International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

"Tally of the Jelly": A Comprehensive Case Series of 8 Intriguing Encounters of Mucinous Breast Carcinoma

Mayura Phulpagar¹, Pravitha²

¹Additional Professor, Department of Pathology, Topiwala National Medical College and BYL Nair Charitable Hospital, Affiliated to Maharashtra University of Health Sciences Email: mayuraph[at]yahoo.com

²Junior Resident, Department of Pathology, Topiwala National Medical College and BYL Nair Charitable Hospital, Affiliated to Maharashtra University of Health Sciences

Email: pravithapeter[at]gmail.com

Abstract: <u>Background</u>: Mucinous carcinoma (MC) (also called colloid carcinoma). Mucinous carcinoma accounts for 2% of all breast carcinomas often occurs in older women with a median patient age of 71 years. They commonly present as a lobulated, well circumscribed masess on mammography, sonography, and MRI imaging. Metastasis in these types of carcinomasis very rare. Often the Capella type A shows histopathological patterns like micropapillary, Papillary, rarely tubular and Cribriform type. <u>Aim of the Study</u>: To present the diverse histomorphologies associated with mucinous breast carcinoma and explore impact of pattern on clinical behavior. <u>Materials and Methodology</u>: A retrospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Topiwala National Medical College, from January 2019 till July 2023, for 4.5 years. During this period, out of total 221 modified radical mastectomies(MRM)done 8cases were MC, accounting for 3.6 %. <u>Results</u>: Of these 8 cases, 1 was pure mucinous carcinoma showing > 90% mucin component and remaining 7 had 50% to 90% mucin. The mean age of presentation was 61.75 years, range (42 years - 79 years)and all presented with palpable lumps in their breast. Laterality of breast was 50% on left and 50% on right. Size of smallest tumor was 2.5 cm to largest being 11 cm in dimension. Axillary Lymph node metastases seen in 2 cases. Majority patients presented in stage IIA (4 nos), 3 cases III B, 1 case III A.

Keywords: Breast, Mucinous carcinoma, micropapillary, signet ring, apocrine

1. Introduction

Mucinous carcinoma (MC) of breast is a relatively rare subtype of breast cancer accounting for 2% of all breast carcinomas.MC appears mammographically as a well circumscribed or lobulated mass and may mimic a benign process. While ^{5,6,12,17}. Sonographically most tumors are hypoechoiec in density, MRI reveals a persistent enhancement pattern and hyperintensity on T2 weighted images

According to latest WHO classification of tumors of the breast, mucinous carcinomas are special type of breast cancer. There are 2 histologic subtypes: mixed mucinous carcinoma where ductal carcinoma is associated with the colloid component, and the more prognostically favorable pure mucinous carcinoma where the > 90% mucin surrounds the tumor tissue and constitutes a mechanical barrier limiting cell invasion and virulence. The heterogenous microscopic patterns associated with Mixed MC seen are Papillary, Micropapillary, Solid Variant of Papillary, Infiltrating duct Carcinoma ordinary type and rarely Tubular, Cribrifirm and lobular type.

MC are usually seen in postmenopausal women.^{1,2,9} and represents an excellent prognostic histologic variant, due to its positivity to Estrogen, Progesterone receptors, and negativity to HER2 receptors.{17} Regional lymphnode involvement and distant metastasis is rare.^{3,7,8} The etiology of Mucinous Breast Carcinomas is multifactorial and shares common breast cancer risk factors; nevertheless, data

suggests that some aspects related to reproductive events (e.g. late menarche, early menopause, childlessness) contribute less to MC risk than that of ordinary breast cancer^{$\{9,\}$}

2. Aim of the Study

To present the diverse histomorphologies associated with mucinous breast carcinoma and explore impact of pattern on clinical behaviour.

3. Material and Methods

A retrospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Histopathology, Topiwala National Medical College, from January 2019 till July 2023, for 4.5 years. During this period, we received total 221 MRM specimens of which 8 cases were diagnosed as MC . The specimens fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin were subsequently grossed, paraffin embedded and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathological study to assess histological type. tumor grade, axillary lymph node status, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion and surgical margin status; WHO guidelines (Edition V). Immunohistochemical (IHC) test were applied to evaluate estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), Ki67, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/neu) expression with PathnSitu & Biogenex.

