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Abstract: This study was undertaken to study the relationships between self - concept and academic performance. The sample consists of 363 students from 12 govt. high schools were chosen by using multistage cluster sampling method. The personal data questionnaire and self - concept Questionnaire (SCQ) used for data collection. For obtaining data regarding the participant's self - concept used of the total score of SCQ, and regarding their scholastic performance through marks assigned by students and their teachers. After verifying the scale's factorial structure, established levels of association between self - concept and academic performance, and predictive power of self - concept. The research finding showed a close relationship between self - concept and academic performance.
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1. Introduction

The study of self - concept has awakened growing interest in psychological research of recent years. We know that self - concept determines not only the kinds of goals as suitable for a student to strive. Despite the profusion of studies devoted to it, it is difficult to find a unanimous, accepted definition of the term self - concept, given that it has been approached from different theoretical perspectives. Nonetheless, there is agreement among the different authors in that the term self - concept has a multi - dimensional nature. Self - concept is considered to comprise various dimensions, areas or facets, some of which are more related to certain personality aspects for e. g. physical, social and, emotional, while others appear to be more linked to academic achievement in different areas. The importance of self - concept stems from its notable contribution to personality formation. Self - concept has to do with social competence, since it influences how student feels, and how students thinks, learns, values himself/herself, relates to others, and ultimately, how students behaves (Marsh & Seeshing, 1997).

Self - concept is the set of perceptions or reference points that the individual has about himself; the set of characteristics, attributes, qualities and deficiencies, capacities and limits, values and relationships that the individual knows to be descriptive of himself and perceives as data concerning students identity (Marsh & Seeshing, 1997). It is the set of knowledge and attitudes that we have about ourselves; the perceptions that the individual assigns to him and characteristics or attributes that we use to describe ourselves. It is understood to be fundamentally a descriptive assessment and has a cognitive behaviour.

Self - concept, as a component of human personality development, has its own nature and peculiarity. Marsh & Seeshing (1997) have tried to specify the nature of the term self - concept. In their study they look at it as a compendium of seven characteristics or fundamental aspects: self - concept constitutes a psychological dimension; it is multidimensional; it has a hierarchical organization (a general self - concept and specific self - concepts); it is stable, but as we go lower on the hierarchy, self - concept becomes more specific and more susceptible to change the different facets of self - concept become more differentiated among themselves with age and experience; self - concept includes both descriptive as well as evaluative aspects; self - concept can be differentiated from other constructs which it is related to, such as academic performance.

Harter (1986), make interesting contributions, such as that general or total self concept will be determined by the degree of importance that we assign to each of its specific components. If, students describing themselves, their value judgments are satisfactory, then people obtain a positive total self - concept in the opposite case students generate negative feelings and thus produce a negative global self - concept. There are different tasks in the world, that different students do, or there are different tasks that they desire to do.

Self - concept and academic performance

Self - concept is an internal model that uses self - assessments in order to define one’s self - schemas. Features such as personality, skills and abilities, occupation and hobbies, physical characteristics etc., are assessed and applied to self - schemas, which are ideas of oneself in a particular dimension. Self - concept is a collection of beliefs about oneself that includes elements such as academic performance, gender roles, sexuality, and racial identity. It also called self - construction, self identity, and self - perspective or self - structure.

Self - concept is distinguishable from self - awareness, which refers to the extent to which self - knowledge is defines consistent, and currently applicable to one’s attitudes and dispositions (Hamachek, 1995).

There are 6 major subtypes:

- Physical: an individual's view of their body, health, physical appearance and strength.
- Social: an individual's sense of worth in social interactions.
- Temperamental: an individual's view of their prevailing emotional state or predominance of a particular kind of emotional reaction.
• **Educational:** an individual's view of themselves in relation to school, teachers and extracurricular activities.

• **Moral:** an individual's estimation of their moral worth.

• **Right or wrong activities and intellectual:** an individual's awareness of their intelligence and capacity of problem solving and judgments.

