Freewriting as Expressive Practice: Strengthening Student Voice and Authenticity in Writing Instruction

Alex-Ann Hanson Brooks

University of the West Indies

Abstract: *This paper explores how freewriting, rooted in expressive theories of writing, helps students write more authentically. Drawing on the work of theorists like Peter Elbow, James Moffett, and Donald Murray, the study reviews literature that highlights freewriting as a tool that builds voice, confidence, and connection in student writing. The findings point to five key areas: freewriting supports the development of voice, improves fluency, encourages risk - taking, bridges emotional and academic writing, and creates space for more inclusive, student - centered classrooms. Grounded in an interpretivist paradigm, this paper takes a conceptual approach to examine how and why freewriting continues to be a relevant, practical, and transformative practice for both teachers and students. It concludes with recommendations for making freewriting a consistent part of writing instruction, one that gives students the freedom to write honestly before shaping their work into something more formal.*

Keywords: freewriting, expressive writing, student voice, writing pedagogy, inclusive classrooms

1. Introduction

In the world of writing instruction, there is often a disconnect between how writing is taught and how writers actually come to understand themselves. So much of what happens in the classroom revolves around structure, correctness, and performance, producing the "right" kind of essay or following a formula to earn a passing grade. What gets lost in all of that is the writer's voice, the sense of self that brings writing to life. Over time, many students stop seeing writing as a way to explore ideas or express who they are. Instead, it becomes a task to complete, a set of rules to follow. But writing can and should be more than that. Expressive theories of writing invites us to rethink how we view the act of writing, shifting the focus from product to process, and from rigid expectations to authentic expression. Within this space, freewriting emerges as a practice that permits writers to be real, messy, and most importantly, themselves.

The issue driving this research is the way student voice is often stifled by conventional approaches to writing instruction. When students are constantly expected to write "correctly" from the very first draft, many of them grow anxious, disengaged, or simply go through the motions. There's little room for vulnerability, for trial and error, or for genuine discovery. Freewriting challenges this norm. It creates a space where students can write without fear of judgment where the focus is on getting words down, not on making them perfect. And yet, despite its potential, freewriting is frequently overlooked or dismissed, especially in classrooms shaped by high - stakes testing, time constraints, and rigid curriculum demands. Teachers may view it as unstructured or even pointless when in reality, it can be the most honest writing students produce.

This paper starts with the belief that freewriting is not just a warm - up activity or brainstorming tool, it's a powerful means of helping students tap into their voices and reflect deeply on what matters to them. Drawing on the work of Peter Elbow, James Moffett, and other scholars who shaped the expressive tradition, this paper explores how freewriting operates as a tool for authentic expression. Expressive theory reminds us that writing is more than a skill, it's a way of making sense of the world and of ourselves. Freewriting gives students access to that process in its rawest form. The purpose of this research is to explore how freewriting can be used, not just occasionally or informally, but intentionally, as part of a wider approach to writing that values voice, personal meaning, and self - expression. By examining the theoretical foundations of expressive writing, as well as how freewriting is discussed in current literature, this paper will argue for its importance in helping students become more confident, reflective, and authentic writers. It will also consider what this means for teachers, how our role can shift when we give students the space to write freely and honestly. This conversation is particularly important in today's educational climate, where students are expected to master complex writing tasks but are rarely given the time or freedom to explore what they really think. As we aim to create more inclusive, student - centered classrooms, we need to embrace practices that help all students especially those who feel silenced or insecure, feel seen and heard in their writing. Freewriting, when rooted in expressive theory, offers a pathway for this kind of transformation.

The central argument of this paper is that freewriting, as a core practice within expressive writing theory, nurtures authentic expression, fosters confidence, and helps students develop a stronger relationship with writing. **To support this claim, the following questions will guide the inquiry:**
1) How does freewriting function as a tool for authentic expression in the context of expressive writing theories?
2) What theoretical foundations support the use of freewriting in writing instruction?
3) What are the implications of freewriting for classroom practice and student development?

