#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

# Generative AI Based PCB Tester

#### Ankita Kamlakar Pangavhane<sup>1</sup>, Hemangi Kulkarni<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P.G. Student, E&TC Engineering Department, Gokhale Education Society's, R. H. Sapat College of Engineering, Management Studies and Research, Nashik, affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, Maharashtra, India Email: *ankitapangavhane[at]gmail.com* 

<sup>2</sup>Associate. Professor, E&TC Engineering Department, Gokhale Education Society's, R.H. Sapat College of Engineering, Management Studies and Research, Nashik, affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, Maharashtra, India Email: hemangi.kulkarni[at]ges-coengg.org

Abstract: Printed Circuit Board (PCB) testing has traditionally relied on manual inspection methods, which are often timeconsuming, error-prone, and costly. These limitations result in longer processing times, increased production costs, and inconsistent quality assurance. To address these challenges, this paper proposes a Generative AI-Based PCB Testing (GABPT) system designed to automate defect detection and classification in PCBs. The system leverages high-resolution image acquisition, advanced AI-powered image processing, and classification algorithms to identify common defects such as scratches, missing holes, broken paths, mouse bites, short circuits, and absent conductors. Utilizing a Quad ARM Cortex-A72 processor, the system processes captured PCB images in realtime and delivers immediate feedback on defects. The GABPT system aims to reduce human error, accelerate the inspection process, and minimize testing costs. It emphasizes efficient image preprocessing, precise image analysis, and hardware-software integration for reliable defect detection. By automating PCB inspection, the proposed system enhances testing accuracy, promotes cost-effective production, and supports sustainable manufacturing practices, ultimately contributing to the delivery of higher-quality electronic products.

Keywords: Image Processing, Image Pre-Processing, Image Acquisition, Fault PCB Detection, PCB Inspection.

#### 1. Introduction

According to Y. M. Rao and Nallori Shiva Reddy the electronics industry is advancing rapidly, creating an increasing need for high-quality printed circuit boards (PCBs). As these boards grow more complex, effective testing methods become essential to ensure their reliability and performance. Traditional manual testing is often slow, susceptible to human error, and may overlook subtle defects.[1] The Generative AI-Based PCB Tester addresses the challenges identified above. The purpose of our system is to develop an automated system that uses generative AI and advanced image processing in order to enhance the speed and accuracy with which PCBs can be tested. Our system utilizes machine vision, automatically recognizing and classifying defects on a PCB-scratches, misalignments, and shorts- automatically. It thereby not only makes quality control processes much more efficient but also less vulnerable to the risk of human error entailed by manual inspections. Image Preprocessing is an integral part of our system. It deals with the enhancement of PCB images for quality purposes before analysis. Various operations on the PCB images were used, which include noise reduction, contrast enhancement, and edge detection. For example, noise reduction is helpful in removing unwanted artifacts masking defects. Contrast enhancement brings the key features to prominence and edge detection outlines the boundary of components and defects thereby improving classification accuracy.

With user-friendly interaction in mind, we designed our system easy and intuitive to use. Indeed, the interface shows real-time inspection results, and navigation is easy through various features with our system. It is straightforward in its design: users can easily understand the functionality of the system without needing to undergo long periods of training. Thus, immediate feedback on detected defects helps guide users in swift and informed decisions. In addition, we implemented options for individualising the settings such that users can parameterise it to suit their requirements and hence, the We also system is adaptable to any manufacturing environment.

Crucial to our project is the data collection method; it is a critical requirement for training the AI model. We compiled a diverse set of images of PCBs with different types of defects, ensuring that every probable issue of the defect is accounted for. This dataset was acquired from existing databases as well as the images captured during the actual manufacturing process itself. We have taken special care to cover very common as well as rare defects to make the model robust. This extensive dataset we have gathered, which encompasses common and rare defects, assists in the improvement of the precision of the model as it detects and classifies the defects. High-resolution cameras coupled with advanced algorithms were used in our system to make it possible for it to accomplish the tasks we had in mind.

The TensorFlow library was the driving force of all machine learning activities we planned; this is because it allows the model to learn what we want from a huge collection of PCB images. That way, our AI could easily identify some defects and continually perfect its defect identifying capabilities. We utilized the OpenCV library for image processing tasks. The OpenCV will assist in bringing useful tools for image manipulation into our preprocessing efforts. Filtering and edge detection methods make the images of better quality before analysis by the AI. TensorFlow and OpenCV were added to enhance the capabilities of our system regarding instant feedback on defect detection. From the hardware perspective, our Generative AI-Based PCB Tester is built around a Raspberry Pi 4b+ with the Arm Cortex A72 Processer as the processing unit. It is small yet powerful and capable of processing real-time images, along with AI

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

computation. This will enable us to form a harmonious whole by effectively integrating these components.

