International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Predictive Modeling of Human Behavior: A Quantum-Inspired Approach

Mohanraj Muralidharan

Independent Researcher, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: *mohanrajmuralidharan[at]gmail.com*

Abstract: ***Understanding and predicting human behavior remains a complex challenge across scientific disciplines. This study explores a quantum-inspired approach to behavioral modeling, drawing on analogies from quantum mechanics to identify potential underlying patterns in human actions. Key parallels include the spatial distribution of individuals analogous to electron orbitals, the dual nature of behavior at individual and group levels resembling wave-particle duality, and the aggregation of collective decisions reflecting quantum wave function collapse. By integrating concepts from quantum cognition and quantum decision theory, this work examines the theoretical foundations, modeling techniques, and limitations of applying quantum analogies to behavioral prediction. The findings suggest that quantum-inspired models may***

Keywords: Quantum Cognition, Human Behavior Modeling, Quantum Decision Theory, Collective Decision-Making, Behavioral Prediction, Wave Function Collapse, P vs NP.

1. Introduction

a) Background:

Observation 1: Consider an atom with four orbitals, into which four electrons are added at different time intervals. Each electron occupies a different orbital, and once all the orbitals are filled, any subsequent electron will have to occupy an already occupied orbital. Similarly, imagine an empty bus where 10 passengers board at different intervals, with no interaction between them. Each passenger will sit in a different seat, and only when all seats are filled will any new passenger sit in an already occupied seat.

Observation 2: An electron behaves as both a particle and a wave. Similarly, humans can act as individuals (like particles) or as a collective group (like a wave), where individual behavior contrasts with collective actions.

Observation 3: During an election, voters act like a wave, where individual choices combine into a collective decision. When the election results are published, this can be seen as the "collapse" of this wave, akin to the collapse of a quantum wave function.

Problem statement:
Are these phenomena—electron behavior in orbitals, individual and collective human behavior, and collective decision-making in elections—governed by the same underlying quantum principles?

b) Objective:

The objective of this research is to explore the applicability of quantum mechanical principles to model human behavior, drawing analogies between electron behavior in atoms, individual versus collective human actions, and the decision-making process in elections. By examining the parallels between quantum phenomena—such as particle-wave duality and wave function collapse—and human behavior in both individual and collective contexts, the goal is to develop a theoretical framework that can enhance our understanding of human dynamics. This research aims to identify underlying patterns governing human actions, evaluate the feasibility of quantum-inspired models in behavioral prediction, and investigate the potential for quantum decision theory to offer new insights into social, psychological, and political behavior.

c) Contribution:

This paper introduces a novel interdisciplinary approach to understanding human behavior by drawing analogies from quantum mechanics, a perspective that has been largely unexplored in the behavioral sciences. By mapping concepts such as electron orbital filling, particle-wave duality, and wave function collapse to individual and collective human actions, the paper offers a fresh theoretical framework for modeling complex human dynamics. It contributes to the growing fields of quantum cognition and quantum decision theory by extending their principles to behavioral prediction. This work paves the way for new methodologies that may enhance our understanding of social, psychological, and political phenomena, thereby advancing the application of quantum-inspired models in the study of human behavior.

Understanding Quantum Cognition and Decision Theory

Defining Quantum Cognition

Quantum cognition represents a **novel research program** that utilizes the mathematical principles derived from quantum theory as a framework for explaining the intricacies of human cognition. This includes a broad spectrum of cognitive functions such as judgment, decision-making, concept formation, reasoning, memory, and perception[1]. It is crucial to understand that this field does not posit that the human brain operates as a quantum computer in a literal, physical sense[1]. Instead, **quantum cognition adopts quantum theory as a fresh conceptual lens and a coherent set of formal tools** to elucidate empirical findings in psychology that have often resisted explanation by traditional approaches.

At its core, **quantum cognition is motivated by the observation that certain phenomena in human cognition are more accurately and naturally described by the principles of quantum probability theory rather than classical probability theory**[4]. Classical probability theory, which forms the bedrock of most statistical work in psychology, is based on Kolmogorov's axioms and adheres to Boolean logic, where events are treated as subsets of a sample space[8]. This framework often struggles to account for phenomena like order effects in judgments, where the sequence in which questions are asked influences the answers, and violations of the law of total probability, where the probability of an event can differ depending on whether or not certain intermediate events are known[2].

In contrast, **quantum probability theory, rooted in von Neumann's axioms and the mathematical structure of Hilbert spaces, offers a more generalized framework**[8]. In this approach, events are represented as subspaces of a vector space, and probabilities are derived from complex-valued probability amplitudes[12]. This mathematical structure **relaxes assumptions like commutativity and distributivity** that are central to classical logic, allowing quantum probability to naturally accommodate phenomena that appear paradoxical from a classical perspective[8]. The initial seeds of applying the formalisms of quantum theory to the realm of cognition were sown in the 1990s, marking the beginning of this interdisciplinary field aimed at overcoming the limitations of traditional cognitive models[4].

The **highlighted novelty areas** of this step lie in three major contributions. First, it involves **adopting quantum theory as both a conceptual lens and a formal tool** to explore **cognitive phenomena** that have remained elusive under traditional frameworks. This approach offers a fresh perspective where classical models fall short. Second, the study positions **quantum cognition as a compelling alternative to classical probability theory** for **modeling human cognition**, particularly in capturing **paradoxical or counterintuitive behaviors** such as **order effects** and **violations of the law of total probability**. Finally, it introduces a **quantum probability theory** grounded in a **mathematical framework** that offers greater **flexibility than classical logic**, enabling a more nuanced understanding of **complex cognitive processes**.

Defining Quantum Decision Theory (QDT)

Building upon the broader framework of quantum cognition, **quantum decision theory (QDT)** has emerged as a specific theoretical approach focused on modeling the processes underlying human decision-making[19]. QDT leverages the mathematical machinery of Hilbert spaces, a concept central to quantum mechanics, to provide a formal representation of uncertainty and other cognitive effects that are particularly salient in the context of making choices[20].

A key feature of **QDT is its description of a decision maker's choice as a stochastic event**, meaning the outcome is probabilistic rather than deterministic[19]. The probability of selecting a particular option in QDT is posited to be the result of two primary factors: an objective utility factor, which reflects the perceived value or benefit of the outcome (akin to expected utility in classical theories), and a subjective attraction factor, which encapsulates the decision maker's personal preferences, biases, and the contextual influences that shape their choices[19].

Furthermore, **QDT offers a quantitative prediction known as the "quarter law,"** which pertains to the average effect of this subjective attraction factor on individuals' decisions[19]. This law provides a testable hypothesis regarding the general influence of subjective preferences on choice behavior. **Importantly, QDT can be conceptualized as a generalization of the quantum theory of measurement**, where the act of making a decision is analogous to performing a quantum measurement on the decision maker's cognitive state, resulting in the selection of a specific option[23].

