

A Psychological and Behavioural Study of Bank Employees

Manumon K. R.

Department of Psychology, Centre of Distance and Online Education, Jain (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru, India

Abstract: ***Background:** The banking sector is characterized by increasing performance demands, technological changes, regulatory pressures, and customer service expectations, all of which may affect employee psychological well-being. **Objective:** This study examined occupational stress, emotional regulation, job satisfaction, work-life balance, and psychological well-being among bank employees. **Method:** A quantitative cross-sectional design was employed among bank employees from public and private sector banks in Kerala. Data were collected using structured psychological and behavioural assessment measures. Descriptive and inferential statistical techniques were used to analyze the data. The study design, sampling framework, and psychological assessment approach were derived from the dissertation methodology. **Results:** Findings indicated moderate-to-high occupational stress among employees. Target pressure, workload, emotional labor, and work-family conflict emerged as significant stressors. Private sector employees reported higher stress levels than public sector employees. Increased stress was associated with reduced emotional regulation, lower psychological well-being, and decreased job satisfaction. Work-family conflict and workload significantly predicted negative psychological outcomes. **Conclusion:** Banking employees experience substantial psychological demands that may adversely affect well-being and performance. Organizational interventions focusing on stress management, emotional intelligence development, and work-life balance are recommended.*

Keywords: occupational stress, bank employees, psychological well-being, emotional intelligence, job satisfaction, work-life balance

1. Introduction

The banking industry has undergone extensive transformation due to technological innovation, financial liberalization, regulatory reforms, and increased competition. While these developments have enhanced operational efficiency, they have also intensified workplace demands on employees. Banking professionals are required to maintain high levels of accuracy, customer responsiveness, compliance adherence, and performance achievement, often under significant time pressure.

Occupational stress has emerged as a major concern within the banking sector. High workloads, aggressive targets, role ambiguity, emotional labor, and work-family conflict contribute to psychological strain and reduced job satisfaction. Previous studies have emphasized the role of emotional intelligence and coping strategies in mitigating workplace stress and improving employee well-being.

The present study investigates the psychological and behavioral characteristics of bank employees and examines how workplace demands influence psychological well-being and work performance.

2. Objectives

- 1) To assess occupational stress among bank employees.
- 2) To examine emotional regulation and psychological well-being.
- 3) To investigate work-life balance and job satisfaction.
- 4) To compare psychological outcomes across banking sectors.
- 5) To identify workplace factors contributing to stress and behavioral outcomes.

3. Method

Research Design

The study adopted a quantitative, descriptive, and cross-sectional research design. This approach facilitated the assessment of psychological variables and comparison of outcomes across different employee groups.

Participants

Participants consisted of bank employees from public and private sector banks in Kerala. The sample represented various designations, genders, and experience levels. Stratified sampling was used to ensure adequate representation across occupational categories.

Instruments

Data collection included demographic information, workplace attitudes, stress indicators, organizational factors, and work-life balance measures. The study also incorporated validated psychological assessment instruments including the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10), Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ), Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (TEIQue-SF), Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS-21), Organizational Citizenship Behavior Scale, and Work-Family Conflict Scale.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, independent sample t-tests, ANOVA, and regression techniques.

4. Results

Occupational Stress

The mean psychological and behavioral score indicated moderate-to-high occupational strain among bank employees. Stress was consistently observed across different employee groups.

Volume 15 Issue 10, October 2026

Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal

www.ijsr.net

Target pressure and workload emerged as the strongest workplace stressors, followed by work-family interference and emotional labor demands. Employees reported substantial pressure to achieve organizational targets while maintaining service quality.

Sector Differences

Private sector employees demonstrated significantly higher stress levels compared with public sector employees. Performance pressures, sales targets, and appraisal systems were identified as contributing factors.

Emotional Regulation

Employees with higher emotional intelligence reported better emotional control and resilience when dealing with customer-related stressors. Emotional intelligence appeared to buffer the adverse effects of workplace pressure.

Work-Life Balance

Work-family conflict was a significant predictor of negative psychological outcomes. Long working hours and after-hours communication contributed to work-life imbalance and reduced well-being.

5. Discussion

The findings indicate that occupational stress is a pervasive issue among banking professionals. The results align with theoretical perspectives suggesting that excessive job demands coupled with limited coping resources contribute to psychological strain. The observed relationship between workload, work-family conflict, and psychological well-being supports previous research highlighting the importance of organizational support systems.

The higher stress levels reported by private sector employees suggest that performance-driven environments may increase psychological vulnerability. Furthermore, emotional intelligence emerged as a protective factor, supporting its role in enhancing employee resilience and workplace adjustment.

The findings also highlight the impact of digital transformation and evolving workplace expectations. Technological adaptation, customer service demands, and continuous performance monitoring may collectively contribute to employee stress and reduced well-being.

Practical Implications

Organizations should:

- Implement employee mental health programs.
- Provide stress management and resilience training.
- Encourage work-life balance initiatives.
- Promote emotional intelligence development.
- Review workload distribution and performance expectations.
- Establish employee assistance and counseling services.

These interventions may enhance employee well-being, job satisfaction, and organizational effectiveness.

6. Limitations

The study was limited to bank employees within Kerala and utilized a cross-sectional design. Self-report measures may be subject to response bias. Future studies should employ longitudinal designs and larger geographically diverse samples.

7. Conclusion

The present study demonstrates that occupational stress remains a significant challenge among bank employees. Workplace pressures, target-driven cultures, emotional labor, and work-family conflict contribute substantially to psychological strain. Strengthening organizational support systems, promoting employee well-being, and fostering healthy work environments are essential for sustaining productivity and improving quality of work life in the banking sector.

References

- [1] Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.
- [2] Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.
- [3] Herzberg, F. (1959). *The motivation to work*. Wiley.
- [4] Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), 397–422.
- [5] World Health Organization. (2022). *Mental health at work*. WHO.