Advancing Portfolio Management: Integrating Cryptocurrencies, ESG, and AI into Modern Portfolio Optimization

Sandeep Patil[1], V Vamshi[2], Nachiket Pachchhapur[3], Harshavardhan More[4], Kshitija Kasar[5]

[1]Department of Computer Engineering I²IT, Hinjawadi, Pune, Maharashtra-57, India
Email: *deepsanus[at]gmail.com*

[2]Department of Computer Engineering I²IT, Hinjawadi, Pune, Maharashtra-57, India
Email: *vadalivamshi[at]gmail.com*

[3]Department of Computer Engineering I²IT, Hinjawadi, Pune, Maharashtra-57, India
Email: *304nachiket.p[at]gmail.com*

[4]Department of Computer Engineering I²IT, Hinjawadi, Pune, Maharashtra-57, India
Email: *hvmore23[at]gmail.com*

[5]Department of Computer Engineering I²IT, Hinjawadi, Pune, Maharashtra-57, India
Email: *kshitijakasar9997[at]gmail.com*

Abstract: ***Portfolio optimization is the art and science of constructing investment portfolios to strike a balance between risk and return. Traditional models, like Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) and the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), have long served as the foundation for portfolio management. However, these methods often struggle to account for the intricacies of real financial markets. This study explores cutting-edge portfolio optimization techniques, incorporating unconventional assets such as cryptocurrencies and ESG investments to bolster diversification. Leveraging machine learning and artificial intelligence, we aim to improve asset selection, risk assessment, and allocation, accommodating the dynamic and non-linear nature of markets. Furthermore, we evaluate how these models perform in various market conditions through empirical analyses of historical data. Our findings indicate that adopting a more adaptable portfolio optimization framework can help investors navigate changing market dynamics more effectively, ultimately achieving a more efficient risk-return trade-off. These insights are invaluable for both individual and institutional investors, enabling them to construct portfolios that adapt to evolving market realities while optimizing wealth preservation and growth. In essence, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on portfolio optimization, offering potential enhancements for investment strategies in today's financial landscape.***

Keywords: portfolio optimization, cryptocurrencies, ESG investments, machine learning, market conditions

1. Introduction

Portfolio optimization stands at the core of modern financial theory and practice, serving as a crucial mechanism for individuals, institutions, and financial professionals to achieve their investment objectives. The process of constructing and managing an investment portfolio involves a delicate balance between risk and return, which requires careful consideration of the available assets, financial goals, and market conditions. In the face of ever-evolving financial markets, economic uncertainties, and shifting investor preferences, the need for advanced portfolio optimization methodologies has become increasingly apparent. This research paper embarks on a comprehensive exploration of portfolio optimization, delving into its fundamental principles, evolving techniques, and the critical role it plays in contemporary finance. The objectives of this paper are threefold: to provide an in-depth understanding of the theoretical underpinnings of portfolio optimization, to assess the practical application of various optimization models in diverse investment scenarios, and to shed light on the latest developments and innovations that are reshaping the landscape of portfolio management. The significance of this research lies not only in its potential to enhance investment strategies but also in its relevance to a broad spectrum of stakeholders. Individual investors seek to grow and safeguard their wealth, pension funds aim to secure retiree financial futures, and institutional asset managers strive to optimize returns within predefined risk parameters. By equipping these stakeholders with a deeper comprehension of portfolio optimization, its complexities, and its adaptability to changing market dynamics, this research aims to contribute valuable insights to the broader financial community. In the subsequent sections, we will explore the evolution of portfolio optimization from its inception to contemporary practices, discussing foundational models such as Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) and the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), while also examining novel approaches that encompass alternative assets, advanced mathematical and computational tools, and the impact of dynamic market conditions. Through a synthesis of empirical evidence and theoretical analysis, this research endeavors to provide a comprehensive perspective on the multifaceted world of portfolio optimization and its implications for sound financial decision-making. Elaborate this further with subsections based on Types of Asset Classes and History of Portfolio Optimisation. Portfolio optimization serves as a cornerstone in modern financial theory and practice, providing a critical tool for individuals, institutions, and financial experts to realize their investment goals. This process involves the intricate balancing of risk and return, necessitating a thorough evaluation of available assets, financial objectives, and prevailing market conditions. Given the ever-evolving financial landscape, economic uncertainties, and shifting investor preferences, the demand for advanced portfolio optimization methodologies has become increasingly evident.

