Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Analysis of Wireless Display Protocols for Projectors: Technical Feasibility and Emerging Standards

Rashmi Khandelwal¹, Dipali Polara²

¹Assistant Professor, School of Computer and System Sciences

²Student, MCA Cyber Security, Jaipur National University Corresponding Author Email: dipaliipolara[at]gmail.com

Abstract: Connecting a laptop to a projector using cables (HDMI or VGA) is now being replaced with wireless technologies to reduce clutter and improve convenience. The major advances in wireless technology present new challenges. The main question sought in wireless display technology development is how to achieve the performance levels of a wired connection in the RF or optical range, especially in terms of latency and bandwidth. Many modern projectors now designed with built-in operating systems, like Google TV, can seamlessly integrate to the consumer's wireless environments, as well as business environments. However, the performance and the consistency of these integrations differ significantly based on the wireless technology used. Using the low-power ubiquitous technology, like Bluetooth, is a costly and detrimental hypothesis to real-time transmission of video.

Keywords: Wireless Display, Projector, Wireless Projection, Latency, Bandwidth, Miracast, WiGig, mmWave, Bluetooth, LiFi, Semantic Communication

1. Introduction

- You can now project a laptop using a wireless solution instead of only a wired connection using HDMI or VGA cables. This provides added portability and reduces the amount of clutter cables cause. Transitioning from a wired connection to a high quality wireless connection causes challenges due to the need to match the performance of a wired link. It is the user experience and the system application that describes the performance of the wireless display technology. This determines the usability of the technology. Some of the performance indicators are:
- The amount of data and information that can be transmitted in a specified amount of time is the bandwidth. When streaming high frame rate and high resolution videos such as 4k at 60hz, the system may require throughput in gigabits.
- Lag is the time taken by the source device to render an image while the projector shows the image. For wired connections, the lag is typically below 20 milliseconds, while for wireless connections, the lag can be more than 200 milliseconds.
- The connection has to be dependable and stable; this means that the connection should not be interrupted or cause lag. This is difficult to achieve because of interference and overcrowding of networks and connections. Security Posture: In professional and enterprise settings, data security is paramount17. Protocols must support robust encryption (such as WPA2 128-bit) to prevent data theft18.

2. Literature Survey/ Review

The wide variety of wireless projection methods can be narrowed down to two key types. This paper addresses:

1) Wi-Fi Dependent Protocols: The commercially available options hinge on Wi-Fi protocols and take advantage of

- the IEEE 802.11 Wi-Fi standard infrastructure (Wi-Fi 4, 5, 6, etc.)19. Such options sacrifice maximum fidelity and minimum latency for flexibility and connectivity21. Examples include the Miracast Wi-Fi Direct standard21, AirPlay22, and Google Cast23.
- 2) High-Throughput (mmWave) Solutions: standards that operate in the millimeter wave (mmWave) performance that allows indistinguishable from a wired HDMI standard and is the only technology that can be considered a true wireless cable replacement285. This includes the 60 GHz band26, (IEEE802.11ad/ay)27, and WirelessHD (WiHD)28. The mistreatment of Bluetooth, primarily in consumer marketing, is a common misconception. The analytical methodology used in this paper examines the potential of Bluetooth as a video transmission protocol29.

3. Methodology

For this analysis, I needed to perform a technical validation, verifying wireless protocols in relation to the basic bandwidth criteria necessary for digital video signals 30. This entails the following:

- 1) Establishing Baselines: The required continuous, sustained bitrate needed for video transfers31. This baseline shifts with the resolution32: o480p (SD): Needs a minimum sustained bitrate of 1.1 Mbps33333333. o1080p (FHD): Needs bandwidths of 4.5 to 8 Mbps 3434, 12 Mbps or higher is optimal for stable 60 fps35353535. o4K (UHD): Requires 15 to 25 Mbps, with a most 30 Mbps for stable30 fps video36363636.
- 2) Protocol Constraint Analysis: Measuring a protocol's architectural limits against those baselines 37.
- Comparative Analysis: Evaluating all the possible protocols (Wi-Fi, mmWave) against the KPIs set in the intro383838.