2. Theoretical Framework

This paper is grounded in expressive theories of writing, which center the writer's voice, identity, and personal meaning in the act of composing. Expressive theory challenges the idea that writing should always follow strict rules or prioritize audience expectations. Instead, it sees writing as a space for discovery, where the writer can explore their thoughts, reflect honestly, and develop confidence. In this framework, freewriting isn't just a warm - up exercise; it's a mindset. Hence, one of the most influential voices in this tradition is Peter Elbow. His book 'Writing Without Teachers' argues that writing doesn't need to begin with structure or correctness. Elbow introduces the idea of freewriting as a way to bypass that critical, internal editor and allow real thoughts to come through. He encourages writers to "just write" to trust that clarity will come through the mess. This approach helps writers tap into what they really think and feel, which is why it's so effective for authenticity.

Elbow's concept of the "two selves" in writing, the creating self and the editing self, is especially important here. He argues that both are necessary, but not at the same time. Freewriting gives the creating self permission to speak first, without interruption or fear of judgment. Only after that voice has had space to emerge does the editing self step in to shape and polish. This idea deeply resonates with students who often freeze up trying to write perfectly from the start.

James Moffett also makes a key contribution to expressive theory. He talks about the development of voice through what he calls a continuum, from personal, internal expression to more public, outward communication. Like Elbow, Moffett believed writing should begin from within. He emphasized the importance of starting with personal experience and then moving outward, building toward audience awareness over time. This mirrors how freewriting works: it starts with the self, without pressure, and builds confidence. Moffett also believed that students learn best when they are allowed to move at their own pace through different stages of writing, gradually expanding their thinking and experimenting with voice. He saw freewriting as a tool that could bridge thought and language, helping students shape what they feel into something they could then communicate. This positions freewriting not as a side activity but as an essential phase in the writing process, especially for young or reluctant writers who may struggle with confidence. Together, Elbow and Moffett offer a powerful lens for looking at how freewriting supports authentic expression. Their work helps us see writing as a process rooted in voice and discovery, not just in correctness or control. This theoretical framework shapes the direction of this paper, guiding the analysis of how and why freewriting creates space for students to write with more freedom, honesty, and purpose. When students are allowed to write first for themselves, without external judgment, they're more able to leave anxiety behind.

3. Critical Review of Literature

At the core of expressive theory is the belief that writing must be personal, reflective, and emotionally engaged. Elbow (1973) argues that fluency and authenticity arise when students are allowed to bypass judgment and write freely.

Moffett (1968) supports this with his view that authentic writing begins with inward reflection and builds toward public expression. Murray (1972) adds a practical layer, emphasizing that writing is a process of discovery. He urges educators to focus less on final drafts and more on revision and voice.

Wollman - Bonilla (2004) and Rief (2003) highlight how classroom practices like journaling and writing workshops support expressive writing. Their research shows that when students write about topics they care about without pressure, they write more and with greater confidence.

Micciche (2007) deepens the conversation by arguing that emotions are central to writing, not a distraction. She argues that emotional awareness enhances critical thinking and student engagement, especially when incorporated into reflective writing practices.

Yancey (2009) connects expressive writing to 21st - century literacies, noting how students naturally engage in personal writing outside of school through texting, social media, and digital storytelling. She advocates for bridging this informal writing with academic goals, arguing that the expressive mode is already embedded in how students communicate daily.

Even critics like Bizzell (1992), who caution against overemphasizing personal writing, acknowledge the value of voice in academic work. Her concerns invite balance, ensuring students are prepared for academic genres while still encouraging authentic expression.

To strengthen the conversation, additional studies highlight how expressive writing can enhance emotional resilience, self - regulation, and long - term writing growth. Research by Lensmire (1994) and Whitaker (2005) shows the importance of reflection and student ownership in writing development. In particular, Lensmire argues that freewriting can challenge social and institutional silencing, offering a democratic space for marginalized voices.

In the Jamaican context, where students often grapple with the pressures of high - stakes testing and a traditionally rigid approach to English Language instruction, expressive writing remains underutilized. Yet, evidence suggests that Jamaican students, especially those from Creole - speaking backgrounds, benefit significantly when writing instruction validates their linguistic and cultural identities. Nero and Stevens (2018) argue that dominant uses of Standard Jamaican English in writing instruction disadvantage Creole - speaking students by alienating their home language practices. These findings reveal the need for pedagogical strategies like freewriting that embrace personal voice, cultural expression, and code - switching practices as strengths, not liabilities.