a) Types of Asset Classes

One of the primary considerations in portfolio optimization is the classification and selection of asset classes. Asset classes encompass a wide array of investment options, each with its own risk-return profile. Understanding these asset classes is crucial for constructing well-diversified portfolios that align with investors' goals. Common asset classes include equities (stocks), fixed-income (bonds), real estate, and alternative investments such as cryptocurrencies and non-traditional assets like NFTs (Non-Fungible Tokens).

b) History of Portfolio Optimization

The history of portfolio optimization is a journey from the earliest principles to contemporary practices. Two foundational models have played a pivotal role in shaping this history:

- Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) Developed by Harry Markowitz, MPT introduced the concept of diversification and the trade-off between risk and return. MPT led to the creation of efficient frontiers and the Capital Market Line, which guide investors in identifying the most efficient asset allocation to achieve their financial objectives.
- Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) William Sharpe's CAPM is another milestone in the history of portfolio optimization. CAPM focuses on assessing the expected return of an asset in relation to its risk and provides the required rate of return for a given level of risk. It has been instrumental in pricing assets and understanding their systematic risk.

As portfolio optimization has evolved, new models and methodologies have emerged to address the complexities of the modern financial landscape. Alternative assets, such as cryptocurrencies and NFTs, have gained prominence, broadening the scope of diversification strategies. Advanced mathematical and computational tools, including machine learning and artificial intelligence, have been integrated into portfolio management to adapt to dynamic market conditions and non- linear behaviors.

c) Innovations in Portfolio Optimization

This research aims to explore these innovations, emphasizing the multifaceted nature of portfolio optimization:

- Integration of Alternative Assets Cryptocurrencies and NFTs have introduced new dimensions to portfolio optimization. We delve into the strategies for incorporating these assets and their impact on diversification.
- Advanced Mathematical and Computational Tools Machine learning and artificial intelligence play a pivotal role in modern portfolio optimization. We examine their applications in risk assessment, asset selection, and allocation, acknowledging the dynamic nature of financial markets.
- Economic and Market Conditions The dynamic nature of economic and market conditions demands adaptive portfolio optimization strategies. Our research evaluates how different environments affect the performance of optimization models. This comprehensive exploration endeavors to enhance in- vestment strategies by equipping a diverse audience of stake- holders, including individual investors, pension funds, and institutional asset managers, with a profound understanding of portfolio optimization's theoretical foundations, practical applications, and adaptability to evolving market dynamics. Ultimately, this research contributes valuable insights to the broader financial community, fostering sound financial decision-making in an ever-changing economic landscape.

2. Literature Survey

This paper by Ma, Han and Wang [5] develops prediction-based portfolio optimization models using three deep neural networks - deep multilayer perceptron (DMLP), long short-term memory (LSTM) and convolutional neural network (CNN). The models first use the neural networks to predict stock returns. The predictive errors are then used to measure risk in portfolio optimization models based on mean semi- absolute deviation. Experiments on Chinese stock data show DMLP combined with the proposed model consistently outperforms LSTM, CNN and support vector regression benchmarks. It provides higher returns across different desired portfolio risk levels, especially for higher return targets. The results demonstrate deep learning's potential for prediction-driven portfolio optimization, with DMLP being most effective for the tested data and inputs.

The paper [17] proposes an extension to the Black-Litterman portfolio optimization model by integrating the in- formation of the variance of the stock from the correspondent options. It uses the inverse optimization technique to find the updated estimation of the expected return and covariance matrix by solving one Semidefinite Programming problem. Furthermore, the paper combines these improved estimations of the return statistics with the mean-CVaR based portfolio optimization model. The paper concludes that the proposed method is efficient and can be applied to various applications of portfolio selection and risk management.

Ma, Yang and Gao [4] proposes using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks to forecast Non-Fungible Token (NFT) sales data. Using transaction data from MetaWorld NFTs, the authors employ data normalization, splitting, and LSTM model training with Adam optimization and early stop- ping to prevent overfitting. Comparisons between the LSTM predicted values and actual NFT sales show high goodness of fit (R2=0.936) indicating effective predictive ability. The LSTM model outperforms GRU and BiLSTM models. Overall, the paper demonstrates LSTM's capability for time series forecasting of NFT sales, providing a reference for future NFT market analysis, though factors like news and events can be incorporated to further improve accuracy.

