International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

Effectiveness of Self- Instructional Module for Enhancing Knowledge and Attitude towards Angina Pectoris Prevention among Businessmen

Dr. Manoj Kumar Sharma

Professor, Principal, College of Nursing, Harish Hospital, Alwar (Rajasthan), India Email: manojsharma198[at]gmail.com

Abstract: <u>Background</u>: A healthy heart is a vital for living life regardless of age or gender. According research studies Cardio Vascular disease have now become the leading causes of mortality in India. Angina pectoris and stroke are predominant causes and responsible for 80% of CVD deaths. Angina pectoris is associated with the habits and life styles of people. The healthy life style modification of general population can bring a reduction in morbidity and mortality rate due to angina pectoris. Hence there is a necessity of health education module for young adults regarding health life style for prevention angina pectoris. <u>Objective</u>: The objective of study is assessing the effectiveness of self-instructional module regarding prevention of angina pectoris among businessmen. <u>Methodology</u>: A quantitative research approach with quasi-experimental research design was used in present study. Two hundred businessmen of Alwar District were selected by convenient sampling technique. For data collection demographic tools, structured knowledge questionnaire and attitude scale on angina pectoris were used. Ethical permission and informed consent were taken from study participants. <u>Result</u>: The statistical finding shows that during pre-test maximum businessmen having inadequate knowledge and unfavourable attitude regarding prevention of angina pectoris. After providing self instructional module in experimental group, most of businessmen got adequate correct knowledge and favorable attitude regarding prevention of angina pectoris. <u>Conclusion</u>: Findings of the research study conclude that self instructional module was very effective method for increase correct knowledge and good attitude among businessmen regarding angina pectoris.

Keywords: Businessmen, Angina pectoris, Knowledge, Attitude

1. Introduction

The French Quotes Angina usually causes uncomfortable pressure, fullness, squeezing or pain in the center of the chest. You may also feel the discomfort in your neck, jaw, shoulder, back or arm. Angina pectoris is a severe chest pain due to ischemia of the heart muscle, generally due to obstruction or spasm of the coronary arteries. (1)

As these may hear myocardial infarction, they require urgent medical attention and are generally treated as a presumed heart attack, likely as men are to have a heart attack, The number of people who go to the hospital for heart disease every year is about 3.7 million. And a whopping 12.4 million people make heart disease related visits to their physician every year (2).

According World Heart Federation "more people die from CVD worldwide than from any other cause: over 20.5 million death every year, of these 85% were due to coronary heart diseases and cerebrovascular diseases and mostly affect low and middle-income countries ⁽³⁾.

Ischemic heart disease (IHD) is the leading cause of death worldwide (4).

The prevalence of coronary heart disease is higher in India, because of fast life, physical inactivity, people are under stress, adopt unhealthy food pattern, and there is no excuse all these risk factors predispose to coronary heart disease. The research studies conducted across India found that 13.5 % of adult population in urban area and 7.4 % of population in rural areas suffering from heart diseases ⁽⁵⁾.

According to the World Health Organization, India accounts for one- fifth of CVDs worldwide especially in younger population. The results of Global Burnden of Disease study state age –standardized CVD death rate of 272 per 100000 population in India⁽⁶⁾.

2. Objectives of the Study

- To assess the knowledge and attitude on prevention of angina pectoris among businessmen in experimental and control group.
- To assess the effectiveness of self-instructional module on prevention of angina pectoris among businessmen in experimental group.
- To compare the knowledge and attitude on prevention of angina pectoris among businessmen between experimental and control group
- To associate the knowledge and attitude on prevention of angina pectoris among businessmen with their demographic variables in experimental and control group.

3. Methods

Quantitative research with quasi-experimental design was adopted to assess the effectiveness of self-instructional module on knowledge and attitude regarding prevention of angina pectoris among businessmen. Total 200 businessmen residing in Alwar district were selected by convenient sampling technique. Data was collected by administering structured knowledge questionnaire and attitude scale. The reliability of the tools was checked by using split half technique and Spearman Brown prophecy formula. Data

Volume 13 Issue 2, February 2024
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

analysis was done by using descriptive as well as inferential statistics. Ethical Committee permission was obtained from the concerned institutional authorities. Written consent was obtained from study participants.

