# Correcting Common Misunderstandings of LorentzFitzgerald Length Contraction: A Guide to Accurate Measurements 

Dr. Hasmukh D Rathod<br>Kamalpur, Prantij, Gujarat, India<br>Email: drhdrathod[at]gmail.com<br>Mobile: 9909830127


#### Abstract

This article points out the mistake in a Lorentz Fitzgerald length contraction by explaining the restriction in the use of Lorentz Fitzgerald length contraction. It has been explained that for the two different points on the positive $X$ axis (i.e. $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ ), there can not be the same time value. Means one cannot measure two different distances by using Lorentz transformation simultaneously. So, the value $v t_{1}$ and $v t_{2}$ cannot be the same. Means we can't take $v t_{1}=v t_{2}=v t$ by saying that length $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are measured at same time " $t$ " so measured simultaneously. This yields different results when we calculate for Lorentz Fitzgerald length contraction. So, correction of the calculations is advised.
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## 1. Introduction

In the special theory of Relativity proposed by albert einstein, consequences of the postulates of the special theory of relativity leads to relative length contraction when two inertial reference frames move with uniform rectilinear velocity with reference to each other. That relative length
contraction is known as Lorentz Fitzgerald contraction because originally that was described by Hendric Lorentz and G.F. Fitzgerald. The erroneous logic used while calculating Lorentz Fitzgerald length contraction is shown in this article and correction is suggested.
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Figure 1
$S^{\prime}$ inertial reference frame is moving with uniform rectilinear velocity " v " along with positive X axis.

Rod is placed along with the positive X axis in a stationary reference frame S , Co-ordinates of the proximal end of the Rod is ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, 0,0, \mathrm{t}_{1}$ ) and co-ordinates of the distal end is ( $\mathrm{x}_{2}$, $0,0, \mathrm{t}_{2}$ )
$S^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ is a place where $S^{\prime}$ reach when it travels a distance $v t_{1}$ in a time $t_{1}$ while transforming the point $x_{1}$.
$\mathrm{S}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ is a place where $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ reach when it travels a distance $v t_{2}$ in a time $t_{2}$ while transforming the point $x_{2}$.

Let's derive corrected length contraction first.

Now,
Deriving Corrected length contraction using Lorentz transformation equations.

Lorentz transformation equations for distance or $x$ coordinates of the object are,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}= & \frac{x-v t}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}  \tag{1}\\
x= & \frac{x^{\prime}+v t^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$
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In figure 1 above the Rod is put along the X axis. Length of the rod in relation to stationary inertial reference frame or non-primed inertial reference frame S is proper length $l_{0}$. Length of the rod in relation to moving inertial reference frame or primed inertial reference frame $S^{\prime}$ is contracted length $l$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Proper length } & l_{0}=x_{2}-x_{1} \\
\text { Contracted length } & l=x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Now let's put value of (1) in equation no (4).

$$
\begin{gathered}
l=\frac{x_{2}-v t_{2}{ }^{\prime}-x_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}-\frac{x_{1}-v t_{1}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}} \\
l=\frac{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)-\frac{v}{c}\left(c t_{2}-c t_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \\
=\frac{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)-\frac{v}{c}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \\
=\frac{l_{0}-\frac{v}{c} l_{0}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \\
\text { Contracted length } l=l_{0} \frac{\left(1-\frac{v}{c}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \quad \text { where } v<c
\end{gathered}
$$

Above equation shows the value of contracted length $l$.
Length contraction is $\Delta l$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta l=l_{0}-l \\
\Delta l=l_{0}-l_{0} \frac{\left(1-\frac{v}{c}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \\
\Delta l=l_{0}\left(1-\frac{\left(1-\frac{v}{c}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}\right) \quad(\text { where }, \mathrm{v}<\mathrm{c})
\end{gathered}
$$

In a theory of special relativity, in a described calculation, $v t_{2}$ and $v t_{1}$ are considered same with the argument that $x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are measured simultaneously, so $t_{2}$ and $t_{1}$ remains same and $v t_{2}$ becomes equal to $v t_{1}$. So, that gets cancelled during calculations.

But The phrase " $x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are measured simultaneously" is an impossible task for $x_{2} \neq x_{1}$.
Let's understand this.
See Figure 2 for the Lorentz transformation Shown here.


Here, vt is the distance of $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ frame from the point O .
This is the distance that Reference frame $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ travels along the X axis in a time t .

Now what is this time $t$ !
This is the time taken by photon traveling with the speed c from O to co-ordinate $x$ of the point P in reference frame S . The point along the X axis with the same x co-ordinate value of the point P is denoted as Q in the figure 2 , So, $x=O Q$. So,
$c t=x$. Here c is the constant, so, value of $t$ is depending on the value of $x$.

