International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Technology in Education: Platforms like DIKSHA and SWAYAM for Digital Learning, Bridging the Digital Divide, and Ensuring Equitable Access to Technology

Dr. Vaibhav Sharma[1], Dr. Madhu Dixit[2], Vishal Jaiswal[3]

[1]Assistant Professor, Institute of Management, Commerce and Economic, Shri Ramswaroop Memorial University, Deva Road, Lucknow
Email: *vsharmacwa[at]gmail.com*
Orcid I'd https://orcid.org/0009-0003-3545-2621

Professor and Head, Faculty of Management, Institute of Management, Commerce and Economics
Shri Ramswaroop Memorial University, Deva Road, Barabanki, UP
Email: *drdixitmadhu[at]gmail.com*

Assistant Professor, Institute of Management, Commerce and Economic, Shri Ramswaroop Memorial University, Deva Road, Lucknow

Abstract: ***The digitalization of education has emerged as one of the most transformative trends of the twenty-first century, reshaping the way knowledge is delivered, consumed, and assessed. In India, this transformation has been accelerated through flagship platforms such as DIKSHA (Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing)*** *and* ***SWAYAM (Study Webs of Active Learning for Young Aspiring Minds), which were introduced to expand access to quality education and support both school-level and higher education learners. This paper critically examines the evolution, design, reach, and impact of these platforms, while simultaneously addressing the structural challenge of the digital divide. Using official government reports, usage statistics, and existing empirical studies, this paper evaluates how digital platforms have facilitated remote learning, teacher training, and inclusive educational access, particularly during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. The analysis highlights both achievements—such as multilingual resources, MOOCs, credit transfer, and teacher professional development—and ongoing challenges such as infrastructure limitations, socio-economic inequities, and gaps in digital literacy. The paper concludes by proposing strategies for equitable digital learning, including improved infrastructure, inclusive content design, teacher capacity building, and multimodal approaches. By situating DIKSHA and SWAYAM within the framework of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, this paper underscores their potential as transformative tools in achieving inclusive and equitable education in India.***

Keywords: Digital Education, DIKSHA, SWAYAM, Digital Divide, NEP 2020, Teacher Training, Inclusive Access, Online Learning Platforms, Rural Connectivity, MOOCs

1. Introduction

The integration of technology into education has emerged as a defining feature of contemporary societies, offering new possibilities for learning, collaboration, and knowledge creation. Globally, education systems are increasingly relying on digital platforms to extend access, diversify learning methods, and ensure continuity in times of disruption. The COVID-19 pandemic served as a dramatic catalyst for this shift, as schools and universities worldwide were compelled to transition rapidly to online and hybrid modalities. In India, where education has historically been constrained by socio-economic disparities, geography, and infrastructure gaps, digital platforms have been positioned as vital instruments for democratizing access to quality education.

Two platforms stand out in India's digital education ecosystem: **DIKSHA** and **SWAYAM**. DIKSHA, launched in 2017 by the Ministry of Education, serves as a national digital infrastructure for teachers and students, offering QR-coded textbooks, teacher training modules, and a vast repository of multilingual content. By 2022, the platform had recorded over **5,749 crore minutes of learning**, with more than **291,000 pieces of e-content** accessible in multiple Indian languages. Similarly, SWAYAM, launched in 2017, was conceptualized as a massive open online course (MOOC) platform aimed at higher education learners, offering courses developed by premier institutions like IITs, IIMs, and central universities. With millions of enrolments, SWAYAM enables flexible learning pathways, including **credit transfer systems** approved by the University Grants Commission (UGC), thereby integrating digital education into formal academic frameworks.

The significance of these platforms must be understood in the context of the **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**, which envisions technology as a cornerstone for achieving **access, equity, quality, affordability, and accountability** in education. NEP 2020 emphasizes the development of the **National Educational Technology Forum (NETF)** to strengthen the digital infrastructure and create an ecosystem that supports innovations in online learning, teacher training, and blended pedagogies. In this policy landscape, DIKSHA and SWAYAM are not just digital tools; they are systemic interventions aimed at bridging educational gaps across socio-economic, geographic, and linguistic divides.