4. Results

We identified 8 patients with diagnosis of MC in MRM along with axillary dissections. 7 women were in postmenopausal and 1 in perimenopausal age group at the time of diagnosis. The mean age of presentation was 61.75 years, (range 42 years - 79 years). All cases presented with clinically palpable breast lumps. Laterality of breast was 50% on left and 50% on right side.

Tumor size was T2 in 5 patients (smallest 2.5cm) and T3 (largest 11cm) in 3 patients. Axillary lymphnode metastasis found in 2 patients. Maximum4 cases presented in stage IIA, 3 cases in II B, and 1 in case III A.

| Table 1: TNM staging of stu | idy patients |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Clinical features | No. of patients |
| Tumor size (T) | |
| T1 (0.1-2 cm) | 0 |
| T2 (2-5 cm) | 5 |
| T3 >5cm | 3 |
| T4 extension to the chest wall/skin | 0 |
| Nodal status (pN) | |
| pN0 | 6 |
| pN1 | 1 |
| pN2 | 1 |
| pN3 | 0 |
| Stage (TNM) | |
| Ι | 0 |
| II | 7 |
| III | 1 |
| IV | 0 |

| Table 2: Integrated | table of clinical | features & diagnostic | data of study population |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| I able II megratea | tuole of enneur | reatures of anaphostic | add of stady population |

| Cases | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 | Case 5 | Case 6 | Case 7 | Case 8 |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Ageat presentation (In years) | 72 | 79 | 60 | 44 | 56 | 71 | 42 | 66 |
| Duration | 1 year | 1 year | 3 months | 6 months | 3 years | 1 year | 3 months | 5 months |
| Laterality | Left | Left | left | right | right | left | Right | Right |
| USG BIRADS SCORE | IV C | IV | V | VI | IV | IV | VI | IV |
| (IHC) | Not | ER + PR + | ER + PR | ER- PR- | ER+PR+ | ER+PR+ | ER+PR+ | ER+PR+ |
| | available | HER2 2+ | HER2 1+ | HER2- | HER2 - | HER2- | HER2- | HER2 2+ |
| | | KI67=20- | KI67=80-90% | KI67>90% | | | | KI67=5- |
| | | 25% | | | | | | 10% |
| TNM Stage | IIB | IIA | IIIA | IIA | IIA | IIB | IIB | IIA |
| Tumor Size & Nodal Status | T3N0 | T2N0 | T3N2 | T2N0 | T2N0 | T2N0 | T3N0 | T2N1 |
| % of Mucin | >90% | 60% | 50% | 50% | 50% | 80% | 50% | 50% |
| Final Histopathological | 90%, | MIXED, | MIXED IDC | MIXED IDC | MIXED | MIXED | MIXED- | MIXED- |
| Diagnosis | PURE | Apocrine | NOSGr 1 | NOS Gr 3 | Papillary CA | Intracystic, | IDC | IDC NOS |
| | | CA | | | | Papillary | NOS Gr 2 | Gr2 |

On gross examination, two tumors were encysted whereas 06 well circumscribed ranging from 2.5cm-11 cm in dimension. 5 cases had admixed solid firm areas, while 2 predominantly solid-cystic areas and one tumorwas entirely cystic with multiloculations. 5 masses showed obvious gelatinous mucin.Nipple retraction, areas of hemorrage and necrosis was noted in 6 cases. All masses had radiological concordance.



Figure 1: Well encapsulated purely cystic mass of 11x5.5x7cm, multiloculated cysts filled with brownish gelatinous material

Volume 13 Issue 2, February 2024 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

T 11

5. Microscopic Examination

A complete tranverse slice of the tumor in largest dimension along with perimetric borders, and tumor size in 3 dimensions were examined under microscope to assess the approximate proportion of tumor cells to that of mucin.

We identified one Pure Mucinous Carcinoma, characterized by over 90% mucin content associated with welldifferentiated papillary morphology with intermediate nuclear grade. The other 7 masses exhibited Mixed Mucinous Carcinoma with heterogenous variety in the solid areas. A single case showed nodules of cells with apocrine differentiation separated by abundant mucin also containing perineural invasion. Two prominently cystic tumors had mural nodules of micropapillae and well differentiated papillary fronds each, with large extracellular mucin and moderate degree of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes. Two tumors displayed cribriform and complex papillary histology, accompanied by large areas of infarctoid necrosis. We encountered very unusual histomorphological variants such as apocrine carcinoma and ductal carcinoma with signet ring differentiation in the Mucinous Breast Carcinoma.

