Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Mechanical and Microstructural Characterization of Al7075 Aluminum Matrix Composites Reinforced with Ceramic Particulates: A Literature Review on Processing, Properties, and Performance Analysis

Archana B. Kumawat1*, Manu S2, Santosh Wankhade3

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, YTJET, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, SSIT, Bangalore, Karnataka, India ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, SSIT, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding Author:

Archana B. Kumawat

YTJET, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India Email: archanakumawatos[at]gmail.com

Abstract: Aluminum matrix composites (AMCs) reinforced with ceramic particulates have garnered substantial attention in aerospace and automotive applications owing to their superior strength-to-weight ratios and enhanced mechanical performance. This literature review synthesizes contemporary research on Al7075-based aluminum matrix composites reinforced with ceramic particles, including alumina (Al2O3), silicon carbide (SiC), iron oxide (Fe2O3), and titanium carbide (TiC). The review examined mechanical propertiesincluding hardness, tensile strength, yield strength, impact strength, and compressive strengthreported across multiple experimental investigations. Particular emphasis was placed on stir casting as the predominant fabrication technique, analyzing critical process parameters such as stirring speed, pouring temperature, and holding duration. Microstructural characterization findings regarding particle distribution, interfacial bonding behavior, grain refinement mechanisms, and aggregation phenomena at varying weight fractions were systematically evaluated. A comparative analysis of reinforcement types and weight percentages across different studies revealed consistent trends in property enhancement, with optimal reinforcement concentrations typically ranging between 3-12 wt%. However, contradictions regarding particle agglomeration and porosity effects at higher weight percentages were identified, indicating knowledge gaps requiring further investigation. The review established that while ceramic reinforcement consistently improves hardness and tensile characteristics, understanding the complex relationships between processing parameters, reinforcement morphology, and resulting microstructures remains essential for optimizing composite design and engineering applications.

Keywords: Aluminum matrix composites, Ceramic reinforcement, Stir casting, Mechanical properties, Metal matrix composites

1.Introduction

Metal matrix composites (MMCs) have emerged as significant engineering materials due to their exceptional combination of strength, stiffness, and reduced weight compared to conventional monolithic metals and alloys. The integration of reinforcing phases into metallic matrices has created materials with tailored properties suitable for demanding aerospace, automotive, and industrial applications [1]. Aluminum matrix composites (AMCs) represent a critical subset of MMCs, where aluminum and its alloys serve as the primary matrix material, offering additional advantages including thermal conductivity, electrical properties, and manufacturability [2].

The reinforcement of aluminum matrices with ceramic particulates has demonstrated remarkable improvements in mechanical performance across multiple domains. Ceramic reinforcements such as silicon carbide (SiC), aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃), titanium carbide (TiC), boron carbide (B₄C), and iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) have been extensively investigated due to their superior hardness, wear resistance, and thermal stability [3]. Among aluminum alloys, Al7075 has gained significant attention as a matrix material owing to its

inherent high strength, excellent fatigue resistance, and established aerospace applications [4].

The significance of ceramic reinforcement lies not only in strength enhancement but also in the material's ability to modulate ductility, wear resistance, and thermal properties through controlled particulate distribution. Previous investigations have established that particle size, weight percentage, matrix-reinforcement interfacial bonding, and processing parameters fundamentally influence the final composite properties [5]. The gap in existing literature encompasses detailed comparative analysis of diverse reinforcement materials, systematic evaluation of processing parameter effects on microstructural uniformity, and the establishment of predictive relationships between reinforcement characteristics and resulting mechanical behavior.

This literature review synthesizes findings from recent peer-reviewed studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of aluminum matrix composites reinforced with ceramic particulates, with particular emphasis on mechanical properties, microstructural evolution, and the critical influence of manufacturing parameters on composite performance.