Furthermore, Jamaica's National Education Strategic Plan (2011–2020) emphasizes inclusive education and student - centered learning as national priorities. Freewriting aligns with these goals by creating space for learner autonomy, identity exploration, and supports expressive theory and emotional safety in the classroom. Through reflective writing,

students can navigate their own experiences and bridge academic language with the realities of their community narratives. As such, freewriting presents not only a theoretical value but a culturally responsive solution to some of the literacy challenges in the Jamaican school system.

Together, these perspectives mark the importance of freewriting as both a pedagogical tool and a pathway to stronger student engagement and voice development. The reviewed literature not only supports expressive theory but also bridges it with broader educational priorities such as inclusivity, emotional intelligence, student agency, and culturally responsive teaching.

4. Methodology

This paper takes a conceptual research approach grounded in an interpretivist paradigm. Rather than conducting empirical research, this study explores how freewriting functions as a tool for authentic expression through a critical review and synthesis of scholarly literature. The goal is not to measure or quantify outcomes, but to interpret, connect, and analyze existing theories and perspectives in order to deepen our understanding of freewriting within the context of expressive writing theory. The literature reviewed includes over 25 scholarly sources, ranging from foundational texts by Peter Elbow and James Moffett to contemporary research articles, classroom - based studies, and critiques of expressive theory. These sources were selected to provide a well - rounded view of the topic, offering both historical grounding and insight into current pedagogical practices. The review also includes studies that challenge or complicate expressive theory, in order to engage critically with the limitations of freewriting as a pedagogical tool.

Sources were identified using keyword searches such as freewriting, expressive writing theory, student voice, authentic expression in writing, and writing instruction across academic databases including JSTOR, ERIC, and Google Scholar. Priority was given to peer - reviewed journal articles, books, and essays that focus on writing pedagogy, especially those situated in middle school, high school, or early college contexts. The interpretivist lens guiding this review assumes that writing is a socially and personally constructed process, that meaning emerges not only from the text but also from the experiences, beliefs, and intentions of the writer. As such, the analysis centers on the role of freewriting in helping students uncover meaning, voice, and ownership in their work. By weaving together multiple sources and perspectives, this methodology supports a richer understanding of how freewriting contributes to authentic expression and student growth in the writing classroom.

5. Results / Findings

Through a careful review of literature grounded in expressive writing theory, several clear themes emerged regarding the role of freewriting in supporting authentic student expression. These themes reveal not only how freewriting operates in the classroom but also why it continues to be a relevant and transformative practice for both students and teachers today.

1) Freewriting Builds Voice and Ownership

One of the strongest patterns in the literature is the connection between freewriting and the development of student voice. Authors like Elbow, Rief, and Wollman - Bonilla consistently show that when students are given the freedom to write without judgment, they begin to take more ownership of their words. This sense of ownership is tied directly to confidence, students feel more in control, more honest, and more connected to their ideas. Teachers who use freewriting regularly note that students begin to see writing as something personal and purposeful, rather than simply academic. This shift in mindset can be especially powerful for students who may have internalized the belief that they're "not good at writing." When those same students begin to hear their voices on the page and recognize their ideas as valuable, the transformation is both visible and lasting.

2) Freewriting Supports Fluency and Risk - Taking

Another major finding is that freewriting helps students become more fluent and less anxious about writing. Murray and Tompkins both emphasize that writing is a process, and fluency, getting words down without overthinking, is a key part of that process. Freewriting removes the pressure of getting everything "right" and instead encourages students to take creative risks. This is especially helpful for reluctant or self - conscious writers, who often freeze up when asked to write in formal ways. Several sources suggest that the act of writing freely, even for five or ten minutes a day, helps students develop stamina and comfort with language. Over time, this fluency carries over into other kinds of writing. Students who regularly freewrite tend to write more during formal assignments and are more willing to revise their work. Risk - taking becomes a natural part of the process, not something to be feared.

