International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

# AGRIBOT: A Smart AI Companion for Farmers

Lijo Varghese<sup>1</sup>, Sindhu Daniel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1, 2</sup>A P J Abdul Kalam Technological University, Musaliar College of Engineering and Technology, Malayalappuzha, Pathanamthitta, Kerala, India <sup>1</sup>Email: *lijovarghese2002[at]gmail.com* 

Abstract: Agriculture remains the backbone of many developing economies, yet farmers often face challenges in accessing timely, accurate, and personalized information for effective decision-making. AgriBot is a novel AI-powered chatbot designed to provide realtime agricultural support using machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and IoT integration. This intelligent system enables farmers to interact through voice or text in multiple languages, offering guidance on crop selection, pest control, soil health, and weather forecasting. The chatbot continuously improves through learning from user interactions, providing precise and region-specific recommendations. With the ability to analyze plant disease images using CNN-based models and suggest appropriate actions, AgriBot enhances farm productivity and sustainability. The system's cloud-based, scalable design ensures accessibility even in low-connectivity rural areas. This paper presents the architecture, methodology, and implementation of AgriBot and discusses its potential to transform traditional agriculture into a more data-driven and efficient domain.

**Keywords:** AgriBot, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Natural Language Processing (NLP), Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), Feedforward Neural Network (FNN), Crop Recommendation, Plant Disease Detection

## 1. Introduction

Agriculture continues to be a fundamental pillar in global economic development, especially in agrarian societies where a significant portion of the population relies on farming for their livelihood. Despite advancements in agricultural science, many farmers still struggle with accessing timely and accurate information that is crucial for effective decision-making. Challenges such as unpredictable weather patterns, crop diseases, soil degradation, pest outbreaks, and inadequate market information often hinder productivity and profitability. Moreover, the reliance on traditional advisory systems—such as consulting agricultural officers, reading printed materials, or searching generic web portals—can be time-consuming, inconsistent, and inaccessible to those in rural or underserved areas.

In this context, AgriBot emerges as a transformative solution aimed at bridging the gap between farmers and cutting-edge agricultural knowledge. AgriBot is an AI-powered chatbot system that leverages the capabilities of Machine Learning (ML), Natural Language Processing (NLP), and Computer Vision to provide real-time, context-aware agricultural assistance. Designed with multilingual support and both text and voice interaction capabilities, AgriBot ensures inclusivity by accommodating farmers from diverse linguistic and educational backgrounds. This makes it not just a technological tool, but a farmer-centric assistant that truly understands the user's environment and needs.

What sets AgriBot apart is its integration of image-based disease detection using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), allowing farmers to upload images of affected plants instant diagnosis and treatment suggestions. for Additionally, the system offers personalized crop recommendations, fertilizer guidance, and weather forecasting by analyzing user input such as soil pH, humidity, temperature, and geographical location. It also continuously learns from user interactions, improving its accuracy and response quality over time.

The chatbot is supported by a cloud-based infrastructure, ensuring scalability, real-time data access, and low-latency performance even in rural areas with limited connectivity. Data privacy and user authentication are safeguarded using secure backend services like Firebase Firestore. The frontend is developed using Flutter, ensuring a lightweight, responsive, and platform-independent user experience across smartphones and web browsers.

This paper details the design, development, and implementation of AgriBot, evaluates its performance, and discusses its impact on agricultural efficiency and sustainability. By harnessing modern technologies, AgriBot aims to empower farmers with the knowledge they need at their fingertips, reducing their dependency on external advisory services and promoting data-driven, smart farming practices. Ultimately, AgriBot is not just a technical innovation, but a socio-economic enabler that seeks to modernize agriculture and enhance food security in the digital age.

## 2. Literature Survey

With the rise of artificial intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT), modern agriculture is undergoing a rapid transformation from traditional methods to more data-driven, automated, and intelligent solutions. Recent research efforts have focused on building smart agricultural systems that leverage machine learning, NLP, and sensor data to enhance farming practices. This section reviews significant contributions in the domain of AI-driven agriculture, emphasizing chatbot-based systems, IoT integration, crop prediction models, and disease diagnosis.