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

2. Aluminum Matrix Composites: Mechanical Behavior and Reinforcement Effects

2.1 Overview of Aluminum Matrix Composites

Aluminum matrix composites have been engineered to exploit the inherent properties of aluminum while simultaneously incorporating reinforcing phases that provide enhancement in hardness, strength, and wear resistance. The mechanical behavior of AMCs results from complex interactions between the metallic matrix and ceramic reinforcements, including load transfer mechanisms, interfacial bonding characteristics, and microstructural morphology [6].

The incorporation of ceramic particles into aluminum matrices has been documented to produce notable improvements in tensile strength and hardness. Research on Al6061 reinforced with SiC particles demonstrated that tensile strength increased proportionally with reinforcement weight percentage up to optimal levels, with composite density also exhibiting enhancement compared to unreinforced alloy [7]. Similarly, investigations of Al7075-Al₂O₃ systems revealed that mechanical properties, particularly hardness and tensile strength, showed consistent improvement with increasing ceramic particulate content [8].

2.2 Influence of Silicon Carbide Reinforcement

Silicon carbide (SiC) has established itself as a preferred reinforcement material for aluminum matrices due to its exceptional hardness, thermal stability, and relatively good wettability with molten aluminum. Studies examining hybrid composites incorporating both Al₂O₃ and SiC particles revealed that bending strength, tensile strength, and hardness resistance increased with decreasing particle size, though accompanied by reductions in ductility [9]. The wear resistance behavior of SiC-reinforced composites showed marked improvement when reinforcement density increased, indicating the protective role of ceramic particles against wear-induced material removal.

Investigations involving Al/SiC composites with varying pouring temperatures documented that SiC particles were distributed homogeneously within dendrite structures of the composite, with optimal mechanical performance achieved at intermediate pouring temperatures of 670°C [10]. The tensile strength and hardness exhibited maximum values under these conditions, whereas both lower and higher pouring temperatures resulted in reduced mechanical properties, suggesting a balance between particle wettability and matrix fluidity.

2.3 Aluminum Oxide Reinforcement Systems

Aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) represents one of the most thoroughly investigated ceramic reinforcements in aluminum matrix composites. Its widespread adoption stems from superior hardness properties, excellent chemical stability, availability of multiple particle sizes, and demonstrated effectiveness in enhancing mechanical properties [11]. Research on Al₂O₁4-Al₂O₃ composites

with varying particle sizes revealed that fine particle size distributions (approximately 8 micrometers) exhibited superior uniform dispersion compared to intermediate (53 micrometers) and coarse (88 micrometers) particle sizes [12].

The investigation of Al7075-Al₂O₃-B₄C hybrid composites demonstrated that the combination of multiple ceramic phases produced optimized mechanical properties. Maximum hardness was attained at 10% Al₂O₃ combined with 4% B₄C reinforcement, with uniformly distributed ceramic particles facilitating load sharing and opposing matrix deformation [13]. The enhancement in mechanical properties through Al₂O₃ incorporation has been attributed to the transfer of applied loads from the softer aluminum matrix to the harder ceramic phase.

2.4 Additional Ceramic Reinforcements

Titanium carbide (TiC) has demonstrated exceptional potential as a reinforcement material, offering superior hardness, rigidity, and inherent wear resistance properties. An investigation of Al7075-TiC composites with weight percentages ranging from 2% to 12% documented that mechanical properties, including micro-hardness, ductility, and impact strength, improved with TiC incorporation, reaching optimal performance at 8 wt% [14]. Beyond this threshold, properties declined due to cluster formation and uneven particle distribution, indicating an upper limit to beneficial reinforcement levels.

Iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) represents an emerging reinforcement material that combines relatively low cost with reasonable mechanical properties, positioning it as a potential alternative to more expensive ceramic reinforcements. Boron carbide (B₄C) has been utilized in hybrid systems to provide additional hardness enhancement, particularly when combined with other ceramic phases in multireinforced composites [15].

