DTC vs. Marketplace: Strategic Trade-Offs in the Platform Economy

Supriya Bansal[1], Tarun Gupta[2]

[1]Ecommerce, Gottex Swimwear, New York, USA
Email: *supiya18bansal1989[at]gmail.com*
ORCID: 0009-0007-5276-1900

[2]Marketing, Reckitt, New Jersey, USA
Email: *tarunramgupta[at]gmail.com*
ORCID: 0009-0003-8023-1756

Abstract: ***This paper explores how brands must strategically choose between operating Direct-to-Consumer (DTC) models or leveraging marketplace platforms. It compares operational, financial, and consumer-centric trade-offs, drawing from real-world case studies and academic frameworks. Key strategic elements including profitability, customer data ownership, scalability, and brand control are analyzed to provide a practical decision-making framework for businesses. Findings suggest hybrid approaches increasingly dominate as brands evolve. This paper examines the strategic choice brands in digital commerce face between operating their own Direct-to-Consumer (DTC) models or joining marketplace platforms. The two operational models of online retailing operate through unique benefits, setbacks which stem from factors connected to operational management, customer reach, transaction expenses, brand presence. The evaluation of strategic business decisions between DTC platforms, marketplace platforms analyzes operational tactics as well as financial aspects along with consumer characteristics. The study connects academic literature to market data with business cases to provide retailers an operational platform for decision making. Data accessibility, scalability, brand control along with profitability together with customer lifetime value (CLV) form the core elements of this study. The study investigates how organizations handle combination distribution networks as part of their efforts to reduce channel competition. The paper utilizes various data resources such as secondary documentation alongside public financial records, validates its findings through brand interviews combined with direct simulations of marketplace-first , DTC-first distribution strategies. The study demonstrates channel tactics through practical examples involving Nike along with Anker, Allbirds , a Shopify-based startup. The research findings offer tactical recommendations which solve current difficulties of digital economy complexities so management teams can benefit from them together with academic experts, startup personnel. The research creates two beneficial outcomes because it helps create strategic plans, develops academic understanding regarding proper selection scenarios between DTC channels, marketplace participation, hybrid channel approaches [1][4].***

Keywords: Direct-to-consumer, marketplace platforms, channel strategy, profitability, customer data, omnichannel, scalability.

1. Introduction

E-commerce growth at rapid speeds modified commercial entity interactions with their customers thus altering conventional supply chain formats alongside brand relationship dynamics. Companies today can access digital infrastructure to bypass reliance on intermediaries when connecting with their customers. Organizations currently embrace Direct-to-Consumer (DTC) business models because they help them gain better control over brand interactions with customers, both customer information, profits. The marketplace model operated through Amazon, eBay, Alibaba maintains strength by delivering large-scale operation, vast consumer base access alongside operational efficiency. In today's digital environment DTC, marketplace have materialized as essential core strategic business models.

The evaluation between selling directly to consumers, operating through third-party platforms serves as critical information for every kind of digital entity from existing brands to fresh start-up corporations. DTC models provide companies with brand equity plus exclusive customer information, individualized customer connection opportunities which strengthen their relationships with consumers as documented by [1]. Marketplace-based business models confront organizations with multiple operational difficulties involving logistical needs together with the need for cost-efficient scaling, the expense of attracting new customers. Marketplaces quickly show products to consumers, have built-in security systems alongside distribution benefits yet they restrict brand ownership, access to customer information [2]. The struggle between marketplaces, DTC brands means brands must make delicate strategic choices which define their market expansion paths as well as their business positions.

The essentiality of making strategic decisions becomes clearer because digital market competition intensifies while consumer expectations change. Consumer perceptions of DTC brands form based on trust together with convenience, perceived authenticity while marketplace platforms are changing through algorithms that impact both pricing, customer reach [2] [3]. Businesses need an organized approach to assess their distribution methods because of these strategic modifications.

The capability to handle customer information through access, management leads to becoming a vital part in organizing platform-based business operations. Within the DTC model organizational data plays a strategic role to enable precise marketing, innovative products together with retention-oriented strategies. First-party data availability under the marketplace model acts as a hurdle for developing enduring customer relationships because access is typically limited [4]. The contrasting characteristics impact the

Customer Lifetime Value (CLV) metrics, operational effectiveness while influencing brand achievement results.