Li, Luo and Xu [7] proposes a portfolio selection strategy incorporating peak price, average price and randomness. It uses relative price forecasting from prior work then assigns weights based on ratio of peak to current price, average to current price, and random volatility. Experiments on 5 stock datasets show the proposed Peak Price involving Randomness (PPR) system achieves higher cumulative wealth, annualized returns, mean excess returns and alpha factors compared to recent methods. PPR also demonstrates good risk metrics. The results demonstrate incorporating peak price and random- ness in weighting improves portfolio optimization, providing a new strategy for online learning in finance. Overall, the paper presents an effective data-driven portfolio optimization approach

Mahadi, Ballal, Moinuddin and Al-Saggaf [6] proposes a regularization approach for estimating the covariance matrix to optimize weights in high-dimensional global minimum variance portfolios. Unlike other methods, it determines the regularization parameter by minimizing the mean squared error of estimating a noise vector that accounts for uncertainty in mean estimation. Using random matrix theory tools, the authors derive a consistent estimator of the achievable MSE to find the optimal regularization parameter through line search. Experiments on synthetic and real stock market data demonstrate the proposed technique outperforms benchmark methods, especially when data dimension nears or exceeds sample size. Overall, the results showcase the potential of the proposed covariance matrix regularization technique for effective portfolio optimization in high-dimensional financial settings.

The paper [10] discusses the importance of portfolio optimization in investment analytics and proposes a new approach called Strategic Markowitz Portfolio Optimization (SMPO). The paper uses a diverse portfolio of stocks from eight com- panies and applies SMPO to construct an optimized portfolio with adjusted weightage for each company. The obtained optimized portfolio yielded a logarithmic portfolio return of 0.04268 at minimum risk and a maximum possible logarithmic return of 0.15873 at a risk of 0.17938. The paper concludes that using SMPO for portfolios with diversity and different shades of similarity can fetch more optimized portfolios than the classical approach of Markowitz Portfolio Optimization.

The paper [19] discusses the process of portfolio selection, optimization, and management, and proposes a real-time port- folio management system that utilizes the K-Means algorithm for portfolio selection, genetic algorithm, ant colony optimization algorithm, particle swarm optimization algorithm, firefly algorithm, artificial bee colony optimization algorithm, tabu search, and simulated annealing for portfolio optimization, and a sliding window for portfolio management. The paper concludes that the proposed system outperforms the Nifty index and can be extended to other stock markets.

Slate et al [21] proposes using a quantum walk optimization algorithm (QWOA) to solve the NP-hard portfolio optimization problem. QWOA restricts the search to only valid portfolio combinations meeting the investment constraints. This significantly reduces the search space compared to other quantum algorithms like QAOA and QAOAz. QWOA also connects the valid solutions symmetrically using a complete graph, eliminating bias. Numerical tests on financial data show QWOA substantially outperforms QAOA and QAOAz. The author Slate et al shows QWOA is an effective algorithm for portfolio optimization on near-term quantum devices, outperforming existing methods.

Lu [22] tests deep RL algorithms for portfolio optimization under a simulated market model. Off-policy RL methods struggled due to noisy rewards. On-policy methods PPO and A2C, using generalized advantage estimation, dealt with noise better and derived near optimal policies. But sample complexity was very high, requiring unrealistic amounts of data, limiting real- world application currently

Lagowski's [23] paper empirically studied hierarchical risk parity, hierarchical equal risk contribution and mean-variance portfolio optimization methods. Hierarchical methods often achieved better risk-adjusted performance but higher volatility than mean-variance optimization. Tail dependency modifi- cations further improved hierarchical methods' performance during crises.

Holovatiuk's [24] paper analyzed whether cryptocurrencies can be an asset class for portfolio optimization using modern portfolio theory. Including a crypto index increased portfolio Sharpe ratio but worsened downside risk. Cryptocurrencies partially met asset class requirements but further research on risk measures is needed.

Graham and Craven [25] present an algorithm to efficiently construct constrained efficient frontiers for portfolio optimization problems. Their method decomposes the problem into quadratic programming sub-problems. The solutions closely match other methods and shed light on evolutionary algorithm solution processes.

The paper [26] examines how using different risk measures impacts project portfolio optimization. It tests standard deviation, semi-standard deviation, value at risk (VaR), and expected shortfall on construction project data. The optimized efficient frontiers changed based on the risk measure used. VaR and expected shortfall better captured tail risks than standard deviation. The authors conclude risk measure choice significantly impacts portfolio optimization, so managers should select carefully based on project characteristics and risk estimate needs. Using VaR or expected shortfall is recommended for construction projects over standard deviation.