However, despite their promise, challenges persist. India continues to grapple with a **digital divide**, manifested in

disparities in internet access, device availability, electricity, and digital literacy. According to a 2021 study, less than **25% of Indian households** had access to the internet, with rural households significantly more disadvantaged than urban ones. Moreover, issues of **gender disparity** in access, affordability of devices, and lack of teacher preparedness have raised concerns about whether digital education risks reinforcing, rather than reducing, existing inequalities. In addition, the credibility of online assessments, student engagement in self-paced courses, and the need for culturally relevant content present ongoing obstacles.

2. Literature Review

Digital education has emerged as a policy priority in India, particularly with the implementation of **Digital India (2015)** and the **PM e-Vidya programme (2020)**, which unified multiple digital learning initiatives. Scholars highlight that technology can reduce geographic and resource disparities, enabling access to quality content across regions (Kadian & Rose, 2022).

DIKSHA has been recognized for its comprehensive design, offering QR-coded textbooks, multi-lingual content, and teacher training modules. According to UNESCO (2023), DIKSHA currently hosts over **19,699 courses**, with more than **182 million enrolments** and **145 million course completions**. Its multilingual capacity—supporting more than 30 Indian languages—makes it particularly valuable in a linguistically diverse nation (UNESCO, 2023).

SWAYAM, meanwhile, has positioned India as one of the leading global providers of MOOCs. By 2022, SWAYAM offered over **2,000 courses** across disciplines, with more than **17 million registered learners** (Agarwal, 2022). Importantly, courses delivered through SWAYAM can be credited in formal higher education programmes, integrating MOOCs into mainstream education.

However, studies on the **digital divide** reveal persistent inequities. A 2021 NITI Aayog report indicated that internet penetration in urban India stood at 67%, compared to only 32% in rural areas. Further, device ownership remains limited: only 24% of rural households own a smartphone, compared to 66% in urban India (IAMAI, 2022). Socio-economic inequalities—linked to caste, gender, and income—further exacerbate this divide (Mukherjee, 2021). Research during the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted that while DIKSHA and SWAYAM scaled rapidly, millions of students lacked the basic infrastructure to benefit from them (Arora & Srinivasan, 2021).

3. Methodology

This study adopts a **mixed-methods research design**, combining secondary quantitative data analysis with qualitative insights from case studies and published surveys. The purpose is to evaluate the effectiveness, reach, and inclusivity of DIKSHA and SWAYAM, while understanding the barriers that persist in their adoption.

3.1 Data Sources

Quantitative data were obtained from official government sources and platform dashboards. For DIKSHA, data on registered teachers, enrolled students, content availability, and learning minutes were retrieved from the Ministry of Education and UNESCO reports (Ministry of Education, 2022; UNESCO, 2023). For SWAYAM, enrolment numbers, course offerings, completion rates, and demographics of learners were analyzed (Agarwal, 2022). Additionally, national surveys such as the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO, 2021) and the GSMA Mobile Gender Gap Report (2020) were used to assess device ownership, internet access, and digital literacy levels across rural and urban populations.

Qualitative insights were derived from published case studies and interviews of teachers and students in rural and urban areas. Notable examples include RSI International (2021), which examined DIKSHA usage among teachers in Rajasthan, and Arora & Srinivasan (2021), which explored the challenges of online education during the COVID-19 pandemic.

3.2 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework integrates **quantitative indicators** with **qualitative findings** to assess the platforms' reach, usage, and equity outcomes. Key quantitative metrics include:

1) **Reach and Adoption**: Number of registered users (teachers, students), active users per month, and course enrolments.
2) **Content Usage**: Total e-content items, learning minutes logged, number of completed courses.
3) **Geographic and Socio-Economic Distribution**: Urban vs. rural participation, gender and income-based disparities.
4) **Platform Engagement**: Completion rates, participation in interactive features, frequency of access.