A study by Kumar et al. proposed a smart agriculture system utilizing IoT and machine learning to enable real-time crop monitoring and yield prediction. The integration of sensors for data collection and ML models for decision-making proved effective, although performance was limited in environments with sparse datasets and diverse climatic variations [1].

Patel et al. explored the implementation of AI-powered chatbots in precision agriculture, focusing on how NLP can support farmers in decision-making. While the chatbot provided real-time assistance, the lack of support for multiple languages restricted its adoption in multilingual agricultural communities [2].

Sharma and Verma designed an IoT-enabled smart farming system aimed at monitoring crop health through remote sensing. Their approach demonstrated potential in precision farming, but the effectiveness of the system was constrained by unreliable network connectivity in remote rural regions [3].

Reddy et al. developed a chatbot model for agricultural assistance based on NLP techniques. This system could answer basic farmer queries related to crops and soil. However, it encountered challenges in understanding complex domain-specific terminology, which limited its practical utility [4].

Wang et al. presented a comprehensive review of AI applications in smart agriculture, including data analytics, decision support, and crop yield estimation. They identified significant benefits but noted the reliance on outdated or non-contextual datasets as a common shortcoming that affects prediction accuracy [5].

Sharma and Gupta proposed a cloud-integrated IoT farming system that allows continuous monitoring and analysis of agricultural parameters. Although the model offered scalability and efficient data handling, the security vulnerabilities associated with cloud data exchange were flagged as a concern [6].

Yadav and Singh applied machine learning techniques such as regression and classification models for crop yield prediction. While the results were promising, the models lacked integration with real-time environmental data, thereby affecting their real-world reliability [7].

Verma et al. introduced a chatbot that predicts agricultural market prices using historical data and AI algorithms. The system showed good results in static conditions but failed to adapt accurately to rapid market fluctuations caused by sudden external factors [8].

Raj et al. created an NLP-based agricultural chatbot to assist farmers with real-time problem-solving. While it supported a range of queries, its inability to provide region-specific advice reduced its relevance for localized agricultural practices [9].

Khan et al. proposed the use of deep learning for smart agriculture, utilizing IoT data and image analysis for crop health monitoring. Despite high accuracy in controlled environments, the model required substantial computational resources, which may not be feasible for widespread rural deployment [10].

Zhang and Li developed a cloud-based agriculture platform that collects and analyzes farm data to provide decision support. Though the system ensured centralized management, it suffered from latency issues that affected its real-time responsiveness [11].

Sharma et al. designed an AI-driven weather forecasting system to help farmers plan agricultural activities. The forecasting model worked well under normal weather patterns but was less effective in predicting extreme or abrupt weather events [12].

Kumar and Mehta created an IoT-based pest detection system using sensors and image recognition. The system automated pest alerts but had reduced accuracy in detecting pests under complex or overlapping field conditions [13].

Singh and Roy applied blockchain technology to agricultural supply chains, improving traceability and transparency. While the approach was innovative, the high computational cost and scalability issues in large-scale farms remained unresolved [14].

Nair et al. explored AI-driven plant disease detection using image processing and neural networks. The model demonstrated success in recognizing common diseases, but its effectiveness diminished when faced with rare or emerging pathogens not present in the training dataset [15].

## 3. Methodology

The development of AgriBot follows a structured and modular methodology that integrates machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), and image processing into a unified, intelligent agricultural assistant. The first phase involves data collection and preprocessing, where diverse agricultural datasets are gathered from reliable sources such as government portals, agricultural research institutions, and publicly available datasets like PlantVillage. The datasets include structured data like soil parameters, crop types, weather conditions, and fertilizer usage, as well as unstructured data such as farmer queries and plant disease images. Preprocessing techniques are applied to enhance data quality-this includes normalizing numerical values, tokenizing and cleaning text data (removing stopwords, stemming, lemmatization), and resizing and augmenting image data to ensure consistency across inputs.

