

Financial Management of Non - Banking Finance Companies - An AHP Based Weighted Goal Programming Model

Alladaboina. Sri Sai Devi Bhagavan Sidhvik Suhas¹, Dr. Ambati. Venkata Srinivasa Prasad²

¹Sri Chaitanya Junior College, Hyderabad, India

²ACE Engineering Academy, Hyderabad, India

Abstract: *In this paper, we present an analytical hierarchy process (AHP) based weighted goal programming model (WGP) for financial management of non - banking finance company in Telangana. The data are collected from the non - banking finance company's financial statements from 2015 to 2022. In this study, we considered four financial metrics such as liability, equity, income, asset, profit and proportion of values in the statement. The problem was solved using PM - QM for windows and the results are analysed. The proposed model can be used as a tool for non - banking finance company in making decisions and develop strategies to deal with various economic scenarios.*

Keywords: financial management, financial metrics, analytical hierarchy process (AHP), weighted goal programming model (WGP)

1. Introduction

The management of financial statements is a process of evaluating the relationship between component parts of financial statements to get a better understanding of the firm's position and performance. Financial analysts often assess firm's production and productivity performance, profitability performance, liquidity performance, working capital performance, fixed assets performance, fund flow performance and social performance. we can classify the techniques of financial analysis as three categories.

- 1) Accounting techniques: Ratio analysis, trend analysis. Cash outflow analysis
- 2) Statistical techniques: mean, mode, median, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, correlation and regression analysis, analysis of time series, index number, t - test, Chi - square test, diagrams and graphs.
- 3) Mathematical methods: Financial analysis also involves the use of certain mathematical tools such as Programme Evaluation and Review Techniques (PERT), Critical Path Method (CPM), and Linear Programming.

The first two categories are limited to discuss just the financial performance of non - banking finance company, but not optimize the resource and discuss the goals defined by the management are achieved or not. In this study, we use mathematical models. For efficient performance of non - banking finance company, the financial management is very important. A non - banking finance company without proper financial management can't meet the requirements of the customers. The dynamic condition assembles vulnerability and irreconcilable circumstance while divided data makes it difficult to develop a set of reliable numerical tools or solution analysis for the decision maker's inclinations. Simple linear programming is not capable of analysing multi - objective goals. Using a goal programming model, however, would enable non - banking finance company to measure or analyze these various goals. The remaining parts of the paper are outlined as follows: literature review,

methodology, data of the problem, results and discussion, followed by conclusion.

2. Literature Review

There are several studies on financial performance of non - banking financial companies. We review some studies. Munja Lee [1] had used liquidity, stability, growth, activity, and profitability to discuss financial analysis of non - banking financial companies. Claverley et. al., [2] discussed the financial performance of a non - banking financial companies by using the capital structure, short - term cash holdings and profitability. Goldstein et. al [3] used net income on shareholders equity, cash holdings, working capital flow, short - term liquidity, debt structure, accounts receivable recovery, return on asset and cash flow are as indicators for the financial performance of non - banking financial companies. Trinh et. al [4] used fixed asset acquisition, profitability, working capital efficiency, liquidity, and debt service coverage ratio are the indicators for non - banking financial company's performance. An efficient asset-liability management requires non - banking financial companies to optimize profit as well as monitor and reduce various risks. Tektas et al., [5] stated that asset and liability management is a multidimensional process requiring coincident interaction among different dimensions. The position of asset and liability will determine liquidity preference and desired outcomes. Thus, non - banking financial companies have to create strategies to make efficient use of funds and analyze the various goals such as maximizing profitability, asset, income, equity and minimize liability. We will examine six goals of top non - banking finance company in Telangana. The data are collected from the financial statements of the non - banking finance company. The name of the non - banking finance company not revealed, because of the corporate security. The goals to be examined are: (1) asset accumulation, (2) liability reduction, (3) equity wealth, (4) income, (5) profitability, and (6) optimum management item on the financial statement. We will use an analytical hierarchy process based

Volume 12 Issue 6, June 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

weighted goal programming to analyze the structure and variations in the proportion of items in the selected non-banking finance company financial statement.