Insert Educational psychology has been concerned with analyzing different types of relationships, both associative and predictive, that exist between self - concept and academic performance (Marsh & Seeshing, 1997). Despite the abundance of studies, however, there are no conclusive studies that clearly identify the direction of the link which joins these two variables. In results obtained, one perceives different extraneous variables that can alter the results to differing degrees (Marsh & Seeshing, 1997). These authors indicate the need to differentiate four possible patterns or causal models between self - concept and academic performance:

1) **Academic performance determines self - concept**
   Academic experiences of success or failure significantly affect the pupil’s self - concept and self - image more than vice versa, this being explained by the role of evaluation by significant others, or by the theory of social comparison (Tajfel & Turner, 1986). Given that the influencing variable is academic performance, psycho pedagogic interventions should give priority to modifying the students’ performance level, since this will contribute to changing the level of self - concept.

2) **Academic performance and levels of self - concept determine the higher education:**
   Likewise for this causal relationship model, there are implications for applying important educational decisions. Given that self - concept is what determines levels of academic performance, and self - concept in turn can be strongly influenced by contingencies provided by the pupil’s significant others, among whom we must not underestimate teachers, we can infer that it would be possible to increase levels of school performance by previously optimizing levels of self - concept and very specifically levels of perceived competence.

3) **Self - concept and academic performance influence and determine each other mutually.**

4) **Additional variables that may be the cause of both self - concept and academic performance:**
   This model postulates the existence which person might find personal and environmental variables, academic and non - academic variables.

The beneficial effects produced by a good level of self - concept have been substantiated in studies (Hay, Ashman and Van - Kraayenoord, 1998). Students high self - concept were compared with other students with low self - concept, teacher reports show that they consider the high self - concept students as more popular, cooperative and persistent in class work, with lower anxiety levels, more supportive families and higher expectations of future success.

2. **Review of Literature**

Stanley, Comello, Edwards, and Marquart (2008) compared the difference between urban and rural school communities and noted significant differences in income and education of high school students’ parents. The findings supported previous research on the academic performance of students by indicating that outcomes were based on other variables, such as parental education and socio - economic status, rather than on community/school characteristics of urban and rural settings.

Shavelson, Hubner and Stanton (1976) reach a common definition of self - concept, we opted to take the theoretical model and definition. They define the term self concept as the perception that each one has about him, formed from experiences and relationships with the environment, where significant people play an important role.

3. **Method**

3.1 **Participants**

Using multistage cluster sampling 12 government high schools in Ranchi were selected. A sample of 363 students participated in this study. From this sample, 187 are girls and 176 are boys. Age ranged from 13 to 18 years, with an average age of 16.4 (SD=4.2). As for the "school year" variable, 119 students were in first of govt. high school, and 126 were in second grade of govt. high school and 118 students were in third of govt. high school.

3.2 **Instruments**

All of measurements of self - concept, total self - concept and academic performance were taken for this investigation:

3.2.1. **Self - concept**

The data collection table for this research was a researcher made questionnaire that used from standard scales to making the questionnaire statements. After initial survey, the final version in a pilot study carried out on 120 govt. high school students (girls and boys) in Ranchi. The reliability was obtained using cronbach's alpha coefficient 0.91 and using test - retest 0.89. Content validity was confirmed by psychometric and Educational Psychology experts. Construct Validity was tested by obtaining each term correlation with the total correlation test. Scores on the questionnaire are based on 18 items. In order to complete this questionnaire, subjects must respond to a series of three options (Yes, No & None of these) items. A high score on this inventory indicates a higher self - concept, while a low score shows low self - concept.

3.2.2. **Self - concept**

To study relationship between self - concept and academic performance comparison of the self - concept questionnaire. The Self –Concept Questionnaire (SCQ) of Rajkumar Saraswat (1984) is used. The self - concept inventory provides six separate dimensions of self - concept, which are, physical, social, intellectual, moral, educational and temperamental. It also gives a total self - concept score on this study total score was used. The inventory contains 48
items. Each dimension contains eight items. The added score of all eight items of a particular dimension of self-concept will provide the score for that particular dimension of self-concept. Each item is provided with five alternatives. The respondent is provided with five alternatives to give his responses ranging from most acceptable to least acceptable description of his self-concept. The reliability of the inventory was found by test-retest method, and it was found to be .91 for the total self-concept measure. Reliability coefficients of its various dimensions vary from 67 to .88.