6. Microscopic Examination

A complete tranverse slice of the tumor in largest dimension along with perimetric borders ,and tumor size in 3 dimensions were examined under microscope to assess the approximate proportion of tumor cells to that of mucin.

We identified one Pure Mucinous Carcinoma, characterized by over 90% mucin content associated with welldifferentiated papillary morphology with intermediate nuclear grade. The other 7 masses exhibited MixedMucinous Carcinoma with heterogenous variety in the solid areas. A single case showed nodules of cells with apocrine differentiation separated by abundant mucinalso containing perineural invasion. Two prominently cystic tumors had mural nodules of micropapillae and well differentiated papillary fronds each, with large extracellular mucin and moderate degree of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes.Two tumors displayed cribriform and complex papillary histology, accompanied by large areas of infarctoid necrosis. We encountered very unusual histomorphological variants such as apocrine carcinoma and ductal carcinoma with signet ring differentiation in the Mucinous Breast Carcinoma.



Figure 2: Invasive cribriform histology with large extracellular mucin. Inset showing higher magnification.



Figure 3: Showing signetring differentiation



Figure4: Low power view of apocrine differentiation associated with mucin. High Power in Inset



Figure 5: Showing high power view of papillary carcinoma with extracellular mucin and infarctiodnecrosis.



Figure 6: Multiloculated Pure Mucinous Breast Carcinoma with micropapillae floating in ocean of mucin. Locules lined by thin to delicate fibrous septae.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942



Figure 7: Solid Cystic tumor showed Micropapillaein abundant mucin

7. Immunohistochemitry Profiles (IHC)

IHC was available in 7 out of 8 patients. Six MC exhibited strong ER, PR expression in over 60 to 90% tumor cells. One patient was triple negative. One case had ER positive but PR negative. Majority i.e 5/7 tumors did not express Her2neu, while 2 cases were indeterminate expression. However in both these patients FISH results were unavailable to us. Ki67 proliferative index results were found in only 4 patients, revealing a high index in 3 and low in 1 patient.

8. Discussion

Mucinous Carcinoma Breast is rarely seen in clinical practice, representing about 4% of all diagnosed invasive breast cancer.^{2} In our study we got 3.6% of MC. They prevails mainly in postmenopausal women and usually affects older patients compared to other breast cancer types, and is exceptionally rarely diagnosed in younger women under 35 years of age $(1\%)^{\{3\}}$. Mean age of presentation in our study is 61.75 years.

Literature does not present much data regards to histomorphological diversity in associated with mucin.

Mucinous carcinoma of the breast is characterized histologically by clusters of tumor cells suspended in pools of extracellular mucin.^{17}In cystic variant the mucin lakes are partitioned by delicate fibrous septa containing capillary blood vessels.

Based on the cellularity Capella et al. classified MCs into type A which are relatively hypocellular with a large amount of extracellular mucin, whereas type B are hypercellular consists of large epithelial clumps or sheets that often show neuroendocrine differentiation.{¹⁹Our Study contained two cases of Capella A type MC and remaining majority were Capella type B however none exhibited any neuroendocrine features.

Pure MCs may have foci with micropapillary pattern consisting of morula- like clusters suspended in tight mucin pools. ^{4,17} They usually exhibits more nuclear atypia than conventional MCs, and they may have hobnailcells; psammomatous calcifications. ^{1,17} Compared with conventional Pure MC, those with micropapillary pattern tends to occur at a younger age, ^[4] and has more frequents lymphovascular emboli and lymph node metastasis. Besides the common histologies we also found unusual rare variety of apocrine differentiation in one case and signet ring cells admixture within ordinary invasive breast cancer inside Mixed MC.

