Mitigating the Urban Heat Island Effect in Dense Neighborhoods: Sustainable Materials, Green Infrastructure, and Passive Design Strategies

Azarya Suri

Abstract: ***Rapid urbanization has intensified the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect, raising surface and air temperatures in cities and amplifying heat stress, cooling energy demand, air pollution, and public health risks. Dense neighborhoods that are characterized by high impervious surface cover and limited green spaces are particularly vulnerable under these circumstances. This paper examines rooftop-based retrofit strategies, encompassing cool roofs, green roofs, as well as solar-integrated roofs to mitigate the UHI effect. Drawing on literature and case studies, it evaluates the performance, benefits, limitations, and contextual suitability of each approach. Findings reveal that cool roofs offer significant cost-effective surface cooling, green roofs deliver strong thermal and ecological benefits but at higher costs, and solar-integrated roofs present a dual advantage of renewable energy generation alongside cooling potential. Effectiveness varies by climate, urban morphology, and other socio-economic factors, underscoring the importance of integrated, context-specific strategies. It also argues that rooftop retrofits should not be implemented in isolation, but as part of a broader framework combining sustainable materials, green infrastructure, and passive design approaches to foster resilient, energy-efficient, and climate-adaptive urban environments.***

Keywords: urban heat island effect, green infrastructure, cool roofs, green roofs, solar-integrated roofs

1. Introduction

Urbanization, marked by rapid horizontal and vertical growth, has reshaped cities in ways that intensify heat accumulation. Heat emissions from human activities, coupled with the thermal retention of building materials and a lack of natural surfaces, produce the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect, a phenomenon that makes urban areas significantly warmer than their surroundings, and is one of the most documented examples of human-induced climate change (Arnfield, 2003). These elevated surface, land, and air temperatures heighten thermal discomfort for residents (Donateo et al., 2023), raise the demand for cooling energy (Boudali Errebai et al., 2022; Santamouris et al., 2015), and consequently increase air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. They also intensify the effects of extreme heat events, threaten public health, and degrade the quality of life (Cheval et al., 2024; Heaviside et al., 2017; Kovats & Hajat, 2008). In the future, these impacts are expected to worsen as baseline temperatures rise due to global warming.

Research has evidenced the heat island effect on urban microclimates at local, regional, and global levels (Assenova et al., 2024; Wong et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2024). As natural surfaces are replaced by impervious materials, cities lose their natural cooling properties, absorb more heat, and experience lower rates of evapotranspiration. The UHI effect can increase air temperature by 15 °C in some cases (Santamouris, 2020). Improving thermal comfort is crucial, as lower temperatures translate to positive effects on residents' health and well-being, boost the local economy, and strengthen urban resilience (Elnabawi et al., 2023). Over half the world's population currently lives in urban areas (United Nations, 2019). By 2050, this figure is expected to rise to two-thirds, making UHI mitigation strategies increasingly relevant.

Currently, cities worldwide have adopted blanket measures to curb urban sprawl. However, the solution lies not in limiting urban growth but in rethinking the morphology of cities. Built surfaces and conventional materials have long influenced local heat accumulation. Building on this perspective, this research explores the use of sustainable building materials, rooftop greening, and passive cooling design strategies for UHI mitigation in dense neighborhoods. Specifically, it evaluates three different micro-scale interventions: cool roofs, rooftop gardens or green roofs, and solar-integrated designs for their applications and effectiveness in dense neighborhoods.

There are three primary reasons why roofs merit closer examination in the context of UHI mitigation. Firstly, buildings cover roughly 20–25% of urban surfaces, making them high-impact intervention points for lowering air and surface temperatures as well as energy consumption (Costanzo et al., 2016). Secondly, these interventions impact the UHI intensity in the lower part of the atmospheric canopy layer, where cooling effects can be directly experienced by people (World Meteorological Organization, 2023). Lastly, in dense neighborhoods, mitigation demands adaptive strategies that optimize existing built surfaces without compromising their functionality. Thus, retrofits emerge as a viable, practical, and scalable strategy.