4. Results

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of Businessmen by frequency and percentages (n=200)

Demographic variables	frequency and percentages (ii=200)						
Comparison			Gro	up			
(n=100) (n=100) (n=100) f % f % %	Demographic variables	Expe	rimental	Control			
Age in year a) 31 -35 b) 36 -40 B) 36 -40 B) 36 -40 B) 36 -40 B) Female B) Female B) Female B) Female B) Secondary education B) Secondar	Demographic variables	(n	=100)		=100)		
a) 31 -35		f	%	f	%		
b) 36 -40 36 36% 44 44 % Sex a) Male 97 97% 96 96% b) Female 3 3% 4 4% Education Status a) Primary education 20 20% 24 24% b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs) a) 5000-10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000-15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000-20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	Age in year						
Sex 1 a) Male 97 97% 96 96% b) Female 3 3% 4 4% Education Status 20 20% 24 24% b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs) 30 30% a) 5000- 10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000- 15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000- 20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area 3 4 44% 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information 3) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	a) 31 -35	64	64%	56	56 %		
a) Male 97 97% 96 96% b) Female 3 3% 4 4% Education Status a) Primary education 20 20% 24 24% b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs) a) 5000-10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000-15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000-20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	b) 36 -40	36	36%	44	44 %		
b) Female 3 3% 4 4% Education Status a) Primary education 20 20% 24 24% b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs) a) 5000-10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000-15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000-20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	Sex						
Education Status 20 20% 24 24% b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs) 30 30% a) 5000- 10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000- 15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000- 20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area 3) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	a) Male	97	97%	96	96%		
a) Primary education 20 20% 24 24% b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs) a) 5000-10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000-15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000-20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	b) Female	3	3%	4	4%		
b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs)	Education Status						
b) Secondary education 54 54% 40 40% c) Higher education 26 26% 36 36% Monthly income (Rs)	a) Primary education	20	20%	24	24%		
Monthly income (Rs) Second Process a) 5000- 10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000- 15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000- 20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%		54	54%	40	40%		
Monthly income (Rs) Second Process a) 5000- 10000 28 28% 30 30% b) 11000- 15000 46 46% 45 45% c)15000- 20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	c) Higher education	26	26%	36	36%		
b) 11000- 15000							
c)15000- 20000 26 26% 15 15% Residence area a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	a) 5000- 10000	28	28%	30	30%		
Residence area Unit of the control of the	b) 11000- 15000	46	46%	45	45%		
a) Rural 40 40% 44 44% b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	c)15000- 20000	26	26%	15	15%		
b) Urban 60 60% 56 56% Source of information a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	Residence area						
Source of information Use of information 40 40% 43 43% a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	a) Rural	40	40%	44	44%		
a) Family members & friends 40 40% 43 43% b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	b) Urban	60	60%	56	56%		
b) Mass Media 25 25% 27 27%	Source of information						
	a) Family members & friends	40	40%	43	43%		
c) Health personal 35 35% 30 30%	b) Mass Media	25	25%	27	27%		
	c) Health personal	35	35%	30	30%		

Table No-1 shows that in experimental group most of participants (64%) and in control group (56%) were in between 31-35year of age, in experimental group (54%) and (40%) in control group were secondary educated. Nearby half of participants of both group having monthly income from 11000-15000 Rs/ month. 60 % in control group & 56 % in experimental group living in urban area. Nearby half of study participants of both groups have information about angina pectoris from family members and friends.

Table 2: Pre-test level of knowledge score. n=200

Laval of Imageladas	Exper	imental	Control		
Level of knowledge	f	%	f	%	
Inadequate	91	91%	90	90%	
Moderately adequate	9	9%	10	10%	
Adequate	00	00%	00	00%	
Total	100		100		

Table No- 2 shows that maximum businessmen of both groups have inadequate knowledge regarding prevention of angina pectoris

Table 3: Pre-test level of attitude score. n=200

Level of attitude	Expe	rimental	Control		
Level of attitude	f	%	f	%	
Unfavourable	90	90%	88	88.0%	
Moderately favourable	10	10%	12	12 %	
Favourable	00	00%	00	00%	
Total	100	100 %	100	100%	

Table no- 3 show that in experimental group 90% businessmen and in control group 88% had unfavourable attitude regarding prevention of angina pectoris.