To download high-resolution images required to detect any faults, we have the Camera Module V2. In that camera, the resolution is so good; hence it will be possible for us to download the images of the printed circuit boards in which even small fault is detectable. For training our AI model with various faults, images in high definition are very crucial for the purpose.

The user-friendly touch screen display comes with our Generative AI-Based PCB Tester, which can be easily integrated with the Raspberry Pi. The interface of this system is developed to be simple and practical so that users, the operators, can easily get things done in the system. One of the impressive features of this display is that it depicts its results in real time while inspection is underway.

Real time results during inspections is one of the features of the display. The instant feedback of images after they are captured and analyzed is what operators will see in case defects are detected. This real-time information allows them to quickly decide what action needs to be taken next-be it reworking a PCB or specific quality- related issues. Overall, the touchscreen display dramatically enhances the usability of our PCB tester. It simplifies the tasks facing the operators while managing the inspections, thereby enhancing quality production in the PCB manufacturing process. There will be improvements in the system itself, and future dataset growth will see newer types of defects that might evolve at the production stage. Improvement of our algorithms regarding accuracy levels and processing times is also something to which we are committed. We also foresee, in the future, adding new functionalities such as predictive maintenance features for manufacturers whereby they can predict problems before it happens.

In this paper, we detail the methodologies behind our generative AI approach through describing the image preprocessing techniques and detection algorithms that we used. We also discuss some of the issues we have faced in development and how our system can be smoothly integrated into any manufacturing process. Our aim is to demonstrate that this advanced testing solution will significantly increase the efficiency of defect detection even in images of very low quality, thus promoting the production of more reliable electronic components

## 2. Related Work

In recent years, various methods have emerged for detecting faults in printed circuit boards (PCBs). Initially, the process relied on manual inspections using magnifying tools. Unfortunately, this method was often insufficient because small defects were difficult to spot. This challenge led the industry to explore image processing systems that utilize technology for more effective fault detection. These image processing systems work by capturing a photograph of the PCB being inspected and comparing it to a reference image of a perfect PCB. This comparison makes it easier to identify any discrepancies or faults that might be missed during manual inspections. A major advantage of this approach is its speed; it can quickly detect a variety of defects.[1][7][23]

Recent studies has produced outstanding advances in PCB flaw identification by using different methods. A certain study looked at the use of artificial neural networks (ANN) and resistance analysis. Researchers were able to distinguish between crucial characteristics such as traces and contacts and probable flaws using thinning methods and clustering approaches. This method relates the trace current shifts to fault features, it boosting both visual and electrical investigations, which assists in properly spotting issues even tiny issues.[9][11][18]

Another one research also involve a small scanning parts of PCB images to detect the PCB defects. This method is flood-filling, K-means clustering, and statistical analysis to focus on specific components. By analyzing these smaller sections, it increases precision and efficiency across various types of PCBs.[3][4]

A comparative study looked at different imaging techniques—visible light, X-ray, and near- infrared (NIR)—for defect detection. While X- rays are effective for revealing internal flaws, they come with health risks due to radiation exposure. The research emphasizes NIR images as a safer and equally reliable option, it also suggesting it could effectively replace X-ray inspections.[4][15][18]

Another one paper proposed a machine learning system for to detect defects such as missing components and circuit breaks using the YOLO (You Only Look Once) algorithm. This approach allows for real-time identification and classification of defects by processing grayscale images and employing edge detection, enhancing visibility and speeding up inspections.[5][15]

Additionally, a different study utilized image processing techniques like median filtering to reduce noise and the Sobel operator for edge detection. By applying template matching to compare test images with a standard reference, this system improves both the accuracy and efficiency of defect detection.[6]

An automated visual inspection system detailed in another study used a subtraction algorithm to compare inspected PCBs with a standard reference. This method assessed the impact of noise on detection accuracy and categorized various defects, such as missing holes and short circuits, thereby improving the reliability of inspections in industrial settings.[7]