2. Background

The first study on the UHI effect dates back to the 1800s, when Luke Howard attributed the elevated temperatures of London to artificial heat sources. Since then, the phenomenon has been extensively studied by researchers (Mirzaei, 2015; Santamouris et al., 2015; Santamouris et al., 2018; Wu & Ren, 2019). Oke (1982) first identified and proposed a four-dimensional framework for understanding how urban morphology drives the UHI effect, encompassing structure, cover, fabric, and metabolism. Situating mitigation strategies within these dimensions clarifies how urban form mediates the absorption, storage, and release of heat, and highlights where interventions can be most effective.

Within Oke's framework, roofs are categorized under urban fabric, or the physical components of a city, such as streets, blocks, and buildings, that critically shape local microclimates. Roofs comprise up to a quarter of urban surfaces and are directly exposed to solar radiation (Akbari et al., 2009). They influence both daytime and nighttime temperatures (World Meteorological Organization, 2023). Rooftops made of conventional materials like asphalt and concrete absorb and retain significant amounts of solar radiation, leading to elevated ambient air temperatures. Under peak radiation, roof surfaces can reach up to 50–60 °C, and 10–15 °C hotter than surrounding green areas (Elnabawi et al., 2023). Color significantly influences surface temperatures too, with black, low-albedo surfaces exposed to sunlight becoming 7–21 °C hotter than white surfaces (Petrucci, 2024). In dense neighborhoods, with tightly packed buildings, impervious surfaces, and sharp-edged forms, roofs contribute to a broader pattern of complex radiative interactions (World Meteorological Organization, 2023).

A joint report by the United Nations Environment Programme & Yale Center for Ecosystems and Architecture (2023) has emphasized the importance of sustainable materials, green infrastructure, and passive cooling strategies. Using high-albedo and biomass-based systems can significantly lower surface temperatures and reduce cooling demand, demonstrating the critical role of material choice and strategy in managing heat and energy loads, and improving building performance.

Broadly, three major approaches have been researched for rooftop-based UHI mitigation. Cool roofs use reflective materials to reflect incoming radiation and lower surface temperatures. Green roofs use vegetation and evapotranspiration to lower temperatures (Sahnoune & Benhassine, 2017). They mitigate the UHI effect while enhancing biodiversity, restoring ecosystem services lost to urbanization, and managing stormwater (Oberndorfer et al., 2007). In contrast, solar-integrated roofs convert incident radiation into energy, offering the advantage of renewable energy generation. All three roofing configurations offer effective UHI mitigation potential, but differ in structure, cost, feasibility, and energy performance. Given decision-makers' need to balance cost-effectiveness, understanding their efficiency in specific contexts is essential.

Studies comparing green and cool roofs show mixed findings. Life-cycle analysis shows that cool roofs offer significant cooling and cost-benefit advantages (Sproul et al., 2014). They achieve sharp surface temperature drops, are more effective during peak daytime heat, and demonstrate the potential to cool the globe three times more effectively than green roofs (Macintyre & Heaviside, 2019; Sproul et al., 2014). Cool roofs may slightly increase winter heating needs, but this is generally outweighed by summer cooling benefits (Levinson & Akbari, 2010).

Green roofs depend on the availability of an adequate water supply and are more expensive over their life-cycle (Norton et al., 2015; Sproul et al., 2014). However, in hot, arid, dry, and humid climates, green roofs often reduce ambient temperatures more than high-albedo coatings (Costanzo et al., 2016). They also offer multifunctional benefits of vegetated systems, which cool roofs lack. Solar-integrated roofs are unique in this regard as they offer renewable energy generation. Yet concerns have been raised regarding their cost-effectiveness, impact on roof energy balance, and potential intensification of the UHI effect (Houchmand et al., 2024).

Among these strategies, cool roofs stand out as a cost-effective retrofit option. While green roofs demonstrate strong cooling performance, their high installation, maintenance, and replacement costs limit wider adoption. Solar-integrated roofs offer the advantage of renewable energy generation, but their economic viability across contexts remains underexplored. They are also more complex to design and maintain than the comparatively simpler cool and green roof systems. Ultimately, the effectiveness of each approach depends on local climate, conditions, and the metrics used for evaluation.

