International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Brain Computer Interfaces in Neuropsychiatry

Shreya Kumar

Mind Forward Foundation

Abstract: ***The introduction of Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) has revolutionized the treatment of mental disorders, enabling novel capabilities in the tracking, analyzing, and stimulation of the brain's processes and activities using programs tailored for specific mental disorders (Maiseli et al., 2023). This review examines the application of BCIs in neuropsychiatric illnesses like major depressive disorder (MDD), obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). By providing neural decoding, BCIs instill more direct and customized care. Although brain-computer interfaces may improve neuropsychiatric disorders, their application in the field introduces numerous ethical and social issues such as behavioral privacy, informed consent, and unequal distribution of psychiatric services. (Revolutionizing Mental Health: The Impact of Brain-Computer Interfaces, 2024). Without ethical oversight, BCIs can bring countless changes to the practice of psychiatry for patients with complex mental-health challenges.***

Keywords: Brain-computer interfaces, neuropsychiatry, EEG neurofeedback, neural decoding, closed-loop systems, psychiatric disorders, ethical neurotechnology, cognitive modulation

1. Introduction

The origin of brain-computer interface technology can be traced back to 1924, when German psychiatrist, Hans Berger, made a groundbreaking discovery by demonstrating that the electrical activity of the human brain could be recorded non-invasively through electroencephalography, or EEG (Rossini et al., 2024). Berger's pioneering research led to the identification of rhythmic patterns of brain waves, including alpha waves, which indicated a person's state of relaxation. His findings were the first to establish that mental states could be observed through electrical signatures on the scalp, a concept that would later become fundamental to the development of BCIs. The non-invasive nature of an EEG device allowed it to be a particularly appealing tool for future clinical and research applications, especially in the psychiatric realm.

Several decades later, in 1973, Jacques Vidal, a computer scientist at the University of California, Los Angeles, became the first researcher to formally conceptualize and demonstrate a BCI system (Kawala-Sterniuk et al., 2021). In his paper, *Toward Direct Brain-Computer Communication*, Vidal

introduced the term "brain-computer interface" and utilized real-time EEG signals to control a cursor on a computer screen using only brain signals. His system decoded mental intent by recognizing specific patterns of neural activity, thereby establishing the tangibility of direct brain-to-machine interaction without any physical movement. This work marked the first proof-of-concept for non-muscular communication—a significant advancement that transformed assistive technology and neuroscience.

While early BCIs were primarily developed to aid individuals with severe motor impairments or disabilities, modern advancements in neural signal decoding and machine learning have broadened their applications to the field of psychiatry. Today, BCIs are not only employed to restore lost physical functions but also to monitor emotional states, help detect psychiatric episodes, and modulate dysfunctional brain circuits associated with disorders such as major depressive disorder (MDD), PTSD, OCD, and ADHD. EEG-based neurofeedback has emerged as a non-surgical therapeutic approach for psychiatric conditions, providing a closed-loop system that empowers patients to gain control over their own neural activity. These innovations mark a shift toward real-time, patient-specific interventions in diagnosing neuropsychiatric disorders. The purpose of this article is to examine both the therapeutic potential and ethical implications of brain-computer interfaces in neuropsychiatry. This study is significant because it bridges the technological capabilities of BCIs with the ethical demands of psychiatric practice, contributing to responsible innovation in mental health care.

Neuropsychiatric Application

Brain-computer interfaces are increasingly being investigated as targeted therapeutic tools for psychiatric disorders, offering new possibilities for personalized, real-time neural interventions. In major depressive disorder, both invasive and adaptive BCIs have demonstrated potential in modulating dysfunctional brain networks, particularly the subcallosal cingulate cortex, which is associated with persistent negative mood in treatment-resistant patients (Naseer & Hong, 2015). These systems often operate in a closed-loop fashion, continuously detecting neural signals and delivering stimulation to normalize activity in emotion-related circuits. For obsessive-compulsive disorder, BCIs have been used to disrupt hyperactivity in cortico-striatal-thalamo-cortical loops[1], reducing the frequency and severity of intrusive thoughts and

compulsive behaviors (Goodman et al., 2010). In the context of post-traumatic stress disorder, BCIs are being explored for their ability to monitor physiological arousal[2] and modulate limbic activity to help disrupt trauma-related memory recall and emotional hyperreactivity (Lebedev & Nicolelis, 2017).

[1]The cortico-striatal-thalamo-cortical (CSTC) loop is a brain circuit involved in motor control and decision-making.

[2] Physiological arousal is the body's physical response to emotional or mental stimuli.

A particularly promising non-invasive BCI approach is neurofeedback therapy, which enables individuals to self-regulate their brain activity through real-time visual or auditory feedback based on EEG signals. This technique is being applied in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, where it trains patients to increase activation in attention-related frequency bands (such as beta waves) while suppressing hyperactive patterns (such as theta waves), resulting in improved focus and impulse control (Enriquez-Geppert et al., 2017). Unlike pharmacological treatments, neurofeedback therapy offers minimal side effects, empowering patients to actively participate in their own cognitive regulation non-invasively. Taken together, these applications demonstrate how BCIs are shaping the future of psychiatric care, providing precision-guided, brain-based alternatives to conventional therapies.