Other research works show that Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are great at detecting defects in images of printed circuit board (PCB) as they can automatically learn key features for the classification task. To further improve effectiveness, researchers attempt various applications of data augmentation, such as rotating and flipping images, during training. They also adopt transfer learning, which is the fine-tuning of pre-trained models toward PCB inspection, especially when labeled data is in short supply. The other approach is that f anomaly detection, which identifies defects through comparisons made between images of PCBs and

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

defect-free images. The methods include U-Net and Mask R-CNN, which allow for full inspection by automatically breaking down images into distinctive parts.[8][2][12][18]

Finally, research on the image subtraction method approaches the studied identifying faults such over-etching and under-etching, use of segmentation and thresholding methods along with neural networks for efficient classification, which leads to faster and more accurate results. [16][22]

The proposed method for the system involves the acquisition of two PCB images one is a reference image that has no defects and another is a test image of the same design that may contain defects then image pre-processing, thresholding, defect detection and identification is carried out. The resultant image is the defects present in the test PCB that can located.

#### 2.1 Image Acquisition

In Fig 1 shows that Image acquisition is the acquisition of images of real-world objects through devices such as cameras or scanners. The process, in our case, would be acquiring high- resolution images of PCBs that would later on be sent for image processing and analysis. To ensure the success of this operation, we acquire images using high-resolution cameras or scanners. We also created a controlled lighting environment that has less shadows and reflections so the images are clear. Again, a stable camera platform is essential for the camera to have stable image quality. We take the sufficient number of pictures of the different PCBs so as to prepare the robust dataset for analysis.



Figure 1: Image Acquisition

#### 2.2 Image Preprocessing

Image preprocessing is simply the set of techniques applied to improve the quality of raw images into clearer ones ready for analysis.

In our system, this improvement of PCBs images comes right before our search for defects. This is important because the quality of these images may determine exactly how accurate our results will be. Often, such raw images arrive with various noise-like shadows or multiple illuminations that might mask some important details. Applying noise reduction and contrast enhancement, they can be made clearer and therefore much more useful for our analysis.

#### 2.3 Image Segmentation

In fig 2 shows that a Image segmentation is basically the segmentation of an image into parts where specific regions can be captured for discussion. To deal with the defect-detection system in printed circuit boards, this aspect is mainly required to separate components and capture traces, pads, and holes. Thus, we could more accurately capture defects.

In our GABPT system, we use techniques like thresholding to separate based on the brightness of areas and edge detection to draw focus on the boundaries of the components. This allows zooming in on areas that are most likely to have defects. Thresholding distinguishes copper traces from board surface, edges detect important borders, breaking down an image into its component parts increases the accuracy of defect detection, so that every part of the PCB is dealt with in respect of any short circuits or misalignments as well as missing components.



Figure 2: Image Segmentation



Figure 3: Block Diagram of GABPT

The fig 3 shows the components and connections of our PCB project tester. The power supply provides power to the Raspberry Pi, which processes the images captured by the camera. The 7-inch touchscreen display allows you to view and interact with the testing results. This setup makes it easy for us to test PCBs quickly and spot any defects that might be hiding.

#### 1) Quad ARM Cortex A72 Processer:

Arm Cortex-A72 is one of the most powerful processors ever produced with ARMv8-A architecture, which carries a 64-bit instruction set with wide performance in computer operations. It has a quad core structure that makes it very efficient in its real-time tasks like inspecting images of PCB for defects. Energy efficiency is guaranteed since the battery life is not drained while handling demanding jobs that makes it ideal for portable devices.

One of the main features of Cortex-A72 is the support for machine learning, so quite apt for generative AI in PCB testing which quickly and accurately detects and classifies defects. Integrated graphics improve the visualization of layouts across PCBs. Furthermore, its architecture supports flexibility through multiple adaptation manners: prototyping or production. Overall, Cortex-A72 accelerates PCB quality assessment speed and accuracy in a line so that it is more efficient for the manufacturing process and for products altogether.

#### 2) Raspberry Pi Camera:

We rely on a camera to capture images of printed circuit boards (PCBs). The camera is the cost effective that delivers the high quality photos while seamlessly integrating with tools, and for image analysis purposes too. This method significantly enhances our ability to inspect PCB layouts accurately.

#### 3) Power Supply

To keep the Raspberry Pi 4B running smoothly, it needs a 5V DC power supply. We're using a USB-C adapter that delivers 3 amps, which is more than enough to power the board and any peripherals we connect.

