

Generative Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Applications, Challenges and Future Directions

Akshay Nayak B. S.¹, Radhika S. N.²

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jawaharlal Nehru New College of Engineering, Shivamogga, India
Email: akshaynayak5500[at]gmail.com

²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jawaharlal Nehru New College of Engineering, Shivamogga, India
Email: radhikachethan13[at]gmail.com

Abstract: *Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has rapidly influenced higher education by supporting teaching, learning, assessment, research, and institutional services. This review paper analyzes recent studies published between 2020 and 2026 related to large language models, AI tutors, coding assistants, and automated academic systems. The review highlights the benefits of GenAI, including personalized learning, improved productivity, accessibility, and scalable student support. At the same time, concerns regarding academic integrity, hallucination, privacy, bias, and excessive dependency remain significant. The study also identifies research gaps in long-term learning impact, policy frameworks, explainable AI, and discipline-specific adoption. The paper concludes that responsible and policy-driven integration of GenAI can significantly transform higher education.*

Keywords: Generative AI, Higher Education, ChatGPT, Artificial Intelligence in Education, Large Language Models

1. Introduction

Gen AI has become one of the most influential technologies in modern education. Universities increasingly use AI-powered systems such as ChatGPT, Gemini, and Copilot for tutoring, content generation, coding support, and administrative assistance. These tools enable students to receive immediate feedback and personalized support. However, concerns regarding plagiarism, misinformation, privacy, and ethical use continue to challenge educational institutions. This paper reviews the major applications, opportunities, challenges, and future scope of GenAI in higher education.

2. Literature Review

Recent literature shows rapid growth in the integration of GenAI across universities. Studies report that AI systems improve personalized learning, assist in programming education, and reduce faculty workload. Researchers have also highlighted concerns related to academic dishonesty, hallucinated responses, and algorithmic bias. Several authors recommend redesigning assessment systems through project-based and process-oriented evaluations. Existing research mainly focuses on short-term outcomes, indicating the necessity for more longitudinal and discipline-specific studies.

3. Methodology

This paper follows a systematic literature review methodology. Research articles were collected from Scopus, IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar. Studies published between 2020 and 2026 were considered. Inclusion criteria focused on peer-reviewed papers discussing applications, benefits, ethical issues, and

adoption of GenAI in higher education. Duplicate and non-academic sources were excluded.

4. Results and Discussion

The review indicates that GenAI is widely used for intelligent tutoring, content generation, programming support, research assistance, and student services. Major benefits include personalized learning, higher productivity, accessibility, and scalability. However, literature consistently identifies academic misconduct, hallucination, privacy risks, and dependency as critical challenges. Universities across the globe are increasingly moving toward AI-aware assessment methods such as viva voce, project demonstrations, and reflective evaluations. The findings also reveal that institutions with formal AI policies and faculty training programs demonstrate better adoption outcomes.

5. Research Gaps

Several gaps remain in current research. Long-term evidence regarding the impact of GenAI on critical thinking and learning outcomes is limited. There is a lack of studies from developing countries and a limited availability of discipline-specific frameworks. Existing literature also lacks validated institutional policies, explainable AI models, and secure privacy-focused deployment frameworks.

6. Future Scope

Future educational AI systems are expected to support personalized learning ecosystems, multimodal tutors, and autonomous academic assistants. Universities may develop private AI platforms integrated with institutional learning systems for better security and governance. AI literacy, ethical training, and explainable AI are likely to become

essential components of future curricula. Human-AI collaborative teaching models may also improve educational quality and accessibility.

7. Conclusion

Generative AI has the potential to significantly reshape higher education through personalized support, automation, and scalable learning assistance. Although technology offers major educational advantages, responsible adoption is essential to address issues related to integrity, privacy, bias, and overdependence. Universities must balance faculty readiness, innovation with ethical governance and assessment redesign. Future research should focus on long-term educational impact, inclusive AI systems, and sustainable institutional frameworks.

References

- [1] S. Kasneci et al., "ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education," *Learning and Individual Differences*, 2023.
- [2] D. Cotton et al., "Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT," *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 2024.
- [3] C. K. Lo, "What is the impact of ChatGPT on education?" *Education Sciences*, 2023.
- [4] UNESCO, *Guidance for Generative AI in Education and Research*, Paris, 2023.
- [5] OECD, *Generative AI in Education: Opportunities and Risks*, OECD Publishing, 2025.
- [6] Y. Chen, "AI coding assistants and computer science education," *ACM Computing Surveys*, 2024