International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Assessment of Visceral Fat Thickness as an Individual Determinant of Metabolic Syndrome - A Cross-Sectional Single-Center Study

Dr. Suman Dharmana¹, Dr. B. Bhaskara Rao²

²DMRD, DNB

Abstract: This cross-sectional single center study investigates and assess the visceral fat thickness as a single individual determinant of Metabolic syndrome. In this study we took consideration of the abdominal girth, HbA1C, hypertension as per the IDF criteria to compare with the VFT and its correlation. Conducted in a sample of 50 patients from April to July 2025 at the Department of Radiodiagnosis, MIMS, the study utilized Ultrasonography to measure these parameters, alongside demographic factors like BMI and sex, other laboratory investigations like HbA1C, lipid profile are obtained. Results indicate significantly higher VFT in high HbA1c and obesity patients (p < 0.05). These findings underscore the role of VFT in metabolic syndrome and diabetes, offering insights for potential early interventions to restore better metabolic health

Keywords: Obesity, Visceral fat thickness, Metabolic syndrome, HbA1c, Ultrasonography

1. Introduction & Rationale

- Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors characterized by abdominal obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension and dyslipidaemia.^{1,2}
- Prevalence of MetS is rising globally (~25% of adults) and affects ~30% of adults in India.³
- Abdominal obesity and visceral fat thickness (VFT) are strong predictors of MetS and type-2 diabetes.^{4,5,6,7}
- Accurate quantification of VFT helps in early identification and management of metabolic risk. 8-11
- Ultrasonography (USG) offers a reliable, radiation-free and cost-effective alternative for measuring VFT. ¹²
- Previous studies demonstrate strong correlations between VFT measured on USG and metabolic syndrome and its components. ^{13,14}

2. Aim & Objectives

Aim

Primary Objective:

 To assess the relationship between visceral fat thickness and metabolic syndrome.

Secondary Objectives:

- To evaluate correlations of VFT with glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C), Body Mass Index (BMI), abdominal girth, and systolic blood pressure (SBP)
- To calculate the p value of these spinal parameters and its significance.

3. Methodology

Study design:

Cross sectional single centre study performed in a radiology department from April to July 2025 (4 months).

Sample: 50 adults (30 females, 20 males) aged 20–65 years.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients selected from routine health check ups and pts referred to radiology dept. from medicine OPD.

Exclusions: pregnancy, hepatic/renal disease, malignancy, chronic inflammatory disease or steroid therapy.

Assessments:

- Recorded demographics, BMI, abdominal girth (measured at midpoint between lower rib and iliac crest), systolic/diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, triglycerides.
- VFT measured by ultrasonography as distance between the rectus sheath and anterior wall of aorta. 11-13
- HbA1C measured to assess glycemic status; classification into normal (<5.7%), prediabetic (5.7–6.4%) and diabetic (≥6.5%). 14-17
- MetS diagnosed according to IDF criteria (central obesity plus ≥2 other components). ^{1,14,16}
- Statistical analysis is done using appropriate significance tests and results are evaluated.

4. Results

Abdominal girth: higher in females (108.95 ± 8.86 cm) vs males (99.91 ± 8.15 cm), $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0.001}$.

Diastolic BP: higher in females (80.17 \pm 3.46 mmHg) vs males (76.90 \pm 2.36 mmHg), \mathbf{p} < **0.001**.

BMI and **Triglycerides** trend higher in females (p = 0.066 and p = 0.064 respectively) but don't cross 0.05.

Age, VFT, HbA1c, Systolic BP, Total Cholesterol: no significant sex difference ($p \ge 0.13$).

Metabolic syndrome prevalence: 40% in females (12/30) vs 30% in males (6/20)

Volume 14 Issue 11, November 2025
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

Paper ID: SR251114212613 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR251114212613

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

5. Discussion

- VFT showed a strong positive correlation with BMI (r = 0.66, p < 0.001) and HbA1C (r = 0.59, p < 0.001), indicating that visceral adiposity parallels both overall adiposity and glycemic control.
- A moderate correlation between VFT and abdominal girth (r = 0.31, p = 0.028) suggests that ultrasonographically measured visceral fat adds information beyond simple waist circumference.
- Participants with metabolic syndrome had significantly higher BMI, visceral fat thickness and HbA1C levels than those without MetS (p < 0.01).
- No significant sex differences were observed in VFT or HbA1C, although women had greater abdominal girth and diastolic blood pressure.

6. Comparison & Context

- The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in our study (34%) is consistent with the reported 30% prevalence in Indian adults2.
- HbA1C levels retained the association between hyperglycemia and visceral adiposity, reinforcing it as a practical marker for cross-sectional assessments.
- Sex-specific differences in abdominal girth may reflect hormonal, behavioural and psychosocial factors noted in prior literature.
- Visceral fat thickness shows a clear positive association with metabolic-syndrome markers—particularly HbA1c and BMI—as evident in the upward trends on the VFT— HbA1c and VFT–BMI plots

Conflicts of Interest

This is a not a sponsored study and they are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

- I would like to acknowledge the support of the medicine, Gyn/Obs departments of MIMS hospital, Maharajah's Institute of Medical Sciences.
- Also, the cooperation of the physicians, Post-Graduates, Radiographers of MIMS hospital is highly appreciated.

