International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Evaluating Accessibility and Coordination Mechanisms in Employment Mobile Applications for Persons with Disabilities: A Content Analysis Study

Kiriyanthan Joseph M[1], Poothullil M M[2]

[1]Department of Social Work, Christ Deemed University Bangalore, India
Email: *mathewkcmi[at]gmail.com*

[2]Senior Visiting Faculty, Department of Communication and Journalism, University of Mumbai, India

Abstract: ***The rapid expansion of mobile-based employment platforms in India has transformed recruitment and job-search processes; however, their inclusivity for persons with disabilities (PwDs) remains uncertain. This study evaluates the extent to which employment-oriented mobile applications integrate accessibility features, communication structures, and coordination mechanisms that enable equitable participation for PwDs. Guided by the Social Model of Disability (Oliver, 2017) and Communication Accommodation Theory (Giles, 2016), a systematic content analysis was conducted on 32 employment-related mobile applications retrieved from the Google Play Store. The analysis examined interface design, navigation pathways, communication clarity, and accessibility supports relevant to digital employment coordination. Findings reveal substantial gaps: 72% of applications lack screen-reader compatibility, 74% contain inaccessible forms, 81% do not offer disability-sensitive job filters, 69% lack navigational cues, and 63% provide unclear instructional communication. These deficiencies significantly constrain autonomous job-search and application processes for PwDs and mirror broader patterns of digital marginalization within India's labour market, where workforce participation among PwDs remains low at 36%. The study concludes that inclusive digital employment requires more than basic accessibility; it necessitates coordinated design, adaptive communication, and universal design principles. The findings contribute to international debates on digital inclusion, disability studies, and employment communication by highlighting how socio-technical neglect perpetuates exclusion in mobile employment ecosystems.***

Keywords: accessibility, coordination mechanisms, employment, mobile applications, persons with disabilities

1. Introduction

Digital technologies increasingly mediate employment-related activities such as job searching, application submission, employer communication, and documentation management. In India's mobile-first economy, employment-oriented mobile applications have become critical gateways to labour-market participation (Mehrotra & Parida, 2021). For persons with disabilities (PwDs), these platforms hold potential to reduce structural barriers by enabling remote access, flexible communication, and individualized workflows. However, such potential is contingent upon the integration of accessibility features and disability-sensitive coordination mechanisms.

Despite policy initiatives promoting inclusive employment, labour-force participation among PwDs in India remains critically low at approximately 36% (Census of India, 2011; NSSO, 2019). Barriers include inaccessible recruitment systems, limited reasonable accommodation, and inadequate digital infrastructures (Singh, 2020). Research suggests that many digital platforms reproduce offline inequalities through ableist design assumptions that privilege normative users (Goggin & Ellis, 2020). Against this backdrop, the present study systematically evaluates employment mobile applications in India to assess whether their design and communication structures facilitate or hinder digital employment inclusion for PwDs.

2. Need for the Study

The need for this study arises from three interrelated concerns. First, persistent employment exclusion of PwDs continues despite legislative protections and policy commitments. Second, the rapid growth of mobile-based employment ecosystems has shifted recruitment processes to digital platforms without adequate scrutiny of accessibility compliance. Third, existing research indicates widespread non-adherence to international accessibility standards, such as the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG), in employment-related digital systems (Henry et al., 2014; Chakraborty & Bhattacharya, 2022).

Preliminary analysis highlights systemic exclusion: 72% of applications lack screen-reader compatibility, 74% employ inaccessible forms, 81% omit disability-sensitive job filters, 69% lack guided navigation cues, and 63% fail to provide clear instructional communication. Under the Social Model of Disability, such exclusion reflects structural and communicative barriers rather than individual impairments (Oliver, 2017). This study therefore addresses a critical research and policy gap by examining digital employment platforms as socio-technical systems shaping labour-market inclusion.

3. Theoretical Framework

3.1 Social Model of Disability

The Social Model of Disability conceptualizes disability as a product of socially constructed barriers rather than individual impairments (Oliver, 2017). In digital contexts, exclusion arises when technologies fail to accommodate diverse sensory, cognitive, and motor needs. Applied to employment applications, this framework shifts analytical focus toward design limitations such as inaccessible navigation, rigid workflows, and absence of assistive features.

