

The Trusteeship Paradox: How Tata's Unique Governance Structure Both Defines and Tests its Century-Old Ethical Legacy

Deepak Kumar Barik

University of Delhi

Abstract: *The Tata Group has long been regarded as a distinctive example of ethical capitalism, built on a governance framework that integrates commercial success with social responsibility. Rooted in the philosophical foundations laid by its founders and institutionalized through the Tata Code of Conduct, the Group operates on values that prioritize integrity, accountability, and stakeholder welfare. Central to this model is the Trusteeship structure, under which Tata Trusts retain a controlling stake in Tata Sons, ensuring that corporate wealth is consistently redirected toward philanthropic objectives. This paper examines how this structure has enabled the Group to develop a durable ethics-driven identity while simultaneously exposing vulnerabilities in the context of modern regulatory expectations and market transparency. By analyzing the Group's ESG commitments, compliance mechanisms, and recent internal governance tensions, the discussion highlights how ethical reputation can translate into tangible economic value, as well as how governance instability can rapidly erode that trust. The analysis positions the Trusteeship Paradox as both a strength and a point of fragility, offering insights into the challenges of sustaining ethical leadership in large, complex corporate institutions.*

Keywords: corporate governance, ethical capitalism, trusteeship model, ESG leadership, Tata Group

1. Executive Summary and Strategic Overview

The Tata Group stands as a global benchmark for ethical corporate practice, distinguishing itself from typical shareholder-centric conglomerates through a governance model rooted deeply in social welfare and institutionalized accountability. This model, often referred to as the "Tata Way," is founded on five core values- Integrity, Responsibility, Excellence, Pioneering, and Unity. These values are operationalized through the stringent 'Tata Code of Conduct' (TCoC), which mandates professional, honest, and transparent dealings across its diverse global portfolio.

The Group's commitment extends significantly "Beyond Compliance," exemplified by ambitious Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) initiatives, notably Project Aalingana, which commits the conglomerate to achieving Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2045.

However, the defining feature of the Group- the Trusteeship model- presents a structural paradox that is currently testing its core integrity. The Tata Trusts hold a controlling 66% stake in Tata Sons, the holding company, ensuring that corporate profits are perpetually channeled toward philanthropic objectives. While this structure has defined the Group's ethical superiority, recent internal conflicts among trustees over governance and board appointments have created volatility and raised serious concerns about structural resilience. This report analyzes the institutionalized ethical practices of the Tata Group and presents a case study on the Trusteeship Paradox, arguing that the future stability of the "Ethics Premium" depends on addressing inherent tensions between philanthropic control and modern corporate transparency.

2. The Philosophical Bedrock of Indian Enterprise: The Tata Way

The ethical architecture of the Tata Group is not a modern compliance addition but a legacy established by its founders, making integrity an existential business prerequisite rather than a discretionary policy.

a) The Legacy of Jamsetji Tata and the Societal Mandate

The Group's ethical framework originated with its founder, Jamsetji Tata, who believed that a business must operate in a manner that respects the rights of all its stakeholders and actively creates overall value for society. This foundational principle evolved into the formal governance philosophy articulated by JRD Tata in 1973, which asserted that corporate enterprises must be managed not merely in the interests of their owners (shareholders), but equally in those of their employees, consumers, the local community, and finally, the country as a whole. This vision institutionalized a mandate of responsibility that perpetually balances commercial ambition with profound civic duty.

b) Trusteeship as an Operational Philosophy: A Historical and Nuanced View

The unique structure of the Tata Group was heavily influenced by the Gandhian philosophy of Trusteeship. This concept suggests that wealthy individuals should regard their assets not as personal property, but as a trust to be managed for the welfare of the broader community.

The Group successfully operationalized this philosophy through the establishment of the Tata Trusts. These trusts hold 66% of the equity share capital in Tata Sons, ensuring that the majority of corporate dividends fund extensive philanthropic causes such as education, healthcare, and livelihood generation. This structure provides a mechanism for balancing capitalistic pursuit with a core societal

mission.