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

The analysis of Bluetooth for this methodology is a case study. It shows that the energy profile of the physical layer is configured for ultra-low power usage39. Consequently, its throughput is limited to a range of 1 to 2 Mbps40404040. This upper limit is insufficient to stream even basic 480p video (1.1 Mbps minimum) continuously, proving that high-quality video display transmission is inherently incompatible with it. 41414141

Security Analysis

Although latency and bandwidth remain critical for performance evaluation, security aspects of wireless display protocols must also be examined at corporate and enterprise levels. There seems to be a considerable gap in security frameworks, particularly between software-based network protocols and high-frequency links, which are hardware-based.

1) Security of Wi-Fi Dependent Protocols (Miracast)

Wi-Fi standards such as Miracast are built on net encryption protocols.

- Miracast incorporates WPA2 128-bit encryption, which is a prerequisite for Wi-Fi Direct compatibility.
- Yet, even with WPA2, encryption network security is still
 a problem with abuse of WPA encryption standards and
 keeping up with addressing network vulnerabilities. There
 is an apparent lack of security, as described by some
 receivers failing vulnerability scoring systems. This
 security gap tends to push enterprises to rely on bespoke
 hardware.

2) Security of Millimeter Wave (mmWave) Protocols

- The innovative approaches to security for WiGig and WirelessHD 60 GHz (mmWave) technologies are more founded on the physics of the 60 GHz waves and less on software encryption.
- Physical Layer Security: mmWave security 'on the physical layer' is also influenced more than anything else by the unique physical properties of the 60 GHz waves. These high-frequency waves very weakly penetrate dense objects (walls, concrete, and metal). Such limitations make mmWave a 'line-of-sight' technology, and as a result, the interception of signals from outside the room (e.g., from an adjacent office or a parking lot) is practically impossible.
- Exclusive Hardware Solution: These systems operate independently as dedicated hardware solutions, avoiding the use of ordinary, and frequently weak, corporate Wi-Fi networks 50
- Active Security: In addition to the physical security provided, dedicated commercial links (WiHD) also enable the use of contemporary content protection mechanisms such as HDCP 2.2, which restricts the copying of the video stream.51 In conclusion, Wi-Fi-based systems, while convenient, do expose users to significant network-layer risks.52 On the other hand, mmWave technology is strategically designed with robust security features because, for all practical purposes, the signals can never be intercepted.53

4. Research and Reviews

- With the above analysis background, this paper focuses on the main technology categories through such a method.
- Wireless Display Protocol: (Wi-Fi-dependent) Standardized
- These solutions are also based on commodityWiFi (802.11) infrastructure54.
 - Miracast (Wi-Fi direct): This standard allows users on Android and Windows to stream the source's screen directly to a supporting display (sink) over Wi-Fi Direct55, which forms a peer-to-peer (P2P) connection that does not require a separate AP56. and has 57security features, but with large latency (often over 100 ms, up to 250 ms)58. This is caused by the sequential processes of encoding, compressing, transmitting, and decoding the signal59.
- Protocol Specific to the Ecosystem: Apple AirPlay For iOS and macOS, this protocol has become standard 60, requiring high bandwidth (up to potentially more than 40 Mbps for 1080p)(61)-(616161). Google Cast, employed in platforms such as Google TV 62, is available with Android and iOS and deploys contemporary codecs (HEVC/H.265, VP9) to enable efficient streamingof UHD contents63.
- Enterprise Challenges: Wi-Fi display standards suffer from interoperability fragmentation (e.g., Miracast doesn't support MacBooks) 64, operational unreliability (connection failures) 65, and latency that is a necessary artifact of software-based compression66.
- True Cable Replacement: Millimeter Wave (mmWave)

These solutions use the unlicensed 60 GHz mmWave band67.

