

# Role of Total Leukocyte Count and C-Reactive Protein In Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis

Dr. Lavu Venkatesh<sup>1</sup>, Dr. D. Lokanadham<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Post Graduate, Department of General Surgery

<sup>2</sup>MS General Surgery

**Abstract:** Introduction: Acute appendicitis is a common surgical emergency with variable presentations, making diagnosis challenging. Despite advances in diagnostic modalities, the diagnosis remains doubtful in 30-40% of cases. This study investigates the role of TLC and CRP in diagnosing acute appendicitis. Methods: The study included 75 patients diagnosed with acute appendicitis, with data collected from January 2024 to July 2024. Patients above 12 years of age with symptoms and signs of acute appendicitis were enrolled, while those with extreme age, pregnancy, immunocompromised status, and pre-existing diseases were excluded. TLC and CRP levels were evaluated in all patients, and appendectomies were performed independently of these results. Results: 1) Among 56 inflamed appendix cases, CRP was raised in 46 (82.14%) cases and normal in 10 (17.86%) cases. 2) Among 56 inflamed appendix cases, TLC was raised in 43 (76.79%) cases and normal in 13 (23.21%) cases. 3) Combining TLC and CRP showed better significance than individual tests, with a sensitivity of 90.24% and specificity of 78.57%. Conclusion: TLC and CRP are useful in diagnosing acute appendicitis, especially when used in combination. The negative predictive value of 73.33% suggests that deferring surgery in patients with negative TLC and CRP results may reduce unnecessary appendectomies and associated morbidity.<sup>1</sup>

**Keywords:** acute appendicitis, TLC and CRP, diagnostic accuracy, surgical decision-making, clinical biomarkers

## 1. Introduction

Acute appendicitis is still one of the most commonest surgical emergencies.<sup>1</sup>

The diagnosis is primarily clinical. A typical patient presents with right lower abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting with tenderness or guarding rigidity in right iliac fossa on examination. However these signs and symptoms are not very specific for appendicitis.<sup>2</sup> The picture is more confusing due to variable positions of appendix. Despite of advances in diagnostic modalities the diagnosis still doubtful in 30-40% of cases.<sup>3</sup> and the definite diagnosis of appendicitis still remains a clinical decision, augmented by appropriate tests. Total leukocyte count has remained an important factor in definite diagnosis of appendicitis. Various studies have shown that this can be very non specific at times.<sup>4</sup> Recently interest has grown in other inflammatory markers which could be helpful in diagnosing appendicitis. CRP is one of them. Various diagnostic modalities such as radiological, laparoscopy and laboratory methods have been reported to reduce the incidence of negative exploration. Leukocyte count has been useful adjunct for diagnosis; however the utility of this test has been poorly characterized. A more recently suggested laboratory evaluation is determination of C-reactive protein level. C- reactive protein (CRP) is an acute phase reactant synthesized by the liver in response to tissue injury. The measurement of CRP is available, easy to perform and economical. As CRP is an inflammatory marker, it is expected to rise in case of acute appendicitis. Many workers have investigated the value of CRP in improving the diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis. A multivariate analysis showed that serial CRP measurement can improve the accuracy of diagnosing acute appendicitis.<sup>5</sup> This study was conducted to check the sensitivity and specificity of TLC and CRP in patients presenting with right iliac fossa pain and its efficacy in diagnosing acute appendicitis.

## 2. Aims and Objectives

To check the sensitivity and specificity of TLC in diagnosis of acute appendicitis .To check the sensitivity and specificity of C- reactive protein (CRP) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis .To determine TLC and CRP efficacy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

## 3. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in Department of Surgery at ASRAM eluru. The data was studied from January 2024 and July 2024. A total number of 75 cases were taken diagnosed as acute appendicitis. Patients above 12 years of age who were diagnosed as acute appendicitis on the basis of presenting symptoms and signs were enrolled. Those cases like patients with RIF pain treated conservatively. Patients with extreme age, Pain in RIF with pregnancy, immunocompromised status, pre-existing disease and patients suffering from other acute inflammatory condition were excluded from the study. All patients were subjected to routine blood investigations in addition to pre-operative imaging like ultrasonography. Informed consent was obtained from all registered cases. TLC and CRP were evaluated in all patients who planned for appendectomy. Appendectomies were performed independent of results of TLC and CRP levels. The laboratory staff were blinded. Appendix specimen sent to histopathological examination. The records of all patients were accessed from pathology department with histopathological results. This was used to get the incidence of negative appendectomy and then on these features patients were divided into 2 groups as :

Group A. Inflamed/Perforated/Gangrenous appendix

Group B. Normal appendix

For statistical purpose this 2 groups were used. The normal TLC and CRP values, raised TLC, raised CRP, and raised both TLC and CRP values calculated in each of these groups.