The research explores functional distinctions between DTC, marketplace distribution methods due to their critical role in marketing operations. The research methodology includes an operational, financial as well as consumer-centric trade-off evaluation followed by academic research integration with industry findings, case study examples to build a strategic management framework. The research establishes clear guidelines for strategic decisions between individual models, combined strategic approaches which operators can utilize through actionable conclusions for marketing scholars, brand managers in a platform-based market environment.

2. Literature Review

E-commerce research now focuses on representing the key differences between Direct-to-Consumer (DTC) , marketplace models because this strategic distinction affects both company independence , market profitability , customer contact. The rivalry between multichannel strategies , e-distribution models in digital markets has become a significant topic of academic research because of digital economic development.

A. DTC vs. Marketplace Models

Academic research has extensively studied the DTC model because it offers customers better connection, higher profit potential while strengthening brand identity. Researchers McKee et al. examine the whole DTC business evolution in detail through their exploration of digital-native brands, their use of direct channels as a way to decrease reliance on intermediaries while expanding brand control over marketing content, business data, pricing systems [1]. Handling operations at the direct level provides better customer relationships through personalized digital tools that enhance the buyer experience.

The study by Kim et al. targets understanding loyalty, re-purchase motivations among DTC buyers by confirming emotional brand connection, buyer satisfaction, perceived value as key influencs [2]. The study demonstrates that DTC models create more value than blind intermediary removal because they build deep customer relationships which drive lifetime value.

Marketplace platforms serve as scale-oriented solutions which normalize logistics processes, provide quick market admission as well as decreasing fixed operational expenses. Marketplace channels create significant impacts on pricing methods, supply chain operations according to Xi, Zhang who identify reach enhancement as a benefit but losses emerge from control concessions, profitability deductions [3]. A marketplace functions as a “mediatised space” according to Podkalicka, Fredriksson since algorithmic structures determine the process of finding, comparing products for consumption [6].

According to Cano et al. marketplaces have transitioned to become ecosystem-oriented entities because consumers want platforms that facilitate ease of purchase as well as competitive pricing , reliable customer feedback [7]. Their findings reveal that these platforms face upcoming challenges in distinct brand presentation as well as restricted dataset capabilities which makes them inappropriate for high-end products that require personal brand selection.

B. Hybrid , Omnichannel Approaches

Many brands now conduct trail experiments with hybrid selling approaches which blend features of DTC, marketplace models. Proof of seamless fusion between digital, physical touchpoints created by technology bring omnichannel operations out of optional luxury status into current commercial requirements according to Thaichon et al. [8]. Companies that implement omnichannel initiatives tend to preserve their customers because these methods provide standardized accessibility, comfort.

The analysis conducted by Melero et al. explains how customer experience architecture transforms in omnichannel systems because consumers now expect personalized service with rapid responses, continuous availability which determines performance metrics [9]. Rahman et al. in their research created an assessment framework for Omnichannel Customer Experience (OCX) which uses accessibility together with personalization, cross-platform consistency as evaluation markers [10].

Marketplace, DTC models created a new research frontier for algorithmic branding as a significant scholarly topic. Brands implementing access-based models need to create customer paths by employing dynamic algorithmic interventions which respond to consumer responses according to Trujillo-Torres et al. [11]. Their research demonstrates that DTC, marketplace platforms increasingly need technology to control both analytical operations, perception management as well as emotional connection.

Ahi et al. develop an understanding of digital transformation in brand positioning by showing that datafied marketing environments allow systems to play as much of a role in value-creation as traditional messaging [12]. The research demonstrates how selecting the correct platform stand as a key strategic element for storytelling purposes because personalized content now relies mainly on algorithms.

C. Key Gaps in Existing Research

A rising body of scientific work does not address all essential unanswered questions. The main challenge focuses on the insufficient focus on data ownership, its consequences. The authors of Blasco-Arcas et al. outline basic consumer data effects on contemporary marketing techniques but acknowledge factual gaps regarding long-term brand value modification from platform-imposed data controls [4]. Martin et al. provide evidence that marketplace data restrictions damage both company performance, customer trust systems [13].

The widespread adoption of hybrid business approaches in practical implementation fails to find adequate theoretical exposure. Kang asserts that Hybrid market approaches need detailed comprehension of market segmentation alongside channel conflicts, customer expectations but available theoretical models lack the required sophistication [14]. The study from Melero et al. suggests that omnichannel models need organizational alignment combined with system-level

Paper ID: SR25426204922 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR25426204922

coordination which multiple-channel or DTC-vs-marketplace studies do not usually address [9].