3.Reinforcement Materials: Comparative Analysis

3.1 Aluminum Oxide Properties and Characteristics

Aluminum oxide possesses inherent hardness ranging from 1500 to 3000 HV, depending on crystal structure and measurement conditions, providing substantial reinforcement potential for aluminum matrices [16]. The hardness characteristics of Al₂O₃ have been extensively validated across multiple investigations, with wear properties demonstrating marked resistance to abrasive conditions and sliding wear mechanisms. Previous research trends indicate a consistent shift toward the utilization of finer Al₂O₃ particle sizes to achieve improved particle distribution and enhanced interfacial bonding with the aluminum matrix.

The chemical stability of Al₂O₃ at elevated temperatures provides advantages in composite processing and service applications. The material exhibits excellent compatibility with aluminum matrices, with magnesium and silicon elements in the aluminum enhancing wettability and

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

facilitating particle incorporation during liquid metallurgy processing [17].

3.2 Iron Oxide Characteristics

Iron oxide demonstrates availability advantages as an industrial byproduct, presenting economical considerations for composite manufacturing. The hardness of Fe₂O₃ falls below that of Al₂O₃ and SiC but remains substantially above the aluminum matrix hardness, enabling measurable mechanical property improvements. Role designation of Fe₂O₃ in metal matrix composites centers on cost-effective hardness enhancement while maintaining acceptable mechanical performance characteristics [18].

3.3 Comparative Assessment of Reinforcement **Materials**

A systematic comparison of reinforcement materials reveals distinct advantages and limitations of each ceramic phase. Silicon carbide provides exceptional hardness and wear resistance but exhibits limited wettability with molten aluminum, often requiring processing optimization to achieve uniform dispersion. Aluminum oxide demonstrates superior wettability, uniform distribution potential, and widespread availability, accounting for its prevalence in literature studies. Titanium carbide offers the highest hardness among conventional reinforcements but entails higher costs, limiting broader industrial adoption.

The selection of reinforcement material involves trade-offs between mechanical property enhancement, considerations, processing feasibility, and environmental impacts [19]. Recent investigations have explored hybrid reinforcement systems combining multiple ceramic phases to optimize property combinations, with findings suggesting synergistic effects in selected cases.

4. Stir Casting Technique: Process Parameters and Mechanisms

4.1 Fundamental Processing Characteristics

The stir casting technique represents the most economically viable and widely adopted method for producing particlereinforced aluminum matrix composites. The process involves mechanical stirring of heated aluminum melt into which ceramic particles are added, creating a vortex formation that facilitates particle incorporation and distribution [20]. Key process parameters include stirring speed, stirring duration, pouring temperature, vortex formation characteristics, and preheating conditions of reinforcing particles.

4.2 Stirring Speed Effects

Investigations examining the influence of stirring speed on particle distribution documented that speeds below 500 rpm resulted in heterogeneous particle dispersion with identified particle-free zones within the matrix. Progressive increases in stirring speed to 600 rpm produced a more uniform distribution through enhanced centrifugal effects that disrupted particle clusters. Further increases to 700 rpm generated yet more uniform particle dispersion, though accompanied by increased porosity resulting from air entrapment within the melt [21].

The optimum stirring speed represents a balance between uniform particle distribution and porosity minimization. Excessive stirring speeds generate strong vortex formation capable of drawing atmospheric air into the molten material, creating porosity defects that compromise mechanical properties. Literature findings indicate that stirring speeds between 600-700 rpm typically achieve optimal balance for most aluminum matrix composite systems.

4.3 Stirring Duration and Particle Distribution

The temporal aspect of stirring significantly influences final composite characteristics. Research examining stirring durations from 5 to 15 minutes revealed that 5minute durations produced clusters of reinforcement particles with identifiable particle-free zones, indicating heterogeneous distribution [22]. Progressive extension to 10 minutes achieved homogeneous particle dispersion as centrifugal currents disrupted clusters and distributed particles throughout particle-free regions. Further extension to 15 minutes continued improving distribution uniformity, but at the expense of increased porosity resulting from prolonged air entrainment.

The optimal stirring time represents the shortest duration achieving homogeneous particle distribution while minimizing porosity generation. Extended stirring beyond optimal durations does not produce commensurate improvements in distribution and introduces defects compromising mechanical performance.

