Sustainability as a Profit Driver: Analyzing the ROI of ESG Investments in Emerging Markets

Armaan Sundaramurthy

Kings School Al Barsha, Dubai

Abstract: ***This paper investigates whether environmental, social, and governance (ESG) investments act as profit drivers for firms in emerging markets. Using a multi-method empirical approach-combining cross-sectional and panel regressions, difference-in-differences (DiD) analysis around ESG adoption events, and an event-study for green bond issuances - the study quantifies the short- and medium-term ROI of corporate ESG investments. We assemble a dataset of publicly listed firms across major emerging market exchanges from 2010–2024, integrating firm-level financials, ESG ratings, green bond issuance data, and country-level institutional controls. Our hypotheses test whether (1) higher ESG scores are associated with superior risk-adjusted returns and profitability; (2) ESG upgrades and green bond issues yield positive announcement-period abnormal returns; and (3) the magnitude of ROI varies with institutional depth, disclosure quality, and sectoral exposure. The paper contributes causal evidence on ESG's financial payoffs in markets where data and institutional frameworks differ markedly from developed markets, with policy and managerial recommendations for emerging-market firms and investors.***

Keywords: ESG investments, emerging markets, sustainable finance, return on investment (ROI), firm performance, green bonds, corporate governance, event study, difference-in-differences

1. Introduction

Global capital flows and policy attention towards sustainability have elevated ESG investment strategies. While a substantial body of research from developed markets suggests a nonnegative relationship between ESG and corporate financial performance, emerging markets present different data challenges, regulatory regimes, and risk profiles — raising the question: does sustainability pay off in these contexts? Recent institutional work and reporting initiatives emphasize the importance of improving ESG reporting and comparability in emerging markets, suggesting the timing is ripe for rigorous ROI analysis.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Aggregate evidence on ESG and financial performance

Meta-analyses and aggregated reviews indicate that the majority of studies find a nonnegative or positive ESG–corp financial performance relationship, though results vary by methodology and context. Friede et al. (2015) find that roughly 90% of studies report nonnegative results, highlighting a broad business case for ESG integration. Still, heterogeneity across regions and sectors is large.

2.2 Emerging markets: institutional constraints and reporting gaps

Emerging markets face weaker disclosure regimes, lower data quality, and differing investor preferences. These realities can dampen or delay the value recognition of ESG investments. International finance institutions (e.g., IFC) and the World Bank emphasize improvements in ESG reporting and standardized indicators as prerequisites for unlocking sustainable finance at scale in emerging economies. Recent IFC guidance and World Bank data portals document both progress and persistent gaps in ESG disclosure across emerging markets.

2.3 Mechanisms linking ESG to ROI

Three pathways are commonly proposed: (i) **risk reduction** (ESG reduces downside risk and cost of capital); (ii) **operational efficiencies and innovation** (sustainability investments improve resource intensity and open new markets); and (iii) **reputational advantage and demand effects** (better brand, customer loyalty, and access to sustainability-oriented capital). However, these channels depend on credible disclosure and regulatory enforcement to translate into market rewards.

2.4 Recent empirical work and gaps

Recent industry and academic pieces document green-finance growth in emerging markets, evolving ESG index products, and mixed performance evidence at national/regional levels. Yet causal evidence linking firm-level ESG expenditures/investments to firm ROI in emerging markets remains limited — especially evidence that isolates announcement effects, medium-term profitability, and heterogeneity by institutional quality.

3. Conceptual Framework and Hypotheses

We propose that ESG investments produce measurable ROI through three core channels:

1) **Cost-of-capital reduction** → lower borrowing costs and higher valuations.
2) **Operational returns** → energy/resource savings, efficiency gains.
3) **Market/financing premium** → investor demand, green bond pricing, and improved access to capital.

From this framework, we derive testable hypotheses:

- **H1:** Firms with higher ESG scores deliver higher subsequent risk-adjusted stock returns and improved

profitability metrics (ROA, ROE) relative to peers, after controlling for firm and country characteristics.
- **H2:** ESG upgrades and green bond/green loan announcements are associated with positive abnormal returns in an event window surrounding disclosure/issuance.
- **H3:** The ROI from ESG is larger in countries with stronger disclosure infrastructure and investor protection (i.e., institutional quality moderates the ESG→ROI link).

4. Empirical Strategy

4.1 Data sources

- **Firm-level financials:** Compustat Global / Orbis / local exchange filings (2010–2024).
- **ESG scores:** Third-party providers (MSCI, Sustainalytics, Refinitiv) — use provider fixed effects and robustness checks with alternative scores.
- **Green bond & loan data:** Climate Bonds Initiative, local exchange announcements, and prospectuses.
- **Country controls:** World Bank / Sovereign ESG Data Portal for institutional, macro, and environmental indicators.
- **Guidance & frameworks:** IFC's recent reports and Disclosure & Transparency tools to measure reporting quality.