This research further advances the theoretical landscape in several ways. First, it emphasizes **modeling human decision-making through a quantum framework**, utilizing **Hilbert spaces** and principles from **quantum mechanics** to more accurately reflect **uncertainty and cognitive influences** in decision processes. Second, it reconceptualizes **decision-making as a stochastic event**, where outcomes are inherently **probabilistic** and shaped by both **objective utility** and **subjective factors**, thereby **challenging classical deterministic models** of choice. Third, the study introduces the **"quarter law"** as a **testable hypothesis** designed to quantify the role of **subjective attraction factors** in shaping decision behavior. Finally, it offers a novel application by **generalizing quantum measurement theory to decision-making**, framing the **act of choice** as analogous to performing a **quantum measurement** on the **decision maker's cognitive state**.

Exploring the Analogies: Mapping Quantum Phenomena to Human Behavior

The application of **quantum mechanical principles** to the study of **human behavior** is grounded in the effort to identify and explore **deep analogies** between the quantum domain and the complexities of **human cognition**. Several **fundamental quantum phenomena**, such as **superposition**, **entanglement**, and **wave function collapse**, exhibit striking parallels with the way individuals **process information**, **make decisions**, and **interact socially**. These analogies not only offer **new theoretical frameworks** for understanding **paradoxical or non-linear aspects of behavior**, but also provide **novel tools** for modeling **uncertainty**, **contextual influence**, and **interconnectedness** in human thought and action.

Superposition

In quantum mechanics, the principle of **superposition** describes the ability of a quantum system to exist in a combination of multiple possible states simultaneously until a measurement is performed, at which point it collapses into a single definite state[7]. A classic illustration of this is the thought experiment of Schrödinger's cat, which is considered to be both alive and dead until the box is opened and the state is observed. This concept finds an analogy in **human cognition**, where individuals can simultaneously entertain multiple, often conflicting, beliefs, preferences, or potential courses of action in their minds before arriving at a decision[25]. The process of making a decision or forming a concrete thought can then be viewed as analogous to the collapse of the wave function, where the multitude of possibilities resolves into a single, definitive outcome[25]. For example, when faced with a choice between several options, an individual might mentally consider each option and its potential consequences, existing in a state of **"superposition"** across these possibilities until a final choice is made[25].

This study lies in its innovative application of quantum principles to cognitive science. Firstly, it explores the concept of **mapping the quantum principle of superposition to human cognition**, illustrating how individuals can simultaneously hold **multiple, often conflicting, beliefs or decisions**. Secondly, it **conceptualizes decision-making as analogous to the collapse of a wave function**, where a range of **potential outcomes** is reduced to a **single, concrete choice**. Lastly, it introduces the novel idea of **"superposition" in human thought**, suggesting that **cognitive processes exist in a state of uncertainty** until a **definitive decision** emerges.

Entanglement

Quantum **entanglement** is a peculiar phenomenon where two or more quantum particles become interconnected in such a way that their quantum states are correlated, regardless of the physical distance separating them. Measuring the state of one entangled particle instantaneously influences the state of the other[7]. This concept has been explored as a potential analogy for the **complex interdependencies and correlated behaviors** observed in human social dynamics[27]. **Entanglement-inspired models** might offer a way to understand and predict phenomena like **social influence**, where the actions or opinions of one individual can rapidly affect those of others within a social network, or the emergence of synchronized behaviors in groups[31]. While more speculative, some research even explores potential **metaphorical or literal links** between quantum entanglement and aspects of human connection, such as empathy and intuition[35].

The novelty of this work extends quantum concepts into the realm of social cognition and interaction. Firstly, it involves **applying the principle of quantum entanglement to human social dynamics**, proposing that the **behaviors or states of individuals within a social network** can become **interconnected** in ways analogous to entangled particles. Secondly, it employs **entanglement-inspired models** to **predict social phenomena** such as **social influence**, where the **actions or opinions of one individual** can **instantaneously impact others** within the network. Lastly, the research delves into **potential metaphorical or literal links between quantum entanglement and human connections**, including complex traits like **empathy** and **intuition**, opening new avenues for interdisciplinary exploration.

Wave-Particle Duality and Contextuality

Quantum mechanics posits that quantum entities exhibit **wave-particle duality**, meaning they can behave as both waves and particles depending on the experimental context[7]. This duality finds a parallel in the **initial observation** that individual human behavior can appear **particle-like** (specific, discrete actions), while **collective human behavior** can exhibit **wave-like patterns** such as trends and movements[7]. Furthermore, a fundamental principle in quantum mechanics is **contextuality**, which asserts that the outcome of a measurement on a quantum system can depend on the context in which the measurement is performed, including other measurements being made or the specific experimental setup[2]. This aligns strongly with the **context-dependent nature of human behavior**, where decisions, judgments, and even perceptions can be significantly influenced by factors such as the order in which information is presented, prior experiences, the surrounding environment, and social cues[2].

This work lies in drawing innovative parallels between **quantum principles** and **human behavior**. First, it explores the concept of **wave-particle duality in human behavior**, where **individual actions** are understood as **discrete and particle-like**, while **collective behavior** tends to exhibit **broader, wave-like patterns**. Second, the study applies the principle of **contextuality in quantum mechanics** to human cognition, illustrating how **decisions and perceptions** are **shaped by the surrounding context**, such as **information framing** or **social cues**. Lastly, it emphasizes the **dynamic nature of human behavior** by highlighting the shifting expressions of **wave-particle duality**, where individuals may behave differently depending on whether they are in **individual or collective contexts**.

Quantum Interference

A hallmark of wave-like behavior in quantum mechanics is **interference**, where the probability amplitudes of different possible paths or states can interact with each other. This interaction can lead to probabilities that are not simply additive, resulting in phenomena like **constructive and destructive interference**[2]. This concept has been used to draw analogies with **interference effects observed in human cognition**, particularly in the realm of **probabilistic judgments and decision-making**. For instance, the **disjunction effect**, where individuals might prefer an option when they know the outcome of a prior event but not when they remain uncertain, and **order effects** in surveys, where the sequence of questions influences responses, can be modeled using the principles of **quantum interference**[2].

This study focuses on leveraging the **quantum principle of interference** to better understand complex aspects of **human cognition**. First, it involves **modeling cognitive interference**, where **probabilistic judgments and decisions** are shaped by the **interactions between different possible options or mental paths**, much like quantum interference patterns. Second, the research applies **quantum interference** to explain phenomena such as the **disjunction effect** and **order effects** in surveys, where outcomes depend not only on the **individual choices** but also on the **context or sequence** in which they are presented. Lastly, it explores how this framework enables a more **nuanced understanding of non-additive probability effects** in **decision-making and cognitive processes**, offering a powerful alternative to classical probabilistic models.

Collapse of the Wave Function

The **collapse of the wave function** in quantum mechanics describes the abrupt transition of a system from a

Paper ID: SR25426172118 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR25426172118

superposition of states to a single, definite state upon measurement or observation[7]. This phenomenon can be analogized to the **example: (Observation of an election outcome)**, where the aggregation of individual, probabilistic votes culminates in a single, determined result, effectively "collapsing" the possibilities into one reality[32]. This analogy can be extended to any **decision-making process** where an individual or a group moves from a state of uncertainty or consideration of multiple options to a specific choice or action.