Table 4: Post-test level of knowledge score. n=200

Knowledge level	Expe	rimental	Control		
Kilowieuge ievei	f	%	f	%	
Inadequate	00	00%	88	88%	
Moderately adequate	21	21%	12	12%	
Adequate	79	79%	00	00%	

Table no- 4 highlight post test result which shows that in experimental group 79% of study participants have adequate knowledge regarding prevention of angina pectoris after teaching programme but in control group 88 % of participants have inadequate knowledge.

Table 5: Post-test level of attitude score. n=200

I1 -£ -44:41-	Expe	rimental	Control		
Level of attitude	f	%	f	%	
Unfavourable	00	00%	86	86%	
Moderately favourable	16	16%	14	14%	
Favourable	84	84%	00	00%	

Table No- 5 show that after giving teaching maximum study participants (84%) in experimental group have favourable attitude regarding prevention of angina pectoris and 86 % participants have unfavourable attitude in control group.

Table 6: Effectiveness of Self-Instructional Module. n=200

Group	Variables	Test	Max score	Mean score	Mean Difference	Percentage Difference	
	Knowledge	Pre-test	20	6.28	9.56	47.8%	
Experimental	Knowledge	Post-test	20	15.84	9.30	47.8%	
Experimental	Attitude	Pre-test	150	54.28	60.17	46.5%	
		Post-test	150	123.45	69.17		
	TZ 1 1	Pre-test	20	6.51	0.26	1.8%	
Control	Knowledge	Post-test	20	6.87	0.36		
Control	A ttitu do	Pre-test	150	56.42	2.61	1.6%	
	Attitude	Post-test	150	59.03	2.01		

Table no- 6 shows after providing self instructional module businessmen in experimental group improve 47.8% of knowledge whereas in control groups only 1.8% was improved and regarding attitude businessmen who were in experimental group have improve 46.5% whereas in control groups only 1.6% improve. It indicates that self-instructional module regarding prevention of angina pectoris was very effective.

> Volume 13 Issue 2, February 2024 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

Table 7: Comparison of Pre-Test and Post-Test Knowledge score in Experimental Group. n=200

Domains		Pre-test		Post-test		Difference	P-Value
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Difference	P-value
Disease aspect of a	ngina pectoris	1.04	.60	2.52	.73	1.48	0.001 **
Risk factors and	management	5.24	1.78	13.32	1.69	8.08	0.001 **
Total So	core	6.28	1.95	15.84	1.83	9.56	0.001 **

Student paired t-test

Table no 7 highlight that disease aspect of angina pectoris and risk factors and their management were statistically significant.

Table 8: Comparison of Pre-Test and Post-Test Knowledge score in Control Group (n=200)

Domains	Pre-test		Post-	test	Difference	P-Value	
Domains	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Difference	r-value	
Disease aspect of angina pectoris	1.11	.60	1.25	.59	0.14	0.07	
Risk factors and their management	5.40	1.61	5.62	1.59	0.22	0.06	
Total Score	6.51	1.73	6.87	1.73	0.36	0.07	

Table No 8 shows that in control group knowledge score was not statistically significant.

Table 9: Comparison of pre-test and post-test attitude score in experimental group (n=200)

Attitude Domain	Pre-	test	Post-test		Mean	P-Value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Difference	
Smoking	9.40	2.95	21.03	2.91	11.63	0.001 ***
Diet	9.20	2.22	21.50	2.75	12.30	0.001 ***
Obesity	9.90	4.20	20.44	4.39	10.54	0.001 ***
Stress	9.10	2.69	23.48	4.62	14.38	0.001 ***
Other risk factors	16.68	4.44	37.00	5.80	20.32	0.001 ***
Total	54.28	9.90	123.45	6.75	69.17	0.001 ***

Student paired t-test

Table no 9 shows that in experimental group pre-test and post test score were statistically significant.

