

S-Toeplitz Operators on Orlicz Spaces

Dr. Shally Gupta
Associate Prof. in Mathematics
Govt. MAM College Jammu
shallyg31@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we characterize invariant and reducing subspaces of multiplication operators. The operator S_u on the Hardy Orlicz space which is analogous to the Toeplitz operator on the Hardy space is introduced.

PAMS (MOS): Mathematics subject classification Primary 47B38,
:Secondary: 46A06.

Keywords: Toeplitz operator, invariant subspace, Hardy Orlicz space.

1 Introduction

Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous convex function such that (i) $\phi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$

(ii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = \infty$.

Such a function ϕ is known as young function. Let (X, S, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let $L^\phi(\mu) = \{f : X \rightarrow C \text{ is measurable} : \int \phi(\epsilon |f|) d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\}$. If we set $\|f\|_\phi = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : \int \phi(|f|/\epsilon) d\mu \leq 1\}$, then $L^\phi(\mu)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\phi$. If $\phi(x) = x^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, then $L^\phi(\mu) = L^p(\mu)$, the well known Banach space of p-integrable functions on X.

A young function $\phi : R \rightarrow R^+$ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition (globally) if $\phi(2x) < k\phi(x), x \geq x_0 \geq 0 (x_0 = 0)$ for some absolute constant $k > 0$. If $\mu(X) = \infty$ then ϕ is called Δ_2 -regular. With each young function ϕ we can associate another convex function $\psi : R \rightarrow R^+$ defined by $\psi(y) = \sup\{x|y| - \phi(x) : x \geq 0\}, y \in R$ which have similar properties. The function ψ is called the complementary function to ϕ . In general, simple functions are not dense in $L^\phi(\mu)$, but in case ϕ satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, then the class of simple function become dense in $L^\phi(\mu)$. For more literature concerning orlicz space we refer to Roo¹ Kufner² and Hudzik³.

A young function $\phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^+$ is called an N-function if it satisfies the following conditions

(i) $\phi(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$

(ii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} = 0$

(iii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} = \infty$

and ϕ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition (globally) denoted as $\phi \in \Delta_2$ if $\phi(2x) < k\phi(x), x \geq x_0 \geq 0 (x_0 = 0)$ for some absolute constant $k > 0$. If the measure space is infinite then we say that ϕ is Δ_2 regular. The space $L^\phi(\mu)$ of all measurable func-

tions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that $\alpha f \in \tilde{L}^\phi(\mu)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, is called an orlicz space. i.e., $L^\phi(\mu) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{R}, \text{measurable} \mid \int_\Omega \phi(\alpha f) d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0\}$. If we set

$$\|f\|_\phi = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : \int_\Omega \phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\epsilon}\right) d\mu \leq 1\},$$

then $L^\phi(\mu)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\phi$. If $\phi(x) = x^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, then $L^p(\mu) = L^\phi(\mu)$. Therefore the orlicz spaces are considered as the generalization of L^p -spaces. The Hardy orlicz class \tilde{H}^ϕ is defined as

$$\tilde{H}^\phi = \{f \mid f : D \rightarrow C \text{ is analytic and } \sup_{0 \leq r \leq 1} \int_0^{2\pi} (\log |f(re^{i\theta})|) d\mu(\theta) < \infty\}$$

If $f : D \rightarrow C$ is analytic such that $\alpha f \in \tilde{H}^\phi$ for some α , then the Hardy Orlicz class is known as Hardy Orlicz space.

Theorem 1.1 : Suppose $L^2(\mu) \subset L^\phi(\mu)$ and $M_u \in B(L^\phi(\mu))$. Then $L^2(\mu)$ is invariant under M_u if and only if $u \in L^\infty(\mu)$.

Proof: If M_u is bounded, then $u \in L^\infty(\mu)$ and hence $M_u(L^2(\mu)) \subset L^2(u)$.

Theorem 1.2 : Let $u \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Then S_u is invertible if and only if M_u is invertible, where $S_u = M_u|_{L^2(\mu)}$, (the restriction of M_u to $L^2(\mu)$).

Proof: If S_u is invertible in $L^2(\mu)$, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|S_u f\|_2 \geq \epsilon \|f\|_2$ for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$. This implies that $|u(x)| \geq \epsilon$ for μ -almost all $x \in \Omega$ and so $M_u \in B(L^\phi(\mu))$. Thus M_u is invertible with M_u^{-1} as its inverse.

Corollary 1.3 : *The mapping $\beta : L^\infty(\mu) \rightarrow B(H^\phi(\mu))$ is an isometry.*

Proof: For $u \in L^\infty(\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_\infty &= \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{ran}u\} \\ &= \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(S_u) \leq \|S_u\| \leq \|u\|_\infty\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|S_u\| \leq \|u\|_\infty$.

Theorem 1.4 : *If $u \in L^\infty([\theta, 2\pi])$, then H^ϕ is invariant under M_u if and only if $u \in H^\phi$.*

Proof: If $u \in H^\phi$, then $u.e_n \in H^\phi$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial. Since $\int_0^{2\pi} u.e_n du = 0$ for every $n=1, 2, \dots$, it follows that $\int_0^{2\pi} u(z)p(z)d\mu(z) = 0$. Further since polynomials are dense in H^ϕ , we have $uH^\phi \subset H^\phi$.

Theorem 1.5 : *For each inner function u , the space $u.H^\phi$ is a closed invariant subspace of M_{e_1} .*

Proof: If u is an inner function, then the mapping $M_u : H^\phi \rightarrow H^\phi$ is an isometry. Therefore its range $u.H^\phi$ is a closed subspace of H^ϕ . Thus $M_{e_1}(u.f) = M_u(e_1.f) \in H^\phi$.

References

- [1] M.M.Rao and Z.D.Ren, Theory of orlicz spaces, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, Basel and Hong Kong ,1991.
- [2] A.Kufner, O.John and S.Fuick, Function Spaces, Academia Prague, 1977.
- [3] H.Hudzik, AS { italic chi } v Math 44(1985) 535-38.

- [4] P.R. Halmos, A Hilbert space problem book, Van Nostrand Princeton, N.J. 1977.
- [5] R.G. Douglas, Banach Algebra Technique in operator Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1972.