Chambers and Charnes [6] pioneered the development of a deterministic linear programming model in assets and liability. If the decision makers stated multiple criteria in their managerial problems; hence, the linear programming model is unable to combine all the criteria simultaneously. Goal programming is widely used tool in multi-criteria decision analysis [7] and the goal programming technique has been introduced in order to solve multi-objective problems. Ignizio [8] proposed a goal programming model to analyze multiple conflicting objectives while taking into account the constraints and preference of the decision maker. Since then, goal programming techniques has been applied to many areas such as, plant management [9], portfolio decision analysis [10], marketing executive tour scheduling [11], nurse scheduling [12], agriculture [13], tourism [14], chemical industry [15], project selection [16], health care planning [17], resource allocation [27] and many more. In the field of financial management, goal programming has been used in, assets and liability management [18], financial planning [19, 26], portfolio selection [20], funding allocation [21]. The goal programming model can be extended and integrated with other methods. Tunjo and Zoran [22] used Taylor's formula to formulate the linearization of fractional functions before applying it in goal programming technique to find the optimal solution. Soheyla, et al., [23] developed a goal programming model combined with AHP to find optimum management of assets, liabilities and equity for a bank. Mohammadi et al., [24] used a fuzzy analytic hierarchy process (FAHP) and goal programming model for liquidity management. This study focuses on combination of methods analytical hierarchy process and weighted goal programming. In this paper we adopted the method developed by J. w. chen et. al [26]

3. Methodology

In this paper, we used weighted goal programming method and analytical hierarch process to obtain the weights of the goals. The methods are discussed in the following sections 3.1 and 3.2

3.1. Weighted Goal Programming Model

The generalized weighted goal programming model is formulated as follows

$$\text{Minimize } z = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^m w_{ki} P_k (d_i^- + d_i^+)$$

$$\text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} x_j + d_i^- - d_i^+ = b_i \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$x_j, d_i^-, d_i^+ = \text{nonnegative variables } (i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Where
Z=the sum of the weighted deviational variables

w_{ki} = The relative weight assigned to k priority level for the i^{th} goal constraint

P_k = the k^{th} pre-emptive priority

d_i^- = a negative deviational variable describing under achievement of the i^{th} goal

d_i^+ = a positive deviational variable describing over achievement of the i^{th} goal

a_{ij} = technical coefficient for the decision variable x_j

x_j = j^{th} decision variable

b_i = the right-hand-side value for the i^{th} goal constraint

In the goal programming the objective function is the minimization of the deviational variables. For a goal both

the under achievement (d_i^-) and over achievement (d_i^+) cannot achieved at a time, hence either one or both

$$\text{deviational variables is zero, that is } d_i^- \times d_i^+ = 0$$

3.2 Analytical Hierarchy Process

In this study, we use analytical hierarchy process (AHP) to get the weights of the goals in the model. The importance of one priority over the another can be judged by numerical value using a scale of 1 - 9 where 1 denotes equal importance and 9 denotes the absolutely highest importance. The result of these comparisons using the AHP scale is a square $n \times n$ matrix. A pair wise comparison is based on evaluating two elements (alternatives or criteria) at a time. A pairwise comparison is the process of comparing the relative importance, preference, or likelihood of two elements with respect an element in the level above. When all the pair wise comparisons are done, we calculate the priorities and a measure of consistency of our judgement. Generally, the consistency ratio should be less than 0.10 (10%). The

number of comparisons would be $\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right)$, where n is the number of criteria in the model. Detailed description of the theoretical aspects of AHP can be found in Saaty [25]. To prioritize the financial metrics we have prepared set of questionnaire and was distributed among a great number of financial analyst/accountant and the judgements are considered. The AHP weights are obtained as asset (0.231157), liability (0.194363), equity (0.168173), profit (0.160122), income (0.096916), and financial management (0.149269), here $\lambda_{\max} = 10.0491$, C. I= 0.809827, CR= 0.65309

4. Data of the Problem

The following Table - 1 shows the data of the non-banking finance company in Rs millions.

Table 1

Item (goal)	year							total
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	
asset	6, 196.62	5, 980.23	9, 640.36	14, 632.9	13, 575.63	16, 963.33	17, 828.42	84, 817.49
liability	5, 228.46	5, 350.15	5, 438.24	5, 050.31	5, 550.09	7, 959.93	9, 821.75	44, 398.93
equity	15, 417.78	17, 721.65	23, 522.66	27, 275.97	29, 647.25	31, 610.71	34, 301.31	1, 79, 497.33
profit	1, 519.64	1, 817.18	2, 309.90	3, 091.08	3, 307.20	3, 465.95	3, 694.39	19, 205.34
income	18, 587.45	23, 522.66	28, 279.20	33, 488.18	38, 840.88	46, 380.62	54, 779.64	2, 43, 878.63
total	46, 949.95	54, 391.87	69, 190.36	83, 538.44	90, 921.05	1, 06, 380.54	1, 20, 425.51	5, 71, 797.72

The Table - 2 shows the coded values (in Rs trillions) of the non - banking finance company. We coded the values because to enable the analysis with small values.

