Bioefficacy of Insecticides as Seed Treatment against Early Sucking Pests of Soybean Crop

Harish Kumar Netam[1], Rajeev Gupta[2], Shivam Soni[3]

[1]Student of M.Sc.(Ag) Department of Entomology
Indira Gandhi Agriculture University, Department of Entomology,
Krishak Nagar, Raipur, 492006, Chhattisgarh, India
harish.netam15@gmail.com

[2] Professor of IGKV, Department of Entomology
Indira Gandhi Agriculture University, Department of Entomology,
Krishak Nagar, Raipur, 492006, Chhattisgarh, India

[3] Student of M.Sc.(Ag) Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics
Indira Gandhi Agriculture University, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,
Krishak Nagar, Raipur, 492006, Chhattisgarh, India
shivamigkv@gmail.com

Abstract: ***A Field experiment was laid out in randomized block design with six treatments including untreated control replicated four times. This crop was sown on 5th July 2009 in plot size of 25 square meters. The crop management practices (i.e. field preparation, sowing, weeding, fertilizer application etc.) were adopted as per the recommended practices. In this experiment numbers of sucking pests were counted at seven days interval starting from 20 days of sowing till five weeks after first observation. The number of jassids and white flies were counted from top three and two middle leaves of randomly selected 5 plants in each plot. The whitefly population was comparatively higher than that of jassids. Imidacloprid 600 FS when applied as seed treatment at the rate of 0.75 g.a.i/kg seed was most effective against the sucking pest's upto four week of seed germination with least 6.71 insect/plant. It was followed by Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.60 g.a.i./ kg seed and Thiamethoxam 70 WS @ 2.1 g.a.i./kg seed with 9.66 and 11.02 sucking pests/plant.***

Keywords: Bioefficacy, Imidacloprid, sucking pests, Thiamethoxam, seed treatment, soybean

1. Introduction

Soybean is a wonder crop of twentieth century. It is an excellent source of protein and oil. It is a two dimensional crop as it contains about 40-42 per cent high quality protein and 20-22 per cent oil. It also contains 20-30 per cent carbohydrates. However, Gangrade (1976) reported over 99 insect species attacking soybean crop at Jabalpur.but now the situation has changed and as many as 275 insect species have been recorded attacking soybean crop in India. Researchers in many parts of India have confirmed that seed yield and seed quality are being adversely affected by major insect pests viz. girdle beetle, tobacco caterpillar, green semilooper, Helicoverpa armigera, jassids and white fly.

2. Materials and Methods

A Field experiment was laid out in randomized block design with six treatments including untreated control replicated four times. This crop was sown on 5th July 2010 in plot size of 25 square meters. The crop management practices (i.e. field preparation, sowing, weeding, fertilizer application etc.) were adopted as per the recommended practices.

In this experiment numbers of sucking pests were counted at seven days interval starting from 20 days of sowing till five weeks after first observation. The number of jassids and white flies were counted from top three and two middle leaves of randomly selected 5 plants in each plot. The whitefly population was comparatively higher than that of jassids. The layout and other treatment details of this experiment are given in table 1.1.

Design: Randomized Block Design
Treatment: 6
Replication: 4
Plot size: 25 square meter
Spacing between rows: 30 cm
Variety: Amber
Seed treatment: 1 ml of product was mixed in 5 ml of water in a poly bag containing required quantity of seed. It was mixed well and dried in shade before sowing.

Table 1.1: List of test insecticides against early sucking pest on soybean

	Treatments	*Dose Gm a.i./kg seed*
T1	Untreated control	0
T2	Imidaclorprid 600 FS (Gaucho 600 FS)	0.45 gm
T3	Imidaclorprid 600 FS (Gaucho 600 FS)	0.6 gm
T4	Imidaclorprid 600 FS (Gaucho 600 FS)	0.75 gm
T5	Imidaclorprid 600 FS (Gaucho 600 FS)	1.5 gm
T6	Thiamithoxam 70 WS	2.1 gm

3. Results and Discussion

Study was carried out during the rainy season of 2010 in soybean field to evaluate the relative efficacy of Imidacloprid 600 FS as seed treatment against incidence of sucking pests (jassids + white fly) at the early growth stage of the crop. Sucking pest complex is a serious

menace for soybean production, therefore, different doses of Imidacloprid along with standard check Thiamethoxam 70WS were tested as seed treatment and observation recorded from 2-3 leaf stage at weekly interval for 35-40 days. Observations recorded comprised of nymph and adult count on five leaves (top 3 and middle 2 leaves per plant) from randomly selected five plants per plot.