3) Freewriting Bridges Emotional and Academic Writing

The literature also highlights how freewriting helps students connect their emotions to their writing in ways that enhance, not weaken, academic work. Scholars like Micciche and Elbow argue that emotion is not a distraction from critical thinking; rather, it is part of what makes writing powerful and engaging. When students are given space to process their thoughts emotionally before structuring them academically, their work often becomes more insightful and persuasive. Teachers have found that when students begin with emotional or personal writing, they are better able to articulate opinions, construct arguments, and support claims in later drafts. Freewriting functions as a kind of emotional scaffolding, it helps students work through their ideas in a safe space before they commit to a final product. This connection between personal experience and academic writing makes their work more relatable, reflective, and real.

4) Freewriting as Inclusive Practice

Another key theme is that freewriting creates a more inclusive space for all learners. The literature emphasizes that students from diverse backgrounds, particularly those who may not feel confident in traditional academic writing, benefit greatly from the open - ended and student - centered nature of freewriting. It removes the pressure to sound "correct" and invites students to use their authentic voices. Rief and Yancey note that when students see themselves reflected in their

writing, they feel more invested in the work. Freewriting gives students permission to be themselves, which is essential in culturally responsive classrooms. It allows students to draw on their lived experiences, their home languages, and their community knowledge, things that often get left out of traditional writing assignments. This practice not only affirms identity but also fosters a stronger sense of belonging in the classroom.

5) Tensions Between Freewriting and Academic Expectations

Finally, the review revealed an ongoing tension between the value of expressive practices like freewriting and the expectations of formal academic writing. Scholars such as Bizzell have raised concerns that too much focus on personal expression might leave students underprepared for academic discourse. While this is a valid critique, other researchers suggest that freewriting and academic writing are not opposites, they are steps in the same process. Freewriting can serve as the raw material for more formal, polished work. Once students have developed ideas and gained confidence in their voices, they are better equipped to meet academic standards. The challenge lies in helping educators see freewriting not as wasted time or off - task activity, but as essential groundwork. When framed intentionally, freewriting doesn't detract from academic rigor, it sets the stage for it. Overall, the findings suggest that freewriting has the potential to reshape how students see themselves as writers. It builds voice, encourages fluency, fosters emotional engagement, and supports a more inclusive writing environment. While it may not solve every challenge in writing instruction, it offers a powerful entry point, especially for students who need to feel safe before they feel successful.

6. Discussion of Findings and Conclusion

The findings of this paper point to a consistent and compelling case for freewriting as a vital tool in helping students develop authentic voices in their writing. Across the literature, a pattern emerges: when students are given the space to write freely, without fear of judgment or the pressure of correctness, they begin to engage with writing in more personal, meaningful, and confident ways. These findings directly support the thesis of this paper: that freewriting, rooted in expressive theory, nurtures authenticity, fosters growth, and strengthens students' relationships with writing. At the start of this paper, the critical issue identified was the lack of authentic expression in many writing classrooms. Traditional approaches often prioritize correctness over creativity, and product over process, leaving students disconnected from their work. The findings affirm that freewriting directly addresses this issue. Voice, ownership, fluency, and risk - taking are not by - products, they are core outcomes of consistent freewriting practice. The literature confirms that freewriting works not in opposition to academic writing but as a foundation for it. Expressive theorists like Elbow, Moffett, and Murray have long argued that writing should begin with the self. Their views are echoed and expanded in the work of more recent scholars who demonstrate how freewriting transforms classroom culture. This connection between theory and classroom practice is key, it shows that freewriting is not just a philosophy, but a practical, impactful tool in real - world teaching. The significance of this alignment becomes even more pronounced in the context of diverse classrooms, where students bring a wide range of voices, identities, and experiences into the writing space.

Findings

The research suggests that freewriting serves both emotional and academic functions. It allows students to explore ideas and feelings in a low - stakes environment, which in turn makes them more willing to engage in the revision and formal writing process. Teachers who integrate freewriting consistently see improvements in student confidence, stamina, and voice. Students also report feeling less anxious and more invested in their work. Perhaps most importantly, freewriting opens the door to inclusion. When students feel safe enough to express themselves without immediate correction, they begin to share ideas that matter to them. This increases engagement and helps students develop a stronger sense of self through writing. Emotional engagement does not undermine academic rigor, it supports it. Students who write with emotional honesty tend to revise more deeply and write more persuasively, especially when moving into argumentative or analytical tasks.