A historical observation highlights the distinction between the Group's practical model and the original ideological concept. While the structure was influenced by Mahatma Gandhi's idea, Gandhi himself reportedly suggested that Jamshedji Tata's philanthropic model, while commendable for employee care, was "far from" true trusteeship, which ideally entails radical non-possession and voluntary poverty, citing Jammalal Bajaj as a closer example. This point is critical: the Tata model represents a *pragmatic application* of Trusteeship, adapting the moral imperative to share wealth within a large-scale, profit-generating corporate framework. This adaptation allows the Group to maintain immense commercial strength while institutionally

committing the majority of its holding company's profits to the public good, cementing the uniqueness of the "Tata Way" in global corporate governance.

c) Codifying Integrity: Central Tenets of the Tata Code of Conduct (TCoC)

The TCoC, first formalized by Ratan Tata, serves as the ethical bedrock governing the entire conglomerate. It mandates that every employee, including Whole-time Directors and the Managing Director, must deal on behalf of the Company with professionalism, honesty, integrity, and high moral standards, ensuring conduct is fair and transparent.

Table 1: Alignment of Foundational Ethics with Modern ESG Pillars

Foundational Tata Value	Corresponding Governance/ Compliance Focus	Corresponding Project Aalingana Focus (E/S/G)
Integrity	Transparency in Accounting, Zero Tolerance for Corruption, Whistleblower Protection	Corporate Governance and Accountability
Responsibility	Stakeholder Rights, Value Chain Ethics, Beyond Compliance CSR	Social Impact (Livelihoods, Healthcare)
Excellence	Meritocracy, Highest Standards of Quality, Continuous Improvement	Driving Net-Zero Decarbonization
Pioneering	Innovation in Solutions, Agile Challenge Taking	Pioneering Circular Economies, Green Chemistries

The TCoC directly addresses modern concerns such as fraud, bribery, corruption, and conflicts of interest. Adherence is mandatory, and failure to comply can lead to "the most severe consequences including termination of employment". Furthermore, financial accountability is non-negotiable; companies must maintain accounts fairly and accurately, prohibiting any willful omissions of transactions, ensuring full accessibility to auditors and authorized government agencies. This ethical mandate is extended to the entire ecosystem through the Business Associates Code of Conduct, ensuring that contractors and vendors align with Tata principles.

3. The Operational Architecture of Ethical Governance

The translation of the Tata philosophy into consistent, enforceable action requires robust operational mechanisms, compliance structures, and a commitment to transparency that earns external validation.

a) Compliance Frameworks and Internal Controls

The Tata Group operates under high standards of Corporate Governance, recognized alongside Indian titans such as Infosys and Wipro. This includes strict compliance with the Companies Act 2013 and SEBI regulations, including mandatory Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR).

A critical control mechanism is the Brand Equity & Business Promotion (BEBP) agreement. This agreement grants operating companies the right to use the globally recognized 'Tata' brand, but in return, it requires an explicit commitment to run their businesses ethically and with excellence. The use of the powerful 'Tata' trademark is

leveraged as a governance tool, structurally binding operational integrity to brand identity and commercial success.

b) Safeguarding Accountability: Whistleblower Mechanisms and Ethics Counsel

To maintain its ethical standards, the Group has established formal mechanisms for detecting and punishing misconduct. Companies operate under comprehensive Whistleblower Policies, allowing Protected Disclosures regarding TCoC violations to be made confidentially. These disclosures can be directed to the Chief Ethics Counsellor or, in specific cases, the Chairperson of the Audit Committee.

The internal policy emphasizes maintaining a "conducive and retaliation free environment" for reporting. Protection is afforded even if the reported conduct falls within a "grey area," provided the report was made in good faith. Upon conclusion of an investigation, any proven illegal or unethical act results in severe disciplinary or corrective action, which may include wage freeze, suspension, claw back, or termination of employment.

The placement of the Chief Ethics Counsellor—often linked closely with or structured within the Human Resources function (such as the VP & Head of HR in Tata Communications)- is a deliberate organizational choice. By embedding the ethics mandate directly into the domain of personnel management, the Group ensures that ethical compliance is not treated merely as a segregated legal or auditing function, but rather as an essential element of employee conduct and the corporate culture, thereby enforcing accountability throughout the entire employee lifecycle.

c) Global Recognition and Ethical Benchmarking

The dedication to ethical conduct translates directly into market and reputation advantages. Multiple Tata companies, including Tata Steel, have been repeatedly named among the World's Most Ethical Companies by the Ethisphere Institute.