Twenty five days after seed treatment, first observation indicated that the sucking pest population ranged from 3.25 to 8.74 sucking pests per plant. The treatment seed treated with Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.75 gm ai/kg seed with 3.25 sucking pests/plant was most effective against the sucking pests. It was significantly superior over the remaining treatments, which were at par with the untreated control.

Table 1.2: Relative efficacy of Imidacloprid 600 FS as seed treatment against early sucking pests on Soybean during Kharif, 2010

S. No.	Treatments	Dose g.a.i./ kg seed	Mean population of sucking pests (Jassids & whitefly) per plant							Grain yield Q/ha.
			30.07.10	06.08.10	13.08.10	20.08.10	27.08.10	03.09.10	Seasonal mean	
1.	Untreated Control	-	8.74 b (3.03)	12.25 c (3.56)	15.50 c (3.99)	18.75 b (4.38)	13.75	15.50	14.08 c	16.25
2.	Imidacloprid 600 FS	0.45	6.75 b (2.68)	10.25 be (3.27)	13.50 be (3.74)	15.25 (3.96)	12.25	13.00	11.80 be	17.50
3.	Imidacloprid 600 FS	0.60	7.00 b (2.73)	7.50 ab (2.82) a	9.50 b (3.15)	11.50 b (3.80)	10.25	12.25	9.66 ab	19.15
4.	Imidacloprid 600 FS	0.75	3.25 a (1.92)	5.75 a (2.49)	4.50 a (2.22)	6.50 a (2.63)	8.50	11.75	6.71 a	19.65
5.	Thiamethoxam 70 WS	2.1	6.50 b 2.63	9.75 be (3.19)	11.50 be (3.45)	15.0 b x,92)	11.40	12.00	11.02 b	18.25
CD at 5%			0.58	0.47	0.74	1.11	NS	NS	0.53	NS

Figures in parenthesis are under root transformed values. In a column, means followed by a common letter are not significantly different at 5 percent level.

One week after the first observation, the sucking pest population ranged from 5.57 to 12.25 sucking pests per plant. Plot treated with Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.75 gm ai/kg seed with 5.57 sucking pests per plant was least infested by sucking pests. It was at par with Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.60 gm ai/kg seed with 7.50 sucking pests per plant, but significantly varied from Thiamethoxam 70 WS @ 2.1 g a.i./kg seed with 9.75 sucking pests per plant. Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.45 g.a.i./kg seed with 10.25 sucking pests per plant was least effective treatment and was at par with untreated control.

Two weeks after the first observation, the population of sucking pests ranged from 4.50 to 15.50 sucking pests per plant. Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.75 g.a.i. /kg seed continued to express its supremacy over other treatments in controlling the sucking pests with 4.50 sucking pests per plant. It was significantly followed by Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.60 g.a.i./kg seed and Thiamethoxam 70 WS @ 2.1 g.a.i./kg seed with 9.50 and 11.50 sucking pests per plant. Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.45 g.a.i./kg seed with 13.50 sucking pests per plant was least effective treatment and was at par with untreated control with 15.50 sucking pests per plant and thiamethoxam 70 WS @ 2.1 g.a.i/kg seed.

Three weeks after the first observation, the sucking pest population ranged from 6.50 to 18.75 sucking pests per plant. Like the previous observations, plot treated with

Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.75 g.a.i. /kg seed with 6.50 sucking pests per plant was observed least infested by sucking pests. It was significantly more effective than the remaining treatments which in turn, were at par with the untreated control. Observation taken on 27-08-10 (fifth week) revealed that the sucking pest population ranged from 8.50 to 13.75 sucking pests per plant. Imidacloprid 600 FS when applied at the rate of 0.75 g.a.i./kg seed continued to exhibit its superiority over other treatments in controlling the sucking pests. However, it did not differ significantly from the remaining treatments including the untreated control.