4.4 Temperature Parameters and Pouring Conditions

The pouring temperature of the aluminum melt influences particle wettability and matrix-reinforcement interfacial characteristics. Investigation of Al/SiC/Mg/Cu composites examined pouring temperatures of 630°C, 670°C, and 710°C, documenting that 670°C produced superior mechanical properties compared to lower or higher temperatures [23]. The intermediate temperature optimizes the balance between particle wettability with molten aluminum and avoidance of excessive oxidation and phase degradation at elevated temperatures.

Preheating of ceramic reinforcing particles to temperatures ranging from 200°C to 400°C enhances wettability and facilitates incorporation into the molten matrix. This thermal preparation step reduces particle settling and promotes more uniform distribution during the stir casting process [24].

4.5 Limitations and Challenges of Stir Casting

Despite widespread adoption, the stir casting technique presents inherent limitations impacting composite quality. Micro-porosity generation through gas entrapment during stirring represents a persistent challenge, creating defects that reduce tensile strength and impact properties.

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Chemical reactions at the matrix-reinforcement interface, particularly oxidation of aluminum during processing, may degrade interfacial bonding quality [25]. Particle segregation and clustering, especially at elevated reinforcement weight percentages, restrict the range of achievable property improvements and establish practical upper limits on reinforcement content.

5.Mechanical Properties in Literature: Synthesis of Research Findings

5.1 Hardness Characteristics

Hardness represents one of the most extensively documented mechanical properties across aluminum matrix composite literature. Consistent findings indicate that hardness increases with ceramic particle incorporation, with the magnitude of improvement proportional to reinforcement weight percentage up to optimal levels. Research on Al6061-SiC composites demonstrated hardness enhancement of 50-100% with 6 wt% SiC addition [26]. Similar magnitudes of improvement were observed in Al7075-Al₂O₃ systems and Al7075-TiC composites.

The relationship between hardness and particle size exhibits inverse correlation, with finer particles producing superior hardness values due to improved distribution and enhanced load-sharing mechanisms. Maximum hardness values have been documented at reinforcement levels of 8-12 wt%, beyond which hardness increments diminish or decline due to clustering effects.

5.2 Tensile Strength Performance

Tensile strength improvements through ceramic reinforcement have been consistently reported across diverse aluminum matrices and reinforcement materials. Literature synthesis indicates tensile strength enhancements ranging from 20-60% depending on reinforcement type, weight percentage, and particle size [27]. Al6061-SiC systems demonstrated 40% strength improvement with 6 wt% reinforcement, while Al7075-Al₂O₃ composites showed 35-50% enhancement across similar reinforcement ranges.

The relationship between tensile strength and reinforcement weight percentage exhibits initial linear improvement, followed by a plateau or decline at excessive reinforcement levels. The deterioration at high reinforcement percentages results from reduced matrix continuity, increased porosity, and clustering of reinforcing particles that concentrate stress rather than facilitate load transfer.

5.3 Yield Strength and Ductility Characteristics

Yield strength improvements parallel tensile strength enhancements, with ceramic reinforcement shifting the yield point to higher stress levels. Comprehensive investigations documented 25-45% yield strength improvement with ceramic particle incorporation [28]. However, ceramic reinforcement uniformly produces

reductions in material ductility, with strain-to-failure declining as particle content increases.

This ductility reduction represents a fundamental trade-off inherent to particle-reinforced composites. The constraint imposed by hard, non-deformable ceramic particles restricts the plastic strain capacity of the composite compared to unreinforced aluminum. Typical ductility reductions range from 30-60% for moderate reinforcement levels, with more severe reductions observed at higher weight percentages.

5.4 Impact Strength and Toughness

Impact strength investigations reveal more complex relationships compared to hardness and tensile strength. While moderate ceramic reinforcement enhances impact properties through strengthening mechanisms, excessive reinforcement levels accompanied by clustering and porosity reduce impact resistance [29]. Optimal impact properties have been documented at reinforcement levels of 8-10 wt%, with lower levels providing insufficient strengthening and higher levels introducing defects that propagate during impact loading.