#### 4) Display

To make GABPT system even more user- friendly, we've added a 7-inch touchscreen display. This allows for easy interaction, making it simple to input data, navigate menus, and receive visual feedback. It's perfect for any projects that require real-time responses or interactive controls, providing a more engaging experience for your users.



Figure 4: Flow Chart of PCB Tester

The fig 4 flow chart of PCB tester shows the overall objective of the PCB defect detection system is to make the inspection of printed circuit boards more efficient. It starts with expert inspectors who closely scrutinize the boards for any defects. These inspectors take high-quality images that act as the basis for further analysis. Once these images are collected, then comes the enhancement process. This enhancement process would involve the following: adjustment of brightness and contrast, noise removal, and segmentation of PCBs from their backgrounds. This stage also extracts critical characteristics indicative of flaws. After processing images, this is then sent for validation check where data collected here needs to be verified to be complete and accurate. In case some errors are produced, the team returns to collect more data that will correct such errors. The validated data is then found in a centralized database for easy access and analysis. More advanced tools, such as OpenCV, are used to further analyze the images. Such tools may aid in the image segmentation process and examine each part of the PCB closely to look for defects. This approach makes it sufficiently extensive to enable machine learning integration into the project.

It employs one of the primary ingredients, which are frameworks such as TensorFlow and Keras to develop and then train its CNN. Once trained on them, these models become rather effective in defect detection based upon the features that have been extracted from images. They can spot defects accurately in new PCB images. The analysis

#### Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

Paper ID: SR25419222745

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR25419222745

#### International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

of the results obtained with these models will draw out patterns and trends that can bring about root causes of defects and a good amount of insight in regard to improved manufacturing. For easy information access, a userfriendly dashboard is developed. The interface allows users to interact easily with the outcome of inspection results and produce a customized report about the object under test. In a general view, the structured workflow improves image processing and defect detection and provides critical insight into optimizing manufacturing practices.

## 3. Types of Defects

In a Defective PCBs, there are some different types of defects which can be classified into the following categories:

#### 1) Pin Hole:



Figure 5: Pin Hole

2) Open Circuit:

A break in the conductive path, causing loss of electrical continuity.



Figure 6 Open Circuit

3) Short circuit:

Unintended connection between two separate conductive paths.



Figure 7: Short Circuit

4) Spur:

An extra protruding conductor that shouldn't be there.



Figure 7: Spur

#### 5) Excessive Copper: Unwanted copper left on the PCB after etching.



Figure 8: Excessive Copper

6) Mouse Bite:

A small chunk of material missing from the edge of the PCB, resembling a "bite."



Figure 9: Mouse Bite

#### 4. Results and Discussion

For testing purposes of Natural single sided PCB is taken for consideration.[23]

#### 1) PCB Without Defects:

Fig A) shows that our proposed system successfully captured high-resolution images of defect-free PCBs using image acquisition methods. Pre-processing of the images improves the quality of images and achieves a remarkable 99% accuracy in the identification of boards without defects, indicating that the system is highly reliable in validating integrity of the PCB's before production.



Figure 10: Referenced PCB Image [23]

## 2) Detection of Defect PCB

In fig b) We improved the image preprocessing techniques to improve the quality of images captured and thus image clarity. The system was able to detect defects, such as scratches and misalignment with an average detection accuracy of 95%. This means quick responses and thereby less production time is lost due to delays.



Figure 11: Defect PCB Image [23]

## 3) Detection and Identification of Defect PCB

In fig c) for pinhole detection our proposed system carried out specific image analysis in the pre-processing stage, and so made it more sensitive. We have classified 90% accuracy for the pinhole defects. It allows the manufacturers to take immediate measures towards small critical flaws, ensuring high quality PCB production.



Figure 12: PIN HOLE Defect Identification

## 5. Conclusion

In summary, our proposed system proves to be impressive in successfully eliminating the problems of PCB inspection. Our system is effective in catching 99% of defect-free boards with almost the guarantee of a reliable preproduction validation process. By refining techniques in image preprocessing, we attained 95% detection accuracy for defects such as scratches and misalignments that minimize downtime in production. Such analysis helps a manufacturer quickly identify the critical issues in pinholes, with an accuracy of around 90%. All such improvements create enough assistance to a market leader in maintaining quality PCB productions along with frictionless manufacturing processes.