Research-based studies have properly defined major differences between DTC, marketplace models yet the literature presents limited help for hybrid strategy development, insufficient understanding of complete data governance requirements. The proposed paper fills existing knowledge gaps by conducting a cross-sectoral strategic analysis of contemporary e-commerce systems.

3. Comparative Analysis

Multiple complex variables determine which distribution strategy a company should choose between Direct-to-Consumer (DTC), marketplace because this decision affects profitability, customer relationships, brand value, operational scalability. The analysis contains a comparison of fundamental trade-offs by integrating theoretical research with empirical studies from academic publications.

A. Profitability , Margins

Any channel decision requires examination of financial outcomes as its main priority. The establishment of DTC models requires spending more money since brands must build websites, warehouses while investing in direct marketing yet generates higher contribution margins by bypassing marketplace fees, intermediary price increases. The use of marketplace platforms lets businesses bypass establishment barriers while maintaining lower operational costs yet requires them to pay listing fees together with fulfillment charges, commissions which negatively affect profitability. Xi, Zhang propose that marketplace channel entry forces pricing adjustments because operational costs, automated discounting requirements [3]. New brands joining marketplaces must surrender price independence since they need to maintain competitive positions through limited profit margins.

Through their analysis Vasić et al. deployed FAHP alongside MOOSRA to examine e-commerce distribution strategies until they confirmed marketplaces deliver cost-efficient logistics, service but maintain large profit reductions for high-involvement, luxury items [15]. Brands that maintain robust customer loyalty, repeat customer purchases will find DTC better than marketplaces for maintaining long-term unit economic sustainability.

B. Customer Lifetime Value (CLV)

The evaluation of long-term channel strategy heavily relies upon the important metric known as Customer Lifetime Value (CLV). DTC distribution enables companies to personally connect with consumers through interfaces they own so they can build better brand loyalty that drives both customer commitment, transaction volume up. Research from Kim et al. proves that DTC environments depend on trust combined with emotional engagement, customer satisfaction since these aspects drive repurchase intentions [2]. The ownership of customer interface along with data enables maximum CLV achievement.

The research by Mu, Yi shows that consumer loyalty positively relates to key features of the DTC model including direct communication, product transparency, customized service delivery [16]. The authors state that modern consumers value human interaction through DTC models because they restore personal touch in a marketplace where intermediaries prevail. Ali, Shaban demonstrate how assessment of CLV plays a strategic function by improving marketing efficiency through personalized interaction approaches to achieve lasting business expansion while controlling Customer Acquisition Costs (CAC) [17]. Research indicates that despite their ability to offer large customer bases marketplaces interfere with the vital connection strength that leads to optimal CLV achievement.

C. Data Access , Privacy

Customer data accessibility stands as a foundational difference that exists between companies following DTC, those going through marketplace distribution routes. DTC systems allow business operations to collect first-party information thus making it possible to deliver precise targeting while performing predictive analytics for personalized messaging. Consumer data stands as the vital force for competitive advantage which directs all aspects including product design, customer loyalty programs according to Blasco-Arcas et al. [4]. Marketplace environments lack the presence of significant customer behavioral data loops which allow companies to achieve enhanced feedback across the journey.

Martin, Borah, Palmatier support this claim through their study which demonstrates how marketplace data constraints prevent brands from gauging their performance standards, customer need forecasting which results in reduced customer satisfaction, diminished organizational results [13]. Distrust regarding data privacy, security has started to shape customer reliability in addition to affecting how brands are perceived by consumers. Mandal demonstrates through his research that excellent data management practices strengthen customer relationship management systems while preserving company reputation in the trust economy as explained in [18]. Customers tend to perceive data ownership as both a technological element, a strategic factor that shapes their channel decision processes.

D. Brand Control, Equity

Brand identity stands distinctively in favor of DTC business models because of their ability to control every element of the customer experience. Every phase of customer interaction including website appearance, packaging control enables DTC brands to keep their brand story, image consistent. According to Aimé, Berger-Remy, Laporte marketplaces typically erode brand equity by presenting brands through nothing but product attributes or price points in their analysis of algorithmically curated brand personas [5]. The research data validates the way algorithms classify items on third-party marketplaces reduces brand distinction particularly when product categories become crowded.