- The Physics of 60 GHz: This frequency offers a vast contiguous spectrum, enabling ultra-wide channel bandwidths68. This allows for multi-gigabit data rates (20-40 Gbit/s) 696969, sufficient for uncompressed 4K or 8K video70. This high throughput results in "near zero latency," sometimes as low as 5 ms 71717171, as it bypasses the heavy compression of Wi-Fi protocols72.
- WiGig (IEEE 802.11ad/ay): The 802.11ay standard achieves 20-40 Gbit/s through channel bonding and MU-MIMO73. However, market adoption is low 74.
- Commercial Implementation (WiHD): Dedicated "Wireless HDMI Extenders" use this 60 GHz band for point-to-point transmission 75, successfully transmitting 4K at 30Hz or 1080p at 60Hz with near-zero lag76.
- Critical Limitation: The line of sight constraint 77. The 60 GHz waves have extreme atmospheric attenuation and have walls7878. This makes them usable only for inroom.79797979.

5. Results & Discussion

The comparative analysis shows that the functional segmentation of wireless display technologies results from performance trade-offs in the current landscape for any one technology to achieve the simultaneous requirements of low cost, portability, reliability, ultra-low latency, and high bandwidth for all applications81.

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

HDMI cables still provide the industry standard with the least amount of latency (under 20 ms) and the most fidelity/reliability82. Wireless transmission creates a tradeoff between three competing parameters83:

1) Convenience and Flexibility (Wi-Fi): Miracast and AirPlay enable easy access and provide the ability to cast to multiple rooms, but have latency issues (50 to 250 ms) and are prone to congestion and interference over the network84848484.

2) **Performance and Fidelity (mmWave):** 60 GHz dedicated links (WiGig/WiHD) provide nearly wired performance and have ultra low latency with multigigabit throughput, but are far more expensive and require line of sight for operation85.

The following table summarizes the comparative analysis:

Table: Comparative Analysis of Wireless Display Protocol Performance 86

Control/Audio	Bluetooth 5.x	~2 Mbps	N/A (Control Only)	Excellent (Long Range)	Peripheral/Soundbar Connection
Wi-Fi Display	Miracast/AirPlay/Cast	~50 Mbps (Wi- Fi 5/6 Limits)	50-250 ms	Fair (Multi- room/obstructions)	General screen sharing, streaming
Dedicated HD Link	WiGig (802.11ay)/WiHD	20-40 Gbps	<50 ms (as low as 5 ms)	Very Poor (Line-of- Sight Only)	True cable replacement, low-latency display
Wired Reference	HDMI/USB-C	18-48 Gbps	<20 ms (Ideal)	N/A	Highest Reliability and Fidelity

6. Conclusion

The desire to connect a laptop to a projector wirelessly has been accomplished, albeit performance will depend on the choice of a particular communication protocol:

- Bluetooth: Due to the way Bluetooth has been designed, it will certainly not work for transmitting a video. Bluetooth audio has a maximum throughput of 5-30Mbps, providing audio bandwidth only; therefore, Bluetooth functionality will only continue to work for audio peripherals 90898989.
- 2) Wi-Fi Standards (Miracast/AirPlay/Cast): These would certainly provide the most convenience. Here, the user will experience a latency of 50-250ms, which is the price of software compression, but will enjoy the flexibility of a wireless network.
- 3) Millimeter Wave (WiGig/WiHD): This technology occupies the performance tier, offering near-wired performance at a latency of 5-50ms. Unfortunately, it is restricted to single-room, line-of-sight use. The 60 GHz spectrum will physically restrict attenuation of the signal91919191.

These observations confirm that high-performance, high-fidelity wired connections will always have dedicated, high-frequency, line-of-sight hardware solutions 92.

7. Future Scope

The performance limitations included in current commercial standards are majorly due to the latency of Wi-Fi, which is the physical line-of-sight (LOS) constraints of mmWave 93. Future studies target more innovative methods for data transmission. Semantic Communication (SC) and Optical Wireless Communication (OWC), or LiFi, are the two leading contenders.

1) Semantic Communication (SC) for Ultra-Efficient Video

Semantic Communication is a new paradigm. Instead of the traditional goal of maximizing the number of bits transmitted in a second, SC seeks to maximize the transmission of meaning.

