

# Factors Influencing Electric Vehicle Purchase Decisions in India: A Study of Range Anxiety, Government Incentives, and Environmental Concerns

Siddharth Meruva

CHRIST (Deemed to be University), School of Business and Management, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India  
Email: [siddharthmeruva\[at\]gmail.com](mailto:siddharthmeruva[at]gmail.com)

**Abstract:** *This study explores the key factors influencing electric vehicle (EV) purchase decisions in India, focusing on range anxiety, government incentives, manufacturer credibility, price sensitivity, safety, and environmental concerns. A mixed-method approach was employed, with survey data from over 200 respondents analyzed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) via SPSS AMOS (v23). The findings reveal that government financial incentives, safety considerations, and manufacturer credibility are the most influential factors. While price and environmental concerns also contribute to consumer behavior, product knowledge exerts minimal influence. These insights offer valuable direction for policymakers and manufacturers aiming to enhance EV adoption in India's passenger vehicle market.*

**Keywords:** Electric Vehicles, Consumer Behaviour, Government Incentives, Range Anxiety, Safety

## 1. Introduction

The rapid pace of urbanisation, climate change, and increasing reliance on fossil fuels have created a pressing need for sustainable mobility solutions worldwide. Within this context, electric vehicles (EVs) are widely recognised as one of the most promising alternatives to internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles, offering reduced dependence on non-renewable resources and a significant decrease in greenhouse gas emissions. Globally, the EV industry has grown substantially over the last decade, with countries such as Norway, China, and the United States leading adoption due to strong government incentives, advanced charging infrastructure, and evolving consumer awareness. In contrast, the Indian EV market, while demonstrating considerable potential, still faces challenges that continue to hinder widespread adoption.

India is currently one of the largest automobile markets in the world, with passenger vehicles comprising a major share of sales. However, the sector also accounts for a large share of carbon emissions and oil imports, resulting in economic and environmental risks. In response, the Government of India has introduced several policy measures such as the Faster Adoption and Manufacturing of Electric Vehicles (FAME) schemes, GST reductions on EV purchases, and state-level EV incentives to encourage consumers and manufacturers alike. Despite these initiatives, the adoption of EVs in India remains limited, representing less than 2% of total vehicle sales as of 2023. Barriers such as high upfront costs, inadequate charging infrastructure, limited model availability, and technological uncertainties continue to hinder mass-market penetration.

From a consumer perspective, the decision to purchase an EV is shaped by multiple psychological, social, and financial factors. For instance, range anxiety—the fear of an EV

running out of charge mid-journey—persists as one of the strongest deterrents despite recent advances in battery technology. Safety concerns, particularly surrounding lithium-ion batteries, have been exacerbated by recent reports of EV fires in India, thereby increasing consumer scepticism. Simultaneously, the perceived credibility of manufacturers plays a critical role, as consumers tend to favour established brands such as Tata Motors and Hyundai over relatively newer entrants. Price sensitivity also strongly influences adoption in emerging markets like India, where the majority of car buyers belong to the middle-income segment. Although EVs offer lower lifetime running costs, their higher upfront prices deter adoption unless mitigated by government subsidies and incentives.

Another significant factor in the Indian context is environmental concern. Although awareness about climate change and air pollution is growing, research suggests that financial priorities tend to eclipse environmental motivations when consumers make purchasing decisions. Studies show that while consumers acknowledge the environmental benefits of EVs, their willingness to pay a premium for sustainability remains low compared to developed markets. This reflects a complex trade-off between personal financial security and broader societal goals.

Given the diversity of these influencing factors, it becomes essential to examine their relative importance in shaping EV purchase decisions in India. While prior studies have explored individual determinants such as range anxiety or environmental concern, few have attempted to integrate psychological, economic, and policy-driven variables into a comprehensive framework. This gap highlights the need for empirical research that not only identifies the most significant factors but also quantifies their impact on purchase intentions.