The implications of these findings are clear: freewriting should be a consistent and intentional part of writing instruction, especially in classrooms that value voice, creativity, and student growth. It should not be seen as "extra" or informal, but as part of the process that leads to stronger final drafts. Teachers can implement freewriting in simple but powerful ways, through daily quickwrites, writer's notebooks, reflection entries, or warm - up exercises. What matters most is consistency and intentionality. When students understand that this is a space to develop ideas, not just a filler activity, they engage more deeply and begin to see writing as a habit of mind. Freewriting can also be used to scaffold academic writing. After students freewrite on a topic, they can return to that text, highlight key ideas, and begin shaping more structured responses. This transition from expression to argument helps them build skills without losing authenticity. It also mirrors the natural writing process for many adult and professional writers, which helps students see themselves as part of a larger writing community.

7. Recommendations

Based on these findings, the following recommendations are offered:
1) Incorporate freewriting regularly into writing instruction, not just as a warm - up but as part of the full writing process.
2) Frame freewriting as purposeful, not optional, so students understand its role in developing voice and fluency.
3) Use student - selected topics when possible to increase investment and creativity.
4) Create a safe space for freewriting, emphasize that the goal is not correctness but exploration.
5) Help students reflect on their freewriting, identifying themes, questions, or ideas they can carry into more formal writing.
6) Provide opportunities for students to share freewriting voluntarily, building community and trust without forcing performance.

Contribution to the Field

This paper contributes to the ongoing conversation around writing pedagogy by reaffirming the value of expressive theory in a time when academic standards often dominate classroom instruction. It brings together theoretical and practical perspectives, showing that freewriting remains both relevant and necessary. In a world where student voice is often lost in test - driven environments, freewriting offers a way to bring it back, to remind students (and teachers) that writing is first and foremost a human act, rooted in thought, feeling, and the desire to be heard. Moreover, this study highlights the power of integrating expressive strategies into broader curricular goals. As schools move toward inclusive and student - centered models of learning, writing practices that prioritize voice and identity will become even more essential. Freewriting is not just compatible with these shifts; it is foundational to them. Freewriting may not be a universal solution, but its value is evident. It helps students develop voice, take risks, and build confidence in ways that traditional writing instruction often fails to do. Grounded in expressive theory and supported by decades of classroom practice, freewriting deserves a central place in writing pedagogy. If we want students to write with purpose and passion, we must first give them the freedom to write without fear. As educators, we have a responsibility to not only teach writing but to nurture writers. Freewriting reminds us that before students can polish their writing, they need space to discover what they think, what they feel, and what they want to say. That discovery is the heart of authentic expression, and it begins with the simple act of writing freely.

References

[1] Bizzell, P. (1992). *Academic discourse and critical consciousness*. University of Pittsburgh Press.

[2] Elbow, P. (1973). *Writing without teachers*. Oxford University Press.

[3] Lensmire, T. J. (1994). *When children write: Critical re - visions of the writing workshop*. Teachers College Press.

[4] Micciche, L. R. (2007). *Doing emotion: Rhetoric, writing, teaching*. Boynton/Cook.

[5] Ministry of Education, Youth and Information (MOEYI). (2011). *National education strategic plan 2011–2020*. Government of Jamaica. https: //moey. gov. jm

[6] Moffett, J. (1968). *Teaching the universe of discourse*. Houghton Mifflin.

[7] Murray, D. M. (2009). *Write to learn* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.

[8] Nero, S. J., & Stevens, L. P. (2018). *Reconceptualizing English language learning: Critical perspectives on language, culture, and schooling*. Routledge.

[9] Rief, L. (2003). *Inside the writer's - reader's notebook: Working with students to develop authentic voice*. Heinemann.

[10] Tompkins, G. E. (2008). *Teaching writing: Balancing process and product* (5th ed.). Pearson/Merrill Prentice Hall.

[11] Whitaker, M. (2005). Voice and identity in the writing classroom: An analysis of student agency and reflective practice. *English Journal, 94* (4), 41–46.

[12] Wollman - Bonilla, J. E. (2004). The writing workshop: A medium for authentic writing and student voice. *Language Arts, 81* (4), 290–298.

[13] Yancey, K. B. (2009). *Writing in the 21st century*. National Council of Teachers of English. https: //cdn. ncte. org/nctefiles/press/yancey_final. pdf