Following data preparation, the next phase is feature extraction and abstraction. Here, relevant features are derived to train the AI models effectively. For structured data, features like pH level, temperature, nitrogen and potassium content, humidity, and geographical region are extracted. For textual queries, NLP-based techniques such as TF-IDF and Word2Vec are used to convert user inputs into numerical representations. Image data, especially of diseased leaves, undergo convolutional processing to extract features such as texture, shape, and color variations indicative of disease. This abstraction layer ensures that the models receive meaningful input to drive accurate predictions and responses.

The model development phase involves training various machine learning and deep learning models for specific functionalities. A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is used for plant disease detection, trained on annotated image datasets to classify leaves as healthy or infected.

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Feedforward Neural Networks (FNN) are employed for crop and fertilizer recommendation tasks, learning from structured data to output optimal farming suggestions. For handling user queries, an NLP engine is implemented, capable of understanding the intent and context of the question using pretrained language models. Each model is evaluated using performance metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC to ensure robustness and reliability in real-world use.

Once the models are validated, they are integrated into a chatbot interface built using tools like Rasa or a custom Python-based engine. This conversational interface supports both text and voice inputs, offering accessibility to farmers with varying levels of literacy and technical skill. The chatbot routes queries to the appropriate backend model—be it for disease detection, crop suggestion, or general advice— and provides real-time responses. Multilingual support is implemented to ensure that users from diverse linguistic backgrounds can interact in their native language, further enhancing usability.

The final phase is deployment and evaluation. AgriBot is hosted on cloud platforms such as Firebase or AWS, enabling 24/7 access across devices. The front-end is built with Flutter to ensure responsive design and low bandwidth consumption, particularly suitable for rural areas. Security features such as user authentication and encrypted data transfer are implemented to ensure data privacy. The system is evaluated through real-time testing with actual users, and feedback is collected for continuous improvement. Performance logs, user ratings, and error reports guide periodic retraining of models and refinement of responses. This end-to-end methodology ensures AgriBot remains adaptive, scalable, and highly effective as a smart agricultural assistant.

#### 3.1 Algorithm

The AgriBot system integrates multiple AI algorithms tailored for specific agricultural tasks—primarily Feedforward Neural Networks (FNN) for crop and fertilizer recommendations, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for image-based plant disease detection, and Natural Language Processing (NLP) models for query handling.

#### Feedforward Neural Network (FNN)

A Feedforward Neural Network is employed to analyze structured agricultural data such as soil pH, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), temperature, humidity, and rainfall. The network consists of an input layer, multiple hidden layers, and an output layer. Each neuron in a hidden layer computes a weighted sum of inputs using:

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + b$$

where  $w_i$  are the weights,  $x_i$  are the input features, and *b* is the bias term. The output of each neuron is passed through a **ReLU** (Rectified Linear Unit) activation function defined as:

$$A(z) = \max(0, z)$$

The final output layer generates a regression-based score for crop suitability or fertilizer recommendation using a linear activation. The network is trained to minimize the Mean Squared Error (MSE) between predicted and actual values, given by:

$$ext{MSE} = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

Here, y represents actual values and that denotes predictions. Optimization is performed using the Adam optimizer, allowing efficient weight updates.

#### **Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)**

For plant disease detection from leaf images, a CNN is utilized due to its effectiveness in capturing spatial hierarchies in visual data. Input images are resized to  $128 \times 128$  pixels and normalized. Each convolutional layer applies a set of filters to extract features such as edges, texture, and color patterns. The convolution operation is expressed as:

$$Z = (X * W) + b$$

where X is the input image or feature map, W is the filter kernel, and b is the bias term. After convolution, the ReLU activation is applied, and MaxPooling layers are used to downsample feature maps, reducing computational complexity.

Extracted features are flattened and passed to fully connected (dense) layers, followed by a Softmax activation in the output layer to classify the input into multiple disease categories. The CNN model is trained using the Categorical Cross-Entropy Loss:

$$\mathrm{Loss} = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log(\hat{y}_i)$$

where  $y_i$  is the true label and  $y^i$  is the predicted probability.

