationship among the key stakeholders as the main factors ailing service delivery at the MLC. The study recommended that there should be full IT integration, reconciliation unit strengthened; collaborative meetings amongst the key players of judicial service delivery and in addition developing tool for measuring judicial performance. In conclusion reconciliation and other alternative dispute resolution methods have been encouraged and so desired results have been achieved including withdrawal of many cases from court as well as durable but private resolution to conflicts being found. Integration of IT infrastructure in the court system is also taking root. The personnel to facilitate proper integration and use of IT in court system remain an area of great concern. In terms of working relations, it can be deduced that there is seemingly good working relationship between the three key agencies, the judiciary, police and prison.

Keywords: Arbitration; Criminal ju stice; Collaboration; J ustice; Bu lkiness o f Pending cas es; Co nciliation; Information te chnology; Industrial services; Service delivery.

1. Introduction

According to International Commission for Justice Ke nya report (ICJ 2005), the existence of independent judiciary is at the heart of judicial system that guarantees human life in full conformity with international standards. The ICJ report on strengthening judicial r eforms in K enya further states that It is the obligation of every state to ensure that the judiciary is indeed an independent arm of the government. It continues to state that while a claim can therefore be made that the p rimary task of the justice s ector is to d eliver the rule of law, it s hould i mmediately b e ap preciated that various factors a ffect s ervice de livery in o ur j udicial systems.

Kameri (2011), said for the rule of law to be realized, there must be suitable ' application mechanisms', i ncluding an independent a nd professional j udiciary, easy ac cess t o litigation and re liable en forcement a gencies I ts r ealization also de pends on a ccess to power and ec onomic r esources, and this explains why the rich and the powerful tend to have better access to the rule of law (Kameri, 2011).

For effective service delivery in the judicial system, various factors need to be considered:- (Tudor, 1992) observes that the Judiciary was such that the Office of the Chief Justice operated as a judicial monarch supported by the Registrar of the Hi gh C ourt. P ower and au thority were hig hly centralized. Accountability m echanisms w ere w eak and reporting requirements ab sent. T he J udiciary i nstitution

had: weak structures, inadequate re sources, d iminished confidence, de ficient in i ntegrity, weak p ublic s upport a nd literally incapacitated to de liver j ustice. Most r ecently, the Task F orce on Judic ial R eforms, which was a ppointed pursuant to a st akeholders' m eeting in Ma y 2 009 an d reporting in J uly 2010, id entified weak a dministrative structures, lack of o perational au tonomy and independence of the j udiciary a s factors that undermine t he e ffective administration of courts. (Mwanzia & Kanina, 2009).

Over the past few de cades, j udicial re form h as b ecome a n integral pa rt of the process of economic, po litical, a nd administrative development according to (Baar, 1999) In the United States and throughout the world, there is a growing recognition t hat e conomic a nd s ocial pr ogress cannot b e achieved on a sustainable basis without respect for the rule of law (Dakolias, 1999).

James, (2000) observes that h istorical e vents cre ate opportunities for g overnmental, including judicial, r eform. The collapse of the Soviet Union resulted in a collection of independent s tates, e ach newly r esponsible for its own government. He f urther n oted that J udges and c ourt administrators in t hese s ocialist s ystems, pr eviously unfamiliar with e ven the most basic c oncepts o f the functioning o f a j udiciary i n a democracy, were suddenly faced with the responsibility of creating new institutions.

Volume 2 Issue 10, October 2013 www.ijsr.net The study was guided by the following specific objectives:

- 1. To determine the influence of information technology on service delivery at Makadara Law Courts.
- 2. To establish the impact of bulkiness of the pending cases on service delivery at Makadara Law Courts.
- 3. To determine the extent to which conciliation of parties in dispute i nfluence ser vice d elivery a t Ma kadara la w courts.
- 4. To est ablish the influence of collaboration between government a gencies a nd judicial officers on service delivery at Makadara Law courts.

2. Theoretical Review

2.1 A dynamic theory of service management

Forrester in vented d ynamic theory in 1 961. According to Forrester (1 961), st ructure a nd the be havior of the participants can cause the "service jungle" the first positive feedback lo ops arise from the a bility of firms to invest in differentiation. As f irms i ncrease th eir re venue a nd s ales, they c an invest more i n activ ities t hat i mprove the attractiveness of their s olutions. Most products or s ervices can be differentiated from those of c ompetitors t hrough enhanced features, functionality, reliability, and suitability to the current and latent needs of the c ustomers. We c all this product or service quality. That means qualit y includes the degree of suitability to the current and latent needs, features, functionality and reliability.