3.2.3. Measuring level of school performance
Based on marks given to each student by their teacher in the annual prior, they were asked to report their marks for Physics and Mathematics. Marks were categorized in a range of scores from 0 to 20. Statistical treatment and analysis was performed using the SPSS software.

4. Results

Table 2: Pearson correlation coefficients between self-concept questionnaire, total self-concept and the two marks of academic performance used (Physics and Mathematics).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>Physics</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self - concept</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Self - concept</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>123.47</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>P&lt;.001</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variables: Physics &amp; Mathematics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self - concept</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>.61*</td>
<td>.57*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Self - concept: Physics &amp; Mathematics</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>123.47</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>.46*</td>
<td>.42*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>.38*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>.0104</td>
<td>.38*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As we can observe in the above table, results regarding the second hypothesis show that all correlations coefficient between self-concept, total self-concept and academic performance marks is significant. Therefore can be said with confidence that students self-concept is valid predictor of their academic performance. Both test correlation with mathematics mark is higher than Physics score, but this is not enough for being significant (.04). Also there is a correlation between academic performance both mathematics and Physics (.38).

The third hypothesis expressed that self-concept questionnaire predict academic performance better than Saraswat self-concept scale (SCQ). For investigating this hypothesis we used 1 & 2 difference test. The results were showed in table 3.

Table 3: 1 & 2 difference test between correlation coefficients of self-concept, total self-concept and academic performance of Physics and mathematics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self - concept &amp; Physics</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>2.8443</td>
<td>.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self - concept &amp; Physics, .46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Self - concept</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>2.6833</td>
<td>.0104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Self - concept &amp; Mathematics</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As in the above table is clear, the relationship between self-concept and Physics and mathematics. Marks is stronger than the relationship between total score of saraswat self-concept scale and academic performance of these two lessons. Therefore we can say certainly that self-concept questionnaire is better predictor than saraswat self-concept scale for academic performance.

5. Discussion
This research first objective was consisted of determining correlation between made questionnaire (self-concept) and total score of saraswat self-concept scale (SCQ).
The second objective was to analyses the degree of association existing between self - concept, as well as the total self - concept and academic performance, in these two aspects: Physics academic performance and Mathematics academic performance. In order to this objective we have seen all correlations coefficient between self - concept, total self - concept and academic performance marks is significant.

The third objective was to determine association between self - concept and total self - concept in Physics and Mathematics.

In final specifying which questionnaire self - concept or saraswat self - concept is better in predicting academic performance of Physics and Mathematics.

Result relevant to the first goal showed self - concept questionnaire has high correlation with total score of saraswat self - concept scale (.67). This represents validation of self - concept. Therefore can be said with confidence self - concept powerfully and positively predicts both Physics and Mathematics. Both test correlation with Physics mark is higher than Mathematics score, but this is not enough for being significant (.04). Also there is a correlation between academic performance both mathematics and Physics (.38).

According to the third purpose relationship between self - concept and Physics and Mathematics marks is stronger than the relationship between total score of saraswat self - concept scale and academic performance of these two lessons. Therefore we can say certainly that self - concept questionnaire is better predictor than saraswat self - concept scale for academic performance. Several studies ratify our results.

Alexander (1997) studies the relationship between academic performance and intelligence, learning strategies and academic performance. Data from this study showed a high degree of positive, significant association between academic performance and self - concept, as well as between total self - concept and self - concept. Another study similar to the previous one reports that self - concept proves itself favorably associated with academic performance (Castor, 1997). A study carried out by Mboya (1998) found significant differences as a function of the subject’s age and his or her academic performance in English, sciences and history, though not in mathematics.

Acosta (2001) reports several interesting results, they found: 1) A linear association between self - concept and academic performance; 2) Reciprocal influences between teacher expectations, students’ academic performance, and students’ self - concept. 3) Effects of students’ academic performance on teachers’ perception.