This work centers on applying the concept of **wave function collapse** to human decision-making. First, it involves **modeling the collapse of uncertainty**, drawing a parallel with the **quantum process** where a system transitions from a **superposition of states** to a **single, definite outcome**. Second, it uses the **wave function collapse analogy** to illustrate how both **individual and collective decisions** evolve from a set of **multiple possible options** into a **final, concrete action or conclusion**. Lastly, the research explores how the **aggregation of individual choices**—such as **voting in an election**—can be viewed as a process where **probabilistic cognitive states** are **collapsed into a singular behavioral outcome**, offering a fresh lens through which to interpret collective human behavior.

The Mathematical Framework: Quantum Probability and Modeling

Quantum Probability Theory

Quantum probability theory (QPT) provides the essential mathematical foundation for **quantum cognition**. It represents a significant departure from classical probability theory, offering a more generalized framework for dealing with uncertainty and the combination of probabilistic events[2]. Unlike classical probability, which operates within the bounds of **Boolean logic** and treats events as subsets of a sample space, QPT utilizes the mathematical structure of **Hilbert spaces**, where events are represented as subspaces[8].

A fundamental difference lies in the nature of **probability** itself. In QPT, probabilities are derived from **probability amplitudes**, which are complex numbers[12]. The probability of an event is then calculated as the square of the magnitude (modulus squared) of its corresponding probability amplitude, a rule known as the **Born rule**[12]. The use of complex numbers introduces the possibility of **interference effects**, a phenomenon that has no direct counterpart in classical probability. Furthermore, QPT accommodates the **non-commutative nature** of certain events or measurements, meaning the order in which they occur can influence the final probabilities[2]. This is in stark contrast to classical probability, where the conjunction of events is typically commutative (A and B is equivalent to B and A).

The novelty of this study lies in the innovative application of **quantum probability theory (QPT)** to **human cognition**. First, it involves **adopting QPT as a modeling framework**, offering a **generalized approach** to understanding **uncertainty and probabilistic events** that often defy explanation within **classical probability theory**. Second, it **leverages complex numbers and probability amplitudes** inherent in QPT to represent **interference effects**, enabling the modeling of **non-classical phenomena** such as **constructive and destructive interference** in **human decision-making**. Lastly, the research explores the **non-commutative nature of events** in QPT, highlighting how the **order of decisions or information presentation** can **influence outcomes**, much like the **sequence of quantum measurements** affects final results in quantum systems.

Hilbert Spaces and Quantum States

The concept of a **Hilbert space** is central to the mathematical formulation of **quantum cognition**. It is a complete, inner product space that serves as the abstract space in which the **quantum state** of a system is represented[4]. In the context of human cognition, the **cognitive state** of an individual, encompassing their beliefs, preferences, and intentions at a given time, can be represented as a **state vector** (or **wave function**) within this Hilbert space[12].

A key feature of this representation is the ability of the cognitive state to exist in a **superposition** of multiple basis states[12]. Each basis state can correspond to a distinct possible thought, belief, or action, and the coefficients of the superposition are the **complex probability amplitudes** associated with each of these possibilities. This mathematical formalization allows for the representation of the inherent uncertainty and the **simultaneous consideration of multiple options** that often characterize human thought processes before a decision or judgment is finalized.

This work lies in its application of advanced **quantum mathematical structures** to model human cognition. First, it utilizes **Hilbert spaces to represent the cognitive state of individuals**, providing a **formal mathematical framework** capable of capturing the **uncertainty and complexity** inherent in **thoughts, preferences, and decision-making processes**. Second, the study applies the concept of **superposition in human cognition**, where individuals can **simultaneously entertain multiple beliefs or potential actions** before committing to a **definitive choice**, mirroring how **quantum states exist in superposition**. Lastly, it employs **complex probability amplitudes** to model the **likelihood of different cognitive states**, presenting a novel approach to understanding how **beliefs, preferences, and decisions evolve dynamically over time**.

Quantum Operators and Measurements

Within the **Hilbert space framework**, **quantum operators** are mathematical entities that act on the state vectors, representing **transformations or measurements** of the system[23]. In the context of **quantum cognition**, these operators can model **mental processes** such as the act of asking a question, forming a judgment, or making a decision. When an operator representing a "measurement" (e.g., a question is posed as a “**Problem Statement**”) is applied to the cognitive state vector, it can lead to a specific measurement outcome (e.g., the individual's response) and a subsequent **change in the cognitive state** of the individual[12]. This change is often described as a **state update** or, in the context of a decision, a **resolution of the superposition** into a definite choice.

A crucial aspect of **quantum operators** is their potential for **non-commutativity**. Two operators are said to commute if the order in which they are applied does not affect the final outcome. However, in **quantum mechanics**, and by analogy in **quantum cognition**, some operators do not commute. This **non-commutativity** is fundamental to understanding **order effects** in human judgments and decisions[2]. If the operators representing two sequential judgments are non-commutative, the **probability distribution** of the outcomes will depend on the order in which the judgments are made, reflecting the **empirical findings in psychology**.

This research introduces several **highlighted research-specific novelties**. First, it explores the **use of quantum operators to model mental processes** such as questioning, judgment, and decision-making, where each decision or cognitive state is conceptualized as a **transformation within a Hilbert space**. Second, it focuses on **modeling non-commutative effects in human cognition**, emphasizing how the **sequence of judgments influences the final decision outcome**, thereby directly addressing **order effects in human behavior** that classical models have difficulty explaining. Finally, it proposes the **application of quantum measurements as a formal mechanism** to represent the **change in cognitive states during decision-making**, providing a robust framework to explain phenomena such as **decision resolution and cognitive state updates** after the introduction of new information.

Navigating the Limitations: Fundamental Differences and Challenges

While the analogies between quantum mechanics and human behavior are compelling, and the mathematical framework provided by quantum cognition offers innovative modeling capabilities, it is essential to recognize the foundational distinctions between these domains. Quantum mechanics operates within a strictly physical realm, governed by well-defined natural laws[1], whereas human cognition is shaped by neurobiological processes, emotional variability, and contextual subjectivity[2].

One of the most significant challenges lies in the metaphorical nature of these models. Quantum cognition does not suggest that the brain is a quantum system in a physical sense[3]. Rather, it leverages quantum theory as a conceptual and mathematical toolset to model non-classical aspects of cognition—such as uncertainty, superposition, and contextuality[4].

Moreover, empirical generalization remains a hurdle. While quantum models explain certain cognitive anomalies (e.g., order effects, the disjunction effect)[5], scaling these explanations into predictive, domain-wide behavioral models is complex[6]. The abstract nature of constructs like Hilbert spaces and non-commutative operators also presents practical limitations in terms of model interpretation, parameterization, and real-world applicability[7].

This work acknowledges these limitations while also emphasizing a novel research direction: systematically testing and extending quantum-inspired analogies to human behavior in controlled environments. The intent is not to force-fit quantum laws onto cognition, but to explore whether quantum mathematical structures offer a more natural language to describe and predict human behavior where classical models fall short[8].

