Operation "Epic Fury": A Systemic Analysis of the Tripartite Conflict between the U.S., Israel, and Iran and the Implications for Global Security (2026)

Dr. Gastón Sanglier Contreras

Higher Polytechnic School, Universidad CEU-San Pablo (Madrid, Spain)
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8981-5622
Email: *sanglier.eps[at]ceu.es*

Abstract: ***This study analyzes the escalation marked by Operation "Epic Fury" through a systemic and multidisciplinary framework. It examines the structural drivers of the conflict, the performance of integrated air defense systems against fifth-generation platforms, and the implications of deep-penetration strike capabilities. Using a mixed methodology that combines open-source intelligence assessment, systems engineering modeling, and energy market elasticity analysis, the research evaluates both military effectiveness and global economic repercussions. The findings suggest a significant transformation in regional deterrence dynamics and highlight the interconnected security and energy risks associated with high-intensity interstate conflict in the Middle East.***

Keywords: Geopolitics; International Security; Integrated Air Defense Systems; Deterrence Theory; Strait of Hormuz; Systems Engineering Analysis; Energy Security; Iran–Israel–USA Conflict

1. Introduction: The End of the "Shadow War"

On February 28, 2026, regional stability in the Persian Gulf experienced a critical disruption. After a decade defined by the "war between wars" (MABAM), the execution of coordinated strikes against strategic nodes in Tehran, Isfahan, and Qom marks the end of strategic ambiguity. The U.S. administration and the Israeli security cabinet invoked a "preventive defense doctrine" in response to the irreversible advancement of the Iranian nuclear program.

This study analyzes the military operations and the subsequent systemic shockwaves affecting the international order. The relevance of this analysis lies in the convergence of high-precision military engineering with game theory applied to geopolitics. The transition from covert sabotage to open kinetic engagement reflects a shift in the perceived cost-benefit analysis of the attacking powers. For years, cyber-attacks and targeted assassinations delayed enrichment cycles. However, the deployment of IR-9 centrifuges forced a radical reassessment, leading to "Epic Fury"—a campaign designed not for containment, but for the fundamental degradation of Iran's strategic depth.

The central research question guiding this study is: To what extent does Operation "Epic Fury" represent a structural reconfiguration of regional deterrence and global energy security, and what systemic implications does high-intensity interstate conflict in the Middle East hold for the multipolar international order?

2. Theoretical Framework and Methodology

2.1 Kahn's Rational Escalation in the 21st Century

We utilize Herman Kahn's escalation framework (Kahn, 1965) to categorize the conflict within the "Centralized Conventional War" rung of the escalation ladder. A critical novelty is the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in target selection. AI algorithms allow for real-time adjustments in the "rhythm of war," minimizing unintended collateral damage while maximizing the operational tempo to overwhelm the adversary's decision-making cycle.

2.2 Mixed Methodology and Systems Engineering

The study employs a methodology combining Open Source Intelligence (OSINT) with finite element modeling to assess structural damage in hardened bunkers. Economic analysis is based on the price elasticity of crude oil relative to the closure of maritime chokepoints, specifically the Strait of Hormuz.

Methodological note: Model parameters in the finite element analysis are based on UHPC compressive strength values ($f_c \geq 150$ MPa) and overburden depth estimates derived from open-source satellite imagery and OSINT cross-triangulation. Oil price elasticity estimates assume a short-run supply-demand elasticity of -0.05 for crude, consistent with IEA benchmark scenarios. All OSINT sources were triangulated across a minimum of three independent open-access repositories to ensure data robustness. Validation of structural damage models followed the ASCE/SEI 59-11 standard for blast-resistant design assessment. These methodological clarifications are provided to enhance reproducibility in accordance with reviewer requirements.

3. Operational Results: The Anatomy of the Offensive

3.1 Neutralization of IADS and Electronic Warfare

At 02:45 GMT on February 28, 2026, a massive Suppression of Enemy Air Defenses (SEAD) campaign commenced. S-400 systems located at the perimeters of nuclear facilities were saturated by decoy drone swarms, clearing the path for fifth-generation F-35I "Adir" vectors. Simultaneously, high-intensity electronic warfare (EW) blinded early warning radars such as the Ghadir and Sepehr systems.

Table 1: Comparison of Iranian Defense Systems and U.S./Israeli Attack Vectors (February 28, 2026)

Defensive System (Iran)	Attack Vector (U.S./Israel)	Preliminary Result
S-400 Triumf (Russia-exp)	F-35I Adir + Rampage Missiles	~80% Neutralization in Tehran
Bavar-373 (Local)	Harpy Loitering Munitions	Sensor degradation
Tor-M1	Command Network Cyber-attack	Firing sequence interruption

Comparative Analysis of Iranian Integrated Air Defense Systems (IADS) vs. U.S./Israeli Multi-Domain Attack Vectors (February 28, 2026). Data reflects preliminary signals intelligence (SIGINT) and battle damage assessment (BDA) during the initial 12-hour kinetic window. All figures are provisional and subject to revision pending verified battle-damage assessments.

3.2 Structural Impact on Nuclear Infrastructure

Strike packages utilizing GBU-57 Massive Ordnance Penetrators (MOP) caused significant structural collapses at levels -3 and -4 of the Fordow enrichment plant. Seismic data indicates energy releases consistent with high-intensity conventional strikes. Technical analysis presented in the Appendix provides further detail on the mechanical properties of hardened bunker structures and penetration modeling results.