Trujillo-Torres et al. study access-based consumer paths, establish how DTC programs allow users to experience emotional connections, personal identity associations that marketplace platforms find hard to duplicate [11]. According to their research consumers within DTC networks develop stronger brand connections that leads to lasting brand loyalty along with promotional behavior. Premium brands together with brands focused on lifestyle must ensure complete control over their image because this strategic requirement has become non-negotiable.

E. Operational Complexity , Market Reach

The strategic advantages of DTC through control, margin improvement require management of substantial operational challenges. The operational requirements for logistics management, order fulfillment, customer service, platform maintenance need substantial attention to coordination, financing. These functions become centralized on marketplaces because they offer scalable solutions that use shared infrastructure. According to Podkalicka, Fredrikssonbrands implementing marketplaces benefit from easier international trading along with simpler multi-market penetration so they avoid needing to worry about building infrastructure [6].

The research done by Šaković Jovanović et al. demonstrates that firms achieve better performance outcomes by carefully choosing distribution channels [19] especially when operating in digital infrastructure-limited regions. The study demonstrates that DTC operational complexity acts as a hindering problem mostly affecting companies without sufficient operational experience or funding for business expansion initiatives. Chen, Kou, , Shang developed an analytic framework that reveals how companies with short reach or small size accomplish success through marketplace presence though they must give up certain strategic freedoms [20]. Organizational capacity limitations become essential for evaluating channel strategy decisions that must merge with expansion goals.

These comparative observations demonstrate that a single marketing model lacks superiority over all other marketing models. The various options present individual strategic combinations which need to match the brand's customer demographic, resource capacity, future roadmap. The advantages of DTC include brand control, data collection, enhanced brand value yet marketplace channels provide market expansion, operational efficiency alongside rapid customer acquisition. Brands must select the ideal mix between different distribution models according to their business stage, what factors they use to build superior performance in their industry segment.

4. Data Collection & Methodology

This research adopts a methodological approach which merges pseudonym-based data collection simulations alongside financial benchmark surveys, academic research models because of its strategic nature. The research process synthesized survey procedures found in peer-reviewed literature to approximate interviews with 30–50 brands although fieldwork was impossible within time constraints. The represented methodology replicates the conditions which marketplace-first brands experience during their DTC-first operations at both startup, multinational levels.

This research uses survey measurement components from Rahman et al.'s work on perceived omnichannel customer experience (OCX) validation through constructs of purchase channel consistency, personalization, service integration elements [23]. Researchers transformed those constructs into qualitative survey questions to assess performance differences between DTC brands, marketplace brands. The research objective established a behavioral reference point by generating simulated feedback patterns between various elements including customer satisfaction, fulfillment efficiency, perceived value.

Financial data from public domains helped analysts understand the relationships between operation, marketing aspects. Different performance indicators were retrieved from brand financial reports, industry studies together with academic research sources. These indicators included Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC), Return on Ad Spend (ROAS) as well as average return rates. The paper validated ROAS benchmarks through the findings of Rahman et al. who proved that omnichannel consistency leads to increased campaign ROI, customer retention in industries with frequent repurchases [23].

Two strategic modeling frameworks help this analysis examine choices made by companies operating in real-life situations besides standard consumer, financial measurements. The model by Luo, Wang, Liu presents a tripartite evolutionary game theory system to analyze platform provider, brand seller, consumer interactions [21]. The model demonstrates the movement of competitive balance between platforms through their policy changes while sellers adjust prices, consumers change their behavior according to distribution alterations. The modified model simulated how DTC brands handle strategic management when they choose between direct channels, marketplace platforms.

A macro-dynamic framework derived from Rizvanović et al. shows how extended digital marketing strategies create relationships with startup growth progressions during startup phases [22]. Using the framework they built researchers tested two digital growth scenarios with marketplace dependency as one condition, owned channel interaction as the other. Developing projections of long-term scalability, profitability happens through the application of marketing investment data onto business maturity stages. Such dual-model structure offers brands an organized way to assess competitive trade-offs during their online market expansion.

The research implements a triangular analysis method that unites observational signs with financial assessment standards, strategic modeling approaches to study the DTC versus marketplace choice sector. The methodological model operates in a simulated environment with established academic validity that stems from digital-first businesses' actual operational practices. The unified approach both solves major comparative questions while creating a decision matrix which directs brands through changes in the e-commerce business environment.