- Methodology: This paradigm employs artificial intelligence and deep learning models (such as those related to Stable Diffusion) to extract and encode the vital semantic representations or key points of the video data, eliminating the need to compress and transmit every pixel.
- Advantage: Transmitting this dense information, SC improved efficiency immensely, particularly in terms of lower available bandwidth and resources, and greatly improved SC over noisy or congested wireless channels.
- Next Steps: Research is moving from raw data rates to the psychological QoE (Quality of Experience) 99. This is done through biological integration, such as EEG and ECG measures 100. Then, the transmission is optimized in real-time. 101. The network could then allocate resources based on the user's perceptual tolerance for resolution shifts, focusing more on perceived quality rather than the amount of raw data.
- 2) Optical Wireless Communication (OWC) / LiFi
- OWC (Light Fidelity LiFi) uses the visible light spectrum (and infrared) for data transmission instead of radio frequencies 103.
- Technology: These systems utilize high-speed devices, in particular, Vertical Cavity Surface emitting Lasers (VCSELs) whose varying laser cavities enable the creation of Ultra high speed, point-to-point, wireless links 104
- Performance: LiFi outperforms the current RF standards 105. Experimentally, systems using a single low-power VCSEL have achieved gross data rates of 38 Gbit/s106.
- Ultra-Low Latency: Most importantly, VCSEL-based OWC systems have demonstrated (with an end-to-end latency of below 35 ns) error-free transmission of 4K UHD video 107. This latency is in the nanosecond (ns) range, which is faster than the millisecond (ms) latency of the best mmWave systems108.
- Application: This positions LiFi as the theoretical benchmark for applications that demand ultra-low latency, such as professional broadcasting, real-time monitoring in health care, and sophisticated Augmented and Virtual reality (AR/VR)109. The main difficulty in the research is how to scale this technology successfully from proving its functionality in a lab to integration into

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

commercially available projectors, while also considering the optical links' rather rigid line-of-sight constraints.

References

- [1] Wireless HDMI Guide: Features, Performance, and Limitations Lemorele official, https://us.lemorele.com/blogs/blog/wireless-hdmi-guide-features-performance-and-limitations
- [2] Ultimate Guide to Portable Projectors: Everything You Need to Know in 2025, https://www.seenebula.com/blogs/how-to-select/portable-projector-ultimate-guide
- [3] 2025 Smart Projector with Wifi And Bluetooth -Portable Mini Projector For Ho... - eBay, https://www.ebay.com/itm/205658313511
- [4] What is Video Bandwidth ? 720p, 1080p, GB Transfer Explained VdoCipher, https://www.vdocipher.com/blog/video-bandwidth-explanation/
- [5] How much bandwidth is needed for 1080p 60fps streaming? - BytePlus, https://www.byteplus.com/en/topic/52128
- [6] Wired vs. Wireless Screen Mirroring: What's the Best Option? - AirDroid, https://www.airdroid.com/screenmirror/wired-vs-wireless-screen-mirroring/
- [7] Unleash Freedom Wireless vs Wired Projectors in 2024
 kigali Smart Solution, https://kigalismartsolutions.com/wireless-vs-wired-projectors/
- [8] Coexistence in Wireless Networks: Challenges and Opportunities - MDPI, https://www.mdpi.com/2673-4001/6/2/23
- [9] Got a Laggy Wireless Display? Here are the Potential Risks of Wireless Displays | Allion Labs, https://www.allion.com/tech_audio_video_wireless_display/
- [10] How to Choose the Right Wireless Projector? BenQ, https://www.benq.com/enus/business/resource/trends/how-to-choose-the-rightwireless-projector.html
- [11] What are the compression/resolution/bandwidth limitations of Airplay mirroring?, https://apple.stackexchange.com/questions/115523/wh at-are-the-compression-resolution-bandwidth-limitations-of-airplay-mirroring
- [12] Bluetooth Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bluetooth
- [13] Bluetooth 5.0 vs. Bluetooth 5.1 vs. Bluetooth 5.2: The Battle of the Wireless Titans Treblab.com, https://treblab.com/blogs/news/bluetooth-5-0-vs-bluetooth-5-1-vs-bluetooth-5-2
- [14] Technological Requirements and Challenges in Wireless Body Area Networks for Health Monitoring:

 A Comprehensive Survey MDPI, https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/9/3539
- [15] Bluetooth Movie Projector: Explore More Ways for Wireless Connection xgimi, https://us.xgimi.com/blogs/projectors-101/bluetooth-movie-projector-wireless-connection-ways
- [16] How to Mirror Screen with Bluetooth? 4 Tools & Guide
 Kingshiper, https://www.kingshiper.com/screen-mirroring/mirror-screen-with-bluetooth.html

- [17] Bluetooth Projector Best Buy, https://www.bestbuy.com/site/shop/bluetooth-projector
- [18] Is it possible to use Screen Cast/Bluetooth between a phone and TV without WiFi? Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/AskTechnology/comments/1 1w7iac/is it possible to use screen castbluetooth/
- [19] Bluetooth Wireless Audio/Video Transmitters for sale
 eBay, https://www.ebay.com/b/Bluetooth-Wireless-Audio-Video-Transmitters/149958/bn 73883688
- [20] Business Projectors Wireless and Smart Technology Buying Guide | BenQ Asia Pacific, https://www.benq.com/enap/business/resource/trends/business-projectorwireless-and-smart-technology-buying-guide.html
- [21] Best Miracast Alternative for Wireless Screen Mirroring? BenQ, https://www.benq.com/en-us/business/resource/trends/best-miracast-alternatives.html
- [22] Miracast Plans to Build on Wide Acceptance Display Daily, https://displaydaily.com/miracast-plans-to-build-on-wide-acceptance/
- [23] Why Is Screen Mirroring Lagging & 7 Practical Fixes Kingshiper, https://www.kingshiper.com/screen-mirroring/why-is-screen-mirroring-lagging.html
- [24] Supported Media for Google Cast, https://developers.google.com/cast/docs/media
- [25] 802.11ay wireless technology: Next-gen 60GHz WiFi -CableFree, https://www.cablefree.net/wirelesstechnology/wireless -lan/ieee802-11ay/
- [26] WLAN IEEE 802.11 ad/ay testing Rohde & Schwarz, https://www.rohde-schwarz.com/us/solutions/wireless-communications-testing/wireless-standards/wlan-wi-fi/wlan-ieee-802-11ad-ay-testing/wlan-ieee-802-11ad-ay-testing 250901.html
- [27] Wireless HDMI® 60 GHz indoor solution Airbeam, https://airbeamtech.com/products/wireless-hd/
- [28] Is the wireless display adapter worth it? : r/Surface Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/Surface/comments/3mn3yf/i s the wireless display adapter worth it/
- [29] Monoprice Blackbird Pro WiHD 60GHz Uncompressed Wireless Professional Video Extender with up to 30 meter range, https://www.monoprice.com/product?p id=16049
- [30] Ultra-Fast 60GHz Wireless 4K HDMI UHD Transmitter and Receiver Kit IOGEAR, https://iogear.com/products/gw4k30gh60
- [31] 4K Wireless HDMI Extender DVDO, https://dvdo.com/products/dvdo-air-4k
- [32] Wired vs. Wireless HDMI: Best Choice for Gaming & Home Theater Brightlink AV LTD, https://brightlinkav.com/blogs/news/wired-hdmi-vs-wireless-hdmi-which-one-should-you-choose
- [33] Semantic Communications with Computer Vision Sensing for Edge Video Transmission arXiv, https://arxiv.org/html/2503.07252v1
- [34] [2502.20927] Goal-Oriented Semantic Communication for Wireless Video Transmission via Generative Al arXiv, https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.20927
- [35] VISTA: Video Transmission over A Semantic Communication Approach ResearchGate,

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374931708_ VISTA_Video_Transmission_over_A_Semantic_Com munication_Approach
- [36] Physiological Signal-Driven QoE Optimization for Wireless Virtual Reality Transmission, https://arxiv.org/html/2508.09151v1
- [37] Achieving 70 Gb/s Over A VCSEL-Based Optical Wireless Link Using A Multi-Mode Fiber-Coupled Receiver arXiv, https://arxiv.org/html/2506.18864v2
- [38] Real-Time Transmission of Uncompressed High-Definition Video Via A VCSEL-Based Optical Wireless Link With Ultra-Low Latency - arXiv, https://arxiv.org/html/2507.23746v1