3. Discussion

Retrofit strategies are particularly valuable for UHI mitigation, as they can be effectively integrated into both new and existing infrastructure, scaled across contexts, and deliver future-proof benefits at the building level. Evidence from dense cities and neighborhoods across the world demonstrates how cool, green, and solar-integrated roofs can effectively mitigate UHI effects.

Cool roof interventions have shown consistent promise in dense urban contexts. In Hyderabad, one of the densest and fastest-growing cities in India, UHI intensity was measured at 1.9 °C, with an expected annual increase of 0.033 °C (Arunab & Mathew, 2023). To combat this, the Telangana Cool Roofs Policy 2023-28 was introduced for promoting the large-scale adoption of cool roofs within the state. Cool roofs were described as "one of the simplest and most cost-effective ways to fight heat, " with the potential to achieve reductions up to 2 °C in summer months (Government of Telangana, 2023). With a 25-year life cycle, local estimates also confirm that cool roof tiles are an affordable intervention for the city (Rajani, 2024). The adoption of the policy has remained limited so far, but this has been attributed less to the performance of cool roofs themselves and more to gaps in effective promotion and communication.

Numerous studies also evidence cooling benefits and energy savings within the city. After whitening black roofs on commercial buildings, cooling energy savings were reported at 20–22 kWh/m2 roof per year in Hyderabad (Xu et al., 2012). Similarly, whitening concrete roofs saved cooling energy by about 13–14 kWh/m2 roof per year. A school building also recorded roof surface temperature drops, indoor air temperature reductions, and higher thermal comfort for students (Garg et al., 2016). Beyond Hyderabad, the effectiveness and financial viability of cool roofs extend to most hot and humid urban zones. In Singapore, reflective coatings reduced indoor air temperatures by 2.4 °C (Zingre et al., 2015). Through evidence from Bangladesh, Bach et al. (2023) highlight the efficacy of such strategies in mitigating occupational heat stress, particularly in contexts where air-conditioning is absent or economically unfeasible.

Building on this, rooftop greening has also emerged as a powerful retrofit strategy. Growing evidence supports its cooling potential at both building and neighborhood scales. Take Chennai, where the UHI intensity has risen by nearly 3 °C owing to rapid urbanization and reduced green cover, due to which green roofs were recommended as an effective remedy by the Tamil Nadu State Planning Commission (2024). A preliminary survey of 300 citizens in Chennai further strengthens implementation prospects, as it was found that 86% viewed rooftop gardens favorably (Ramanathan, 2020). Priya & Senthil (2024) received similar responses from residents, reinforcing the significant reductions they demonstrated in internal room temperatures not just through rooftop gardens, but also in balconies as part of green infrastructure.

Beyond building interiors, green roofs contribute to substantial pedestrian-level cooling too, with studies reporting reductions up to 0.80 °C at the city scale (Jia et al., 2024). Peng & Jim (2013) also examined five dense residential neighborhoods in Hong Kong and found that rooftop greening significantly reduced pedestrian-level air temperatures and extended cooling benefits from rooftops to ground level. However, urban design factors, building height, site coverage, density, and street orientation, are critical influences on performance.

While much of the discourse on retrofit strategies focuses on cool and green roofs, solar-integrated rooftops also present a viable approach to UHI mitigation. Solar roofs present a dual advantage that combines renewable energy production with urban cooling. Take Paris, for instance, the seventh most-crowded city in the world, which experiences intense UHI effects, worsened during heatwaves (Johannsen et al., 2024). While cool roofs and green roofs are widely promoted as mitigation measures, their feasibility in Paris is limited by structural and cultural factors. An estimated 70-80% of the city's buildings have zinc roofs, which contribute to rising temperatures (Willsher, 2023). These roofs are also sloped, making it difficult to retrofit them with green infrastructure. Even with the devised solution, where wooden platforms would be fixed across sloping panels, these configurations would cost as much as £1, 700 per square meter.