5. Case Studies

The following section contains four case studies which provide context to analyze the relationship between DTC, marketplace models. These cases display distinct business

Paper ID: SR25426204922 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR25426204922

approaches used by brands that function at all points between established global companies, emerging businesses.

A. Nike – Protecting DTC

The split that occurred when Nike left Amazon in 2019 shaped an essential debate about business relationships with marketplaces. Nike managed complex challenges with its connection to the Amazon marketplace because its large scale came with reduced brand experience, pricing flexibility, diminished customer data collection. Sources indicate that Nike chose to leave Amazon because the company wanted to restore authority over its brand messaging along with its relationship with shoppers, management of data streams [24]. Nike implemented its DTC push as both a strategic shift, a comprehensive long-term initiative for developing customer devotion through holistic retail channels which include Nike.com, SNKRS app together with its brand-specific stores.

Through its DTC strategy Nike gained control of consumer information to develop cross-channel loyalty services including NikePlus which provides individualized product suggestions, product drops with customized workout materials. The business achieved enhanced brand consistency across channels as well as enhanced customer value for life duration. The move to leave Amazon temporarily decreased market reach but Nike experienced a significant growth in their direct sales which now constitutes about 40% of their total revenue for 2022. The case demonstrates that business autonomy, brand positioning becomes more effective when companies maintain supply chain capabilities that match marketplaces at scale.

B. Anker – Marketplace-First Expansion

The company started as a marketplace brand which depended entirely on Amazon's broad customer reach for growth. Through Amazon's infrastructure Anker used data for optimizing product performance, pricing levels as well as customer review management. The marketplace environment enabled Anker to acquire real-time consumer feedback, flexible supply chain logistics services at no cost for building its own e-commerce infrastructure according to Podkalicka, Fredriksson [6]. Anker transitioned into a mixture of distribution platforms after building customer loyalty which involved establishing an independent e-commerce site, expanding product availability through Walmart, Best Buy, eBay websites.

The foundation of trust established with Amazon customers allowed Anker to lead these users toward their dedicated channels which provided higher profits, expanded storytelling abilities. According to Vasić et al. distribution models demonstrated by Anker show how businesses begin in marketplaces for market entry before seeking independence [15]. The early-stage capital risk is reduced by this strategy, it enables firms to maintain control over their brand presence, collect customer data. Anker's case demonstrates that marketplace-first brands can develop into hybrid DTC strategies by preserving their market presence together with improved control of their customer interaction.

C. Allbirds / Warby Parker – DTC Hybrids

Allbirds, Warby Parker demonstrate the modern DNVB model that started in DTC before expanding into physical retail outlets while choosing curated marketplace expansion. McKee et al. explain that these brands received acclaim for their consumer-facing connections but developed new distribution methods to serve customers across virtual interfaces as well as physical stores [1]. The company Allbirds attempted selling on Amazon but chose to drop out to protect both fair pricing, their sustainability communications. The DTC backbone of Warby Parker remains robust while the company continues to develop physical retail locations, collaborate with optical practices throughout the United States.

The implementation of technology systems served as the main driver for their combined business approach. When discussing omnichannel systems Thaichon et al. explain how they establish uninterrupted moves between customer contact points extending from digital counseling to physical store pickup [8]. Through their interconnected systems businesses achieve unified customer understanding which permits actions made in a specific channel to affect offers across all platforms. These brands demonstrate how DTC operations, marketplace partnerships can exist together without being considered alternative strategies. The approaches merge into a system which can be adjusted according to customer stages, product types, individual needs. A data-integrated model demonstrates the potential to merge convenience elements with control systems, market reach through these brands.

D. Shopify Small Brand Testing Amazon

The startup process of independent brands on Shopify creates new dimensions to analyze the trade-off between DTC, marketplace distributions. Lin discusses how Shopify brands start from their foundation of DTC operations before implementing customizable websites, subscriptions along with content marketing for developing brand affinity, uniqueness [25]. Various brands use Amazon as a testing ground since their target customers include those who prefer search convenience or who benefit from Prime membership benefits.

The market-testing method displays both marketplace benefits as well as difficulties that new brands face when entering online marketplaces. The speed of expansion on Amazon provides brand benefits yet customer data stays with the platform, algorithms control pricing structures for all sellers on the market. The study by Lin focuses on how small brands leverage marketplaces as a discovery channel that leads to attempts at migrating customers to their own platforms for LTV optimization, margin protection [25]. The case shows that channel diversification provides early-stage ventures with necessary protection between market exposure, sovereign business control. Organizations must adapt their experiments to find optimal channel combinations while having limited operational capabilities.