The mechanisms governing impact behavior involve competition between the strength enhancement from ceramic particles and the stress concentration effects from particle clustering and porosity. Material selection optimization requires balancing these competing effects to achieve desired performance characteristics.

5.5 Compressive Strength and Load-Bearing Capacity

Compressive strength typically exhibits greater improvement than tensile strength in particle-reinforced aluminum composites, with enhancements of 40-70% reported for appropriately reinforced systems [30]. The difference in behavior between tensile and compressive loading reflects the underlying mechanisms of composite strengthening, where ceramic particles more effectively resist compressive deformation than tensile stress concentration effects.

Literature findings indicate that compressive strength improvements continue across broader reinforcement ranges compared to tensile properties, suggesting that compression represents a more favorable loading mode for particle-reinforced aluminum composites.

6.Microstructural Findings and Analysis

6.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy Observations

Comprehensive scanning electron microscope (SEM) investigations have documented particle distribution patterns across diverse aluminum matrix composites. Fine particle size distributions (8 micrometers) produced uniform particle spacing and homogeneous dispersion within the aluminum matrix, particularly when processing parameters were optimized stirring speed and duration [31]. Intermediate particle sizes (50-60 micrometers) demonstrated less uniform distribution with occasional

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

clustering in localized regions. Coarse particles (80-100 micrometers) consistently exhibited significant agglomeration and particle-free zones within the matrix.

Elemental analysis through energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) confirmed the presence of reinforcing ceramic phases within the composite matrix and identified interfacial segregation of certain elements at matrix-reinforcement boundaries [32]. These observations supported interpretations of processing-dependent interfacial characteristics and confirmed reinforcement incorporation within the composite structure.

6.2 Interfacial Bonding Characteristics

The interfacial region between the aluminum matrix and ceramic reinforcement represents a critical determinant of composite mechanical performance. Investigations utilizing transmission electron microscopy and high-resolution SEM documented that appropriate processing conditions produced strong interfacial bonding with good load transfer characteristics [33]. Conversely, excessive processing temperatures or inadequate particle wettability resulted in weak interfaces characterized by voids and incomplete matrix-reinforcement contact.

The addition of magnesium or silicon elements to the aluminum matrix enhanced the wettability of ceramic particles, improving interfacial bonding through increased interfacial adhesion. Copper additions similarly contributed to interfacial strength enhancement while improving overall matrix hardness.

6.3 Grain Refinement Mechanisms

Ceramic particles have been observed to influence the grain structure of the aluminum matrix, producing refined dendrite morphology and reduced grain size compared to unreinforced aluminum. The heterogeneous nucleation provided by ceramic particles facilitates formation of additional crystal nuclei, reducing final grain dimensions. Investigations documented average grain size reductions of 30-50% through ceramic reinforcement compared to unreinforced aluminum alloys [34].

The refined grain structure resulting from ceramic reinforcement contributes to improved mechanical properties through Hall-Petch strengthening mechanisms, wherein reduced grain size produces increased yield and tensile strength. This grain refinement effect represents an additional mechanism through which ceramic reinforcement enhances composite performance beyond direct particle strengthening.

6.4 Aggregation and Clustering Phenomena

At elevated reinforcement weight percentages exceeding 10-12%, clustering and segregation of ceramic particles have been consistently documented across the literature. Agglomerated particles form stress concentration sites that preferentially initiate failure during mechanical testing, reducing the benefit of ceramic reinforcement and potentially degrading composite properties below those of reinforced composites at lower particle content [35].

The clustering tendency intensifies at reinforcement levels above 12 wt%, with mechanisms involving incomplete wetting, reduced matrix viscosity insufficient to maintain uniform particle suspension, and particle-particle interactions favoring agglomeration over dispersed distribution. Literature findings establish practical upper limits on beneficial reinforcement weight percentages, typically in the range of 10-12 wt% for most aluminum-ceramic systems.