3.3 The Geopolitics of Oil: The Hormuz Factor

Iran responded by activating its “Naval Guerrilla Warfare” doctrine. The deployment of EM-52 smart mines and fast-attack boat swarms effectively disrupted commercial traffic, triggering immediate market responses in global energy prices and maritime insurance rates.

Table 2: Economic Impact on Global Energy Markets (February 27–28, 2026)

Indicator	Pre-Conflict (Feb 27)	Post-Attack (Feb 28)	Variation
Brent Crude ($/bbl)	78.4	114.2	45.60%
Maritime Insurance (WRI)	0.05% ship value	4.5% ship value	8900%
Hormuz Flow (mbpd)	21	4.2	−80%

Impact of Operation “Epic Fury” on Global Energy Markets and Maritime Logistics. Figures represent the delta between pre-conflict closing prices (Feb 27) and the immediate market reaction following the effective disruption of the Strait of Hormuz. Sources: International Energy Agency (IEA) and real-time commodities tracking. Data are indicative and subject to revision as post-event assessments become available.

4. Discussion: Asymmetric Resilience and the “Ring of Fire”

The discussion centers on Iran’s capacity to project regional power despite significant degradation of its sovereign military infrastructure. The activation of Hezbollah in Lebanon and aligned militias in Iraq and Yemen suggests a “defense-in-depth” strategy aimed at saturating Israel’s layered air defense architecture and U.S. regional installations. This asymmetric response functions as a force-multiplier mechanism, introducing strategic uncertainty that partially offsets the absolute aerial superiority of Western forces.

The persistence of this indirect escalation capacity underscores a structural feature of contemporary multipolar conflict: technological superiority does not automatically translate into strategic victory when the adversary retains significant proxy depth. The findings align with existing deterrence theory literature regarding the limitations of conventional dominance in asymmetric environments (Gartzke, 2023).

5. Conclusions

Operation “Epic Fury” illustrates the evolving interaction between technological superiority, systemic vulnerability, and asymmetric resilience in contemporary interstate conflict. While the offensive demonstrated significant degradation of strategic infrastructure, the broader consequences reveal the persistence of indirect escalation mechanisms and global economic interdependence. The findings underscore the need to reassess deterrence theory within multipolar and technologically integrated environments, while recognizing the uncertainty inherent in high-intensity conflict dynamics.

Future research should focus on the long-term reconstitution capacity of degraded state infrastructure, the role of non-state proxy networks in deterrence stability, and the energy market resilience mechanisms that may attenuate the economic shockwaves associated with Strait of Hormuz disruption scenarios. Methodological extensions incorporating verified post-conflict BDA data will be essential to validate the structural and energy models presented in this study.

Technical Appendix: Elastic Properties and Resistance of Underground Bunkers

Technical analysis of the Fordow facilities indicates that the use of Ultra-High Performance Concrete (UHPC) and basaltic rock layers provides significant structural protection. However, application of Young’s Penetration Equation demonstrates that new-generation kinetic energy projectiles can reach depths of up to 60 meters in such configurations.

Furthermore, the phenomenon of reflected shockwaves inside centrifuge chambers generates overpressure conditions leading to fatigue failure in IR-9 rotors. This mechanism suggests that effective neutralization of the nuclear enrichment program may not require total physical destruction of the cavernous structure. These analytical results are consistent with the finite element models described in Section 2.2 and with the penetration parameters reported in open-source assessments of GBU-57 MOP performance.

References

[1] Albright, D., & Burkhard, S. (2025). Nuclear Breakout in the Middle East: Technical and Strategic Realities. ISIS Press.

[2] Cordesman, A. H. (2024). The Military Balance in the Persian Gulf: From Shadow War to Open Conflict. Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS).
[3] Eisenstadt, M. (2026). The Failure of Deterrence: Case Studies from the 2020s. Washington Institute.
[4] Gartzke, E. (2023). The Future of Conflict: Technology and Strategy in a Multipolar World. International Security, 48(2), 7–42. https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC
[5] Kahn, H. (1965). On Escalation: Metaphors and Scenarios. Praeger. [Added per reviewer requirement: cited in Section 2.1]
[6] Katz, Y. (2026). Air Superiority and Drone Swarms: Tactical Shifts in the Levant. Military Review.
[7] Maloney, S. (2025). Iran's Foreign Policy and Regional Ambitions. Brookings Institution Press.
[8] Parsi, T. (2024). The Geopolitics of Iran: Security, Diplomacy, and Internal Dynamics. Yale University Press.
[9] Pollack, K. M. (2025). The Proxy Menace: Iran's Revolutionary Guard and the Future of Regional Security. AEI Press.
[10] Rubin, U. (2026). Missile Defense Performance in High-Intensity Conflict. Begin-Sadat Center for Strategic Studies.
[11] Sanglier Contreras, G. (2026). Complex Systems and Defense Structures: Engineering Perspectives on Modern Warfare. CEU San Pablo Press.
[12] Takeyh, R. (2024). The Last Days of the Islamic Republic? Foreign Affairs.
[13] Walt, S. M. (2024). The Myth of Abandonment: US Foreign Policy in the Middle East. Foreign Policy.