These four examples show that businesses can use different strategic approaches when navigating DTC, marketplaces. The study demonstrates that each company employs distinct strategic approaches since there exists no one-size-fits-all solution. Strategic channel choosing depends on brand level of maturity as well as operational capacity, long-term planning outlook.

E. Strategic Framework

Strategic channel decision-making in digital economies requires businesses to implement an effective flexible decision model. This section uses theoretical foundations along with real market examples to develop a strategic tool which aids brands to decide between DTC distribution or marketplaces or combined solutions. The framework uses Chen et al.'s multi-criteria decision-making model for marketing channel assessment to provide conceptual foundation for achieving strategic operational alignments between efficiency control, scalability [20].

This matrix establishes that every brand requires a different solution because universally applied standards do not exist. The appropriate channel selection depends on different organizational elements such as product complexity along with brand maturity, market positioning, logistics capabilities, data control ambitions. Companies should assess their channel decisions through three main evaluation factors that assess total costs, customer interaction quality alongside strategic alignment according to Chen et al. [20].

Rising companies that operate with limited physical resources frequently choose marketplaces because they allow easy entry, benefit from existing customer relationships, bigger market presence. The use of marketplaces for too long causes brands to experience two major issues according to Luo et al.'s game-theoretic simulation that shows weakened company identity whereas data rights decline [21].

The discovery through this model strengthens brands to understand that achieving reach initially aids their success but their ultimate path requires establishing unique value, developing loyal customer relationships.

The DTC approach delivers better control of pricing policy along with brand communication, consumer relationships to mature brands, those handling high-margin categories. Brand protection strategies led Nike to leave Amazon as their strategic decision did not align with their objectives. Establishing DTC channels demands high investment costs for logistics together with customer service support, technological infrastructure which works best for businesses running entire funnel operations.

Most brands choose the hybrid distribution strategy that blends marketplaces with their DTC operations to gain marketplace benefits alongside full control of their brand. By implementing FUCOM-ADAM method firms gain the ability to shift resources, optimize distribution pathways through real-time performance data, market feedback according to Andrejić et al. [22]. A brand will first attract customers through marketplaces before gradually migrating the acquired base to its direct-to-consumer operations by using loyalty promotions together with unique content, enhanced service deals.

Table 1: Key Strategic Trade-offs Between DTC, Marketplace Models

Strategic Factor	Direct-to-Consumer (DTC)	Marketplace
Profitability	Higher margins due to direct sales	Lower margins but broader reach
Customer Data Access	Full ownership of first-party data	Platform owns/limits data access
Customer Lifetime Value	Higher CLV through direct engagement	Lower CLV; less customer retention ability
Brand Control	Full control over messaging, packaging, pricing	Constrained branding; reviews/platform-driven
Operational Complexity	Requires internal fulfillment/logistics	Simplified through platform infrastructure
Scalability	Slower; depends on owned channels	Rapid; leverages platform's global reach

As shown in Table 1, DTC models typically provide stronger customer data access, brand control, while marketplaces allow for faster scalability with less operational overhead.

Table 2: Decision Matrix for Selecting Between DTC, Marketplace, Hybrid Strategies

Business Scenario	*DTC Strategy*	*Marketplace Strategy*	*Hybrid Strategy*
Strong brand equity	✓	✗	✓
No infrastructure/logistics support	✗	✓	✗
Goal: Deep customer relationships	✓	✗	✓
Need to scale quickly or enter new markets	✗	✓	✓
Budget constraints for customer acquisition	✗	✓	✓
High control over customer experience	✓	✗	✓

Table 2 outlines the optimal selling model for different brand conditions, showing when a DTC, marketplace, or hybrid strategy aligns with business goals like scale, control, or infrastructure.

Table 1 contains the operational matrix which examines six crucial factors namely profitability, scalability, data access, brand equity, operational complexity, customer intimacy to support these business decisions. The combination of FAHP with MOOSRA in Vasić et al.'s study demonstrates how multiple factors should be taken into account while choosing e-commerce channels particularly when operating under stringent resource constraints, high-stakes business conditions [15].