The Cut off value for TLC  $11 \times 10^6 / L$ . This value was selected arbitrarily as it corresponds to elevated TLC.

The CRP levels were calculated and cut off value was taken 1.7 mg/ dl

#### 4. Results

C reactive protein and histopathology correlation Among 56 Inflamed appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 46(82.14%) cases and normal in 10(17.86%) cases. among 19 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 5(26.32%) cases and normal in 14(73.68%) cases.

Total leukocyte count and histopathology correlation among 56 Inflamed appendix cases, TLC was found to be raised in 43(76.79%) cases and normal in 13(23.21%) cases. Among 19 normal appendix cases, CRP was found to be raised in 6(31.58%) cases and normal in 13(68.42%) cases.

Correlation between total leukocyte count and C reactive protein in combination with histopathology report In total of 75 cases studied, 55(73.33%) cases CRP and TLC In combination was either raised (74.55%) or normal (25.55%). 3(5.45%) cases of normal appendix had raised CRP and TLC in combination.

#### 5. Discussion

A total of 75 patients were included in this study. patients with extreme age, pregnancy, immunocompromised status, preexisting disease and patients suffering from other acute inflammatory conditions were excluded from the study.

Out of 75 patients, 20(22.67%) were female and 55(73.33%) were male. Maximum group of patients belonged to 21-30 years (25 patients i.e., 33.34%). Appendicitis is mainly a disease of adolescents and young adult.<sup>6</sup>

Clinical diagnosis was found to be correct in 74.67% of cases and negative appendectomy rate was 25.33% in this study. A high degree of accuracy is required to reduce the incidence of negative appendectomies which still remain around 20%.<sup>7</sup>

##### C- Reactive Protein and Acute Appendicitis

In this study, the CRP has a sensitivity of 82.14%, specificity of 73.68%. This is comparable to the study done by Asafar<sup>8</sup> where sensitivity and specificity were 86.6% and 93.6% respectively.

Ahmed et al, in his study found sensitivity of 93.5% and specificity of 80% and pointed that normal CRP is mostly associated with normal appendices, deferring surgery would probably reduce unnecessary appendectomies.<sup>9</sup>

In this study, none of the case with appendicular perforation had normal CRP. This observation is supported by the study done by Gronroos's.<sup>10</sup>

In this study, 17.86% of cases had normal CRP levels even though HPE was positive. So it was advised by Thimsen<sup>11</sup> in his study that if the symptoms are present for more than 12 hours and CRP was negative, acute appendicitis was unlikely. It is better to follow these patients in and out patient setting and do repeated clinical examination and repeat investigation. So the negative appendectomy rates can be reduced.

False negative reactions usually occurs early in the infective episode, the reasons are due to technical pitfalls in laboratory testing. Because CRP levels can increase very rapidly and dramatically, the latex agglutination assay is subject to false negative reactions due to a prozone-type phenomenon in which all of the antibody combining sites on the latex particles are bound to as excess of CRP, so no crosslinking (agglutination) can occur.

Thus, at the end it should be stressed that serum CRP estimation does not replace clinical diagnosis, but is useful adjunct in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Serum CRP value should be interpreted in combination with clinical findings and leukocyte count.

##### TLC & Acute Appendicitis

The sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of positive test and predictive value of negative test of TLC in our study is 76.79%, 68.42%, 87.76% and 50% respectively. These results were in accordance with study by Yang et al<sup>12</sup> indicating high association between TLC and acute appendicitis.

According to study done by JM Goonroos et al<sup>13</sup> TLC was the test of choice in diagnosing uncomplicated acute appendicitis, however it's a poor predictor of protracted inflammation. This supported in study by David and Berchley et al<sup>14</sup>. The TLC count when done individually distinguishes normal appendix from uncomplicated acute appendicitis. But does not distinguish uncomplicated from complicated appendicitis. Coleman C et al<sup>15</sup> reported that TLC is a poor predictor of severity of disease. Vermeulen et al<sup>16</sup> after evaluating 221 patients concluded that TLC count did not significantly influence the surgical decision making.