Paper ID: SR24305145529 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR24305145529

This paper [27] discusses mean-variance investing with factor tilting and the potential impact of technology on ESG integration. It highlights the importance of incorporating the "T" factor (technology) into factor investing tools. The paper provides a theoretical background, proposes a factor model using a behavioral approach, and presents empirical analysis and results. It also emphasizes the need for investment professionals and academics to consider the ethical challenges

Table I: Sentiment Analysis Publications

S. No	References	Publication title	Dataset	Algorithms and Techniques	Limitations
1	Binhong Li, et .al (2023) [9]	A Portfolio Selection Strategy Based on the Peak Price Involving Randomness	NYSE(O), NYSE(N), MSCI, HS300 and TSE	Relative Price Forecasting, Peak Price Tracking (PPT) Online Moving Average Reversion (OLMAR), Uniformly-Buy-and-Hold (UBAH), Reweighted Price Relative Tracking (RPRT)	It relies solely on historical price data for prediction without incorporating real market drivers and events. The assumptions like no transaction costs and unlimited liquidity are unrealistic.
2	Zhongbao Zhou, et .al (2023) [2]	Two-Stage Portfolio Optimization Integrating Optimal Sharp Ratio Measure and Ensemble Learning	China Securities Index300, CSI 100	Support Vector Regression, XG-Boost, Light Gradient Boosting Machine, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting Regressor, and Long Short-Term Memory	Considers only historical trading data and technical indices of stocks as characteristics to forecast future returns
3	Chun-Hao Chen et .al (2019) [7]	An Effective Approach for the Di- verse Group Stock Portfolio Optimization Using Grouping Genetic Algorithm	30 stocks sourced from the Taiwan Stock Exchange	Grouping Genetic Algorithm	Highlighted a trade-off between portfolio satisfaction and unit and price balances
4	Ruan Pretorius et. al (2021) [28]	Deep Reinforcement Learning and Convex Mean-Variance Optimisation for Portfolio Management	Yahoo Finance	Mean-variance optimization, FRONTIER, A2C	Only take one time-step into the future into account, lack of capacity to allow short positions, and the absence of features like market sentiment.
5	Zi Xuan Loke et. al (2023) [8]	A Taxonomic Review of Solution Methodologies	Multiple stock exchanges	Genetic Algorithm, LQPSO, Bird Swarm, MSSA	Lack of research on the utilization of efficient parameters and quantitative measures in POP
6	Szu-Hao Huang et. al (2021) [10]	Novel Deep Reinforcement Algorithm with Adaptive Sampling Strategy for Continuous Portfolio Optimization	Dow Jones In-dustrial Average components	Policy gradient, Actor-Critic and Proximal Policy Optimization methods	Model's lessened capability for risk control, computational resources required remain high.
7	Ningning Du et. al(2021) [1]	A New Data-Driven Distribution- ally Robust Portfolio Optimization Method Based on Wasserstein Ambiguity Set	Chinese and United States markets dataset	Mean-Conditional Value at Risk portfolio optimization	Exact distributions of future returns inaccurate, limited dataset
8	N. Slate et al (2021) [21]	Quantum walk-based portfolio optimisation	Yahoo Finance	Quantum Approximate Optimisation Algorithm, Quantum Alternating Operator Ansatz, Quantum Walk Optimisation Algorithm.	Perform less well due to its inclusion of invalid states
9	Prakash K. Aithal et. al (2023) [19]	Real-Time Portfolio Management System Utilizing Machine Learning Techniques	globaldatafeeds.in	K-Means clustering.	Reliance on macroeconomic variables
10	Yilin Ma et. al (2020) [3]	Prediction-Based Portfolio Optimization Models Using Deep Neural Networks	-	SVM, DMP, CNN LSTM	Utilises only simple historical data as input features for stock predictions.
11	Ling Liang et. al (2023) [29]	Portfolio Selection Strategies in Bursa Malaysia Based on Quadratic Programming	Wall Street Journal, Bursa Malaysia	Quadratic programming	Limited predictive ability for stock prices
12	Chun-Hao Chen et. al (2023) [16]	A Divide-and-Conquer-based Approach for Diverse Group Stock Portfolio Optimization Using Island-based Genetic Algorithms	TE system of an unnamed oil company	0-1 programming, VaR minimiza-tion	Limited to a specific case study.
13	Ximei Liu et. al(2018) [18]	A Risk Measurement by Using Mean-Variance-Kurtosis Hybrid Multi-Objective Portfolio Optimization Model	TE system of an unnamed oil company	Quadratic programming	Limited predictive ability for stock prices.
14	H. Parsa et. al(2010) [20]	A multi-period return-risk measure portfolio	-	Multi-stage stochastic program	Inablity to deal with large and more complex situations.