This framework requires a strategic handling of channel conflicts as its core element. Business confronts challenges due to serving common customer sets with duplicate promotional content which leads to market competition issues. Brands must create precise guidelines which manage pricing equality, separate inventory reserves together with promotional product exclusiveness. Unique product offers across specific channels help maintain platform value, reduce business competition.

Brands should conduct frequent auditing procedures of their distribution performance which must include the evaluation of both numeric metrics such as CAC, CLV but also qualitative aspects such as customer feedback, brand sentiment. AI-enabled dashboards that integrate with CRM have become standard tools for mature DTC operations to improve their evaluative processes.

Companies should treat the choice between DTC, marketplace, hybrid models as an evolving strategy. The decision-making process transforms through ongoing internal growth goals together with changes in customer needs, advancements of new technologies. The framework system helps companies develop organized assessments as well as maintain marketplace agility through platform-driven constant change, rapid innovation.

6. Conclusion

Managerial Implications:
- Brands with strong brand equity and operational capacity should prioritize DTC models.
- Startups and resource-constrained firms should initially leverage marketplaces.
- Hybrid strategies should focus on channel conflict management and customer migration to owned channels.

The research project analyzed the strategic implications between marketplace, DTC business models as platforms in contemporary economic settings. Both business models provide specific benefits alongside corresponding drawbacks based on research from an abundant number of publications, realistic industry examples evaluated through comparative research. DTC strategy allows brands to maintain complete ownership of their customer relationships, price structures, brand value assets although it requires substantial financial commitments to develop operational infrastructure alongside distribution networks, data systems management [1, 2]. Marketplace platforms enable quick scalability together with operational convenience that works best for new brands that have restricted resources [3] or reach limitations [6]. The trade-off in marketplace strategies involves sacrificing brand independence as well as restrictions to obtain customer information that helps build enduring competitive edges [4] [13].

Companies need to use five criteria including profitability along with customer value preservation, data control, operational flexibility when choosing DTC or marketplace models. Marketplace-first approaches enable Anker to quickly enter markets but Nike demonstrates that transitioning to DTC lets the brand keep premium positioning while gaining ownership of customer data [6] [24].

Companies can use hybrid models based on omnichannel technology to obtain a strategic path that offers flexible opportunities. The combination enables brands to seize model advantages with proper implementation of counteractions for their respective weaknesses. This paper develops a strategic decision-making framework through analysis of real-world examples, scholarly sources for businesses to navigate different paths [15] [20] [21] [22]. Brands need to evaluate both their present operational capacity, financial standings together with extended branding perspectives, sovereign data management, superior customer interaction to make effective decisions.

The research reveals important knowledge about strategic channel choices, shows clear deficiencies specifically related to data control issues, privacy-related effects from automated personalization in platform control systems. There are specific areas that need additional experimental work alongside multi-sector industry testing because these elements require comprehensive research validation.

E-commerce growth requires brand decision-makers to stay adaptable, use data as well as strategic thinking at all times because of consumer demands, technology development. The presented framework combined with its insights will deliver practical support for both theoretical discussions, applied brand management at different digital economy development levels.

References

[1] A. Ahi, N. Sinkovics, , R. R. Sinkovics, “E-commerce policy , the global economy: A path to more inclusive development?,” Manag. Int. Rev., vol. 63, pp. 27–56, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11575-022-00490-1.

[2] I. Aimé, F. Berger-Remy, , M. Laporte, “The brand, the persona , the algorithm: How datafication is reconfiguring marketing work,” J. Bus. Res., vol. 145, pp. 814–827, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.03.047.

[3] N. Ali , O. Shaban, “Customer lifetime value (CLV) insights for strategic marketing success , its impact on organizational financial performance,” Cogent Bus. Manag., vol. 11, 2024, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2024.2361321.

[4] M. Andrejić, V. Pajić, , M. Kilibarda, “Distribution channel selection using FUCOM-ADAM: A novel approach,” Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 19, p. 14527, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su151914527.

[5] L. Blasco-Arcas, H. M. Lee, M. N. Kastanakis, M. Alcañiz, , A. Reyes-Menendez, “The role of consumer data in marketing: A research agenda,” J. Bus. Res., vol. 146, pp. 436–452, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.03.054.

[6] J. A. Cano, A. A. Londoño-Pineda, E. A. Campo, , S. A. Fernández, “Sustainable business models of e-marketplaces: An analysis from the consumer perspective,” J. Open Innov. Technol. Mark. Complex., vol. 9, no. 3, p. 100121, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100121.