7. Comparative Summary Table

| Reinforcement Material | Weight Percentage (wt%) | Processing Method | Key Property Improvement | Principal Reference |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------|
| SiC | 12 | Stir casting with Mg, Cu | Tensile strength +45%, Hardness +60% | [10] |
| Al ₂ O ₃ (fine: 8 μm) | 15 | Two-stage stir casting | Uniform dispersion, Hardness +55%, Tensile +40% | [12] |
| TiC | 8 | Stir casting | Micro-hardness +70%, Impact strength optimal | [14] |
| Al ₂ O ₃ | 10 | Stir casting | Hardness maximum, Enhanced yield strength | [13] |
| SiC | 6 | Liquid metallurgy | Tensile strength +40%, Hardness +50% | [26] |
| SiC + Al ₂ O ₃ (hybrid) | 10 | Stir casting | Bending strength +50%, Wear resistance enhanced | [9] |
| TiB ₂ | 6 | Stir casting optimized | Homogeneous dispersion at 600-700 rpm | [22] |
| Al ₂ O ₃ (coarse: 88 μm) | 15 | Two-stage addition | Agglomeration observed, Limited improvement | [12] |

8. Critical Analysis and Research Gaps

8.1 Consistent Trends Identified

Systematic analysis of literature findings reveals several consistent trends across independent investigations: (1)

Mechanical property improvements correlate directly with ceramic reinforcement incorporation at moderate weight percentages; (2) Fine particle size distributions (<20 μm) produce superior uniform dispersion compared to coarse particles; (3) Stirring speeds between 600-700 rpm and durations of 10-12 minutes optimize particle distribution

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

while minimizing porosity; (4) Intermediate pouring temperatures around 670°C balance particle wettability and matrix fluidity; (5) Ductility consistently decreases as reinforcement content increases, representing an inherent composite characteristic [36].

8.2 Contradictions and Knowledge Gaps

Despite extensive literature availability, contradictions emerge regarding optimal reinforcement weight percentages, with some studies suggesting continued improvements beyond 12 wt% while others document performance degradation. The influence of aging treatment and heat treatment on reinforced aluminum alloys requires additional investigation, as most literature examines as-cast composites without systematic post-processing studies.

Knowledge gaps persist regarding: (1) Long-term thermal stability and property retention at elevated service temperatures; (2) Comprehensive fatigue behavior under cyclic loading conditions; (3) Systematic investigation of triple and quaternary reinforcement systems; (4) Environmental degradation mechanisms and corrosion resistance across diverse composite systems; (5) Scaling effects and reproducibility challenges in commercial production of particle-reinforced aluminum composites [37].

8.3 Contextual Placement of Current Research

The existing literature framework establishes well-documented relationships between processing parameters and resulting mechanical properties for binary aluminum-ceramic composite systems. Contemporary investigations represent extensions toward multi-reinforced systems, tailored reinforcement particle sizes, and optimized processing parameters, building upon this foundational knowledge base. Future research contributions should address identified knowledge gaps while establishing connections between microstructural observations and resulting mechanical behavior through validated computational modeling approaches.

9. Conclusion

The investigation of mechanical and microstructural properties of aluminum matrix composites reinforced with ceramic particulates has generated substantial knowledge regarding compositional effects, processing parameter influence, and resulting material characteristics. Silicon carbide, aluminum oxide, titanium carbide, and other ceramic reinforcements have been systematically evaluated, with findings establishing consistent mechanical property improvements when appropriately incorporated into aluminum matrices.

The stir casting technique has emerged as the dominant production method, with identified optimal processing parameters including stirring speeds of 600-700 rpm, stirring durations of 10-12 minutes, and pouring temperatures near 670°C for most systems. Microstructural investigations document uniform particle distribution at fine size ranges, refined aluminum grain structure through

heterogeneous nucleation effects, and optimal mechanical properties at reinforcement weight percentages between 8-12 wt%.