There is al ways a di screpancy between att ractiveness a nd perceived attractiveness. But nev ertheless, the attractiveness has a positive impact on the perceived attractiveness. Higher perceived a ttractiveness leads t o h igher market share boosting sales and revenue and enabling companies to invest more m oney in qual ity. Ot her ways t o s trengthen the differentiation are en tirely n ew p roducts a nd s ervices. An increasing number of new s ervices a nd p roducts g enerate higher market potential leading to more sales enabling even more investments. The extent of these investments increases differentiation in the eyes of customers. The development of investments involves a substantial time de lay (Forrester 1961).

2.2 Theory of change

The theory of change model was developed by International Network on S trategic P hilanthropy (2005). According t o INSP, this tool was designed for use by organizations such as Fo undations, T rustees, NGOs, a nd individuals s uch a s donors, phil anthropists or c onsultants t o facilitate development. A theory of change is the articulation of the underlying be liefs a nd a ssumptions t hat gui de a service delivery strategy a nd a re believed to be c ritical for producing change a nd i mprovement. T heories of change represent be liefs ab out what is needed by the target population a nd what str ategies will en able t hem to meet those need s. They est ablish a c ontext for c onsidering the connection between a system's mission, strategies and actual outcomes, while creating links between who is being served, the strategies or activities that are being implemented, and the desired outcomes."

A theory of change has two broad components. The first component of a theory of change involves conceptualizing and o perationalizing the three c ore frames of the theory. These frames d efine: P opulations: who you are serving, Strategies: what s trategies you believe will a ccomplish desired o utcomes, O utcomes: what y ou intend to accomplish. The second c omponent of a theory of c hange involves building a n u nderstanding of the r elationships among the three c ore e lements a nd e xpressing those relationships clearly.

3. Conceptual Framework

Conceptual frameworks, according to educational researcher Smyth (2004), are structured from a set of broad ideas and theories t hat help a r esearcher to p roperly identify the problem they are looking at, frame their questions and find suitable literature. Most academic research uses a conceptual framework at the o utset b ecause it helps the r esearcher to clarify hi s r esearch q uestion a nd ai ms. T he conceptual framework of the s tudy will in clude t he independent variables and the dependent variables. D ependent variables includes s ervice d elivery, while t he in dependent variables include information technology, pending cases, conciliation of p arties i n d ispute a nd c ollaboration b etween j udicial officers and go vernment agencies while dependent variable includes service delivery.

3.1 Independent Variables



Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework

3.2 Research Gaps

No comprehensive survey of court administration or factors affecting service de livery in the j udicial system has been carried out. The studies conducted have not touched entirely on court processes but rather private sector service delivery. All the studies reviewed on court op eration t ouched on certain r estricted angles o stensibly b ecause of the o riginal purpose why the y were conducted and such these particular studies were rather general and some limited in their scope.

3.3 Data analysis and Presentation

The q ualitative d ata gat hered from key in formants were analyzed i n o rder to establish a ny consistent t hemes. Quantitative data were presented using tools like pie charts, tables, g raphs, frequencies and pe rcentages while cont ent analysis using de scriptive m ethod was us ed t o present qualitative data.

4. Results and Discussions

80 questionnaires were distributed randomly to the selected sample. Of the 80 questionnaires distributed, only 37 were returned, representing a 43% return rate. Of those returned questionnaires, 67.6% (25) of the r espondents were male while 29.7% (11) were fe male as indicated in T able 4.2.1 below. The response rate was considered to be adequate in accordance with the statement of B abbie & Mouton (1998) that "the consensus in survey research is that a response rate of 40%-50% is considered adequate for analysis, while 60% is good and 70% is considered very good"

5. Information Technology on Service Delivery

When asked whether there was an IT infrastructure in place that enhances delivery in court, 21.6% strongly a greed and further 40.5% c onfirming (agreed). 24.3% disa greed with 8.1% e xpressing a strong disagreement. 5 .4% c hose to remain n eutral. T his means t hat e lements p erceived a s IT infrastructure may be present however the opposing number (24.3% and 8.1% is a larger number to ignore casting doubt as to the u nderstanding of what a p roper and well functioning I T infra structure r eally i s. T hese findings confirm assertion by Pinda (2012) that there may computers with no network and are not networked or even outdated. Pinda ob served that using o utdated te chnology may not improve s ervice de livery but in stead may be one o f th e reasons that fuel corruption in the judiciary. It was important to probe the respondent definition or understanding of an IT infrastructure. Therefore, t his s tudy agrees with Pind a's assertions that IT infrastructure enhances service delivery.