Cool roofs are not as straightforward to implement here either due to their perceived impact on heritage. As a prominent cultural and tourism precinct, rooftop modifications face opposition from authorities and stakeholders, while public acceptance remains limited due to aesthetic concerns. Hence, solar-integrated rooftops, often dismissed on grounds of practicality, merit renewed consideration in this context. They show mixed effects on the UHI intensity but consistently reduce greenhouse gas emissions, with the potential to boost the city's renewable electricity share and enable Europe's drive toward climate neutrality. Hence, their role in UHI mitigation warrants closer attention. A study modeled what would happen if solar panels were deployed on a large scale in Paris, including both photovoltaic and thermal panels (Masson et al., 2014). During the daytime, these panels lowered city temperatures by about 0.2 K, and at night, they lowered temperatures even more, up to 0.3 K. Since shaded roofs stay cooler, panels reduced air-conditioning demand by around 12% in summer. In winter, roof shading by panels slightly increased heating demand, but with better insulation, this effect would be less important. It was also found that the cooling effect is stronger in Paris than in coastal cities, since Paris lacks sea breeze and has a more pronounced UHI, underscoring how local climatic factors influence effectiveness.

Solar-integrated rooftops do, however, have certain limitations. They can be sensitive to the city's dirt and pollution, require regular cleaning and costly maintenance, and their aesthetic impacts may limit acceptance, especially in tourist-heavy areas. Nevertheless, their dual role in renewable energy generation and heat mitigation underscores their relevance as part of an integrated strategy. Since cool, green, and solar roofs differ in performance, practical considerations such as cost, maintenance, and site-specific feasibility are critical for their implementation. Their impacts also vary across different urban climatic layers. Xu et al. (2012) suggest that reducing air temperatures in Hyderabad by 2 °C requires a combined approach of increasing surface albedo and expanding vegetative cover. Similar integrative strategies have been adopted in European cities; for instance, Zurich has explored models that combine photovoltaic systems with green and cool roofs to optimize energy efficiency and climate mitigation (Cavadini & Cook, 2021). Ultimately, such measures should be regarded not as isolated solutions, but as complementary components of a holistic framework for sustainable urban resilience.

4. Conclusion

Advances in measurement and analysis, coupled with growing concern over climate change, have deepened the understanding of the UHI effect and its influence on microclimates. This paper has explored rooftop-based retrofit strategies, including cool, green, and solar-integrated roofs, through comparative analysis of their performance, benefits, contexts, applications, and limitations. Drawing on case studies, statistical results, and existing literature, it has highlighted how these interventions function as scalable solutions that can be deployed in dense urban environments. Their benefits extend beyond surface cooling, encompassing reduced energy consumption, lower greenhouse gas emissions, improved air quality, and human co-benefits such as enhanced thermal comfort and reduced incidence of heat-related illnesses.

In dense Indian cities, where space is limited, infrastructure is aging, and urban form is compact, the scope for large-scale deployment may be constrained. Here, cool and green roofs can offer the most practical entry points for heat mitigation. Their relatively low cost, ease of installation, and immediate thermal benefits make them particularly suitable for public buildings and low to middle-income housing, alongside public schemes, awareness campaigns, and community partnerships that encourage wider adoption. For private apartment complexes, corporate offices, and large gated communities, the approach should differ. These developments typically have larger roof areas, organized management systems, and greater financial capacity, making them suitable for hybrid interventions, such as combining partial green roof sections for thermal comfort with solar-integrated systems for on-site renewable energy generation.

Building approval processes could incorporate such measures as part of sustainability compliance requirements, or subsidies and preferential rates could be offered to encourage wider adoption. For long-term solutions, national statutes and state governments can complement city-level efforts by embedding UHI mitigation within existing frameworks for building codes, real estate regulation, and energy policy. This could include mandatory provisions for cool roofs, high-albedo pavements, and minimum tree cover ratios in new developments. Updating planning and construction regulations to promote permeable surfaces, shaded public spaces, and rooftop solar integration would help ensure that future urban growth is inherently climate-resilient and adaptive to heat stress.