2. Ethical Challenges

Brain-computer interfaces provide various ways to detect and prevent neuropsychiatric disorders. However, these benefits come with ethical and social costs. The use of brain-computer interfaces in psychiatry raises a number of complex ethical challenges that demand careful consideration. One of the most pressing concerns is the potential for BCIs to access highly sensitive emotional and cognitive information, making brain data security and mental privacy critical issues (Gastón & Marina Castro Firmo, 2023). In psychiatric settings, where patients may experience impaired judgment or fluctuating mental states, obtaining fully informed consent for BCI use becomes ethically complicated (Haselager, 2012). Furthermore, BCIs that influence brain function—especially through stimulation—risk unintentionally altering a patient's personality, mood, or sense of self.

This blurs the line between treating an illness and potentially reshaping a person's identity, raising concerns about cognitive liberty and psychological continuity (Ienca & Andorno, 2017). There is also the risk that these advanced neurotechnologies will only be accessible to privileged populations, further promoting inequalities in mental health care (Yuste et al., 2017). Finally, the long-term safety of BCIs—particularly invasive ones—remain uncertain, and the ethical implications of deploying such technologies before fully understanding their risks must not be overlooked (Klein et al., 2015). Collectively, these concerns highlight the urgent need for strong ethical frameworks, clear consent protocols, and equitable access policies as BCIs become more integrated into psychiatric practice.

3. Conclusion

Brain-computer interfaces hold tremendous potential to transform psychiatric care by enabling direct, individualized interventions for complex mental health conditions. From neurofeedback therapy for ADHD to closed-loop stimulation systems for treatment-resistant depression, BCIs offer innovative alternatives to traditional approaches. However, their clinical promise must be weighed against significant ethical concerns, including the protection of mental privacy, the preservation of cognitive autonomy, and the risk of deepening healthcare disparities. As BCIs continue to move from research to clinical application, it is essential that their development be guided by strong ethical frameworks, equitable access policies, and patient-centered design. With responsible integration, BCIs can become powerful tools not only for managing psychiatric illness, but for enhancing the lives of patients in new and meaningful ways.

References

[1] Revolutionizing Mental Health: The Impact of Brain-Computer Interfaces. (2024). Neuphony Research Team. https://www.neuphony.com/blog/how-brain-computer-interfaces-are-revolutionizing-mental-health

[2] Kawala-Sterniuk, A., Browarska, N., Al-Bakri, A., Pelc, M., Zygarlicki, J., Sidikova, M., Martinek, R., & Gorzelanczyk, E. J. (2021). Summary of over Fifty Years with Brain-Computer Interfaces—A Review. *Brain Sciences*, *11*(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11010043

[3] Rossini, P. M., Cole, J., Paulus, W., Ziemann, U., & Chen, R. (2024). 1924–2024: First centennial of EEG. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *170*, 132–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2024.11.021

[4] Maiseli, B., Abdalla, A. T., Massawe, L. V., Mbise, M., Mkocha, K., Nassor, N. A., Ismail, M., Michael, J., & Kimambo, S. (2023). Brain–computer interface: trend, challenges, and threats. *Brain Informatics*, *10*(1), 20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40708-023-00199-3

[5] Waskan, J. (2003). Intrinsic cognitive models. *Cognitive Science*, *27*(2), 259–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0364-0213(02)00119-2

[6] Gastón, E., & Marina Castro Firmo. (2023). Human Dignity and Neurorights in the Digital Age. *Brazilian Journal of Law, Technology and Innovation*, *1*(2), 87–107. https://doi.org/10.59224/bjlti.v1i2.87-107

[7] Haselager, P. (2012). Did I Do That? Brain–Computer Interfacing and the Sense of Agency. *Minds and Machines*, *23*(3), 405–418. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-012-9298-7

[8] Ienca, M., & Andorno, R. (2017). Towards new human rights in the age of neuroscience and neurotechnology. *Life Sciences, Society and Policy*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40504-017-0050-1

[9] Yuste, R., Goering, S., Arcas, B. A. y, Bi, G., Carmena, J. M., Carter, A., Fins, J. J., Friesen, P., Gallant, J., Huggins, J. E., Illes, J., Kellmeyer, P., Klein, E., Marblestone, A., Mitchell, C., Parens, E., Pham, M., Rubel, A., Sadato, N., & Sullivan, L. S. (2017). Four ethical priorities for neurotechnologies and AI. *Nature*, *551*(7679), 159–163. https://doi.org/10.1038/551159a

[10] Klein, E., Brown, T., Sample, M., Truitt, A. R., & Goering, S. (2015). Engineering the Brain: Ethical Issues and the Introduction of Neural Devices. *Hastings Center Report*, *45*(6), 26–35. https://doi.org/10.1002/hast.515

[11] Naseer, N., & Hong, K.-S. (2015). fNIRS-based brain-computer interfaces: a review. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *9*(3). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00003

[12] Goodman, W. K., Foote, K. D., Greenberg, B. D., Ricciuti, N., Bauer, R., Ward, H., Shapira, N. A., Wu, S. S., Hill, C. L., Rasmussen, S. A., & Okun, M. S. (2010). Deep Brain Stimulation for Intractable Obsessive Compulsive Disorder: Pilot Study Using a

Blinded, Staggered-Onset Design. Biological Psychiatry, 67(6), 535–542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.11.028

[13] Lebedev, M. A., & Nicolelis, M. A. L. (2017). Brain-Machine Interfaces: From Basic Science to Neuroprostheses and Neurorehabilitation. *Physiological Reviews*, *97*(2), 767–837. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00027.2016

[14] Enriquez-Geppert, S., Huster, R. J., & Herrmann, C. S. (2017). EEG-Neurofeedback as a Tool to Modulate Cognition and Behavior: A Review Tutorial. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *11*(51). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00051