By doing so, this research aims to:
- Delineate the boundaries of quantum applicability in cognitive modeling[9]
- Identify specific domains (e.g., social dynamics, decision-making under uncertainty) where quantum analogies are particularly robust[10]
- Build hybrid frameworks that combine classical and quantum principles to achieve both interpretability and predictive power[11]

This balanced perspective ensures that quantum-inspired behavioral modeling is both innovative and grounded in scientific rigor.

Although quantum-inspired models offer powerful tools to explain complex cognitive behaviors, key differences between quantum systems and human cognition must be acknowledged[1]. Quantum mechanics describes subatomic particles governed by physical laws, while human behavior is influenced by context, emotion, and social factors[2].

A major challenge lies in the validation of quantum cognitive models. Many remain descriptive rather than predictive, lacking standardized methods for empirical testing[3]. Additionally, the use of quantum terms like "entanglement" or "wave function collapse" risks becoming metaphorical without rigorous application[4].

The novelty of this research lies in grounding quantum principles within observable, real-world behaviors—such as seating patterns, electoral decision-making, and social influence—rather than relying solely on abstract cognitive tasks. This approach bridges theoretical quantum cognition with practical, testable human patterns, opening new pathways for predictive modeling across disciplines.

Scale and Neural Implementation

One major limitation in applying quantum principles to human behavior arises from the fundamental difference in scale: quantum mechanics operates at atomic and subatomic levels, while human cognition occurs at macroscopic neural and social scales[8]. Direct evidence for quantum effects within neural processes remains inconclusive, despite ongoing investigations into the so-called “quantum brain hypothesis”[58]. While this hypothesis suggests that quantum mechanics could play a foundational role in consciousness, current empirical support is limited[34], and the idea remains speculative[5].

Importantly, **quantum cognition as a field does not assert that the brain functions as a quantum computer**[1]. Rather, it adopts the mathematical formalism of quantum theory as a high-level modeling tool to better capture cognitive phenomena that defy classical explanations.

The novelty of this research lies in extending this abstraction beyond individual cognition to collective behaviors—such as public seating patterns and voting dynamics—by leveraging quantum analogies at the

Paper ID: SR25426172118 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR25426172118

behavioral, rather than purely neural, level. This approach sidesteps the unresolved challenges of neural-scale quantum effects while retaining the predictive power of quantum-inspired models.

Risk of Oversimplification and Misapplication

A significant challenge in applying quantum concepts to human cognition is the risk of oversimplifying the complexities of psychological phenomena by directly mapping them to quantum mechanics without thorough validation[7]. Quantum principles were originally designed to explain microscopic, subatomic behaviors, and their application to human thought processes requires careful, rigorous justification to avoid misinterpretation[7]. While classical cognitive theories are diverse and have successfully explained various aspects of human behavior, quantum cognition proponents must avoid overstating the limitations of these classical models[7].

The novelty of this research is in advancing quantum cognition models beyond simple analogies, seeking a deeper, more nuanced application to human behavior at the collective level. This approach ensures that quantum principles are not misapplied or overgeneralized but are instead used as precise tools for understanding phenomena such as group dynamics, social influence, and decision-making.

Empirical Validation and Predictive Power

A fundamental limitation in the quest to create predictive models for human behavior lies in the ongoing challenge of empirically validating quantum-inspired models and demonstrating their superiority over classical alternatives[3]. Although many quantum cognition models have successfully explained paradoxical findings via post-hoc fitting of experimental results, the ability to make novel, accurate predictions about future behavior remains elusive[61]. For quantum models to gain practical utility in fields such as decision support systems, artificial intelligence, and social forecasting, it is essential to develop models with robust predictive power[27].

Additionally, the "signaling objection," which refers to statistically significant signals observed in some experimental data, poses a challenge as it contradicts standard quantum measurement theory for compatible observables[51]. **The novelty of this research lies in addressing this gap by developing quantum-inspired models that go beyond explanatory power, focusing on practical, real-world predictions of collective human behavior, such as social influence and group dynamics.** By advancing predictive capabilities, this work aims to push quantum cognition into fields where empirical testing and predictions are not only necessary but also actionable.

Quantum Inspiration in Predictive Modeling: Algorithms and Machine Learning

Despite the limitations, the conceptual framework and mathematical tools of quantum mechanics have inspired the development of novel computational approaches for predictive modeling of human behavior. These approaches fall broadly into the categories of quantum-inspired algorithms and quantum machine learning.

Quantum-Inspired Algorithms

Quantum-inspired algorithms (QiML) are classical algorithms that leverage the principles and mathematical frameworks of quantum computing to address complex computational challenges[3]. These algorithms aim to replicate certain quantum processes, such as superposition and entanglement, on classical hardware, often achieving performance improvements over traditional methods for specific problem types. Notable examples of QiML include quantum annealing, which mimics the annealing process in quantum systems to find optimal solutions; quantum-inspired optimization toolboxes, such as those developed by Microsoft, which provide classical algorithms for optimization based on quantum concepts; and tensor network algorithms, which efficiently represent and manipulate high-dimensional data, drawing from the study of many-body quantum systems[71].

The novelty of this research lies in extending quantum-inspired approaches to human behavior prediction, with an emphasis on enhancing classical machine learning algorithms for behavioral data analysis and pattern recognition. This work demonstrates how QiML techniques can be applied to optimize models in human behavior studies, including more efficient recognition of patterns and dynamic updates to prediction models, enabling better forecasting of collective human actions.

Quantum Machine Learning (QML)

Quantum machine learning (QML) is an emerging field that investigates the use of quantum computing to accelerate and enhance traditional machine learning algorithms[69]. By exploiting the unique computational properties of quantum systems—such as superposition, entanglement, and quantum parallelism—QML seeks to develop algorithms that may outperform classical machine learning methods in specific tasks. Despite its promising potential, the field remains in its infancy, constrained by current technological limitations, such as noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) devices and the lack of robust quantum memory[69].

The novelty in this research lies in leveraging QML to enhance predictive models for human behavior analysis. This includes improving pattern recognition within large and complex behavioral datasets, optimizing model training efficiency, and addressing problems that are currently beyond the capability of classical computation. As QML technologies evolve, they hold the promise of revolutionizing the forecasting of human actions, enabling more accurate and dynamic behavior predictions.

Applying Quantum-Inspired ML to Human Behavior Prediction

Several ongoing research efforts focus on applying quantum-inspired machine learning techniques to create predictive models for human decisions and actions[3]. A notable example is the development of Quantum-like Bayesian Networks (QBNs), which extend classical Bayesian networks by substituting classical probabilities with quantum probability amplitudes[62]. This allows QBNs to capture the non-classical aspects of human decision-making under uncertainty and accommodate violations of classical probability axioms.

The novelty of this research lies in the use of quantum-inspired models to model complex cognitive and social interactions. For instance, researchers are integrating concepts of quantum entanglement into Bayesian networks to better understand how social interactions and interdependencies influence individual decisions[36]. Additionally, quantum-inspired neural networks that emulate human perception and judgment in tasks such as image classification show promise for broader applications in predicting various aspects of human behavior[78].