Ethisphere research has identified an "Ethics Premium," observing that publicly traded ethical honorees often financially outperform the large cap sector over a sustained period. This correlation between integrity and financial success is profoundly evident in the Tata Group's valuation. The Group has consistently been ranked as the Most Valuable Indian Brand, becoming the first Indian brand to surpass the \$30 billion milestone, reaching \$31.6 billion in 2025, while maintaining a strong AAA- brand strength rating. This high valuation and trust ranking underscore that the TCoc and its underlying philosophy generate quantifiable stakeholder value.

4. Beyond Profit Maximization: Tata's ESG and Impact Initiatives

The Tata Group's ethical commitment is manifest in its robust approach to environmental and social impact, which is structurally embedded and quantified through advanced reporting mechanisms.

a) The Philosophy of "Beyond Compliance" in CSR

The Group's Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) principles guide initiatives to be "Beyond Compliance" and focus on "Impactful Interventions". This strategic focus targets major areas for societal improvement, including Education, Livelihoods, Healthcare, and Rural Development.

The scale of these efforts is substantial: in FY19 alone, the

CSR initiatives positively impacted the lives of more than 11 million people, corresponding to an expenditure exceeding \$157 million (INR 1,095 crore). Crucially, the Group requires impact assessment to be conducted by independent agencies, moving beyond simple input expenditure tracking to measure actual societal change and ensuring that corporate actions align with the needs of the communities.

b) Project Aalingana: A Commitment to Planet Resilience

Project Aalingana, meaning 'Embracing Sustainability,' is the Group's definitive roadmap for planet resilience, outlining the vision of securing the future through innovative environmental stewardship. It commits to embedding sustainability into business strategy by focusing on three interconnected pillars: Driving Net-Zero Decarbonization, Pioneering Circular Economies, and Preserving Nature & Biodiversity.

The decarbonization goals are highly ambitious, aiming for Group-wide Net Zero by 2045. Subsidiary companies have specific, aggressive intermediate targets: Tata Motors is committed to achieving Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions for its passenger vehicles by 2040 and commercial vehicles by 2045. Additionally, the Group targets sourcing 100% renewable electricity for its operations by 2030.

The circular economy pillar focuses on reducing resource use and waste through systemic means. Key operational goals include achieving 'Zero waste to landfill' status by 2030, guided by an internal Circularity Framework. Specific targets, such as Tata Chemicals' commitment to becoming water positive by 2030, achieving zero waste-to-landfill by 2030, and ensuring 100% Fly Ash consumption, provide clear, measurable accountability.

Table 2: Key Operational Targets under Project Aalingana (E/S Metrics)

Focus Area	Specific Commitment/Target	Target Date	Supporting Company/Data
Climate Change (GHG)	Group-wide Net Zero Emissions	2045	Tata Group
Climate Change (GHG)	Passenger Vehicles Net Zero	2040	Tata Motors
Climate Change (Energy)	100% Renewable Electricity Sourcing	2030	Tata Motors
Resources/Waste	Zero Waste to Landfill Status	2030	Tata Motors, Tata Chemicals
Resources (Water)	Water Positive Status	2030	Tata Chemicals

c) Preserving Nature and Biodiversity: Strategic Resilience

The Aalingana strategy goes beyond regulatory mandates by integrating nature preservation and biodiversity restoration. Tata Chemical's 'Dharti Ko Arpan' program includes mangrove regeneration and the 'Save the Whale Shark' initiative. Further ecological efforts include projects dedicated to 'Saving The Tiger Of The Freshwater' (Mahseer).

This environmental commitment is strategically framed. Project Aalingana consistently links sustainability efforts to the group's "Planet Resilience". For a capital-intensive conglomerate, this framing acknowledges that proactive ethical investment in reducing reliance on linear economic models (take, make, dispose) and securing resources is not merely a cost of doing business, but a necessary investment in long-term operational and financial stability against

future climate change, resource scarcity, and supply chain volatility. The ethical framework thus becomes a crucial driver of business resilience in an increasingly complex and interconnected global market.