MCs are of the luminal A molecular subtype and transcriptionally distinct from grade and molecular subtype – matched IBCs of no special type(NST).^{17}Similar pattern of gene expression is observed in Neuroendocrine Carcinomas.Pure mucinous carcinomas harbor a low level of genetic instability and rare recurrent amplifications, and the genomic profiles of the tumor components of mixed mucinous tumors are remarkably similar to those of pure mucinous carcinomas are diploid, whereas only 42% of mixed mucinous carcinoma are diploid.^{19}

Typically, MC is positive for ER and PR, while androgen receptors are expressed at a low level, and HER2 is not amplified. Our study was in keeping with literature data, however we encountered a maverick single case of Triple Negative Mixed MC with high Ki67 index and no axillary or distant metastasis. Pure and Mixed MC are reported to

express WT. Mucinous breast carcinoma expresses predominantly MUC2 and MUC6 among the family of MUC genes $^{\{2\}}$.

Mucinous breast cancers are usually associated with smaller tumor size.^{5,13,14} Although in our study the largest tumor

was 11cm diameter. T size appears to be insignificant independent factor associated with the severity of the disease because the mucin component comprises the majority of the tumor mass as per American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) $^{\{3,14,15\}}$

| Study | Our Study | AdrianDumitru et.al ^{1} | Budzik M P et.al $^{\{2\}}$ | Ranade A et. al ${7}$ | Lei L et al ^{14} |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Total no of cases mucinous carcinoma | 8 | 25 | 24 | 100 | 125 |
| Duration (years) | 4.5 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 10 |
| Pure | 1 | 3 | 15 | 45 | 48 |
| Mixed | 7 | 22 | 9 | 55 | 77 |
| Tumor size(cm) | 2.5 - 11 | 2-19 | 0.7 - 4 | 0.2-9.5 | Largest tumor dimension 4.4cm |
| TNM- Stage I | 0 | 4 | 4 | Not done | Not done |
| Stage II | 7 | 9 | 9 | | |
| Stage III | 1 | 12 | 11 | | |
| Stage IV | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

|--|

Four of our cases doing well after chemotherapy completion, FEC Flurouracil, Epirubicin, Cylophosphamide based regimen, remaining cases not available.

The effect of chemotherapy on patients with mucinous carcinoma remains under discussion^{{18}.}

9. Conclusion

Mucinous Breast Carcinoma is rare and recognized as special subtype with favorable prognosis. Histopathological evaluation is mandatory for definite diagnosis, and also of the associated morphological varieties which may impact the overall prognosis. Rare breast cancers vary by treatment regimens and outcomes. Therefore larger data samples with longer follow necessary to achieve an improved health and healing in these cancers.

References

- Dumitru A, Procop A, Iliesiu A, Tampa M, Mitrache L, Costache M, Sajin M, Lazaroiu A, Cirstoiu M. Mucinous Breast Cancer: a Review Study of 5 Year Experience from a Hospital-Based Series of Cases. Maedica (Bucur). 2015 Mar;10(1):14-8. PMID: 26225144; PMCID: PMC4496759.
- [2] Budzik MP, Fudalej MM, Badowska-Kozakiewicz AM. Histopathological analysis of mucinous breast cancer subtypes and comparison with invasive carcinoma of no special type. Sci Rep. 2021 Mar 11;11(1):5770. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-85309-z. PMID: 33707745; PMCID: PMC7952590.
- [3] Di Saverio S, Gutierrez J, Avisar E. A retrospective review with long term follow up of 11,400 cases of pure mucinous breast carcinoma. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2008 Oct;111(3):541-7. doi: 10.1007/s10549-007-9809-z. Epub 2007 Nov 18. PMID: 18026874.
- [4] Yuan JP, Yan HL, Gao LK, Liu L, Rao J. Mucinous variant of invasive micropapillary carcinoma of breast: report of two cases and literature review. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2017;10(10):10627-10632. Published 2017 Oct

1.