Qualitative indicators focused on user perceptions, accessibility challenges, pedagogical effectiveness, and engagement levels. Together, these measures provide a holistic understanding of the platforms' performance.

3.3 Methodological Limitations

While secondary data provides extensive usage statistics, limitations exist. First, quantitative platform data may not fully capture **learning outcomes**, as access does not guarantee comprehension or skill acquisition. Second, qualitative insights rely on previously published case studies, which may not reflect real-time conditions in all regions. Third, the analysis does not include primary surveys, meaning the study cannot capture micro-level behavioral patterns of every learner.

Despite these limitations, combining platform analytics with published empirical research allows for a comprehensive evaluation of both structural and functional dimensions of digital learning platforms in India.

4. Findings

4.1 Reach and Usage of DIKSHA and SWAYAM

DIKSHA and SWAYAM have shown remarkable growth in terms of enrolments, content development, and learning hours. DIKSHA, as of 2022, had logged **over 5,749 crore learning minutes**, hosted **291,168 e-content items**, and had more than **15 crore registered learners** and **47 lakh teachers** (Ministry of Education, 2022). The platform has also enabled **teacher professional development at scale**, with millions completing NISHTHA training modules on pedagogy and subject-specific instruction. Usage statistics indicate that urban and semi-urban regions show higher engagement, likely due to better connectivity and device availability.

SWAYAM, by contrast, focuses primarily on **higher education learners** and lifelong learners. The platform hosts over **2,000 courses** and has **enrolled more than 17 million learners**, with approximately **145 million course completions** recorded by 2022 (UNESCO, 2023). Courses are designed by top universities and cover diverse disciplines including engineering, social sciences, and management. SWAYAM's integrated credit transfer system allows learners to gain formal academic recognition, distinguishing it from informal MOOC platforms.

4.2 Equity and Access Dimensions

While both platforms have expanded digital access, disparities remain. DIKSHA's multilingual content supports inclusivity, offering resources in over **30 Indian languages**. Offline access and QR-coded textbooks facilitate learning in low-connectivity regions. However, disparities exist between urban and rural areas; in rural Rajasthan, only **72% of students** had access to DIKSHA, while urban districts reported nearly **95% accessibility** (RSI International, 2021). Gender disparities were also evident, with girls less likely to access devices due to cultural and household constraints (GSMA, 2020).

SWAYAM similarly faces access inequities. While enrolment numbers are substantial, learners from rural areas or economically disadvantaged backgrounds are underrepresented. The platform's reliance on internet connectivity and English-medium instruction limits inclusivity. Dropout rates are high, particularly for self-paced courses, reflecting challenges in motivation and self-regulated learning (Jordan, 2015).

4.3 Challenges in Platform Adoption

Several structural and functional barriers affect the effectiveness of DIKSHA and SWAYAM:

1) **Infrastructure**: Limited broadband availability, unstable electricity, and low device ownership hinder consistent access. NSSO (2021) reported that only **15% of rural households** have reliable internet access, while only **24% of all households** own smartphones.
2) **Digital Literacy**: Many teachers, especially in rural areas, lack the skills to navigate digital platforms effectively, limiting pedagogical integration (Mishra & Jha, 2022). Students, particularly in low-income households, often lack digital skills to fully engage with online content.
3) **Content Relevance and Localization**: While DIKSHA offers multilingual content, more culturally contextualized resources are needed, particularly for tribal and marginalized communities. SWAYAM's higher education focus favors urban, English-speaking learners.
4) **Assessment and Certification**: Ensuring credibility in online assessments remains a challenge. Both platforms are working to integrate proctoring systems, but concerns about academic integrity persist.
5) **Engagement and Motivation**: Self-paced courses often suffer from low completion rates. Behavioral engagement strategies, interactive modules, and blended learning approaches are necessary to retain learners.