Table 2

Item (goal)	year							total
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	
asset	0.0062	0.0060	0.0096	0.0146	0.0136	0.0170	0.0178	0.0848
liability	0.0052	0.0054	0.0054	0.0051	0.0056	0.0080	0.0098	0.0444
equity	0.0154	0.0177	0.0235	0.0273	0.0296	0.0316	0.0343	0.1795
profit	0.0015	0.0018	0.0023	0.0031	0.0033	0.0035	0.0037	0.0192
income	0.0186	0.0235	0.0283	0.0335	0.0388	0.0464	0.0548	0.2439
total	0.0470	0.0544	0.0692	0.0835	0.0909	0.1064	0.1204	0.5718

The decision variables are defined as follows:

x_1 = the amount of financial statement in year2016
 x_2 = the amount of financial statement in year2017
 x_3 = the amount of financial statement in year2018
 x_4 = the amount of financial statement in year2019
 x_5 = the amount of financial statement in year2020
 x_6 = the amount of financial statement in year2021
 x_7 = the amount of financial statement in year2022

4.1 The goal constraints

Priority1: Asset accumulation goal - the management of non - banking finance company wants to maximize the asset accumulation. We have to minimize the negative deviational variable d_1^- .

$$0.0062x_1 + 0.0060x_2 + 0.0096x_3 + 0.0146x_4 + 0.0136x_5 + 0.0170x_6 + 0.0178x_7 + d_1^- - d_1^+ = 0.0848$$

Priority2: Liability goal - the management wants to minimize the liability. So, we need to minimize the over achievement of the goal, that is positive deviational variable d_2^+

$$0.0052x_1 + 0.0054x_2 + 0.0054x_3 + 0.0051x_4 + 0.0056x_5 + 0.0080x_6 + 0.0098x_7 + d_2^- - d_2^+ = 0.0444$$

Priority3: Equity goal - the equity is to be maximized. So, the under - achievement variable d_3^- is to be minimized.

$$0.0154x_1 + 0.0177x_2 + 0.0235x_3 + 0.0273x_4 + 0.0296x_5 + 0.0316x_6 + 0.0343x_7 + d_3^- - d_3^+ = 0.1795$$

Priority4: Income goal - the management wants to maximize the income. We have to minimize the under - achievement variable d_4^-

$$0.0186x_1 + 0.0235x_2 + 0.0283x_3 + 0.0335x_4 + 0.0388x_5 + 0.0464x_6 + 0.0548x_7 + d_4^- - d_4^+ = 0.2439$$

Priority5: Profitability goal - To maximize the profit, the under - achievement variable d_5^- is to be minimized.

$$0.0015x_1 + 0.0018x_2 + 0.0023x_3 + 0.0031x_4 + 0.0033x_5 + 0.0035x_6 + 0.0037x_7 + d_5^- - d_5^+ = 0.0192$$

Priority6: Financial statement managing goal - To maximize the proportion of the values in the financial statement the under - achievement variable d_6^- is to be minimized

$$0.0470x_1 + 0.0544x_2 + 0.0692x_3 + 0.0835x_4 + 0.0909x_5 + 0.1064x_6 + 0.1204x_7 + d_6^- - d_6^+ = 0.5718$$

4.2 Objective function

$$\text{Minimize } Z = P_1(0.231157)d_1^- + P_2(0.194363)d_2^+ + P_3(0.168173)d_3^- + P_4(0.160122)d_4^- + P_5(0.096916)d_5^- + P_6(0.149269)d_6^-$$

5. Results and Discussion

The problem was solved by using POM - QM for windows (formerly DS for windows). The following table - 3 shows the results.

Table 3: Deviation Variables

Goal priority	Negative deviation variable (d_i^-)	positive deviation variable (d_i^+)
P_1	0	0.04231
P_2	0	0
P_3	0	0.05817
P_4	0	0.27245
P_5	0.21691	0
P_6	0	0.15514