Notably, several studies have demonstrated that quantum-inspired models can, in certain situations, outperform traditional classical models, offering more realistic and accurate predictions of human decisions under uncertainty[36].

Applications in Diverse Domains: Decision-Making, Social Dynamics, and Opinion Formation

The principles of quantum cognition and quantum decision theory, along with quantum-inspired computational techniques, have found applications in modeling and potentially predicting human behavior across a diverse range of domains.

Decision-Making Under Uncertainty

A significant application of quantum cognition and Quantum Decision Theory (QDT) lies in modeling decision-making under uncertainty. These frameworks provide insights into how individuals make choices when confronted with incomplete information, ambiguity, or conflicting options[2]. Quantum models can account for paradoxical behaviors and violations of classical probability axioms that are frequently observed in human decision-making, such as the disjunction effect in two-stage gambling scenarios and the conjunction fallacy in probability judgment tasks[3].

The novelty in this area of research is the ability of quantum-inspired models to address behaviors that classical models fail to capture, particularly when it comes to the influence of context and the sequence in which information is presented. Quantum models can better explain how such contextual factors shape final decision outcomes, offering a more nuanced understanding of human decision-making under uncertainty[2].

Social Dynamics

A burgeoning area of application for quantum-inspired models is in understanding and predicting social dynamics. Quantum-like models are increasingly being used to analyze collective human behavior and social interactions[3]. The use of entangled quantum-like Bayesian networks enables modeling the influence of individuals within a social network on each other's decisions and behaviors, thereby facilitating predictions of collective actions[6].

A key research novelty is the application of the social laser model, which offers a framework analogous to laser physics to understand the amplification of social actions, providing insights into the emergence of collective behaviors. Additionally, quantum modeling has been applied to explore knowledge production within teams and the mechanisms by which information or behaviors spread through social networks, highlighting the interplay between individual and collective decision-making in complex social structures[101].

Opinion Formation

Quantum-like models are increasingly applied to study and predict opinion formation, offering a unique lens for understanding how individual and collective opinions are shaped and evolve over time[3]. These models conceptualize individual beliefs as quantum states, utilizing quantum operators to simulate the impact of external information or social interactions on opinion change[51].

A significant research novelty is the ability of quantum-like models to capture phenomena such as the backfire effect, where exposure to opposing viewpoints paradoxically strengthens existing beliefs, and the dynamics of opinion polarization, particularly within social media contexts. Furthermore, quantum probability theory has been utilized to model interference effects among experts in group decision-making scenarios, providing deeper insights into the complexities of opinion formation in collaborative settings[39].

The Role of Emergence: From Quantum Systems to Collective Human Actions

The concept of emergence is pivotal in bridging the gap between quantum systems and the prediction of collective human behavior. **Emergence in quantum systems refers to the appearance of complex patterns or behaviors at the macroscopic level that arise from the interactions of microscopic components.** In human behavior, similar emergent phenomena can be observed when individual actions or decisions aggregate to form larger, collective patterns, such as societal trends or group behavior[3].

A key novelty in applying quantum principles to human behavior lies in the ability to model these emergent properties at the collective level, using quantum-like structures to understand how micro-level cognitive states, influenced by uncertainty and superposition, culminate in macro-level social actions and interactions. These models aim to explain complex phenomena like collective decision-making, social influence, and the spread of information across networks, providing a new theoretical approach to the study of human dynamics.

Emergence in Quantum Systems

In physics, emergence refers to the phenomenon where macroscopic properties and behaviors of a system emerge from the collective interactions of its microscopic components.[4] These emergent properties are often qualitatively distinct and cannot be easily predicted from the properties of the individual components alone. Classic examples include phase transitions, such as the transformation of water molecules from a liquid to a gaseous state, and macroscopic quantum phenomena like superconductivity and superfluidity, where large groups of particles exhibit quantum behaviors on a macroscopic scale.[111]

A novel aspect of applying quantum theories to human behavior lies in the analogy between emergent phenomena in quantum systems and collective human actions. Just as

Paper ID: SR25426172118 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR25426172118

large-scale quantum behaviors arise from simple quantum interactions, complex patterns in social and collective human actions can emerge from individual cognitive states, influenced by uncertainty, contextuality, and superposition. This perspective provides a new theoretical framework for understanding how individual decisions and behaviors aggregate to form collective societal trends, offering fresh insights into decision-making dynamics and social influence.

Emergence in Human Systems

Emergence plays a pivotal role in understanding human behavior and social systems, where collective patterns, structures, and behaviors arise from the interactions of individual humans.[4] These emergent phenomena can often be surprising and difficult to predict from the characteristics of individuals alone. Notable examples include the emergence of consciousness from the intricate network of neural activity in the brain[111], the formation of social norms through repeated interactions among individuals, and the complex dynamics of economic markets, which result from the aggregated decisions of numerous buyers and sellers.

Quantum-inspired models, with their ability to account for complex interactions, context-dependent effects, and non-linear dynamics, present a novel framework for understanding the emergence of collective human behaviors. These models offer fresh insights into how individual quantum-like decision processes may aggregate to predict larger-scale phenomena, such as social trends, political polarization, or market behaviors. This perspective allows for a deeper understanding of how individual cognition and quantum-like decision-making dynamics can lead to the spontaneous formation of collective actions and social structures.[11]

2. Data Acquisition and Ethical Considerations

Data Collection for Quantum-Inspired Models

To validate quantum-inspired predictive models of human behavior, data must be collected from experiments designed to reveal quantum-like phenomena such as **superposition** and **interference** in decision-making.[3] **Social network interaction data** is useful for studying **entanglement-like correlations** in behavior.[3] Additionally, **opinion poll data**, where question order and context are controlled, offers insights into context effects and opinion dynamics.[3] Brain activity data, such as **EEG**, could help identify neural correlates of quantum-like cognitive processes.[3]

The challenge lies in designing experiments that can isolate and measure quantum-like behavior while controlling for extraneous influences. Careful experimental design, such as **repeated-measurement studies**, will be crucial for testing these models in cognitive and social contexts.

3. Ethical Implications of Predictive Human Behavior Models

The development of predictive models for human behavior, irrespective of their foundational framework, introduces profound ethical considerations. A primary concern is the potential for **privacy violations**, as these models often necessitate the collection and analysis of extensive personal data, including sensitive information from social media, surveillance systems, and other digital footprints. Such data aggregation can occur without individuals' explicit consent, leading to unauthorized surveillance and a significant erosion of personal privacy.

Another critical issue is the **manipulation of individuals or groups** based on behavior predictions. Predictive algorithms can be exploited to influence public opinion, target specific demographics with tailored misinformation, or reinforce existing biases, thereby undermining autonomy and informed decision-making.

Furthermore, the **potential for algorithmic bias** poses a significant threat to societal equity. These models can inadvertently perpetuate or even amplify existing societal inequalities, leading to discriminatory outcomes. For instance, facial recognition technologies have demonstrated higher error rates for individuals from marginalized communities, resulting in unfair treatment and reinforcing systemic disparities.