#### Natural Language Processing (NLP)

The chatbot leverages NLP techniques to interpret user queries and respond appropriately. User input is first tokenized and vectorized using TF-IDF or Word2Vec embeddings. Intent classification is handled via a lightweight neural classifier, trained to map queries to predefined intents such as "crop recommendation" or "pest control. " Named Entity Recognition (NER) extracts domain-specific entities like crop names or locations. Voice queries are handled through speech-to-text modules, and the chatbot responds in either text or synthesized speech. The NLP pipeline is continuously refined through user interaction logs to improve contextual understanding and accuracy.

## International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101



Figure 1: Architecture

## 4. Result and Discussion

The performance of AgriBot was evaluated through separate experiments targeting its core functionalities—plant disease detection using CNN, crop and fertilizer recommendation using FNN, and query interpretation using NLP models. Evaluation metrics included accuracy, precision, recall, and user experience feedback collected through pilot testing.

The CNN model trained on the PlantVillage dataset demonstrated reliable classification across multiple leaf diseases. It achieved an overall accuracy of 70% with an F1-score of 0.76 for the diseased classes. The model effectively distinguished between common leaf ailments such as blight, rust, and bacterial spot. Performance can be further improved by increasing training data diversity and introducing attention mechanisms.

Table 1:	Evaluation	Metrics
----------	------------	---------

Metric	Value	
Accuracy	70%	
Precision	72%	
Recall	80%	
F1-Score	0.76	
AUC-ROC	0.70	

A Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve was plotted to visualize the trade-off between true positive rate (TPR) and false positive rate (FPR) across different classification thresholds. The Area Under the Curve (AUC) was calculated to be 0.70, which demonstrates a reasonably strong capability of the model to distinguish between diseased and healthy leaves.

The ROC curve confirms the model's reliability in binary classification tasks, and its shape suggests that further finetuning or ensemble methods could enhance performance, particularly in borderline cases.



Figure 2: ROC Curve

The FNN model, trained using agricultural datasets (soil nutrients, weather conditions, and historical yield data), produced promising results with minimal error. The Mean Squared Error (MSE) during validation was observed to be 0.018, indicating precise mapping of inputs to optimal crop types. Farmers were able to receive context-sensitive recommendations that matched local agro-climatic conditions.

The chatbot's NLP pipeline achieved over 90% intent recognition accuracy on predefined queries such as crop recommendation, disease explanation, and soil health advice. Named Entity Recognition (NER) was effective in parsing crop names, regions, and fertilizer types. Voice-based input showed 85% accuracy in low-noise environments, though accent and dialect variations sometimes led to misclassification.

User trials conducted with 30 rural users revealed positive feedback regarding ease of use, especially with voice-based interaction and regional language support. However, challenges such as poor network connectivity and limited smartphone familiarity were noted as barriers to adoption.

## 5. Conclusion

This research presented *AgriBot*, an intelligent, AI-powered agricultural assistant designed to bridge the technological divide in farming through real-time, personalized, and

## International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

multilingual support. By integrating Natural Language Processing (NLP) for query understanding, Feedforward Neural Networks (FNN) for crop and fertilizer recommendation, and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for image-based disease detection, the system delivers end-to-end assistance tailored to the unique needs of individual farmers. The chatbot interface, equipped with both voice and text input modes and regional language support, ensures accessibility for users across varying literacy and technical proficiency levels. The experimental results validated AgriBot's effectiveness, with a disease classification accuracy of 70%, F1-score of 0.76 for diseased crops, and intent recognition accuracy above 90%, affirming its capability to perform in practical agricultural environments. Furthermore, the integration with real-time weather data and the use of domain-specific knowledge bases enhance its context-aware response generation, making it significantly more adaptive than traditional static information portals.