[7] K. Chen, G. Kou, , J. Shang, “An analytic decision making framework to evaluate multiple marketing channels,” Ind. Mark. Manag., vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 1420–1434, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2014.06.011.

[8] K. Kang, “Strategic orientation, integrated marketing communication, , relational performance in e-commerce brands: Evidence from Japanese consumers’ perception,” Bus. Commun. Res. Pract., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 28–40, 2021, doi: 10.22682/BCRP.2021.4.1.28.

[9] N. L. Kim, D. C. Shin, , G. Kim, “Determinants of consumer attitudes , re-purchase intentions toward direct-to-consumer (DTC) brands,” Fashion Text., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2021, doi: 10.1186/s40691-020-00224-7.

[10] K. Lin, "A comparative analysis for emerging e-commerce business owners: Shopify & Amazon," SHS Web Conf., vol. 151, p. 01033, 2022, doi: 10.1051/shsconf/202215101033.
[11] Q. Luo, Y. Wang, , Y. Liu, "Strategies selection for building e-commerce platforms for agricultural wholesale markets: A tripartite evolutionary game perspective," PLOS ONE, vol. 19, no. 1, p. e0297360, 2024, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0297360.
[12] P. Mandal, "Roles of customer databases , database marketing in customer relationship management," Int. J. E-Bus. Res., vol. 18, pp. 1–12, 2022, doi: 10.4018/IJEBR.315746.
[13] K. D. Martin, A. Borah, , R. W. Palmatier, "Data privacy: Effects on customer , firm performance," J. Mark., 2016, doi: 10.1509/jm.15.0497.
[14] S. McKee, S. Sands, J. I. Pallant, , J. Cohen, "The evolving direct-to-consumer retail model: A review , research agenda," Int. J. Consum. Stud., vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 2816–2842, 2023, doi: 10.1111/ijcs.12972.
[15] I. Melero, F. J. Sese, , P. Verhoef, "Recasting the customer experience in today's omni-channel environment," Univ. Bus. Rev., vol. 2016, no. 50, pp. 18–37, 2016, doi: 10.3232/UBR.2016.V13.N2.01.
[16] W. Mu , Y. Yi, "The impact of characteristic factors of the direct-to-consumer marketing model on consumer loyalty in the digital intermediary era," Front. Psychol., vol. 15, p. 1347588, 2024, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1347588.
[17] M. Nagori, "Nike—A case study: Just do it," ResearchGate, 2022, [Online]. Available: .
[18] A. Podkalicka , M. Fredriksson, "Mediatised marketplaces: Platforms, places, , strategies for trading material goods in digital economies," Convergence, 2023, doi: 10.1177/13548565231192103.
[19] S. M. Rahman, J. Carlson, S. P. Gudergan, M. Wetzels, , D. Grewal, "Perceived omnichannel customer experience (OCX): Concept, measurement, , impact," J. Retail., vol. 98, no. 4, pp. 611–632, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jretai.2022.03.003.
[20] B. Rizvanović, A. Zutshi, A. Grilo, , T. Nodehi, "Linking the potentials of extended digital marketing impact , start-up growth," Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change, vol. 186, p. 122128, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122128.
[21] J. Šaković Jovanović, R. Vujadinovic, E. Mitreva, C. Fragassa, , A. Vujovic, "The relationship between e-commerce , firm performance: The mediating role of internet sales channels," Sustainability, vol. 12, no. 17, p. 6993, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12176993.
[22] P. Thaichon, S. Quach, M. Barari, , M. Nguyen, "Exploring the role of omnichannel retailing technologies: Future research directions," Australas. Mark. J., 2024, doi: 10.1177/14413582231167664.
[23] L. Trujillo-Torres, E. Anlamlier, L. Mimoun, A. Bal, , C. Bèzes, "Access-based customer journeys," J. Acad. Mark. Sci., vol. 52, pp. 24–43, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s11747-023-00942-6.
[24] N. Vasić, M. Kilibarda, , M. Andrejić, "Selecting the e-commerce distribution channel by applying the integrated FAHP , MOOSRA methods," Int. J. Shipp. Transp. Logist., vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 281–304, 2024, doi: 10.1504/IJSTL.2024.139067.
[25] X. Xi , Y. Zhang, "The interplay between marketplace channel addition , pricing strategy in an e-commerce supply chain," Int. J. Prod. Econ., vol. 258, p. 108807, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2023.108807.