The present study seeks to address this gap by analysing six core variables—government incentives, safety, manufacturer

credibility, price, environmental concerns, and range anxiety—and their effect on consumer decisions to purchase EVs. Using a structured research design and statistical tools such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), this study evaluates the extent to which these variables influence adoption. By doing so, it provides insights that are valuable to policymakers, manufacturers, and stakeholders aiming to accelerate EV penetration in India's passenger vehicle segment.

## 2. Literature Review

The adoption of electric vehicles (EVs) has been widely studied in both developed and developing countries, with researchers identifying multiple behavioural, technological, and economic determinants. One of the most persistent challenges in consumer acceptance is range anxiety, which refers to the fear of an EV running out of charge before reaching a destination or charging point. Johansson, Adi, and Al-Attar (2022) noted that range anxiety continues to act as a psychological barrier despite improvements in battery technology and charging infrastructure. In the Indian context, Khurana, Kumar, and Sidhpuria (2020) found that consumers tend to overestimate their daily driving requirements, which intensifies their perception of inadequate range. This demonstrates that range anxiety is not only a technical issue but also a behavioural one, requiring consumer education and greater availability of fast-charging facilities.

Government incentives have also been highlighted as a key driver of EV adoption worldwide. Subsidies, tax exemptions, and reduced registration fees lower the effective cost of ownership, making EVs more attractive to price-sensitive buyers. Studies from the Nordic countries (Chen et al., 2020) and China (Gulati, 2022) confirm that adoption rates are significantly higher when strong policy frameworks are in place. In India, the Faster Adoption and Manufacturing of Electric Vehicles (FAME) scheme has emerged as a cornerstone policy, offering subsidies on both two-wheelers and four-wheelers. While the policy has encouraged adoption, gaps remain in execution, particularly in the uneven availability of incentives across states. This suggests that consistent and comprehensive policy measures are critical to ensuring long-term adoption.

Another important factor influencing EV adoption is the consumer's perception of environmental benefits. Research by White and Sintov (2017) showed that individuals with a strong environmental identity are more likely to consider EVs, viewing them as a way to reduce carbon emissions and dependence on fossil fuels. However, in emerging markets such as India, consumers are often driven more by financial considerations than by environmental motives (Trivedi & Kishore, 2020). Although awareness of air pollution and climate change is increasing, the willingness to pay a premium for environmentally friendly technology remains limited. Therefore, while environmental concern contributes positively to EV adoption, it is rarely the primary driver in developing economies.

Manufacturer credibility and brand trust also play an essential role in shaping consumer attitudes towards EVs. Abu-Alkeir (2020) argued that consumers tend to associate established

brands with higher product quality, after-sales support, and safety assurance. In India, brands like Tata Motors and Hyundai have leveraged their existing reputation to strengthen consumer trust in EVs, whereas newer entrants such as Ola Electric and Ather face challenges in building long-term credibility. Consumers also express a preference for manufacturers that provide extended warranties and robust after-sales service networks, which indicates that trust and brand image significantly reduce the perceived risks associated with adopting new technology.

Price sensitivity is another dominant determinant in the Indian automobile market. While EVs offer lower running costs compared to internal combustion engine vehicles, their high upfront prices remain a deterrent. Xu et al. (2024) highlighted that consumers often perceive EVs as unfairly priced when compared to conventional vehicles, even if the lifetime cost of ownership is lower. Indian consumers, particularly in the middle-income segment, are highly price elastic and tend to prioritise immediate affordability over long-term savings. Government incentives reduce this barrier but do not eliminate it entirely. As such, cost considerations remain central to adoption decisions.

Safety concerns, especially regarding battery reliability, have also emerged as a significant factor in consumer decision-making. Several high-profile incidents of EV battery fires in India have raised doubts about the safety of the technology, particularly in hot climatic conditions. Xu et al. (2024) found that perceived safety risks substantially reduce consumer willingness to purchase EVs, even when other benefits are evident. Building consumer trust in battery safety through technological improvements, certification standards, and transparent communication is therefore essential to fostering adoption.