3.2 Communication Accommodation Theory

Communication Accommodation Theory (CAT) explains how communicators adapt their communication to facilitate understanding and inclusion (Giles, 2016). In mobile applications, accommodation is reflected through clear instructions, multimodal communication, error-friendly feedback, and personalized interaction options. Failure to accommodate diverse communicative needs increases cognitive load and restricts task completion for PwDs.

4. Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to evaluate how accessibility features, communication structures, and coordination mechanisms in employment mobile applications influence digital employment inclusion for persons with disabilities.

5. Hypotheses

H1: Employment applications with stronger accessibility features demonstrate higher levels of disability-sensitive coordination mechanisms.
H2: Employment applications with clear and multimodal communication structures offer better digital inclusion outcomes for PwDs than those with ambiguous communication.

6. Methodology

A systematic content analysis design was employed to examine 32 employment-related mobile applications identified through keyword searches on the Google Play Store on 3 December 2025. Content analysis enables rigorous evaluation of observable interface features, navigation pathways, communication prompts, and accessibility supports (Neuendorf, 2017).

Applications were coded across three analytical dimensions: accessibility features, communication structures, and coordination mechanisms. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify patterns of inclusion and exclusion. The methodological approach combined qualitative interpretive coding with quantitative frequency analysis.

7. Results and Findings

The findings reveal pervasive accessibility and coordination deficits. A majority of applications lacked screen-reader compatibility (72%) and accessible forms (74%), directly impeding job application processes. Disability-sensitive job filters were absent in 81% of applications, limiting relevant job discovery. Communication analysis indicated that 63% of applications failed to provide clear, step-by-step instructions, while coordination mechanisms such as guided workflows, progress indicators, and feedback loops were largely absent.

These findings suggest that employment applications often assume high levels of visual, cognitive, and digital literacy, thereby reinforcing technological ableism. The lack of integrated communication and coordination features results in fragmented employment pathways for PwDs.

8. Discussion

Interpreted through the Social Model of Disability, the findings demonstrate that digital exclusion is embedded within application design rather than individual impairment. From a communication perspective, limited accommodation undermines users' ability to coordinate employment tasks independently. The misalignment between technical systems and social needs reflects broader socio-technical failures within India's digital employment ecosystem.

9. Conclusion

This study provides empirical evidence that employment-oriented mobile applications in India largely fail to meet accessibility and communication requirements necessary for inclusive digital employment. While basic accessibility features are present in some applications, the absence of coordinated design and adaptive communication structures limits meaningful participation for PwDs. The study underscores the need for universal design, policy enforcement, and disability-centred communication models to advance equitable digital labour markets.

References

[1] Agarwal, R., & Prasad, J. (1999). Are individual differences germane to the acceptance of new information technologies? *Decision Sciences, 30*(2), 361–391.
[2] Berkowitz, M., & Stucki, B. (2019). Digital inclusion and disability: Policy, practice and prospects. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 30*(4), 205–218.
[3] Census of India. (2011). *Data on disability and employment*. Government of India.
[4] Chakraborty, S., & Bhattacharya, S. (2022). Accessibility of digital public services in India. *Journal of Information Policy, 12*, 214–231.
[5] Giles, H. (2016). Communication accommodation theory. In *The international encyclopedia of communication theory and philosophy*. Wiley.
[6] Goggin, G., & Ellis, K. (2020). *Disability and digital inequality*. Polity Press.

[7] Henry, S. L., Abou-Zahra, S., & Brewer, J. (2014). The role of accessibility in a universal web. *ACM Interactions, 21*(3), 28–31.
[8] Mehrotra, S., & Parida, J. K. (2021). India's employment challenge in the digital economy. *Economic and Political Weekly, 56*(4), 38–46.
[9] Neuendorf, K. A. (2017). *The content analysis guidebook* (2nd ed.). Sage.
[10] NSSO. (2019). *Persons with disabilities in India*. Government of India.
[11] Oliver, M. (2017). *The politics of disablement*. Palgrave Macmillan.
[12] Singh, R. (2020). Disability and labour-market exclusion in India. *Indian Journal of Human Development, 14*(2), 239–255.