6. Influence of Bulkiness of the Pending Cases on Service Delivery

Majority of respondents (32.4%) strongly a greed that there is bulk of cases at the M akadara law court. Further 21.6% confirmed by a greeing that there is bulk of cases in the court. Only 13.5% strongly disagreed that there is bulk of cases in the court. These findings agree with the findings of Swartz (1996) that cases accumulate in courts due to among other r easons inade quate resources and p ersonnel. According to Swartz (1996) cases accumulates in courts of law due to among other reasons inadequate resources and personnel s hortage to p rovide c omprehensive ser vice. I t proper to observe here that b ulk of cases can be addressed by expanding case management services to include efficient IT systems. Confirming a bove information, 48.6% agreed and 16.2% expressed a strong agreement that the backlog of cases has led to delayed dispensation to litigants. Therefore, the findings of Swartz corroborates with the findings in that accumulation of cases is a result of inadequate personnel.

7. Influence of Conciliation of Parties in Disputes on Service Delivery

Most respondents (40.5%) ag reed that many c ases in the court ar e p ersonal in nat ure j ustifying r econciliation, mediation, negotiation, arbitration or any other non-juridical means of settling c onflict. 18.9% firmed this by strongly agreeing t hat most ca ses a re i ndeed p ersonal i n nature. 13.5% strongly op posed ab ove view. These findings a gree with the findings of Genn, (1988). He points out this view of using non-juridical sett lement a sserting t hat it h as a n advantage over court system that it takes less time to reach final decision compared to court process that take months, even years before dispute can be heard before the judge, let alone a verd ict. I nterestingly, most r espondents (3 7.8%) agreed that the c ourt nor mally implores upon the disputing parties to e xplore avenues of reconciliation. Further 21.6% strongly agreed to t hat. 24 .3% decided t o remain n eutral while 10.8% disagreed that court impresses upon litigants to explore reconciliation. 2.7% strongly disagreed.

8. Influence of Collaboration Between Government Agencies and Judicial Officers on Service Delivery

There is a g ood working relationship be tween gover nment agencies such as police, prison and judiciary (35.1 percent strongly a greed while 32 .4 p ercent a greed to t hat). T hese findings a gree with t he vie ws of L eigh (2008) who observes that genuine working relationship improve service delivery a nd out comes t hrough t he con nection b etween people and services. Useful synergy between Judiciary, the police and prison is central given that their work is one; only that it is di vided in lev els with eac h a gency mandated t o implement a particular level.

9. Service Delivery

Whether t he magistrates are motivated a nd c apable o f handling all the cases in court according to their respective jurisdiction; 5 6.8 p ercent st rongly d isagreed while 18 .9 percent j ust disag reed. Only 5 .4 percent ag reed that magistrates are sufficiently motivated and c apable. Lack of capability and motivation as these r esults indicate i mplies poor service delivery. As to whether lack of infrastructure hampers e ffective handling o f cases, 43 .2 pe rcent a greed; 24.3 p ercent st rongly a greed whereas o nly 5. 4 p ercent strongly disa greed. 13 .5 percent r emained neutral o n t his. This means t hat poor te chnological s ystem, i nefficient human resource, inadequate financial and physical resources still compromise service deliver in the judiciary.