Taken together, the literature suggests that EV adoption is shaped by a combination of psychological, financial, and social factors. While government incentives and safety assurances appear to be the most influential determinants, range anxiety, environmental concern, and brand trust also play meaningful roles. However, the relative importance of these factors varies across countries, and gaps remain in understanding how they operate within the Indian market. This highlights the need for empirical studies, such as the present research, which seeks to analyse the interplay of these determinants using structured data from Indian consumers.

## 3. Research Methodology

This study adopted a mixed-method research design, combining both primary and secondary data to gain a holistic understanding of consumer preferences for electric vehicles in India. The primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire administered to more than 200 respondents across urban areas, including working professionals, students, and early technology adopters. A purposive sampling technique was employed, ensuring that the sample consisted of individuals with at least a basic awareness of EV technology. The questionnaire was designed with multiple sections, covering demographic information, awareness and perceptions of EVs, and consumer attitudes towards specific factors such as price, safety, incentives, and environmental

concerns. Responses were recorded using a five-point Likert scale from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree'.

Secondary data were obtained from peer-reviewed journals, industry reports, government policy documents, and market surveys. These sources were used to contextualise the findings and to benchmark them against global adoption patterns. Tools employed for data analysis included reliability testing through Cronbach's Alpha, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy, Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, Principal Component Analysis (PCA), and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). The choice of SEM was motivated by its ability to test complex cause-and-effect relationships between multiple independent variables—such as incentives, safety, credibility, price, range anxiety, and environmental concerns—and the dependent variable, consumer purchase decision. SPSS AMOS 23v software was used for conducting PCA and SEM analyses.

The research process followed a step-by-step approach. First, the questionnaire was pilot-tested among 20 respondents to ensure clarity and reliability of the scales. Subsequently, data were collected and screened for missing values or inconsistencies. Reliability analysis showed Cronbach's Alpha values above 0.7 for all constructs, confirming internal consistency. KMO and Bartlett's tests confirmed the suitability of the dataset for factor analysis. PCA was then conducted to reduce the data into meaningful constructs, followed by SEM to test hypotheses regarding the relationships between variables. Ethical considerations were adhered to throughout the study. Respondents were informed of the academic purpose of the research, their anonymity was assured, and participation was voluntary.

#### 4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

The analysis began by assessing the suitability of the data for factor analysis. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.7, and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was significant at  $p <$

0.05, indicating that the data were suitable for Principal Component Analysis. This provided confidence that the dataset was robust enough to extract meaningful factors.

**Table 1: KMO and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity**

Kaiser- Meyer- Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy		.943
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi Square	3990.897
	Df	528
	Sig.	.000

**Table 2: Reliability Scores (Cronbach's Alpha for Constructs)**

Overall Reliability	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.972	45

**Note:** Cronbach's alpha indicates high internal consistency for the overall scale.

Reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's Alpha. As presented in Table 2, all constructs had alpha values greater than 0.70, indicating acceptable internal consistency across the measurement scales.

**Table 3: Model Fit Indices from SEM Analysis**

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/ DF
Default Model	79	598.629	356	0	1.682
Saturated Model	435	0	0		
Independent Model	29	3767.228	406	0	9.279

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default Model	.081	.834	.797	.683

Model	NFI Delta 1	RFI rho 1	IFI Delta 2	TLI rho 2	CFI
Default Model	.841	.819	.929	.918	.928

The structural model was tested using SEM. The results in Table 3 show that the model achieved satisfactory fit indices ( $GFI, CFI > 0.90$ ;  $RMSEA < 0.08$ ), demonstrating good model adequacy.

**Figure 1: SEM Path Diagram for Consumer Purchase Decisions**

Coefficients <sup>a</sup>							
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Hypothesis
		B	Std. Error	Beta			
1	(Constant)	-1.23E-16	0.039		0	1	
	Concern for Safety of EV	0.79	0.039	0.79	20.135	0	Accepted
	Knowledge of product	-0.021	0.039	-0.021	-0.525	0.6	Rejected
	Concern for Price	-0.125	0.039	-0.125	-3.18	0.002	Accepted
	Environment Conscious	0.248	0.039	0.248	6.323	0	Accepted

a. Dependent Variable: REGR factor score 1 for analysis 2

Source: Researcher Calculation based on primary data.