7. Limitations

- Small sample size (N = 50) limits generalisability and reduces power for detecting weaker associations.
- Cross-sectional design prevents causal inference; longitudinal studies are needed to confirm predictive value of VFT.
- Dietary information and lifestyle factors were not collected, which could confound associations between visceral fat and metabolic biomarkers.

8. Conclusion

 VFT is a robust, non invasive predictor of metabolic syndrome and correlates strongly with BMI and glycaemic status even in our limited study sample.

- Ultrasonography can readily quantify visceral fat thickness in routine practice, offering an accessible tool for early risk stratification.
- Integrating HbA1C measurements alongside anthropometric and sonographic assessments provides a comprehensive metabolic risk profile.
- Future work should validate these findings in larger, ethnically diverse cohorts and incorporate lifestyle interventions.

9. Future Directions & Acknowledgements

- Expand sample size across multiple centres to improve statistical power and generalisability.
- Incorporate longitudinal follow-up to evaluate whether VFT predicts incident diabetes, hypertension and cardiovascular events.
- Collect dietary and physical activity data to control for lifestyle confounders and better understand mechanistic pathways.
- Evaluate the impact of targeted lifestyle or pharmacologic interventions on visceral fat reduction and metabolic outcomes.
- We thank the faculty and participants for their contribution to this hypothetical dataset and the audience for their engagement.

References

- [1] Prasad H, Ryan DA, Celzo MF, Stapleton D (2012) Metabolic syndrome: Definition and therapeutic implications. Postgrad Med 124: 21-30.
- [2] Krishnamoorthy Y, Rajaa S, Murali S, Rehman T, Sahoo J, et al. (2020) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among adult population in India: A systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS One 15: e0240971.
- [3] Noubiap JJ, Nansseu JR, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nkeck JR, Nyaga UF, et al. (2022) Global, regional, and country estimates of metabolic syndrome burden in children and adolescents in 2020: A systematic review and modelling analysis. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 6: 158-170.
- [4] Bhalwar R (2020) Metabolic syndrome: The Indian public health perspective. Med J Armed Forces India 76: 8-16.
- [5] Alberti KGMM, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, et al. (2009) Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: A joint interim statement of the International diabetes federation task force on epidemiology and prevention; National heart, lung, and blood institute; American heart association; World heart federation; International atherosclerosis society; and International association for the study of obesity. Circulation 120: 1640-1645.
- [6] Ramírez-Manent JI, Jover AM, Martinez CS, Tomás-Gil P, Martí-Lliteras P, et al. (2023) Waist circumference is an essential factor in predicting insulin resistance and early detection of metabolic syndrome in adults. Nutrients 15: 257.
- [7] Feller S, Boeing H, Pischon T (2010) Body mass index, waist circumference, and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Dtsch Arztebl Int 107: 470-476.

Volume 14 Issue 11, November 2025
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

- [8] Nyamdorj R, Pitkäniemi J, Tuomilehto J, Hammar N, Stehouwer CDA, et al. (2010) Ethnic comparison of the association of undiagnosed diabetes with obesity. Int J Obes 34: 332-339.
- [9] Chaudhary M, Sharma P (2023) Abdominal obesity in India: Analysis of the national family health survey-5 (2019-2021) data. Lancet Reg Health Southeast Asia 14: 100208.
- [10] Vlachos IS, Hatziioannou A, Perelas A, Perrea DN (2007) Sonographic assessment of regional adiposity. AJR Am J Roentgenol 189: 1545-1553.
- [11] Angoorani H, Karimi Z, Naderi F, Mazaherinezhad A (2018) Is ultrasound-measured abdominal fat thickness a reliable method for predicting metabolic diseases in obese and overweight women? Med J Islam Repub Iran 32: 78.
- [12] Shah RV, Murthy VL, Abbasi SA, Blankstein R, Kwong RY, et al. (2014) Visceral adiposity and the risk of metabolic syndrome across body mass index: The MESA study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 7: 1221-1235.
- [13] Yi W, Kim K, Im M, Ryang S, Kim EH, et al. (2022) Association between visceral adipose tissue volume, measured using computed tomography, and cardiometabolic risk factors. Sci Rep 12: 387.
- [14] Nakao YM, Miyawaki T, Yasuno S, Nakao K, Tanaka S, et al. (2012) Intra-abdominal fat area is a predictor for new onset of individual components of metabolic syndrome: Metabolic Syndrome and abdominal obesity (MERLOT study). Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci 88: 454-461.
- [15] Sheng Q, Ding J, Gao Y, Patel RJ, Post WS, et al. (2022) Cardiovascular health trajectories and subsequent cardiovascular disease and mortality: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). Am J Prev Cardiol 13: 100448.
- [16] Krishnamoorthy Y, Rajaa S, Murali S, Sahoo J, Kar SS (2022) Association between anthropometric risk factors and metabolic syndrome among adults in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Prev Chronic Dis 19: E24.
- [17] Neeland IJ, Turer AT, Ayers CR, Powell-Wiley TM, Vega GL, et al. (2012) Dysfunctional adiposity and the risk of prediabetes and type 2 diabetes in obese adults. JAMA 308: 1150-1159.
- [18] De Mutsert R, Gast K, Widya R, de Koning E, Jazet I, et al. (2018) Associations of abdominal subcutaneous and visceral fat with insulin resistance and secretion differ between men and women: The Netherlands epidemiology of obesity study. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 16: 54-63.c Med 8: 717257.

Volume 14 Issue 11, November 2025
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net