5. Core Case Study: The Trusteeship Paradox- Testing the Integrity of the 66% Stake

The unique governance structure of the Tata Group, driven by the Trusts' majority control, is simultaneously the source of its sustained ethical reputation and the current epicenter of significant governance risk.

a) Structural Analysis: The Governance Mandate of Tata Trusts

Tata Sons, the principal investment holding company, is promoted and majority-owned by the Tata Trusts, which

hold a decisive 66% equity share capital. This structure institutionalizes the ethical mandate of managing the enterprise transparently and accountably, explicitly to protect the interests of all stakeholders, with society benefiting directly through the Trusts' philanthropic funding. The financial mandate is clear: the Trusts rely heavily on dividends from Tata Sons to fulfill their charitable activities, making the ethical and financial stability of the holding company critical to the entire societal mission.

b) The Governance Dilemma: Philanthropic Control vs. Corporate Transparency

A key tension emerged when the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) classified Tata Sons as an 'Upper-Layer' Non-Banking Financial Company, potentially mandating a public listing. The Tata Trusts expressed general unfavorability towards such a listing. The core issue is that going public is feared to dilute the Trusts' control, expose the company to tighter governance rules applicable to publicly listed entities, and potentially risk their veto rights, especially under provisions that require a "majority of minority" shareholder approval.

This opposition reveals the essence of the Trusteeship Paradox: the philanthropic necessity of maintaining structural control- which guarantees the continuation of the ethical mandate- creates a resistance to full market transparency, a key pillar of modern corporate governance. The mechanism designed to ensure superior ethical performance is paradoxically resistant to the regulatory pressures requiring maximum disclosure and transparency, posing a conflict between mission stability and market compliance.

c) Flashpoint Analysis: The Internal Crisis of Trustee Factionalism

The integrity of the Trusteeship model was severely tested following the passing of former Chairman Ratan Tata in October 2024, whose leadership had been instrumental in maintaining internal unity. Since then, the Tata Trusts have been mired in significant internal infighting over board appointments and governance matters, leading to a reported vertical split within the Trusts' seven-member board.

One faction is aligned with the new Trusts Chairman, Noel Tata, who emphasizes transparency and adherence to core values. The opposing grouping, led by Mehli Mistry, who has ties to the Shapoorji Pallonji (SP) Group (the second-largest shareholder at 18.37%), has sought greater influence over key decisions.

The disputes escalated over governance controls, including reported attempts by one faction to influence Tata Sons' governance by vetting board meeting minutes and approving independent directors. A major flashpoint occurred when the reappointment of long-serving Tata Sons director Vijay Singh was rejected by the Mistry-aligned faction. This internal turmoil reached such a critical stage that top Tata Group leaders met with senior government officials, including the Home Minister and Finance Minister, indicating the national significance of the governance stability of the conglomerate. Sources suggested that the government was concerned about the potential for an individual to gain control, demonstrating the high-stakes nature of the conflict over board seats at Tata Sons.

d) Stakeholder Impact: Erosion of the "Ethics Premium"

The immediate consequence of the governance uncertainty was a significant erosion of stakeholder trust and market value. The Tata Group's companies experienced a decline of over 21% in market capitalization, equating to more than Rs 7 lakh crore (approximately \$84 billion), in the year following the internal instability.

This severe market reaction is evidence of the multiplier effect of governance risk in a trust-based organization. Because the Tata brand has successfully cultivated an "Ethics Premium"- validated by high brand strength ratings and external ethical recognition- any failure in the governing structure (the Trust) is perceived not as a routine business disagreement, but as a systemic ethical breakdown. Investors and the public assign a disproportionate "Governance Discount," as the foundational promise of perpetual, ethically-driven stability is challenged. The structural mechanism meant to guarantee ethical superiority thus became the single point of maximum fragility, intensely magnifying the consequences of internal dissent.

Table 3: The Trusteeship Paradox: Structure, Mandate, and Risk

Governance Element	The Tata Group Model	Current Ethical Implication/ Risk
Controlling Interest	66% held by Tata Trusts	Risk of dilution and veto loss due to potential RBI listing mandate
Primary Mandate	Societal welfare and philanthropy	Tension between mission stability (control) and market transparency (listing)
Board Appointments	Traditionally aligned and overseen by unified Trusts	Factional disputes and vetoing of independent directors
Market Perception	AAA- Brand Strength Rating and "Ethics Premium"	Massive market value erosion (21% drop) due to governance uncertainty

6. Conclusion and Strategic Recommendations for Sustainable Ethical Leadership

The Tata Group's ethical practices, codified in the TCoC and institutionalized through the Trusteeship model, offer a unique blueprint for how a large capitalist enterprise can prioritize societal value. The commitment to measurable

ESG targets, such as Net Zero by 2045 and Zero Waste to Landfill by 2030, confirms that the Group translates its historical philosophy into advanced, future-oriented business strategy. This structural commitment provides a competitive advantage over conglomerates whose corporate social responsibility efforts are less structurally ingrained or verifiable.