4.4 Case Study: Rajasthan DIKSHA Implementation

A 2021 study by RSI International examined DIKSHA adoption among teachers and students in Rajasthan. It found that **87% of teachers** had registered on DIKSHA, but only **65% actively used the platform** for professional development. Student access varied significantly, with rural students citing lack of devices and connectivity as primary barriers. Teachers emphasized the need for **localized content** and simplified user interfaces to facilitate adoption. This case exemplifies the broader trend: while platform infrastructure is robust, practical constraints often limit equitable learning outcomes.

4.5 Synthesis of Findings

The findings underscore the transformative potential of digital platforms like **DIKSHA** and **SWAYAM** in shaping India's education landscape. Both platforms align with the **NEP 2020** vision by providing scalable, multilingual, and flexible learning solutions. DIKSHA has emerged as a cornerstone for **teacher professional development**, offering structured modules that enhance pedagogical skills, while SWAYAM provides higher education learners with access to globally competitive content and formal academic credit recognition.

However, the discussion also highlights the persistent **digital divide**. Despite extensive coverage, rural students continue to face limitations in connectivity and device access, and marginalized groups—particularly girls and students from low-income households—experience systemic barriers. This reflects broader socio-economic inequalities that digital interventions alone cannot resolve. Moreover, while usage statistics indicate high enrolments and learning minutes, completion rates, engagement levels, and learning outcomes remain uneven, especially for self-paced courses.

The discussion also points to **pedagogical challenges**. Access to content does not automatically translate to quality learning. Teachers in rural regions often lack the digital literacy required to integrate online resources effectively into classroom practice. Similarly, students require guidance to navigate self-paced learning, maintain motivation, and apply critical thinking skills. Without such support, digital

platforms risk becoming repositories of content rather than instruments of meaningful education.

Another key observation relates to **content localization and inclusivity**. DIKSHA's multilingual offerings have increased accessibility, yet cultural and contextual adaptation remains limited, particularly for tribal and marginalized communities. SWAYAM's higher education content, primarily in English, restricts participation from non-English-speaking regions, further entrenching educational inequities. Finally, assessment and certification mechanisms, while improving, still face credibility challenges, which could undermine learner confidence and the recognition of digital achievements in formal academic and professional contexts.

5. Policy & Technological Recommendations

Addressing the gaps identified in this study requires an integrated approach combining **policy interventions, infrastructure development, teacher training, and inclusive content design**. Key recommendations include:

1) **Expanding Digital Infrastructure**:
   - Prioritize broadband connectivity in rural and remote areas, reducing dependence on unreliable networks.
   - Establish public access points in community centers and schools with solar-powered backups to mitigate electricity shortages.
2) **Enhancing Device Access**:
   - Provide subsidized smartphones, tablets, or shared devices for students from disadvantaged households.
   - Encourage device-sharing programs in local libraries or community learning hubs.
3) **Strengthening Digital Literacy**:
   - Implement structured teacher training modules via DIKSHA and SWAYAM focusing on **blended learning, digital pedagogy, and student engagement strategies**.
   - Offer student-focused digital literacy programs to enable effective use of platforms for self-directed learning.
4) **Inclusive Content Design**:
   - Develop culturally relevant and linguistically diverse resources to reach marginalized populations.
   - Integrate accessibility features for students with disabilities, including audio, Braille, sign language, and low-bandwidth compatible formats.
5) **Multiple Modes of Delivery**:
   - Leverage offline media such as **SWAYAM Prabha DTH channels, radio, and print** to supplement digital learning.
   - Provide pre-loaded content on USB drives or memory cards for learners without consistent internet access.
6) **Monitoring and Feedback Mechanisms**:
   - Use analytics dashboards to monitor usage, completion rates, and engagement patterns.
   - Collect user feedback systematically to iteratively refine content, platform usability, and pedagogical effectiveness.
7) **Policy and Funding Support**:
   - Ensure sustained government investment in digital infrastructure, teacher training, and content development.
   - Encourage public-private partnerships to enhance last-mile delivery and affordability.
   - Develop policies that guarantee equitable access, particularly for women, rural students, and marginalized communities.