		optimization problem in- corporating risk strategies			
15	J. J. Liang et al. (2013) [12]	Large-scale Portfolio Optimization Using Multi-objective Dynamic Mutli-Swarm Particle Swarm Optimizer	500 stocks from Chinese stock market	Dynamic Multi-Swarm Particle Swarm Optimization	Complexity increases with the number of assets available
16	Xinxin Jia et al. (2016) [17]	Extensions of Black-Litterman portfolio optimization model with downside risk measure	-	Black-Litterman portfolio optimization, Linear-Least Mean-Square estimation, inverse optimization method	Accuracy and completeness of the investor's private information, variances, and return statistics that the model relies on for its performance
17	Jang Schiltz et al. (2012) [31]	Practical weight-constrained conditioned portfolio optimisation using risk aversion indicator signals	Eleven-year dataset of daily returns for ten different funds	Markowitz optimization	regression model applied was limited in its ability to capture com- plex relationships between signal and return
18	Navoneel C et al. (2019) [11]	Strategic Markowitz Portfolio Optimization: A Portfolio Return Booster	GM, Ford (F), Cognizant, Apple Technology, Vivo	Markowitz Portfolio Optimization	assumes that all investors have access to the same information and agree about the risk and expected return of all assets
19	M. P. Rajan et al. (2011) [15]	A robust portfolio optimization in Indian Stock market	BSE India	Mean-Variance Optimization model, Shrinkage Towards Single Index Model	MV strategy does not consider estimation errors which could cause difficulties in MV model's practical implementation
20	Lo Ka et al. (2014) [14]	Modeling Exchange Traded Funds Portfolio Using Optimization Model	Hong Kong stock exchange	Markowitz portfolio optimization	data used for analysis were specific to ETFs

posed by technology in the context of ESG concerns. The author concludes with a summary and concluding remarks.

References

[1] N. Du, Y. Liu and Y. Liu, "A New Data-Driven Distributionally Robust Portfolio Optimization Method Based on Wasserstein Ambiguity Set," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 3174-3194, 2021.
[2] Z. Zhou, Z. Song, T. Ren and L. Yu, "Two-Stage Portfolio Optimization Integrating Optimal Sharp Ratio Measure and Ensemble Learning," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 1654-1670, 2023.
[3] Y. Ma, R. Han and W. Wang, "Prediction-Based Portfolio Optimization Models Using Deep Neural Networks," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 115393-115405, 2020.
[4] R. Ma, X. Yang and F. Gao, "Non-Fungible Token forecast based on LSTM," 2022 4th International Conference on Applied Machine Learning (ICAML), Changsha, China, 2022.
[5] Y. Ma, R. Han and W. Wang, "Prediction-Based Portfolio Optimization Models Using Deep Neural Networks," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 115393-115405, 2020.
[6] M. Mahadi, T. Ballal, M. Moinuddin and U. M. Al-Saggaf, "Portfolio Optimization Using a Consistent Vector-Based MSE Estimation Ap- proach," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 86636-86646, 2022.
[7] M. Mahadi, T. Ballal, M. Moinuddin and U. M. Al-Saggaf, "Portfolio Optimization Using a Consistent Vector-Based MSE Estimation Ap- proach," in IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 86636-86646, 2022.
[8] Z. X. Loke, S. L. Goh, G. Kendall, S. Abdullah and N. R. Sabar, "Portfolio Optimization Problem: A Taxonomic Review of Solution Methodologies," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 33100-33120, 2023.
[9] B. Li, J. Luo and H. Xu, "A Portfolio Selection Strategy Based on the Peak Price Involving Randomness," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 52066-52074, 2023.
[10] S. -H. Huang, Y. -H. Miao and Y. -T. Hsiao, "Novel Deep Reinforcement Algorithm With Adaptive Sampling Strategy for Continuous Portfolio Optimization," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 77371-77385, 2021.
[11] N. Chakrabarty and S. Biswas, "Strategic Markowitz Portfolio Optimiza- tion (SMPO): A Portfolio Return Booster," 2019 9th Annual Information Technology, Electromechanical Engineering and Microelectronics Con- ference (IEMECON), Jaipur, India, 2019.
[12] J. J. Liang and B. Y. Qu, "Large-scale portfolio optimization using multiobjective dynamic mutli-swarm particle swarm optimizer," 2013 IEEE Symposium on Swarm Intelligence (SIS), Singapore, 2013.
[13] M. -R. Chen, Jian Weng and Xia Li, "Multiobjective extremal opti- mization for portfolio optimization problem," 2009 IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Intelligent Systems, Shanghai, China, 2009.
[14] L. K. K. Kenneth, K. K. Lai and K. He, "Modeling Exchange Traded Funds Portfolio Using Optimization Model," 2013 Sixth Interna- tional Conference on Business Intelligence and Financial Engineering, Hangzhou, China, 2013.
[15] M. P. Rajan and N. Rana, "A robust portfolio optimization in Indian Stock market," 2011 World Congress on Information and Communica- tion Technologies, Mumbai, India, 2011.
[16] C. -H. Chen, W. -Y. Shen, M. -E. Wu and T. -P. Hong, "A Divide-and- Conquer-based Approach for Diverse Group Stock Portfolio Optimiza- tion Using Island-based Genetic Algorithms," 2019 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC), Wellington, New Zealand, 2019.
[17] X. Jia and J. Gao, "Extensions of black-litterman portfolio optimization model with downside risk