The current governance crisis, however, has exposed the primary vulnerability of this unique model: its reliance on unified leadership and the personal relationships among trustees. To secure its century-old ethical legacy and restore the market confidence that defines its premium valuation, the following strategic measures are recommended:

- 1) **Enhancing Trust Transparency and Formalizing Succession:** The Trusts must proactively adopt enhanced disclosure protocols regarding the criteria, vetting, and approval process for board and director appointments. By moving toward a standardized, published set of rules for governance decision-making, the Trusts can mitigate the risk of perceived factional influence and re-establish public trust in their impartiality.
- 2) **Formalizing Internal Conflict Resolution:** Given the severity of the disputes and their impact on the national economy, the Trusts must establish independent and robust mechanisms for resolving trustee disagreements that are entirely insulated from both government and commercial pressure. These mechanisms should be designed to prevent governance paralysis and safeguard the continuity of the societal mission.
- 3) **Reconciling Control with Compliance:** The Trusts and Tata Sons should proactively engage with regulators to define a governance framework that satisfies modern requirements for public disclosure (potentially addressing the RBI mandate) while simultaneously legally safeguarding the Trusts' ability to maintain majority control over the ethical direction of the enterprise. This may involve exploring innovative financial structures (e.g., non-voting shares for public listing) that balance the need for capital and transparency with the imperative to secure the 66% philanthropic mandate.

The integrity of the Tata model remains vital not just for the Group, but as a global illustration of conscientious capitalism. Sustaining the "Ethics Premium" requires continuous demonstration that the governance structure is robust enough to manage internal conflicts without compromising the commitment to fair, transparent, and accountable management for all stakeholders. The current "Trusteeship Paradox" serves as a profound lesson: even the most idealistic corporate structures require relentless oversight and unity to maintain their ethical coherence under pressure.

References

- [1] Values and Purpose - Tata Group, <https://www.tata.com/about-us/tata-values-purpose>
- [2] The Tata Code of Conduct - SEC.gov, <https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/926042/000119312504156777/dex42.htm>
- [3] Our code of conduct - Tata Steel UK, <https://www.tatasteeluk.com/about-us/ethical-behaviour/code-of-conduct>
- [4] CSR - Tata Sustainability Group, <https://www.tatasustainability.com/SocialAndHumanCapital/CSR>
- [5] Project Aalingana | Sustainability - Tata Group, <https://www.tata.com/about-us/sustainability>
- [6] Tata Sons, <https://www.tata.com/business/tata-sons>
- [7] What is brewing in Tata Trusts? The leadership battle haunting India's corporate jewel crown, <https://www.deccanherald.com/business/companies/what-is-brewing-in-tata-trusts-the-leadership-battle-haunting-indias-corporate-jewel-crown-3756659>
- [8] A year after Ratan Tata's passing, Tata Group grapples with internal disputes, <https://morningexpress.com/a-year-after-ratan-tatas-passing-tata-group-grapples-with-internal-disputes>
- [9] Ethics - Tata Steel, <https://www.tatasteel.com/corporate/our-organisation/ethics/>
- [10] Ethical behaviour - Tata Steel UK, <https://www.tatasteeluk.com/about-us/ethical-behaviour>
- [11] Trusteeship (Gandhism) - Wikipedia, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trusteeship_\(Gandhism\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trusteeship_(Gandhism))
- [12] A Legacy of Innovation: How Ratan Tata impacted millions beyond the boardroom, <https://m.economictimes.com/news/india/a-legacy-of-innovation-how-ratan-tata-impacted-millions-beyond-the-boardroom/articleshow/114100530.cms>
- [13] 'Mr PM, Gandhi's Trusteeship Was Directed at Big Business Not Chowkidars Who Guard Wealth' - The Citizen, <https://www.thecitizen.in/index.php/en/NewsDetail/index/4/16602/Mr-PM-Gandhis-Trusteeship-Was-Directed-at-Big-Business-Not-Chowkidars-Who-Guard-Wealth-->
- [14] About Tata Code of Conduct - Trent Limited, <https://trentlimited.com/pages/about-tata-code-of-conduct>
- [15] A Conceptual Analysis of Development of Corporate Governance Paradigms in Indian Context - LBSIM, https://www.lbsim.ac.in/uploads/image/731imguf_02.pdf
- [16] India needs corporate governance with a moral compass | Policy Circle, <https://www.policycircle.org/opinion/improving-corporate-governance-india/>
- [17] Through our initiatives, we aim to engage with communities and empower them to build better lives. - TATA Consumer Products Limited - Integrated Annual Report 2022-23, <https://www.tataconsumer.com/iar-2022-23/for-better-communities.html>
- [18] TCS Global Policy - Whistle Blower, <https://www.tcs.com/content/dam/tcs/pdf/discover-tcs/investor-relations/faq/TCS-Global-Whistle-Blower-Policy.pdf>
- [19] Whistleblower Policy English - Tata Communications, <https://www.tatacommunications.com/resource/corporate-resources/policies/whistleblowers-policy-english/>
- [20] Tata industries limited Whistleblower policy, <https://www.tata.com/content/dam/tata/pdf/tata-industries/Whistle-Blower-Policy-Tata-Industries.pdf>
- [21] Infosys, Tata Steel, Wipro Among 135 Most Ethical Global Companies - SustainabilityNext, <https://sustainabilitynext.in/infosys-tata-steel-wipro-among-135-most-ethical-global-companies/>