10. Conclusion

This study s howed that I T in frastructure has not b een applied s ufficiently in t he a dministration of j ustice; it i s underutilized thus undermining the q uality, sp eed a nd efficiency of court services. However, in the little ways the IT infrastructure has been used, majority of the respondents strongly a greed that it has been used to ease the b ulk of cases pending in court. At the time of collecting the data for this study, the bulk of pending cases still existed in Makadara Law Courts. 32.4% strongly a greed while 21.6% simply a greed to taling 54.0% agreement. The bulk cases in court were further singled by the respondents as the major cause to delay dispensation to litigants and as result causing disquiet among the litigants. The fi ndings of this study showed that there is a g ood working relationship be tween government a gencies such as police, prison and judiciary. The majority of the respondents identified that many cases in the court are personal in nature thus j ustifying r econciliation, mediation, n egotiation, arbitration or any o ther non-judicial means of s ettling conflicts

11. Recommendations

There is need to initiate full IT integration in the entire court system. The news taff wi the xpertise to facilitate comprehensive a nd s ustainable i mplementation of IT infrastructure in t he j udiciary sh ould b e c onsidered. Increasing the judicial staff as well as prosecuting staff and improving their work c onditions would help reduce o r completely address the continuous accumulation of cases in court. On the understanding that most cases received in the court are civil in nature and can be easily, efficiently and amicably r esolved th rough non-judicial methods, j udicial system should p ut in p lace a s trengthened, i ndependent competent a nd no n-coercive reconciliation u nit. So as t o build stronger relationship a nd c opperation b etween the judiciary and other government a gencies, there is need to initiate j oint pe riodic s eminars a nd workshops b y t hese agencies to p romote a nd e nhance g enuine dia logue, understanding and discussions on ways of improving service delivery in justice sector. High premium should be placed on educational qualification and professionalism to reduce the acute shortage of well-trained and qualified professionals in the judiciary and the related agencies

Automating and digitalizing court services should be given a priority in the j udiciary and should be i mplemented and embraced in a ll K enyan courts. A properly de signed and consistent performance measuring tool should be introduced to measure the performance of commitment of judicial officers

References

- [1] Akech, J. (2005). Kenya Justice Sector and the Rule of Law, o pen S ociety for Ini tiative for Ea stern A frica. Johannesburg, South Africa.
- [2] Buscaglia, E. et al, (1995). "Judicial Reform in Latin America: A Framework for National Development", Essays in Public Policy, Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.
- [3] Collins, (2009). English Dictionary, "Unabridged (10th Ed.).
- [4] Dakolias, M. (1999), "*Court performance around the world*," A c omparative p erspective: World b ank publication.
- [5] Druckman, D. (2003). *Towards Integrated Knowledge: In Conflict: From Analysis to Intervention*, b y; Cheldelin Sa ndra, Druckman Daniel and Fa st Lari ssa (eds.), New York, Continuum Publisher.

- [6] Easterly, W. & R. L evine (1997) ' Africa's Growth Tragedy: Policies and Ethnic Divisions', Q uarterly Journal of Economics, MIT Press, November, 112 (4), 1203–50.
- [7] Hammergren, L. (1998), "Judicial Training and Justice Reform", Centre for Democracy to G overn a ce: Washington, U.S.A.
- [8] James D. (2000). "Comprehensive Development framework", mimeographed, World bank.
- [9] Jeff, R. (2012), Ro le of Judiciary in Developmental South African State / Transformation of Judicial System, Johannesburg: South African Press.
- [10] Jeong H. (2008). Understanding Conflict and Conflict Analysis, London: The Post-Colonial Era, London.
- [11] Kameri, M (2011). "Kenya Justice sector and the rule of Law", open S ociety Initiative f or Eastern Africa n Johannesburg, South Africa.
- [12] Levin, T. (2005). Contracting for government services: theory and evidence from U.S. cities.
- [13] Messick, E. (1999). "Judicial Reform and Economic Development: A Survey of I ssues", The World Bank Research Observer, Vol. 14, No. 1, February, 117-136
- [14] Ngulube P. (2003). Future of the part, Access to public archives in South Africa. Historia, South Africa.
- [15] Ogola J, (2005). Business Law, (6th Ed), Nairobi; Focus Publications Ltd.
- [16] Radebe J. (2012). "R ole of Judiciary in D evelopment South African State, Transformation of Judicial System, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- [17] Royland S . (1998). "Law and Economics of Development," in Encyclopedia of Law and Economics. London, Boston'': Edward Elgar Press.
- [18] Singh, A. (2005). Law of Contract and Specific Relief, 9th Eastern Book Company, Ba ngladesh; Lucknow Press.
- [19] Swartz, J. (1996). "Case Management Approaches for the Criminal Justice Client" Case Man agement to Enhance AIDS Risk Reduction.