By adopting these strategies, digital platforms can move from mere access provision toward **equitable, high-quality, and meaningful learning experiences**, ensuring that technology serves as a bridge rather than a barrier.

6. Conclusion and Future Research

This study demonstrates that platforms such as DIKSHA and SWAYAM have played a pivotal role in India's digital education ecosystem. DIKSHA has facilitated large-scale teacher development and school-level learning, while SWAYAM has democratized access to higher education through MOOCs and credit transfer systems. Together, they exemplify the potential of technology to enhance access, quality, and flexibility in education.

Nevertheless, challenges remain. Persistent disparities in infrastructure, device access, digital literacy, and content localization indicate that technology alone cannot fully resolve educational inequities. Equitable access requires targeted policy interventions, teacher capacity building, multimodal delivery systems, and culturally relevant content. Engagement, assessment credibility, and learning outcomes must remain central metrics for evaluating the success of digital platforms.

Future research should focus on **longitudinal studies** tracking learning outcomes across different socio-economic, geographic, and gender groups. Empirical studies examining the cost-effectiveness of digital interventions, behavioral engagement strategies, and adaptive learning technologies would provide valuable insights. Moreover, pilot programs integrating offline and hybrid modalities can be evaluated to inform scalable models of inclusive digital education.

In conclusion, DIKSHA and SWAYAM have laid a robust foundation for digital learning in India, but their transformative potential will only be fully realized when access translates into meaningful, equitable, and high-quality learning. Collaboration among policymakers, educators, communities, and technology developers is essential to ensure that digital education becomes a lever for social inclusion, lifelong learning, and national development.

References

[1] Agarwal, R. (2022). MOOCs and online learning in India: SWAYAM platform insights. New Delhi: National Institute of Educational Planning and Administration.
[2] Arora, S., & Srinivasan, R. (2021). Online education challenges during COVID-19: Evidence from India. International Journal of Educational Technology, 18(2), 45–60.
[3] Banerjee, A. (2022). Teacher engagement in DIKSHA: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Educational Technology in Practice, 12(1), 33–50.

[4] GSMA. (2020). The mobile gender gap report 2020: India country insights. London: GSMA.
[5] Jordan, K. (2015). Massive open online course completion rates revisited: Assessing trends and issues. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 16(3), 341–367.
[6] Kadian, R., & Rose, V. (2022). Technology enhanced learning and NEP 2020: Analytical review of e-content platforms in Indian education. Education Policy Review, 29(4), 67–89.
[7] Mishra, P., & Jha, S. (2022). Teacher digital literacy in rural India: A post-pandemic perspective. Journal of Education and Society, 15(3), 101–118.
[8] Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2021). PM eVidya programme report. New Delhi: MoE.
[9] Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2022). DIKSHA platform usage statistics. New Delhi: MoE.
[10] NSSO. (2021). Household social consumption on education in India. National Sample Survey Office.
[11] Pandey, S., Kumar, A., & Singh, P. (2021). Digital divide in Indian education: Socio-economic and regional disparities. Asian Journal of Education Studies, 10(2), 12–28.
[12] RSI International. (2021). DIKSHA implementation case study: Rajasthan. Jaipur: RSI International.
[13] Sharma, R., & Kaushik, V. (2021). MOOCs in India: Opportunities and challenges. Indian Journal of Open Learning, 30(1), 55–73.
[14] Sharma, T., & Verma, S. (2021). Teacher adoption of digital platforms: A study of DIKSHA. Journal of Educational Technology, 14(2), 77–93.
[15] UNESCO. (2023). SWAYAM platform report 2022–23: Learning statistics and analytics. Paris: UNESCO.
[16] UGC. (2018). Credit transfer regulations for online learning in higher education. University Grants Commission, India.
[17] Wikipedia. (2023). Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing (DIKSHA). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_Infrastructure_for_Knowledge_Sharing