- [22] Tata Steel Recognized Among World's Most Ethical Companies - AIST, <https://www.aist.org/tata-steel-recognized-among-world-s-most-ethical-companies>
- [23] Tata Steel, Wipro in world's most ethical companies' list - The Economic Times, <https://m.economictimes.com/news/company/corporate-trends/tata-steel-wipro-in-worlds-most-ethical-companies-list/articleshow/57635295.cms> 24. Tata Group becomes India's most-valuable brand, surpassing \$30-bn milestone, <https://www.financialexpress.com/business/brandwagon-tata-group-is-indias-most-valuable-brand-surpassing-30-bn-milestone-3721367/> 25. Tata Group Tops 2025 Brand Rankings as India's Most Valuable Brand, <https://www.usthadian.com/tata-group-tops-2025-brand-rankings-as-indias-most-valuable-brand>
- [26] The Brand That India Values - Tata Group, <https://www.tata.com/newsroom/business/brand-finance-tata-most-valuable>
- [27] CSR & ESG Policy - Tata Group, <https://www.tata.com/content/dam/tata/pdf/tata-sons-csr-esg-policy-2023.pdf>
- [28] Project Aalingana - TATA Sustainability Group, <https://www.tatasustainability.com/Environment/ProjectAalingana>
- [29] FY 2023-24, https://d1nfgpflnbq58s.cloudfront.net/Tata_Realty_ESG_Report_FY_2023-24.pdf
- [30] Planet resilience – Tata Motors, <https://www.tatamotors.com/corporate-responsibility/planet-resilience/>
- [31] Environmental: Towards a Sustainable Future - Tata Chemicals, <https://ir.tatachemicals.com/2022-23/environmental-towards-a-sustainable-future.php>
- [32] Tata CSR Brochure, https://www.tatasustainability.com/pdfs/Resources/Tata_CSR_Brochure.pdf
- [33] In Loop With Success - Tata Group, <https://www.tata.com/newsroom/circular-economy-initiatives-tata-group-companies>
- [34] Tata Sons' Listing Unfavourable For Tata Trusts, Sources Say - NDTV Profit, <https://www.ndtvprofit.com/markets/tata-sons-listing-unfavourable-for-tata-trusts-sources-say>
- [35] A year after Ratan Tata's death: Legacy, leadership & turmoil at Tata group, <https://m.economictimes.com/news/company/corporate-trends/a-year-after-ratan-tatas-death-legacy-leadership-turmoil-at-tata-group/articleshow/124404129.cms>
- [36] Tata group leaders meet Home Minister Amit Shah, FM Nirmala Sitharaman - Mint, <https://www.livemint.com/companies/news/tata-group-leaders-meet-home-minister-amit-shah-fm-nirmala-sitharaman-11759850568928.html>
- [37] Trouble in Tata Group? Government asks leadership to restore stability, says report, <https://www.indiatoday.in/business/market/story/tata-trust-news-government-asks-restore-stability-amit-shah-meeting-noel-tata-report-2799554-2025-10-08>
- [38] International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews Comparative Analysis of CSR Strategies of Tata and Reliance Industries - ijrpr, <https://ijrpr.com/uploads/V5ISSUE5/IJRPR27424.pdf>