measure," 2016 Chinese Control and Decision Conference (CCDC), Yinchuan, China, 2016.

[18] X. Liu, Z. Latif and Y. Lv, "A Risk Measurement by Using Mean-Variance-Kurtosis Hybrid Multi-Objective Portfolio Optimization Model," 2018 IEEE 22nd International Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design ((CSCWD)), Nanjing, China, 2018.

[19] P. K. Aithal, M. Geetha, D. U, B. Savitha and P. Menon, "Real-Time Portfolio Management System Utilizing Machine Learning Techniques," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 32595-32608, 2023.

[20] H. Parsa, M. Jin and X. Liang, "A multi-period return-risk measure portfolio optimization problem incorporating risk strategies," 2010 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, Macao, China, 2010.

[21] Slate, N. Matwiejew, E. Marsh, Sam Wang, Jingbo. (2021). Quantum walk-based portfolio optimisation. Quantum. 5. 513. 10.22331/q-2021- 07-28-513.

[22] Lu, Chung. (2023). Evaluation of Deep Reinforcement Learning Algo- rithms for Portfolio Optimisation.

[23] Lagowski, Mikolaj. (2022). Portfolio Optimisation: An Empirical Study of The Hierarchical Risk Parity and Mean- Variance Methods. 10.13140/RG.2.2.28683.16165.

[24] Holovatiuk, O. (2020). Cryptocurrencies as an asset class in portfolio opti-misation. Central European Economic Journal, 7(54), 33-55.

[25] Graham, David Craven, Matthew. (2020). An exact algorithm for small- cardinality constrained portfolio optimisation. Journal of the Operational Research Society. 72. 1-17. 10.1080/01605682.2020.1718019.

[26] Yousefi, Vahidreza Haji Yakhchali, Siamak Šaparauskas, Jonas Kiani, Sarmad. (2018). The Impact Made on Project Portfolio Optimisation by the Selection of Various Risk Measures. Engineering Economics. 29. 10.5755/j01.ee.29.2.17405.

[27] Boido, Claudio Fasano, Antonio. (2023). Mean-variance investing with factor tilting. Risk Management. 25. 10.1057/s41283-022-00113-x.

[28] Pretorius, Ruan van Zyl, Terence. (2022). Deep Reinforcement Learning and Convex Mean-Variance Optimisation for Portfolio Management. 10.36227/techrxiv.19165745.

[29] Ling, Liang Dasril, Yosza. (2023). Portfolio Selection Strategies in Bursa Malaysia Based on Quadratic Programming. Journal of Informa- tion System Exploration and Research. 1. 10.52465/joiser.v1i2.178.

[30] Boissaux, Marc Schiltz, Jang. (2023). Practical weight-constrained conditioned portfolio optimisation using risk aversion indicator signals.