In the sixth week, it was noticed that the effect of seed treatment was diminishing as is indicated by increase in pest population in different treatments. The data recorded in the sixth week showed non-significant differences among different treatments which ranged from average 11.75 to 15.50 sucking pests per plant. Grain yield recorded at harvest also showed non-significant differences among different treatments that might be due to loss of effect of seed treatment at the later stage of the crop.

Based on seasonal mean, Imidacloprid 600 FS, when applied as seed treatment at the rate of 0.75 g.a.i. /kg seed, was most effective against the early sucking pests on soybean with minimum 6.71 sucking pests per plant. It was at par with the same insecticide when applied at rate of 0.60 g.a.i./kg seed with 9.66 insects/plant but differed significantly from Thiamethoxam 70 WS and Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.45 g.a.i./kg seed. The latter was least effective against the sucking pests and at par with untreated control.

4. Conclusion

Imidacloprid 600 FS when applied as seed treatment at the rate of 0.75 g.a.i/kg seed was most effective against the sucking pests up to four week of seed germination with least 6.71 insect/plant. It was followed by Imidacloprid 600 FS @ 0.60 g.a.i./ kg seed and Thiamethoxam 70 WS @ 2.1 g.a.i./kg seed with 9.66 and 11.02 sucking pests/plant.

5. Future Scope

No conclusion can be drawn from one season study on population dynamics. Hence, such studies should by carried for 3-5 years to identify the most vulnerable stage of the pest and the crop. Studies to workout economic threshold level of major insect pests should be under taken to identify appropriate time of chemical protection. Insecticides comparatively safer to natural enemies should be identified. Further studies on the residual periods of insecticides on the crop and development of insecticide resistance in insect pests should be carried out.

6. Acknowledgment

With a sense of high resolve and reverence, I express my warmest feelings with deep sense of gratitude to adorable Dr. Rajeev Gupta, Professor, Department of ENTOMOLOGY, College of Agriculture, Raipur (C.G.),the is chairman of my advisory committee. I have no words to express my heartfelt thanks to him for invaluable and inspiring guidance, unfailing encouragement, suggestions, research insight, unique supervision, constructive criticism, scholarly advice, sympathetic attitude and keen interest throughout the investigation and preparation of this manuscript.

I have immense pleasure in expressing my whole hearted sense of appreciation for the other members of my Advisory Committee, Dr. D.J. Pophaly, Professor (Entomology), Dr. V. K. Koshta, Professor (Entomology) and Dr. Rajendra Lakpale, Senior Scientist (Agronomy), Dr. Ravi R. Saxena, Associate Professor (Department of Agricultural Statistics & Social Science (Language) for providing proper guidance and encouragement throughout the research work. Without their kind cooperation, it would not have been easy for me to complete this manuscript.

Reference

[1] Debjani, D., Mukherji, I. and Trimohan. (2008). Evaluation of some insecticides against Melanagromyza sojae Zehnt. and Bemisia tabaci Genn. on soybean. Pest, Res. J. 20(1): 72-74.

[2] Salunke, S.G., Munde, A. T., More, D. G., Mane, P. D. and Bidgire,U.S. (2004). Efficacy of some granular insecticides against insect pests of soybean seedlings, Journal of Soils and Crops, 14(1): 156-162.

[3] Siddiqui, K.H. and Trimohan. (2000), Evaluation of some insecticidal formulations against major insect pests, (Melanagromyza sojae Zehnt. and Bemisia tabaci Genn.) of soybean. Shashpa. 7(2): 167-170.

[4] Sutaria,V.K., Motka, M.N., Jethva, D.M.and Ramoliya, D.R. (2010). Field efficacy of insecticides against jassid, Empoasca kerri (Pruthi) in soybean. Annals Pl Prot. Sci.18 (1):94-97.

[5] The Soybean Processors Association of India, (2009), Area and Production Estimates of Soybean in India-Kharif (Monsoon), Based on crop survey conducted by SOPA.

[6] Venkatesan, T. and Kundu, G. G. (1994), Bio-efficacy of insecticides for the control of stemfly and white fly infesting the soybean crop Ind. J. Ent. 56(4): 418-421.

Author Profile

Harish Kumar Netam received B.Sc. (Ag) and M.Sc. (Ag) degree in Genetics and Plant Breeding, 2009 and 2011, respectively. He is currently working as Rural Agriculture Extension Officer in Government Agriculture Department, Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh) India.