Looking ahead, AgriBot holds substantial potential for expansion and real-world deployment. Future enhancements may include the integration of IoT-enabled soil and climate sensors to provide hyper-local, real-time field monitoring, improving precision in decision-making. Additionally, incorporating satellite and drone imagery can enable largescale crop surveillance, early disease detection, and irrigation management. The development of an offline-first version using edge computing or Progressive Web App (PWA) technology would further increase its utility in lowconnectivity rural regions. From a linguistic perspective, expanding NLP capabilities to cover a broader range of Indian dialects and implementing sentiment analysis can make farmer interactions more natural and context-sensitive. Moreover, the introduction of AI-driven forecasting models for pest outbreaks, yield estimation, and market price prediction will empower farmers to make proactive, economically beneficial decisions. Lastly, by establishing a hybrid "expert-in-the-loop" system, AgriBot can ensure human validation for critical decisions, fostering trust and accuracy. With these advancements, AgriBot can evolve into a comprehensive decision-support platform, contributing not only to improved crop productivity and sustainability but also to the socio-economic upliftment of farming communities through informed and inclusive technology adoption.

## References

- [1] P. M. Kumar, U. Gandhi, M. A. Kalaivani, and S. Padmavathi, "Smart Agriculture Using IoT and Machine Learning," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol.162, pp.104–110, 2019.
- [2] J. Patel, R. Singh, and L. Zhang, "AI-Powered Chatbots for Precision Agriculture," *Journal of Agricultural Informatics*, vol.7, no.2, pp.22–30, 2020.
- [3] A. Sharma and P. Verma, "IoT-Enabled Smart Farming System for Crop Monitoring," *IEEE Internet* of Things Journal, vol.8, no.3, pp.1750–1757, 2021.
- [4] M. K. Reddy, S. Bhat, and R. Nair, "Natural Language Processing-Based Chatbot for Agricultural Assistance, "*International Journal of AI and Data Science*, vol.10, no.4, pp.145–152, 2022.

- [5] L. Wang, D. Liu, and H. Chen, "A Comprehensive Review of AI in Smart Agriculture," *Future Internet*, vol.13, no.1, pp.1–18, 2021.
- [6] R. K. Sharma and N. Gupta, "IoT-Based Smart Farming System Using Cloud Computing," *Journal of Computing and Security*, vol.15, no.2, pp.56–62, 2020.
- [7] A. Yadav and P. Singh, "Machine Learning Techniques for Crop Yield Prediction, " *Journal of Agricultural Data Science*, vol.9, no.3, pp.99–107, 2021.
- [8] S. Verma, T. Patel, and L. Ray, "AI-Powered Chatbot for Agricultural Market Price Prediction," *Journal of Smart Agriculture*, vol.11, no.4, pp.205–213, 2022.
- [9] L. Raj, A. Menon, and S. Gupta, "NLP-Based Agricultural Chatbot for Real-Time Farmer Assistance, "*International Journal of AI Research*, vol.8, no.3, pp.87–94, 2020.
- [10] M. Khan, R. Sharma, and P. Kumar, "Precision Agriculture Using IoT and Deep Learning," *IEEE Access*, vol.10, pp.10048–10055, 2021.
- [11] J. Zhang and Y. Li, "Cloud-Based Smart Agriculture System," *Journal of Cloud Computing*, vol.14, no.1, pp.45–52, 2019.
- [12] R. Sharma, K. Patel, and N. Rao, "AI-Driven Weather Forecasting System for Agriculture, " *Journal of Weather Informatics*, vol.5, no.2, pp.33–40, 2021.
- [13] P. Kumar and R. Mehta, "IoT-Based Pest Detection and Control System," *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, vol.18, no.2, pp.73–80, 2020.
- [14] M. Singh and D. Roy, "Blockchain-Powered Supply Chain Management for Agriculture," *IEEE Transactions on Blockchain*, vol.9, no.1, pp.18–26, 2022.
- [15] S. Nair, P. Kumar, and L. Thomas, "AI-Powered Crop Disease Detection Using Image Processing," *Journal* of Computer Vision, vol.12, no.4, pp.112–119, 2020.