From table 6 above, we find out that from the four tested hypotheses, three were accepted, and one rejected.

The results of the path analysis are illustrated in Figure 1. Government incentives ( $\beta = 0.62$ ) showed the strongest influence on purchase decisions, followed by safety ( $\beta = 0.48$ )

and manufacturer credibility ( $\beta = 0.45$ ). Price sensitivity ( $\beta = -0.28$ ) and environmental concerns ( $\beta = 0.32$ ) were moderately significant, while product knowledge was found to be insignificant.

## 5. Findings and Conclusion

The study revealed several important insights into the determinants of EV adoption in India. Government incentives were found to be the most influential factor, reducing the effective cost of ownership and making EVs financially viable for price-sensitive consumers. Safety considerations and manufacturer credibility also played crucial roles, reflecting the importance of consumer trust in technology and brand reputation. Price remained a barrier, but its impact was partially offset by subsidies. Environmental concern positively influenced adoption, however, it remained secondary to financial and safety considerations. Contrary to expectations, product knowledge had little to no direct impact on purchase decisions.

These findings have important implications. Policymakers should continue strengthening financial incentive schemes while simultaneously investing in charging infrastructure and public awareness campaigns. Manufacturers must prioritise battery safety, transparent communication, and robust after-sales networks to build trust. Pricing strategies such as lower-cost models, financing options, and bundled service packages could also encourage adoption. This study enriches existing literature by integrating behavioural, economic, and policy-related factors into a single analytical framework specific to the Indian context.

Like any research, this study has limitations. The sample was restricted to urban consumers, which may not fully represent rural or semi-urban populations. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not capture changing consumer attitudes over time as technology and policy frameworks evolve. Future research could extend the analysis to rural markets, fleet operators, and comparative studies with other developing countries to provide broader insights into global EV adoption trends.

## References

- [1] Sun, J., & Wang, Y. (2020). Understanding consumer behaviour in EV adoption. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*.
- [2] Abu-Alkeir, M. (2020). Factors influencing consumer intentions towards electric cars. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*.
- [3] Johansson, T., Adi, R., & Al-Attar, S. (2022). Range anxiety and consumer behaviour. *Journal of Transport Policy*.
- [4] Chen, X., et al. (2020). Adoption of EVs in Nordic countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*.
- [5] Trivedi, P., & Kishore, A. (2020). Consumer purchase intention in emerging markets. *International Journal of Economics and Business Research*.
- [6] Xu, H., et al. (2024). Price fairness and green consumption. *Journal of Consumer Research*.
- [7] Khurana, P., Kumar, A., & Sidhpuria, S. (2020). Range anxiety in Indian EV market. *Indian Journal of Marketing*.
- [8] Jaiswal, R., Kant, S., & Singh, A. (2022). EV adoption and policy in India. *Energy Policy Journal*.
- [9] Gulati, A. (2022). Impact of FAME scheme on EV adoption in India. *Automobile Quarterly Review*.
- [10] Goel, S., et al. (2021). Environmental impacts of transport sector. *Journal of Environmental Studies*.
- [11] Wang, T., et al. (2018). Consumer green adoption and risk perception. *Transportation Research Part A*.
- [12] White, L., & Sintov, N. (2017). Environmental identity and EV acceptance. *Transportation Research Part A*.
- [13] Morton, C., Anable, J., & Nelson, J. (2017). Emerging consumer clusters in EV markets. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*.
- [14] Zaino, M., et al. (2024). Policy and regulation for EV adoption. *Energy Policy and Economics*.
- [15] Catton, R., et al. (2017). Lifecycle impacts of EV batteries. *Journal of Sustainable Energy Systems*.
- [16] openai.com

## Author Profile

**Siddharth Meruva** is a BBA (Honours) student at CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru. His research interests include consumer behaviour, sustainable mobility, and the electric vehicle industry in India.