4.2 Econometric specifications

1) **Panel regressions (firm-year):**
Performancei,t+1=α+βESGi,t+γXi,t+δc+λt+ϵi,tPerformance_{i,t+1} = \alpha + \beta ESG_{i,t} + \gamma X_{i,t} + \delta_c + \lambda_t + \epsilon_{i,t}Performancei,t+1 =α+βESGi,t+γXi,t+δc+λt+ϵi,t
Where PerformancePerformancePerformance = ROA, ROE, Tobin's Q, or risk-adjusted returns; ESGESGESG = score or binary investment indicator; XXX = firm controls (size, leverage, R&D, capex); δc\delta_cδc and λt\lambda_tλt are country and year fixed effects.
2) **Event study (announcements):**
Compute abnormal returns (CARs) around ESG upgrade dates and green-bond issuance windows using standard market models, controlling for local market indices (MSCI EM or local benchmark) and volatility clustering.
3) **Difference-in-differences (DiD):**
For firms that adopt a verifiable ESG investment program (treatment), compare pre- and post-outcomes against matched controls using propensity score matching and firm fixed effects to adjust for selection.
4) **Heterogeneity & mediation:**
Interaction terms with country disclosure index, legal investor protection, and sector carbon intensity; mediation models to test channels (cost-of-capital, operating margins).

4.3 Identification and robustness

- Use instrumenting where feasible (e.g., exogenous eligibility for green-subsidy programs, or staggered regulatory changes) to address endogeneity.
- Robustness: alternate ESG providers, winsorised variables, placebo event windows, and sub-sample analyses by region (Asia EM, Latin America, EMEA).

5. Expected findings (guided by prior evidence)

- Positive association between ESG and risk-adjusted returns and profitability **on average**, but with substantial heterogeneity. This mirrors aggregated meta-evidence that finds mostly nonnegative ESG–performance relations.
- Announcement effects (green bonds, major ESG upgrades) are expected to yield small but significant positive abnormal returns, particularly in markets with stronger disclosure regimes.
- ROI magnitudes are likely higher where reporting quality and institutional infrastructure (investor protection, ESG reporting standards) are better — supporting H3 and highlighting policy leverage points.

6. Contribution

This paper contributes by:
1) Delivering firm-level causal estimates of ESG investments' ROI in emerging markets.
2) Combining event-study and DiD designs to capture short- and medium-term financial effects.
3) Examining institutional conditions (disclosure and governance) as moderators — producing practical policy guidance for regulators and multilateral institutions.

7. Managerial & Policy Implications

- **Firm managers:** Invest selectively in ESG activities that have clear operational payoffs (energy efficiency, waste reduction) and ensure high-quality disclosure to signal value to capital markets.
- **Investors:** Consider ESG signals in context — value is more likely to be realized where disclosure is credible and institutions support enforcement.
- **Policy makers & development agencies:** Improve ESG reporting frameworks, support standard adoption (IFC, ISSB alignment), and create incentives for green financing (e.g., subsidy windows, green bond guarantees). These steps can increase the ROI curve for ESG investments in emerging markets.

8. Limitations & Extensions

- Data coverage and quality differ across emerging markets; results may be biased by survivorship or selection (firms that self-select into ESG may have unobserved advantages).
- Long-term effects and dynamic learning (organizational capability building around sustainability) require multi-decade studies beyond the scope of this paper.
- Future work: field experiments (e.g., subsidized green upgrades), and deeper industry-specific case studies (utilities, manufacturing, financial institutions).

9. Conclusion

Sustainability expenditures and credible ESG investments have the potential to act as profit drivers in emerging markets — but realization of ROI depends on disclosure quality, institutional support, and alignment with operational improvements. By combining event, panel, and DiD methods, this paper will quantify both announcement-period market responses and medium-term profitability outcomes, offering rigorous guidance to managers, investors, and policymakers seeking to scale sustainable finance in emerging economies.

References

[1] Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). "ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies." *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment.*

[2] Fu, T., et al. (2023). "An empirical analysis of the impact of ESG on financial performance." *Frontiers in Environmental Science.* (Findings on ESG and corporate financial performance; digital transformation as moderator).

[3] IFC. (2025). *Elevating Environmental, Social, and Governance Reporting in Emerging Markets.* International Finance Corporation — report and benchmarking on disclosure and transparency.