Ultimately, the performance of each intervention depends on practical realities such as cost, maintenance, and site-specific feasibility. Indirect economic impacts, particularly those related to air and water quality, remain insufficiently quantified, pointing to a gap for future research. Their true potential lies in being integrated as part of a wider, complementary set of measures, including sustainable materials, green infrastructure, and passive design approaches that together form a holistic framework for resilient and sustainable urbanism. More importantly, even the widespread adoption of rooftop retrofit strategies cannot, in isolation, counteract the scale of warming driven by climate change. By situating rooftop retrofits within this broader vision, cities can move towards interventions that are effective, socially equitable, and environmentally sustainable.

References

[1] Akbari, H., Menon, S., & Rosenfeld, A. (2009). Global cooling: Increasing world-wide urban albedos to offset CO2. *Climatic Change*, *94* (3–4), 275–286. https: //doi. org/10.1007/s10584-008-9515-9
[2] Arnfield, A. J. (2003). Two decades of urban climate research: A review of turbulence, exchanges of energy and water, and the urban heat island. *International Journal of Climatology*, *23* (1), 1–26. https: //doi. org/10.1002/joc.859
[3] Arunab, K. S., & Mathew, A. (2023). Geospatial and statistical analysis of urban heat islands and thermally vulnerable zones in Bangalore and Hyderabad cities in India. *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment*, *32*, 101049. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. rsase.2023.101049
[4] Assenova, I. A., Vitanova, L. L., & Petrova-Antonova, D. (2024). Urban heat islands from multiple perspectives: Trends across disciplines and interrelationships. *Urban Climate*, *56*, 102075. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. uclim.2024.102075
[5] Bach, A. J. E., Palutikof, J. P., Tonmoy, F. N., Smallcombe, J. W., Rutherford, S., Joarder, A. R., Hossain, M., & Jay, O. (2023). Retrofitting passive cooling strategies to combat heat stress in the face of climate change: A case study of a ready-made garment factory in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Energy and Buildings*, *286*, 112954. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. enbuild.2023.112954
[6] Boudali Errebai, F., Strebel, D., Carmeliet, J., & Derome, D. (2022). Impact of urban heat island on cooling energy demand for residential building in Montreal using meteorological simulations and weather station observations. *Energy and Buildings*, *273*, 112410. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. enbuild.2022.112410
[7] Cavadini, G. B., & Cook, L. M. (2021). Green and cool roof choices integrated into rooftop solar energy modelling. *Applied Energy*, *296*, 117082. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. apenergy.2021.117082
[8] Cheval, S., Amihăesei, V. -A., Chitu, Z., Dumitrescu, A., Falcescu, V., Irașoc, A., Micu, D. M., Mihulet, E., Ontel, I., Paraschiv, M. -G., & Tudose, N. C. (2024). A systematic review of urban heat island and heat waves research (1991–2022). *Climate Risk Management*, *44*, 100603. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. crm.2024.100603
[9] Costanzo, V., Evola, G., & Marletta, L. (2016). Energy savings in buildings or UHI mitigation? Comparison between green roofs and cool roofs. *Energy and Buildings*, *114*, 247–255. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. enbuild.2015.04.053
[10] Donateo, A., Palusci, O., Pappaccogli, G., Esposito, A., Martilli, A., Santiago, J. L., & Buccolieri, R. (2023). Analysis of urban heat island and human thermal comfort in a Mediterranean city: A case study of Lecce (Italy). *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *98*, 104849. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. scs.2023.104849
[11] Elnabawi, M. H., Hamza, N., & Raveendran, R. (2023). 'Super cool roofs': Mitigating the UHI effect and enhancing urban thermal comfort with high albedo-coated roofs. *Results in Engineering*, *19*, 101269. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. rineng.2023.101269
[12] Garg, V., Kotharkar, R., Sathaye, J., Rallapalli, H., Kulkarni, N., Reddy, N., Rao, P., & Sarkar, A. (2016). Assessment of the impact of cool roofs in rural buildings in India. *Energy and Buildings*, *114*, 156–163. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. enbuild.2015.06.043
[13] Government of Telangana. (2023). *Telangana Cool Roof Policy 2023–28*. https: //telangana. gov. in/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Telangana-Cool-Roof-Policy-2023-2028. pdf
[14] Heaviside, C., Macintyre, H., & Vardoulakis, S. (2017). The urban heat island: Implications for health in a changing environment. *Current Environmental Health Reports*, *4* (3), 296–305. https: //doi. org/10.1007/s40572-017-0150-3
[15] Houchmand, L. J., Macarulla Martí, M., & Gassó-Domingo, S. (2024). Influence of PV panels on convective heat flux in different roofs in the Mediterranean: Effects on the urban heat island. *Building and Environment*, *266*, 112097. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. buildenv.2024.112097
[16] Jia, S., Weng, Q., Yoo, C., & Voogt, J. (2024). *Global investigation of pedestrian-level cooling and energy-saving potentials of green and cool roofs*. https: //doi. org/10.2139/ssrn.4935579
[17] Johannsen, F., Soares, P. M. M., & Langendijk, G. S. (2024). On the deep learning approach for improving the representation of urban climate: The Paris urban heat island and temperature extremes. *Urban Climate*, *56*, 102039. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. uclim.2024.102039
[18] Kovats, R. S., & Hajat, S. (2008). Heat stress and public health: A critical review. *Annual Review of Public*