Table 10: Comparison of pre-test and post-test attitude score in control group (n=200)

Attitude Domain	Pre-	test	Post-	test	Mean	P- Value	
Attitude Domain	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Difference	r- value	
Smoking	10.00	2.46	10.26	2.32	0.26	0.17	
Diet	9.65	1.78	10.02	1.81	0.37	0.12	
Obesity	10.35	3.50	10.70	3.48	0.35	0.14	
Stress	9.60	2.32	10.35	2.38	0.75	0.10	
Other risk factors	16.82	4.76	17.70	4.86	0.88	0.19	
Total	56.42	7.54	59.03	6.95	2.61	0.09	

Student paired t-test

Table No- 10 shows that in control group pre-test and post test attitude domains score in control group were not statistically significant

Table 11: Association between knowledge score and demographic variables in experimental group (n=100)

		Knowledge gain score						
Demographic variables	f	Post	-test	Pre-	Pre-test		P- Value	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Difference		
Age in year								
31-35	64	15.7	1.87	6.39	2.03	9.31	P=0.01**	
36-40	36	16.18	1.76	6.15	1.83	10.03		
Sex								
Male	97	15.81	1.85	6.28	1.98	9.54		
Female	3	16.67	0.58	6.33	0.58	10.33		
Education								
Primary	20	15.55	1.99	6.65	2.13	8.9		
Secondary	54	15.78	1.67	6.04	1.78	9.74	P=0.05*	
Higher	16	15.6	1.9	6.3	1.77	9.3	P=0.05*	
Graduate & above	10	15.98	1.67	6.04	1.78	9.93		
Monthly income Rs								
< 5000	4	15.83	2.24	6.88	2.58	8.96	P=0.01**	

Volume 13 Issue 2, February 2024
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

^{***}significant,

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

6000 -10000	24	15.42	2	5.96	1.87	9.46	significant
11000 - 15000	46	16.5	0.58	7	0.82	9.5	
Rs.15000	26	16.02	1.54	6.03	1.63	9.99	
Residence Place							
Rural	40	16.45	1.47	6.88	1.81	9.57	
Urban	60	15.43	1.94	5.88	1.95	9.55	
Source of health information							
Family members	20	16.45	1.57	6.9	2.15	9.55	
Neighbours	20	16.45	1.39	6.85	1.46	9.6	
Mass Media	25	16.24	1.74	6.52	1.85	9.72	
Health Personal	35	14.86	1.9	5.43	1.91	9.43	

Out of the several demographic variables, level of education and their age were significantly associated with knowledge gain score on prevention of angina pectoris.

5. Discussion

In the present study it was found that the self-instructional module was effective in improving the knowledge and attitude regarding prevention of angina pectoris among businessmen. Findings are consistent with systematic review study conducted by Lindsey Anderson, James PR Brown, Alexander M Clark et al. "Patient education in the management of coronary heart disease", The study result shows that in control group education-based interventions was effective to improve health-related quality of life⁷.

Strength

Enhancement of knowledge and attitude regarding prevention of angina pectoris individual and community level is the most urgent need to reduce the incidence of cardiovascular disease

Limitations

Study design was confined only 200 businessmen age group 30-40 years as a study participant.

Funding: None

Conflict of Interest: There is no conflict of interest

References

- [1] www.emedicine.medscape.com/articles 150215.Clinical
- [2] www.ncbi.clm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/P C2158369/PDF/jroyalcgprac00285-0017.pdf
- [3] https://world-heart-federation.org > world-heart-day
- [4] Global Naghavi M., regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet (London, England). 2017; 390:1151–210. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [5] Gupta R, Joshi P, Mohan V, Reddy KS, Yusuf S. Epidemiology and causation of coronary heart disease and stroke in India. Heart. 2008;94:16–26. [PubMed] [Google Scholar].
- [6] Prabhakaran D., Jeemon P., Roy A. Cardiovascular diseases in India. Circulation. 2016;133:1605–1620. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

[7] Lindsey Anderson, James Pr Brown, Alexander M Clark, Hasnain Dalal, Henriette K Rossau, Patient education in the management of coronary heart disease, Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017 Jun 28;6(6):CD008895. [PubMed]

Volume 13 Issue 2, February 2024
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net