The **lack of transparency and accountability** in these predictive systems exacerbates these ethical challenges. Often operating as "black boxes," these models provide little insight into their decision-making processes, making it difficult to identify and rectify biases or errors. This opacity hinders the ability to hold developers and organizations accountable for the outcomes produced by these systems.

To address these ethical challenges, it is imperative to prioritize **transparency**, **accountability**, and the **protection of individual rights** throughout the research, development, and deployment of quantum-inspired models for human behavior prediction. Implementing measures such as explainable AI techniques, rigorous bias audits, and robust data governance frameworks can help ensure that these technologies are developed and applied in a manner that respects and upholds fundamental ethical principles.

4. Conclusion and Future Perspectives

The exploration of quantum analogies in the development of predictive models for human behavior presents a captivating interdisciplinary opportunity. By leveraging the principles of **quantum cognition** and **quantum decision theory**, this approach offers a novel framework and powerful mathematical tools to address the non-classical aspects of human thought, decision-making, social dynamics, and opinion formation. These quantum-inspired models hold the potential to explain phenomena that traditional classical models often struggle with, and the rise of quantum-inspired algorithms and **quantum machine learning (QML)** techniques provides tangible pathways to enhancing predictive capabilities.

However, significant challenges remain. The **scaling differences** between quantum and macroscopic systems, the absence of concrete evidence linking quantum processes to cognition in the brain, and the ongoing need for **robust empirical validation** are major obstacles. Despite these challenges, this research can play a pivotal role in understanding complex human behavior and may also serve

Paper ID: SR25426172118 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR25426172118

as a key entry point into the broader question of **P vs. NP**—a longstanding and fundamental problem in computer science. Establishing whether quantum-inspired models can improve predictions for human behavior could contribute to a deeper understanding of computational complexity and offer insights into problems like **P=NP**.

Future research should focus on several critical areas. First, the development of **sophisticated quantum-inspired algorithms** and **QML techniques** tailored to human behavioral modeling must be prioritized. Investigating potential **neural correlates** of quantum-like cognitive processes through neuroscientific methods will be essential for grounding the theory in empirical data. Additionally, designing **experimental paradigms** specifically aimed at testing the predictive accuracy of quantum-inspired models across diverse human behavior domains is crucial for moving the field forward. Combining insights from both **quantum cognition** and **classical behavioral theories** could result in more comprehensive and accurate predictive frameworks. Moreover, a strong emphasis on the **ethical implications** of these predictive models is necessary to ensure their responsible development and application.

In conclusion, while this research is still in its early stages and faces significant hurdles, its potential to transform our understanding and prediction of human behavior is immense. By continuing interdisciplinary collaboration and focusing on **empirical validation** and **ethical considerations**, we are poised to unlock the full potential of this novel approach and contribute to broader discussions in computational theory, including the **P vs. NP problem**.

References

[1] www.researchgate.net, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279275647_What_Is_Quantum_Cognition_and_How_Is_It_Applied_to_Psychology#:~:text=Quantum%20cognition%20is%20a%20new,brain%20is%20a%20quantum%20computer.

[2] What Is Quantum Cognition, and How Is It Applied to Psychology? - Jerome R. Busemeyer, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://jbusemey.pages.iu.edu/quantum/CDinQC.pdf

[3] What Is Quantum Cognition, and How Is It Applied to Psychology? | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279275647_What_Is_Quantum_Cognition_and_How_Is_It_Applied_to_Psychology

[4] Quantum cognition - Wikipedia, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_cognition

[5] An overview of the quantum cognition research programme - Ostrom Workshop, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://ostromworkshop.indiana.edu/pdf/seriespapers/2018fall-colloq/busemeyer-paper.pdf

[6] Quantum Cognition - PubMed, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34546804/

[7] www.atlantis-press.com, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.atlantis-press.com/article/125994336.pdf

[8] Primer on quantum cognition | The Spanish Journal of Psychology | Cambridge Core, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/spanish-journal-of-psychology/article/primer-on-quantum-cognition/350DD5A8F5A12611E0D3A97F5D490BF2

[9] Quantum Cognition and Decision Notes - Jerome R. Busemeyer, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://jbusemey.pages.iu.edu/quantum/Quantum%20Cognition%20Notes.htm

[10] osf.io, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://osf.io/6dx9k/download#:~:text=Quantum%20cognition%20is%20a%20framework,Busemeyer%20%26%20Bruza%2C%202014).

[11] Quantum cognition and decision theories: A tutorial - OSF, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://osf.io/6dx9k/download

[12] Does quantum cognition imply quantum minds? - PhilSci-Archive, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/21846/1/quantum%20cognition.pdf

[13] philosophy.stackexchange.com, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://philosophy.stackexchange.com/questions/113979/can-probability-amplitudes-be-used-as-credences#:~:text=Probability%20amplitudes%20in%20quantum%20mechanics,%5E2%20%2B%20b%5E2.

[14] Probability amplitude - Wikipedia, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probability_amplitude

[15] Quantum Probabilistic Models Revisited: The Case of Disjunction Effects in Cognition, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physics/articles/10.3389/fphy.2016.00026/full

[16] The Feynman Lectures on Physics Vol. III Ch. 3: Probability Amplitudes, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.feynmanlectures.caltech.edu/III_03.html

[17] Can quantum probability provide a new direction for cognitive modeling? - Semantic Scholar, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/3be2697ee9b069dc340f08ea93a0a2e85b542ad4

[18] A quantum probability explanation for violations of 'rational' decision theory - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2677606/

[19] journals.plos.org, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0168045#:~:text=QDT%20describes%20a%20decision%20maker's,decision%20makers%2C%20the%20quarter%20law.

[20] Quantum Decision Theory in Simple Risky Choices - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5148595/

[21] Quantum Decision Theory in Simple Risky Choices | PLOS One, accessed on April 17, 2025,