Health, *29* (1), 41–55. https: //doi. org/10.1146/annurev. publhealth.29.020907.090843

[19] Levinson, R., & Akbari, H. (2010). Potential benefits of cool roofs on commercial buildings: Conserving energy, saving money, and reducing emission of greenhouse gases and air pollutants. *Energy Efficiency*, *3* (1), 53–109. https: //doi. org/10.1007/s12053-008-9038-2

[20] Macintyre, H. L., & Heaviside, C. (2019). Potential benefits of cool roofs in reducing heat-related mortality during heatwaves in a European city. *Environment International*, *127*, 430–441. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. envint.2019.02.065

[21] Masson, V., Bonhomme, M., Salagnac, J. -L., Briottet, X., & Lemonsu, A. (2014). Solar panels reduce both global warming and urban heat island. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, *2*. https: //doi. org/10.3389/fenvs.2014.00014

[22] Mirzaei, P. A. (2015). Recent challenges in modeling of urban heat island. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *19*, 200–206. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. scs.2015.04.001

[23] Norton, B. A., Coutts, A. M., Livesley, S. J., Harris, R. J., Hunter, A. M., & Williams, N. S. G. (2015). Planning for cooler cities: A framework to prioritise green infrastructure to mitigate high temperatures in urban landscapes. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *134*, 127–138. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. landurbplan.2014.10.018

[24] Oberndorfer, E., Lundholm, J., Bass, B., Coffman, R. R., Doshi, H., Dunnett, N., Gaffin, S., Köhler, M., Liu, K. K. Y., & Rowe, B. (2007). Green roofs as urban ecosystems: Ecological structures, functions, and services. *BioScience*, *57* (10), 823–833. https: //doi. org/10.1641/B571005

[25] Oke, T. R. (1982). The energetic basis of the urban heat island. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *108* (455), 1–24. https: //doi. org/10.1002/qj.49710845502

[26] Oke, T. R. (1988). The urban energy balance. *Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment*, *12* (4), 471–508. https: //doi. org/10.1177/030913338801200401

[27] Peng, L., & Jim, C. (2013). Green-roof effects on neighborhood microclimate and human thermal sensation. *Energies*, *6* (2), 598–618. https: //doi. org/10.3390/en6020598

[28] Petrucci, A. L. (2024). Environmental retrofitting, fighting urban heat island toward nez sustainable smart cities. In F. Belaïd & A. Arora (Eds.), *Smart Cities* (pp.111–120). Springer International Publishing. https: //doi. org/10.1007/978-3-031-35664-3_8

[29] Priya, U. K., & Senthil, R. (2024). Enhancing sustainable thermal comfort of tropical urban buildings with indoor plants. *Buildings*, *14* (8), 2353. https: //doi. org/10.3390/buildings14082353