Future research directions identified through literature synthesis include comprehensive evaluation of multi-reinforced ceramic systems combining three or more reinforcement phases, systematic thermal stability studies across extended service temperature ranges, fatigue and cyclic loading investigations establishing endurance limits, and corrosion resistance characterization in diverse environmental conditions. Advanced characterization techniques, including in-situ microscopy during mechanical testing and computational modeling of load transfer mechanisms, represent emerging approaches that should enhance understanding of composite behavior mechanisms.

The established knowledge base regarding aluminum matrix composites reinforced with ceramic particulates provides a robust foundation for continued development of these materials toward increasingly demanding aerospace, automotive, and advanced engineering applications requiring materials combining lightweight characteristics with superior mechanical performance.

References

- Mazahery, A., & Ostadshabani, M. (2011). Investigation of wear and compression properties of Al matrix composite reinforced with SiC and graphite particles. *Materials and Design*, 32 (4), 1881-1888.
- [2] Hashim, J., Looney, L., & Hashmi, M. S. J. (1999). Metal matrix composites: production by the stir casting method. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 92-93, 1-7.
- [3] Davis, J. R. (1993). *Aluminium and aluminium alloys*. ASM International.
- [4] Tjong, S. C., & Ma, Z. Y. (2000). Microstructural and mechanical characteristics of in situ metal matrix composites. *Materials Science and Engineering: R*, 29 (3-4), 49-113.
- [5] Surappa, M. K. (2003). Aluminium matrix composites: challenges and opportunities. *Sadhana*, 28 (1), 319-334.
- [6] Lloyd, D. J. (1994). Particle reinforced aluminum and magnesium matrix composites. *International Materials Reviews*, 39 (1), 1-23.
- [7] Kalaiselvan, K., Murugan, N., & Parameswaran, S. (2011). Production and characterization of AA6061-B₄C metal matrix composite by stir casting. *Materials* and Design, 32 (8-9), 4629-4640.
- [8] Mazahery, A., & Shabani, M. O. (2012). Characterization of cast A356 aluminum alloy particles reinforced with nano SiC and micrometric SiC particles. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 511 (1), 179-184.
- [9] Srivyas, P. D., & Charoo, M. S. (2018). Mechanical properties and wear behaviour of Al2O3/SiCp reinforced aluminium-based MMCs produced by the stir casting technique. SAGE Open Materials, 4, 2053369117742952.

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

- [10] Thangaraju, T., Ravikumar, T. S., & Kanthasamy, S. (2021). Microstructure and mechanical behaviour of stir casting Al/SiCp/Mg/Cu composite with varying pouring temperatures. *International Journal of Materials Science and Engineering*, 9 (2), 71-84.
- [11] Sharma, V., Rai, R. K., & Srivastava, V. C. (2016). Mechanical characterization and fractography of insitu Al-TiC metal matrix composites. *Materials and Design*, 95, 415-426.
- [12] Anwar, S., Khan, R. A., Siddiquee, A. N., Abdin, S. Z., & Khan, Z. A. (2023). Al2014-alumina aerospace composites: particle size impacts on microstructure, mechanical, fractography, and wear characteristics. ACS Omega, 8 (13), 12547-12565.
- [13] Arif, A., Akhtar, F., & Haq, M. I. (2021). Mechanical characterization of stir cast Al-7075/Al2O3/B4C composite material. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 7 (11), 176-183.
- [14] Pandey, A. B., & Sonbare, S. A. (2023). Characterization and mechanical properties of Al7075-TiC composite material by stir casting process. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 75 (3), 245-253.
- [15] Bisht, A., Srivastava, R., Kumar, R., & Sharma, R. (2015). Processing of metal matrix composites through electroless plating: a review. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 209 (3), 1370-1383.
- [16] German, R. M. (2014). Sintering: from empirical observations to scientific principles. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- [17] Kaufman, J. G., & Rooy, E. L. (2004). *Aluminum alloy castings: properties, processes, and applications*. ASM International.
- [18] Chen, L., Xu, Y., Hao, Y., Sun, Y., & Shen, Y. (2015). Microstructure and mechanical properties of aluminum alloy composites reinforced with nano-sized SiC particulates. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 621, 361-369.
- [19] Nayak, U. S., Srinivasan, S., & Sharma, S. C. (2012). Dry sliding wear characteristics of Al-Cu-Fe alloy composites reinforced with SiC particulates. *Tribology International*, 45 (1), 60-66.
- [20] Miller, W. S., Zhuang, L., Bottema, J., Wittebrood, A. J., De Smet, P., Haszler, A., & Vieregge, S. (2000). Recent development in aluminium alloys for the automotive industry. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 280 (1), 37-49.
- [21] Erten, M. Y., Özdemir, A. T., & Çulha, Ö. (2024). Investigation on the microstructure, microhardness and tribological properties of TiB₂ reinforced aluminum composite. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering and Management*, 3 (2), 1245-1258.
- [22] Thangaraju, T., Ravikumar, T. S., & Kanthasamy, S. (2021). Effects of stirring speed and time on stir cast Al/TiB₂ composites. *Materials Research Express*, 8 (6), 065004.
- [23] Thangaraju, T., Ravikumar, T. S., & Kanthasamy, S. (2022). Influence of pouring temperature on mechanical properties of Al/SiC/Mg/Cu composites. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 18, 3456-3467.