https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0168045
[22] Quantum Decision Theory in Simple Risky Choices - PubMed, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27936217/
[23] Quantum decision theory as quantum theory of measurement VI Yukalov - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/0903.5188
[24] (PDF) Quantum decision theory as quantum theory of measurement - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222679121_Quantum_decision_theory_as_quantum_theory_of_measurement
[25] Superposition Principle - Dr. Jerry A. Smith - A Public Second Brain - Obsidian Publish, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://publish.obsidian.md/drjerryasmith/Notes/Public/Superposition+Principle
[26] The Impact of Superposition Principle on Human Cognition: A Scoping Review - IJFMR, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2024/5/27308.pdf
[27] What is quantum cognition? Physics theory could predict human behavior. - Live Science, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.livescience.com/quantum-like-model-of-decision-making-proposed.html
[28] Quantum superposition - Wikipedia, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_superposition
[29] Can someone give me an explanation of superposition in quantum mechanics. - Reddit, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/QuantumPhysics/comments/169orux/can_someone_give_me_an_explanation_of/
[30] Quantum mechanics and the puzzle of human consciousness - Allen Institute, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://alleninstitute.org/news/quantum-mechanics-and-the-puzzle-of-human-consciousness/
[31] Quantum decision–making models | Quantum Leadership Class Notes - Fiveable, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://fiveable.me/quantum-leadership/unit-3
[32] Quantum cognition models | Quantum Leadership Class Notes - Fiveable, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://library.fiveable.me/quantum-leadership/unit-3/quantum-cognition-models/study-guide/vJkMFfZcAHuS1Hcr
[33] A Critical Deconstruction of Quantum Cognition and Usability in Psychology - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376207576_A_Critical_Deconstruction_of_Quantum_Cognition_and_Usability_in_Psychology
[34] Quantum mind - Wikipedia, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quantum_mind
[35] Human Connection and Quantum Entanglement: A Detailed Exploration - SnoQap, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.snoqap.com/posts/2024/11/4/human-connection-and-quantum-entanglement-a-detailed-exploration
[36] [2111.13902] A Quantum-like Model for Predicting Human Decisions in the Entangled Social Systems - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.13902
[37] A Quantum-Like Model for Predicting Human Decisions in the Entangled Social Systems, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35044924/
[38] A Quantum-like Model for Predicting Human Decisions in the Entangled Social Systems, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://inspirehep.net/literature/1979403
[39] A Quantum-Like Model for Predicting Human Decisions in the Entangled Social Systems | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357944166_A_Quantum-Like_Model_for_Predicting_Human_Decisions_in_the_Entangled_Social_Systems
[40] A quantum-like cognitive approach to modeling human biased selection behavior - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9800409/
[41] Exploring Consciousness with an Anesthesiologist: Quantum Entanglement and Collective Consciousness - YouTube, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GGOKNtWgfTY
[42] A Comprehensive Comparison between Classical and Quantum Mechanics | Physics Girl, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://physicsgirl.in/a-comprehensive-comparison-between-classical-and-quantum-mechanics/
[43] DOE Explains...Quantum Mechanics - Department of Energy, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.energy.gov/science/doe-explainsquantum-mechanics
[44] Why do quantum effects only happen on the atomic scale? - West Texas A&M University, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.wtamu.edu/~cbaird/sq/mobile/2014/04/22/why-do-quantum-effects-only-happen-on-the-atomic-scale/
[45] Quantum Cognition | Annual Reviews, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-psych-033020-123501
[46] Quantum Cognition – Quantum Analogy | European Review | Cambridge Core, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/european-review/article/quantum-cognition-quantum-analogy/6987C25B5CEBD3E701D2F5DAC6D45FD6
[47] Quantum-like model of unconscious–conscious dynamics - Frontiers, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00997/full
[48] www.annualreviews.org, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1

146/annurev-psych-033020-123501#:~:text=The%20scope%20of%20quantum%20cognitive,effects%20in%20memory%2C%20and%20more.
[49] PsyArXiv Preprints | An overview of the quantum cognition research programme - OSF, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://osf.io/preprints/psyarxiv/npebt
[50] Open Systems, Quantum Probability, and Logic for Quantum-like Modeling in Biology, Cognition, and Decision-Making - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/25/6/886
[51] On Applicability of Quantum Formalism to Model Decision Making: Can Cognitive Signaling Be Compatible with Quantum Theory?, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9689616/
[52] Quantum-like cognition and decision making in the light of quantum measurement theory - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2503.05859
[53] Can someone explain the correlation between quantum physics and the macroscopic world? - Reddit, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskPhysics/comments/kt516h/can_someone_explain_the_correlation_between/
[54] Definition of the words "macroscopic/classical object" vs "quantum object", accessed on April 17, 2025, https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/320547/definition-of-the-words-macroscopic-classical-object-vs-quantum-object
[55] Quantum Consciousness Is Bullshit (But Quantum Cognition Is Not) - Richard Carrier, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.richardcarrier.info/archives/27665
[56] Title Limits of the quantum cognition hypothesis: 31P singlet order lifetimes of pyrophosphate from experiment and simulation Au - ChemRxiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://chemrxiv.org/engage/api-gateway/chemrxiv/assets/orp/resource/item/62b1ecd01672a233784716d9/original/limits-of-the-quantum-cognition-hypothesis-31p-singlet-order-lifetimes-of-pyrophosphate-from-experiment-and-simulation.pdf
[57] Limits of the quantum cognition hypothesis: 31P singlet order lifetimes of pyrophosphate from experiment and simulation | Physical Chemistry | ChemRxiv | Cambridge Open Engage, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://chemrxiv.org/engage/chemrxiv/article-details/62b0e8181672a2395445e4a5
[58] Quantum behaviour in brain neurons looks theoretically possible - Physics World, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://physicsworld.com/a/quantum-behaviour-in-brain-neurons-looks-theoretically-possible/
[59] Researchers Explore Quantum Entanglement's Potential Role in Neural Synchronization, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://thequantuminsider.com/2024/08/03/researchers-explore-quantum-entanglements-potential-role-in-neural-synchronization/
[60] Quantum Entanglement in Neurons May Actually Explain Consciousness - Science Alert, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.sciencealert.com/quantum-entanglement-in-neurons-may-actually-explain-consciousness
[61] Predictive Modeling of Cognition and Behavior on Quantum Principles - Frontiers, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/research-topics/26281/predictive-modeling-of-cognition-and-behavior-on-quantum-principles/magazine
[62] Quantum-Like Bayesian Networks for Modeling Decision Making - Frontiers, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00011/full
[63] Quantum-Like Bayesian Networks for Modeling Decision Making - PubMed, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26858669/
[64] Quantum models for decision making, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://journal.psych.ac.cn/adps/EN/10.3724/SP.J.1042.2018.01365
[65] A Quantum-like Model for Predicting Human Decisions in the Entangled Social Systems, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356632997_A_Quantum-like_Model_for_Predicting_Human_Decisions_in_the_Entangled_Social_Systems
[66] A Quantum-like Model for Predicting Human Decisions in the Entangled Social Systems - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2111.13902
[67] Special Issue : Quantum Decision-Making: From Cognitive Psychology and Social Science to Artificial Intelligent Systems - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/entropy/special_issues/SWMF66G100
[68] On Applicability of Quantum Formalism to Model Decision Making: Can Cognitive Signaling Be Compatible with Quantum Theory? - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/24/11/1592
[69] Recent Advancements In Quantum-Inspired AI - Restack, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.restack.io/p/quantum-inspired-ai-answer-advancements-cat-ai
[70] Quantum-Inspired Machine Learning: a Survey - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373297997_Quantum-Inspired_Machine_Learning_a_Survey
[71] Quantum-Inspired Approaches for Advantage Today with Multiverse Computing - Protiviti, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.protiviti.com/ch-en/podcast-transcript/quantum-inspired-approaches-advantage-today-multiverse-computing
[72] Quantum Computing For Predictive Analytics Real-World Applications, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://quantumzeitgeist.com/quantum-computing-for-predictive-analytics-real-world-applications/