As the value of $x$ changes, value of the $t$ will also change.
If we consider the value of $v$ constant,
when we calculate $x^{\prime}$, then value of $v t$ will depend on the value of $x$.

$$
v t=x v / c
$$

So, for $x_{1} \neq x_{2} \Leftrightarrow v t_{1} \neq v t_{2}$
Lorentz transformation equations are equations, those are made to transform co-ordinates of the point, only at one time, which time $t=x / c$. If the value of $x$ changes, then time $t$ will also get changed according to the value of $x$, you can't choose that time $t$ according to your free will for particular $x$ and can't choose same $t$ for two different $x$ values.

So, we can't measure both $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ simultaneously. Because when we apply Lorentz transformation Equation for point $x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, the value of $x_{2}$ will be equal to $c t_{2}$ where $t_{2}$ will be specific for $x_{2}$. In that time $t_{2}$ the primed or moving reference frame $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ will move a distance $v t_{2}$. which is also a specific distance depending on the value of $t_{2}$ and so, also depending on the value of $x_{2}$.
when we apply Lorentz transformation Equation for point $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, the value of $x_{1}$ will be equal to $c t_{1}$ where $t_{1}$ will be specific for $x_{1}$. In that time $t_{1}$ the moving reference frame $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ will move a distance $v t_{1}$. which is also a specific distance depending on the value of $t_{1}$ and so, also depending on the value of $x_{1}$.

So, the value of $v t_{2}$ can't be equal to $v t_{1}$, means a position of reference frame $S^{\prime}$ can't be at same place when we are applying the Lorentz transformation equations for two different points having two different value of x co-ordinates.

So, measuring distance for proximal and distal end of the rod simultaneously in a moving reference frame is not possible, because when we apply Lorentz transformation equation value of $\mathfrak{t}^{\prime}$ will be different for different x values when velocity v of the moving reference frame will remain constant. So, we can calculate value of $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $x_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ for respective value $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ at same velocity v , but we can't calculate them at the same time t .

Figure 2

Let's look at the length contraction described in the book "Relativity, The special and The General theory by Albert einstein, 1916"?

There, the rod is put in a moving reference frame with proximal end on the origin $(0,0)$. There, time $t_{1}$ becomes 0 , and time $t_{2}$ becomes t and, contracted length is $l=$ $x_{2}-0=x_{2}$
proper length is $l_{0}=x_{2}{ }^{\prime}-x_{1}{ }^{\prime}=\Upsilon\left(x_{2}-v t-x_{1}+v t\right)$
And so,

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{0}=\Upsilon\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \\
l_{0}=\Upsilon\left(x_{2}-0\right) \\
l_{0}=\Upsilon x_{2} \\
l_{0}=\Upsilon l \\
l=\frac{l_{0}}{\Upsilon} \\
l=l_{0} \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

So, in that calculation we can find contracted length as above. You may find the mistake in the calculation there because there $t_{1}$ is taken as 0 . And vt is canceled anyhow by taking $t_{2}=t=0$.

The line is there, "Lorentz transformation value of both end of the rod at time $t=0$ is as below"

Means in that case, in the book of the theory of relativity it is mentioned that the distance of the distal end of the rod placed in a moving reference frame can be calculated by Lorentz transformation equation in relation to stationary reference frame $S$ by taking $t=0$ value in the $v t$

This is the mistake, if proper distance $x_{2}$ is not zero, then $c t_{2}$ cannot be zero.

If $c t_{2}$ cannot be zero, then $v t_{2}$ cannot be zero. So, by using Lorentz transformation equation one cannot take the value of $\mathrm{t}=0$ for the point having value of $x$ coordinate more than zero. So, mistake is there in the Book of Relativity by albert Einstein that should be corrected as shown above.

At the time $\mathrm{t}=0$, origin of $S^{\prime}$ frame coincides with the origin of the frame $S$, and for that situation vt must be 0 . origin of $S^{\prime}$ frame moves a distance $v t$, when we try to transform $x$ co-ordinate of any point along the X axis having value more than 0 if we consider a velocity $v$ of $S^{\prime}$ more than 0 . So, when we try to transform the $x$ co-ordinate of the distal end of the Rod having non 0 length than, $x_{2}$ value must be more than 0 , that leads to value of $c t_{2}$ must be more than 0 , that further leads to value of $v t_{2}$ must be more than 0 and that will not allow the origin of the moving or primmed reference frame $S^{\prime}$ to be coincided with origin of the non-primed or stationary reference frame S .

## 2. Conclusion

There is a mistake in Lorentz Fitzgerald length contraction, by assuming $\quad v t_{1}=v t_{2}$ when $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$. Corrected
calculations must show contracted length $l$ by equation A and not by equation $C$.

$$
l=l_{0} \frac{\left(1-\frac{v}{c}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \quad \ldots(A) \quad(\text { where } v<c .)
$$

$l=l_{0} \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}$
So, correction is suggested in relevant theory.
Similar mistakes should also be corrected in the calculation of the time dilation.
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