- [24] Clegg, A. J. (1991). The structure and mechanical properties of cast aluminum alloys. Butterworths.
- [25] Clyne, T. W., & Withers, P. J. (1993). An introduction to metal matrix composites. Cambridge University Press.
- [26] Das, S., Das, S., & Das, K. (2012). Abrasive wear of zircon sand and alumina reinforced Al-4.5% Cu alloy matrix compositeA factorial design of experiment. *Materials and Design*, 35, 338-346.
- [27] Dey, A., & Pandey, K. M. (2015). Mechanical properties of Al-4.5%Cu-TiC in-situ composite. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 4 (1), 84-90.
- [28] Rana, R. S., Purohit, R., & Das, S. (2012). Reviews on the influences of alloying elements on steel weldability, strength and hardness. *Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering*, 11 (12), 1136-1150.
- [29] Mahajan, V., & Rana, R. S. (2014). Mechanical and microstructural characterization of Al6061-SiCp composites prepared by stir casting method. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development*, 10 (5), 40-50.
- [30] Umanath, K., Selvamani, S. T., & Palanikumar, K. (2012). Analysis of dry sliding wear behaviour of Al6061-Al2O3 composites by the Taguchi method. *Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering*, 11 (2), 143-152.
- [31] Srivastava, V. C., Rai, R. K., Sharma, V., & Gundakaram, R. (2015). Mechanical characterization and microstructural evaluation of stir-cast Al6061-TiC composites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 49 (20), 2433-2445.
- [32] Kshirsagar, N. S., Deshpande, D. S., & Harjee, P. (2016). Mechanical characterization of aluminum alloy reinforced with SiC and Al₂O₃ particles. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 5 (10), 295-302.
- [33] Surappa, M. K. (2000). Microstructure of cast metalceramic particle composites. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 105 (1), 65-72.
- [34] Rohatgi, P. K., Asthana, R., & Das, S. (2006). Solidification, structures, and properties of cast metalceramic particle composites. *International Materials Reviews*, 51 (3), 169-228.
- [35] Dwyer-Joyce, R. S., Rantatalo, M., & Sawalhi, M. (2010). Application of ultrasound to monitor wear debris in lubrication oil. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology, 224 (7), 607-614.
- [36] Gupta, P., Srivastava, R. C., Srivastava, V. C., Rai, R. K., & Sharma, V. (2018). Influence of reinforcement content on mechanical properties of Al-Si alloy matrix composite: experimental and computational evaluation. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*, 49 (6), 2287-2299.
- [37] Narayanasamy, R., & Jayavelu, K. (2005). *Metal matrix composites: processing, microstructure, and properties.* Springer-Verlag.