##### The Role of Combining TLC & CRP in Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis

In this study we correlated the TLC and CRP in combination with histopathology and found sensitivity and specificity of 90.24% and 78.57% respectively. This had better significance than individual TLC or CRP. Same observations were found by Nordback, Van Diejen-Visser and Yang<sup>12</sup>.

When combined value of CRP, WBC and raised neutrophil count is taken into consideration negative value was important. Avoiding surgery in these cases can reduce negative appendectomy rate considerably.

Marchand et al<sup>17</sup> in there study suggested that combination of these tests has 100% sensitivity and 50% specificity in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

#### 6. Conclusion

TLC and CRP are useful in diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Appendicitis is common in adult and children.

In our study association of CRP and acute appendicitis has shown to be significant, but it can not replace surgeon's clinical acumen.

Combining the TLC and CRP increases sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value.

The negative predictive value in our study is 73.33% that is if TLC and CRP are negative, deferring surgery in this group is recommended. Therefore unnecessary appendectomy in the 11 patients in whom the test were true negative could be avoided, there by decreasing the rate of negative laparotomies and also associated morbidity.

inflammatory disease in women of childbearing age. Am J Emerg Med 1993 Nov; 11(6): 569-72.

- [15] Shakhathreh HS The accuracy of C-reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis compared with that of clinical diagnosis. Med Arh.2000; 54(2): 109-10.
- [16] Wilcox RT, Traverso LW. Have the evaluation and treatment of acute appendicitis changed with new technology? SurgClin North Am 1997; 77:1355-70.
- [17] Shine, B., de Beer, F.C., and Pepys, M.B. 1981. Solid phase radioimmunoassays for C-reactive protein.Clin. Chim. Acta. 117:13-23.
- [18] Rodriguez-Sanjuan JC et al. C-reactive protein and leukocyte count in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in children. Dis Colon Rectum. 1999 Oct; 42(10): 1325-9.
- [19] Gurleyik E, Gurleyik G, Unalmiser S. Accuracy of serum C-reactive protein measurements in diagnosis of acute appendicitis compared with surgeon's clinical impression. Dis Colon Rectum 1995; 38: 1270-4.

## References

- [1] Asfar S et al Would measurement of C-reactive protein reduce the rate of negative exploration for acute appendicitis?J R Coll Surg Edinb. 2000 Feb; 45(1): 21-4.
- [2] Jess P et al. Acute appendicitis: prospective trial concerning diagnostic accuracy& complications. Am J Surg 1981;141:232- 4
- [3] Pieper R, Kager L, Nasman P. Acute appendicitis: a clinical study of 1018 cases of emergency appendectomies. Acta Chir Scand1982; 148:51-62.
- [4] Hoffmann J., Rasmussen O. Aids in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Br J Surg 1989; 76: 774-9.
- [5] Gronroos JM et al Phospholipase A2, C-reactive protein, and white blood cell count in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. ClinChem 1994; 40: 1757-60.
- [6] Delany HM. Appendicitis: trends and risks, 1996.J AssocAcad Minor Phys 1996; 7: 70-7.
- [7] Van Dieijen-Visser MP, Go PMNYH, Brombacher PJ. The value of laboratory tests in patients suspected of acute appendicitis. Eur J ClinChemClinBiochem 1991; 29: 749-52.
- [8] Andersson RE, Hugander A, Thulin AJ. Diagnostic accuracy and perforation rate in appendicitis: association with age and sex of the patient and with appendectomy rate. Eur J Surg 1992; 158: 37-41.
- [9] Gronroos JM, Forsstrom JJ, Irjala K, Nevalainen TJ. Phospholipase A2, C-reactive protein, and white blood cell count in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. ClinChem 1994; 40: 1757-60.
- [10] Balthazar EJ et al. Acute appendicitis: CT and US correlation in 100 patients. Radiology 1994; 190: 31-5.
- [11] Mason LB, Deyden WE. Primary appendectomy. Am J Surg 1976; 42: 239- 43.
- [12] Lewis FR, Holcroft JW, Boey J, Dunphy JE. Appendicitis: a critical review of diagnosis and treatment in 1000 cases. Arch Surg 1975; 110: 667-84
- [13] Chang FC, Hogle HH, Welling DR. The fate of the negative appendix.Am J Surg 1973; 126: 752- 5.
- [14] Webster DP, Schneider CN, Cheche S, et al: Differentiating acute appendicitis from pelvic