- [5] Chaudhry AR, El Khoury M, Gotra A, Eslami Z, Omeroglu A, Omeroglu-Altinel G, Chaudhry SH, Mesurolle B. Imaging features of pure and mixed forms of mucinous breast carcinoma with histopathological correlation. Br J Radiol. 2019 Mar;92(1095):20180810. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20180810. Epub 2019 Jan 11. PMID: 30632779. Goodman DN, Boutross-Tadross O, Jong RA.
- [6] Mammographic features of pure mucinous carcinoma of the breast with pathological correlation. Can Assoc Radiol J. 1995 Aug;46(4):296-301. PMID: 7543806.
- [7] Ranade A, Batra R, Sandhu G, Chitale RA, Balderacchi J. Clinicopathological evaluation of 100 cases of mucinous carcinoma of breast with emphasis on axillary staging and special reference to a micropapillary pattern. J Clin Pathol. 2010 Dec;63(12):1043-7. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2010.082495. Epub 2010 Oct 20. PMID: 20962055.
- [8] Hanagiri T, Ono K, Baba T, So T, Yamasaki M, Nagata Y, Uramoto H, Takenoyama M, Yasumoto K. Clinicopathologic characteristics of mucinous carcinoma of the breast. Int Surg. 2010 Apr-Jun;95(2):126-9. PMID: 20718318.
- [9] Nyante SJ, Dallal CM, Gierach GL, Park Y, Hollenbeck AR, Brinton LA. Risk factors for specific histopathological types of postmenopausal breast cancer in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2013 Aug 1;178(3):359-71. doi: 10.1093/aje/kws471. Epub 2013 Jun 13. PMID: 23899816; PMCID: PMC3727333.
- [10] Rosenberg LU, Magnusson C, Lindström E, Wedrén S, Hall P, Dickman PW. Menopausal hormone therapy and other breast cancer risk factors in relation to the risk of different histological subtypes of breast cancer: a case-control study. Breast Cancer Res. 2006;8(1):R11. doi: 10.1186/bcr1378. Epub 2006 Feb 17. PMID: 16507159; PMCID: PMC1413980.
- Tan PH, Tse GM, Bay BH. Mucinous breast lesions: diagnostic challenges. J Clin Pathol. 2008 Jan;61(1):11-9. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2006.046227. Epub

2007 Sep 14. PMID: 17873114.

- [12] Zhang L, Jia N, Han L, Yang L, Xu W, Chen W. Comparative analysis of imaging and pathology features of mucinous carcinoma of the breast. Clin Breast Cancer. 2015 Apr;15(2):e147-54. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2014.11.005. Epub 2014 Nov 18. PMID: 25523373.
- [13] Cao AY, He M, Liu ZB, Di GH, Wu J, Lu JS, Liu GY, Shen ZZ, Shao ZM. Outcome of pure mucinous breast carcinoma compared to infiltrating ductal carcinoma: a population-based study from China. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012 Sep;19(9):3019-27. doi: 10.1245/s10434-012-2322-6. Epub 2012 Mar 27. PMID: 22451233.
- [14] Lei L, Yu X, Chen B, Chen Z, Wang X. Clinicopathological Characteristics of Mucinous Breast Cancer: A Retrospective Analysis of a 10-Year Study. PLoS One. 2016 May 27;11(5):e0155132. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155132. PMID: 27232881; PMCID: PMC4883756.
- [15] Kim EJ, Park HS, Kim JY, Kim SI, Cho YU, Park BW. Assessment of the Prognostic Staging System of American Joint Committee on Cancer 8th Edition for Breast Cancer: Comparisons with the Conventional Anatomic Staging System. J Breast Cancer. 2020;23(1):59-68. doi:10.4048/jbc.2020.23.e9
- [16] Marrazzo E, Frusone F, Milana F, Sagona A, Gatzemeier W, Barbieri E, Bottini A, Canavese G, Rubino AO, Eboli MG, Rossetti CM, Testori A, Errico V, De Luca A, Tinterri C. Mucinous breast cancer: A narrative review of the literature and a retrospective tertiary single-centre analysis. Breast. 2020 Feb;49:87-92. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2019.11.002. Epub 2019 Nov 11. PMID: 31783314; PMCID: PMC7375663.
- [17] WHO Classification of breast tumors. 5th edition
- [18] Zhang H, Zhang N, Li Y, Liang Y, Yang Q. Evaluation of efficacy of chemotherapy for mucinous carcinoma: a surveillance, epidemiology, and end results cohort study. Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology. 2020;12. doi:10.1177/1758835920975603
- [19] Ishida M, Umeda T, Abe H, Tani T, Okabe H. Neuroendocrine carcinoma of the breast with a mucinous carcinoma component: A case report with review of the literature. Oncol Lett. 2012;4(1):29-32. doi:10.3892/ol.2012.678

Author Profile



Dr. Mayura Phulpagar Currently, the Additional Professor, Department of Pathology, Topiwala National Medical College, Mumbai (Affiliated to Maharashtra University of Health Sciences).



Hospital, Mumbai (Affiliated to Maharashtra University of Health Sciences).