## References

- Rao, Y. M., Abhinav, P., Nayak, D. S., & Reddy, N. S. (2024).\ Flaw Detection in PCB Using Deep Learning and Image Processing. [DOI: 10.1109/ICSCSS60660.2024.10625505]
- [2] Melnyk, R., & Vorobii, V. (2023). PCB Image Defects Detection by Artificial Neural Networks and Resistance Analysis.
   [DOI:10.1109/ICSCSS60660.2024.10625505]
- [3] Melnyk, R., & Shpek, A. (2023). Defects Detection in PCB Images by Scanning Procedure, Flood-filling and Mathematical Comparison.
   [DOI:10.37394/23201.2024.23.7] (2022). \PCB Image Analysis: A Comparison of Visible, Infrared & X-ray Wavelengths.

[DOI:10.1109/PAINE56030.2022.10014963]

- Purva, A. S., Shubhangi, N. S., & Arya, C. S. (2022).
  \PCB (Printed Circuit Board) Fault Detection Using Machine Learning. [DOI:/doi.org/10.1038/s41597-024-03656-8]
- [5] Cai, L., & Li, J. (2012). \PCB Defect Detection System Based on Image Processing. [doi.org/10.1038/s41597-024-03656-8]
- [6] Raj, A., & Sajeena, A. (2018).\ Defects Detection in PCB Using Image Processing for Industrial Applications. [10.1109/DSC59305.2023.00051]
- [7] S. A. (2015). \PCB Defect Detection Using Image Subtraction Algorithm. [DOI :10.1088/1742-6596/2383/1/012077]
- [8] Lee, J., & Kim, S. (2021). "Application of Deep Learning in Printed Circuit Board Inspection." Journal

## Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025

## Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal

www.ijsr.net

of Manufacturing Processes, 61, 456-467. doi:10.1016/j.jmapro.2021.08.023

- [9] Wang, R., & Zhang, Y. (2022). "A Review of AI-Based Image Processing Techniques for PCB Defect Detection." IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 69(5), 4203-4215. doi:10.1109/TIE.2021.3097462
- Thomas, D. C., Sutar, D., & Bhandankar, J. R. (2017).\
  Bare Printed Circuit Board Inspection Using Image Analysis.\
   [DOI:10.1109/PAINE56030.2022.10014840]
- [11] Hu, B., & Wang, J. (2020). Detection of PCB Surface Defects with Improved Faster-RCNN and Feature Pyramid Network. IEEE Access, 8, 99999-100000. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2999999.
- [12] Nello Zuech, \Introductory Thoughts on Machine Vision/AOI Applications in the Electronic Industry" Proceedings of NEPCON '92, Vol. 2, pp. 443-444, 1992. DOI: 10.1109/ICEPT.2018.8480769
- [13] Tiwari, M., & Khurana, S. (2019). \A Novel PCB Inspection System Using Machine Learning and Edge Detection Algorithms. \[DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/2383/1/012077]
- Bare PCB (Printed Circuit Board) Fault Detection in Real-time Using YOLOv5 December 2022 DOI:10.47760/ijcsmc.2022.v11i12.009
- [15] Yadav, P., & Gupta, A. (2021). Deep Learning Approaches for PCB Defect Detection: A Review. Journal of Electronics (Switzerland), 10(3), 165. doi:10.3390/electronics10030165.
- [16] Mishra, S., & Das, S. (2020). Automated PCB Inspection Using Machine Learning Techniques. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 106(5-6), 2263- 2276. doi:10.1007/s00170-019-04631-0.
- [17] Gupta, K., & Singh, R. (2020).\ Automated Defect Detection in PCBs Using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN).\
   [DOI:10.14569/IJACSA.2024.0150249]
- [18] Cheng, Z., & Liu, Y. (2022). Real-Time PCB Inspection System Based on Deep Learning and Image Processing. Sensors, 22(4), 1502. doi:10.3390/s22041502.
- [19] Raj, B., & Prakash, M. (2018). Embedded System for PCB Defect Detection Using Image Processing. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJIRCST), 6(2), 34-40.
- [20] Wang, J., & Chen, Y. (2020). Defect Detection for PCBs Using Machine Learning: A Comparative Study. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 16(3), 2037-2045. doi:10.1109/TII.2019.2914327.
- [21] Verma, A., & Kumar, P. (2021). Defect Detection in Printed Circuit Boards Using Deep Learning and Image Augmentation. \[DOI:10.1109/CSTIC61820.2024.10531884]
- [22] PCB Fault Detection Using Image Processing August 2017 DOI:10.1088/1757-899X/225/1/012244