[30] Rajani, A. (2024, May 20). Not a cool idea? Yr on, no takers for Telangana's new roof policy. *The Times of India*. https: //timesofindia. indiatimes. com/city/hyderabad/not-a-cool-idea-yr-on-no-takers-for-telanganas-new-roof-policy/articleshow/110257031. cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst

[31] Ramanathan, S. (2020, July 22). *A garden on every roof: Help the Patchai Madi project turn Chennai into India's urban farming capital*. Citizen Matters. https: //citizenmatters. in/resilient-chennai-urban-horticulture-rooftop-garden-project/

[32] Sahnoune, S., & Benhassine, N. (2017). Quantifying the impact of green-roofs on urban heat island mitigation. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, *8* (2), 116–123. https: //doi. org/10.18178/ijesd.2017.8.2.932

[33] Santamouris, M. (2020). *Energy and climate in the urban built environment.* Routledge.

[34] Santamouris, M., Cartalis, C., Synnefa, A., & Kolokotsa, D. (2015). On the impact of urban heat island and global warming on the power demand and electricity consumption of buildings—A review. *Energy and Buildings*, *98*, 119–124. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. enbuild.2014.09.052

[35] Santamouris, M., Haddad, S., Saliari, M., Vasilakopoulou, K., Synnefa, A., Paolini, R., Ulpiani, G., Garshasbi, S., & Fiorito, F. (2018). On the energy impact of urban heat island in Sydney: Climate and energy potential of mitigation technologies. *Energy and Buildings*, *166*, 154–164. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. enbuild.2018.02.007

[36] Sproul, J., Wan, M. P., Mandel, B. H., & Rosenfeld, A. H. (2014). Economic comparison of white, green, and black flat roofs in the United States. *Energy and Buildings*, *71*, 20–27. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. enbuild.2013.11.058

[37] Tamil Nadu State Planning Commission. (2024). *Urban Heat Island-Hotspot Analysis and Mitigation Strategies for Tamil Nadu*.

[38] United Nations. (2019). *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*.

[39] United Nations Environment Programme & Yale Center for Ecosystems and Architecture. (2023). *Building Materials and the Climate: Constructing a New Future*. https: //wedocs. unep. org/20.500.11822/43293

[40] Willsher, K. (2023, October 24). From grey to green: The plan to turn Paris's zinc rooftops into gardens. *The Guardian*. https: //www.theguardian. com/environment/2023/oct/24/grey-to-green-plan-to-turn-paris-zinc-rooftops-into-gardens

[41] Wong, P. P., Lai, P. -C., & Hart, M. (2016). Microclimate variation of urban heat in a small community. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, *36*, 180–183. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. proenv.2016.09.030

[42] World Meteorological Organization. (2023). *Guidance on Measuring, Modelling and Monitoring the Canopy Layer Urban Heat Island (CL-UHI).* World Meteorological Organization.

[43] Wu, Z., & Ren, Y. (2019). A bibliometric review of past trends and future prospects in urban heat island research from 1990 to 2017. *Environmental Reviews*, *27* (2), 241–251. https: //doi. org/10.1139/er-2018-0029

[44] Xu, T., Sathaye, J., Akbari, H., Garg, V., & Tetali, S. (2012). Quantifying the direct benefits of cool roofs in an urban setting: Reduced cooling energy use and lowered greenhouse gas emissions. *Building and Environment*, *48*, 1–6. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. buildenv.2011.08.011

[45] Yang, Q., Xu, Y., Chakraborty, T., Du, M., Hu, T., Zhang, L., Liu, Y., Yao, R., Yang, J., Chen, S., Xiao, C., Liu, R., Zhang, M., & Chen, R. (2024). A global urban heat island intensity dataset: Generation, comparison, and analysis. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *312*, 114343. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. rse.2024.114343

[46] Zingre, K. T., Wan, M. P., Wong, S. K., Toh, W. B. T., & Lee, I. Y. L. (2015). Modelling of cool roof performance for double-skin roofs in tropical climate. *Energy*, *82*, 813–826. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. energy.2015.01.092

Paper ID: SR251103183339 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR251103183339