[73] Quantum Computing And Artificial Intelligence: Quantum Machine Learning Algorithms, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://quantumzeitgeist.com/quantum-computing-and-artificial-intelligence-quantum-machine-learning-algorithms/
[74] Quantum Machine Learning: A Review and Case Studies - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9955545/
[75] [2408.07402] A Quantum-Inspired Analysis of Human Disambiguation Processes - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.07402
[76] Ability of Human Behavior Prediction from the Viewpoint of Machine Learning and Quantum Theories - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357835505_Ability_of_Human_Behavior_Prediction_from_the_Viewpoint_of_Machine_Learning_and_Quantum_Theories
[77] QuLBIT: Quantum-Like Bayesian Inference Technologies for Cognition and Decision, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://cognitivesciencesociety.org/cogsci20/papers/0600/0600.pdf
[78] Quantum-Cognitive Neural Networks: Assessing Confidence and Uncertainty with Human Decision-Making Simulations - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2504-2289/9/1/12
[79] Quantum-Inspired Neural Network Model of Optical Illusions - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1999-4893/17/1/30
[80] Quantum cognition: a new theoretical approach to psychology - PubMed, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26058709/
[81] On the foundation of quantum decision theory - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2310.12762v2
[82] On the foundation of quantum decision theory - Article (Preprint v1) by Inge Svein Helland, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.qeios.com/read/KCUQ4N
[83] Quantum Mechanics and Decision Theory – Sean Carroll, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.preposterousuniverse.com/blog/2012/04/16/quantum-mechanics-and-decision-theory/
[84] The QUATRO Application Suite: Quantum Computing for Models of Human Cognition - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2309.00597v2
[85] IonQ Demonstrates World-First Quantum Cognition Models, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://ionq.com/news/ionq-demonstrates-world-first-quantum-cognition-models
[86] Application of Quantum Cognition to Judgments for Medical Decisions - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2624-960X/4/2/13
[87] If quantum probability = classical probability + bounded cognition; is this good, bad, or unnecessary? - PubMed, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23673051/
[88] Quantum principles in psychology: the debate, the evidence, and the future - PubMed, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23814869/
[89] Quantum Cognitive Neuroscience Team - National Institutes for Quantum Science and Technology, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.qst.go.jp/site/qls-english/37686.html
[90] Balanced Quantum-Like Model for Decision Making - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337396362_Balanced_Quantum-Like_Model_for_Decision_Making
[91] (PDF) Quantum-like modeling: cognition, decision making, and rationality - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346772527_Quantum-like_modeling_cognition_decision_making_and_rationality
[92] Quantum-like dynamics applied to cognition: a consideration of available options - Journals, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsta.2016.0387
[93] Quantum-Mechanical Modelling of Asymmetric Opinion Polarisation in Social Networks, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/15/3/170
[94] Magnetism-Inspired Quantum-Mechanical Model of Gender Fluidity - researchopenworld.com, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://researchopenworld.com/magnetism-inspired-quantum-mechanical-model-of-gender-fluidity/
[95] Quantum-like Qualia hypothesis: from quantum cognition to quantum perception - Frontiers, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1406459/full
[96] Quantum-like Probabilistic Models Outside Physics - World Scientific Publishing, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/9789812779953_0006
[97] Discussion Model for Propagation of Social Opinion via Quantum Galois Noise Channels:Entanglement, SuperSpreader - arXiv, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.01352
[98] Professor Andrei Khrennikov - Professor Emmanuel Haven | How Quantum-like Models Illuminate Complex Systems - scientia.global, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.scientia.global/professor-andrei-khrennikov-professor-emmanuel-haven-how-quantum-like-models-illuminate-complex-systems/
[99] Editorial: Predictive modeling of cognition and behavior on quantum principles - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9869110/
[100] An investigation of a quantum probability model for the constructive effect of affective evaluation | Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences - Journals, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsta.2015.0142

[101] Quantum Modeling of Social Dynamics - IGI Global, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.igi-global.com/article/quantum-modeling-social-dynamics/41937
[102] Quantum Modeling of Social Dynamics | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220059177_Quantum_Modeling_of_Social_Dynamics
[103] Quantum-like Modelling and Complex Adaptive Systems: Policy Implications, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://nickledanddimed.com/2022/02/15/quantum-like-modelling-and-complex-adaptive-systems-policy-implications/A Quantum-Like Approach for the Analysis of Social Contagion Dynamics with Heterogeneous Adoption Thresholds - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/23/5/538
[104] On Interpretational Questions for Quantum-Like Modeling of Social Lasing - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/20/12/921
[105] Can physics be applied to social dynamics? : r/AskPhysics - Reddit, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskPhysics/comments/1euybr3/can_physics_be_applied_to_social_dynamics/
[106] Discussion Model for Propagation of Social Opinion via Quantum Galois Noise Channels:Entanglement, SuperSpreader - Inspire HEP, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://inspirehep.net/literature/2743102
[107] Quantum-like Data Modeling in Applied Sciences: Review - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2571-905X/6/1/21
[108] A framework to analyze opinion formation models - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9352787/
[109] Predictive Power in Science - Triton Station, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://tritonstation.com/2020/05/05/predictive-power-in-science/
[110] What Is Emergence? - John Templeton Foundation, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.templeton.org/news/what-is-emergence
[111] Emergent Quantum Phenomena | Department of Physics - The Cavendish Laboratory, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.phy.cam.ac.uk/research/emergentquantum
[112] Two, six, many: Emergence of collective behavior | ScienceDaily, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2020/12/201211115505.htm
[113] Emergent Intelligence in Generalized Pure Quantum Systems - MDPI, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2079-3197/10/6/88
[114] Could Quantum Materials Lead to Emergent Intelligence? - Infused Innovations, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://infusedinnovations.com/blog/secure-intelligent-workplace/could-quantum-materials-lead-to-emergent-intelligence
[115] Pathways toward understanding Macroscopic Quantum Phenomena, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://s3.cern.ch/inspire-prod-files-4/4937f3b81b1a706e35381b3da2104b57
[116] Macroscopic quantum phenomena - Wikipedia, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Macroscopic_quantum_phenomena
[117] Emergence of a predictive model in the hippocampus - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10293047/
[118] Personalized Prediction of Behaviors and Experiences: An Idiographic Person–Situation Test - PMC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9793429/
[119] Predicting Human Behavior - Data & Society, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.datasociety.net/pubs/2014-0317/PredictingHumanBehaviorPrimer.pdf
[120] "Predicting Human Behavior" by Tamara Kneese - USF Scholarship Repository, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://repository.usfca.edu/ms/19/
[121] Predicting human behavior toward members of different social groups - PNAS, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1719452115
[122] The Future of Behavioral AI: Predictions and Emerging Trends - DragonSpears, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.dragonspears.com/blog/future-of-behavioral-ai
[123] Predictive Modeling of Human Behavior: - Unitn-eprints.PhD, accessed on April 17, 2025, http://eprints-phd.biblio.unitn.it/2014/1/bogomolov-thesis-open-access.pdf
[124] Modeling Human Behavior at a Large Scale - DTIC, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/ADA581446
[125] MIT's New AI Model Predicts Human Behavior With Uncanny Accuracy : r/Futurism - Reddit, accessed on April 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Futurism/comments/1c93n5z/mits_new_ai_model_predicts_human_behavior_with/