In our Physics review we found studies that analyses existing relationships among similar variables (Carr & Kurtz - Costes, 1994): intelligence, socialization, school mal adaptation, self - concept and personality. Acosta (2001) also studies that stand out, where he examines relationships between the school climate, self - concept and academic performance.

Acosta affirms that multiple regression analyses gave indices where the predicting variables explained as much as 18% of the variance in academic achievement, though only the variance explained by self - concept was statistically significant.

Carr & Kurtz - Costes, (1994) uses self - concept as a predictor of academic performance, regarding the predictive ability of self - concept regarding school achievement specific to Physics and Mathematics we found similar behaviour. That is, the academic dimension of self - concept and factors included in it have the capacity to predict academic performance as well as that of Physics or that of Mathematics, these results agree with those obtained in other studies.

Marsh (1990) found that average marks in students of 16 - 17 years of age were influenced significantly by self - concept measured the previous year. The relationship between self - concept and performance becomes stronger with age, at least in the developmental period. However, it is possible that the causal order of these variables may vary with age.

Mijs (1997) found studies in Physics which analyses and find significant predictive relationships between the constructs of self - concept and academic performance. This last study shows a unidirectional model in which the influence of self - concept on academic achievement is statistically significant.

Results found by Patrikakou, (1996) results found and may be in line with other research (for e. g., Shavelson & Bolus, 1982; Patrikakou, 1996). As claimed by Patrikakou, (1996), the type of strategy used in collection of data, be it a transversal or longitudinal type, may have conditioning effects on results obtained. In fact, researchers who use a longitudinal strategy (Helmke & van Aken, 1995; Marsh & Yeung, 1997; Marsh, Hau & Kong 2002; Valentine, 2002) show evidence of reciprocal relationships between self - concept and academic achievement. (Merrell, Streeter, Boelter, Caldarella, Gentry, 2001) report from the International Conference on Motivation: 6th Workshop on Achievement and Task Motivation, held in Greece, that results presented from several investigations where the relationship between self - concept and academic performance was measured by a longitudinal strategy (with an interval of one year between the pre - test and the posttest), confirmed reciprocal relationships. Not only so, but results indicate that self - concept is the immediate cause of academic achievement, considering that the influence or relevance of achievement would be at the base of a long term relationship. They therefore consider self - concept as a powerful motivating force that responds to the students’ immediate achievement. Nonetheless, this level of achievement does not affect students’ self - concept immediately, but seems to be an important source of information that has repercussions in self - concept in the long term (an interval of one year in this study). This seems reasonable from the point of view of personal stability.
(Merrell & Gimpel, 1998). It is needful to continue doing research in the line of other studies (Fantuzzo, Tighe & Childs 2000; Fantuzzo, Davis & Ginsburg 1995), that we may obtain more information on certain psychosocial and family - related variables (number of siblings, birth order among siblings, parents' profession, parents' child rearing style, conditioning factors from the social context or the neighbourhood where the pupil lives, etc.) and the formation of an adapted or maladapted self - concept.

The purpose should serve toward the development of a guide we can use for making intervention suggestions and offering training directed toward parents and/or teachers, and to optimize educational processes. It is true that the forming of self - concept, principally the academic type, is not only the task of the classroom teacher, but that the other professionals in the school also intervene. This is why we continue to insist that teacher training, apart from the teaching specialty involved, must include training in common themes which concern the entire educational team that deals with each student. Thus, at each school, training and development in the areas of the pupil’s personal and social competence (self - concept, self - esteem, social abilities, personal development, school mediation, living together, conflict resolution, etc.) (Gabelko, 1997) should be addressed through the teachers’ development plans. Most definitely, we feel it necessary to give adequate and sufficient attention to self - concept and self - esteem (Carr & Kurtz - Costes, 1994), and that teachers should be offered methodological guidance in order to work on these throughout the educational process, in order that this type of psycho - educational intervention may serve as an avenue to improve academic performance. (Bosson, Swann & Pennebaker, 2000). The students have to realize their capacities and to have the self introspection in various matters.
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