The table - 3 shows the values of positive and negative deviational variables related to the goals from P_1 to P_6 . The first priority P_1 is to maximize the total assets. The goal is fully achieved because the negative deviational variable $d_1^- = 0$ but positive deviational variable $d_1^+ = 0.04231$ this means the asset of the non - banking financial company can be increased 0.04231 trillion. The goal of liability reduction P_2 is also achieved since both $d_2^+ = 0$ and $d_2^- = 0$, this means the liability can't be changed. The third priority goal P_3 is also achieved since the negative deviational variable $d_3^- = 0$ but $d_3^+ = 0.05817$, this means the equity amount 0.05817 trillion can be increased in the 6 - year period. The fourth priority goal P_4 is maximizing income is also achieved, since the negative deviational variable $d_4^- = 0$, but the positive deviational variable $d_4^+ = 0.27245$, this indicates that the income can be increased by 0.27245 trillion. The profitability goal is not achieved, since $d_5^- = 0.21691$ and $d_5^+ = 0$, this indicates the total profit can be decreased 0.21691 trillion in the 6 years period. Lastly the goal P_6 of maximizing the proportion of the value given in the financial statement is achieved, because the negative deviational variable $d_6^- = 0$, but the positive deviational variable $d_6^+ = 0.15514$ indicates that the proportion of the values given in the financial statement can be increased by 0.15514 trillion.

6. Conclusion

The model used in this paper indicates that the financial performance of non - banking finance company is good, because all the goals are achieved except one goal, namely profitability can be modified to increase the aspiration level. The proposed model can serve as a guideline for a non - banking financial company in making decisions to deal with various economic scenarios. Furthermore, the proposed model can be used as a tool or solution system that helps non - banking finance company or other financial institutions to create a plan blueprint and identify their ideal goal level or benchmark that can be achieved in the future

References

- [1] Munja Lee. Financial analysis of national university hospitals in Korea. *Osong Public Health Res Perspect*: 2015; 6 (1): 310 - 317.
- [2] Cleverley WO. Improving financial performance: a study of 50 hospitals. *Hosp Health Serv Admin*: 1989; 35 (2): 173 - 187.
- [3] Goldstein SM, et al. The effect of location, strategy, and operations technology on hospital performance. *Journal of Operations Management*: 2002; 20 (1): 63 - 75.
- [4] Trinh HQ et al. The strategic behaviour of US rural hospitals: a longitudinal and path model examination. *Health Care Manage Rev*: 2000; 25 (4): 48 - 64
- [5] Tektas, A., et al., Asset and liability management in financial crisis: 2005; 6 (2), 135 - 149
- [6] Chambers, D., et al., Inter - temporal analysis and optimization of bank portfolios. *Management Science*: 1961; 7 (11), 393-409.
- [7] T. Gal, et al., *Multicriteria Decision Making*, vol.21. Boston, MA: Springer US, 1999.
- [8] Ignizio, J. P. *Goal Programming and Extensions*. Lexington: 1976: Lexington Books
- [9] Diaz - Balteiro et al. Forest management optimization when carbon capture is disregarded: A goal programming approach. *Forest Ecology Management*: 2003; 174, 447 - 457.
- [10] Hassan et al. Portfolio decision analysis with maxmin criterion in the Malaysian stock market. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*: 2012; 6 (110), 5483 - 5486.
- [11] M. Mathirajan, et al. A (0 - 1) Goal programming model for scheduling the tour of marketing executives. *European Journal of Operations Research*: 2007; 179 (2), No - 1, 554 - 566
- [12] M. N. Azaiez, et al. A (0 - 1) Goal programming approach for nurse scheduling. *Computers and Operations Research*: 2005; 32 (3), 491 - 507
- [13] R. K. Jana, et al. A Hybrid probabilistic fuzzy goal programming approach for agriculture decision making. *International Journal of Production Economic*: 2016; 173, 134 - 141.
- [14] Jiekuan Zhang. Weighing and realizing the environmental, economic and social goals of tourism development using analytical network process and goal programming approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*: 2016; 127 (20), 262 - 273.
- [15] Luiz Carlos Barbosa, et al. Assessment of efficiency and sustainability in a chemical industry using goal programming and AHP. *Procedia Computer Science*: 2015; 55, 165 - 174
- [16] M. A. Badri et al. A comprehensive 0 - 1 goal programming approach for project selection. *International Journal of Project Management*: 2001; 19 (4), 243 - 252.

- [17] Safiye Turgay, et. al. Fuzzy - Goal programming for health care organization, Computers and industrial engineering: 2015; 86, 14 - 21
- [18] Mehri, M. et al., Designing a mathematical model of asset and liability management using goal programming (Case Study: Eghtesad - e - Novin Bank), GMP Review: 2015; 18 (3), 186 - 195.
- [19] Agarana. M. C, et. al. Optimization of banks loan portfolio management using goal programming technique, International journal of research in Applied, Natural, Social sciences: 2014; 2 (8), 43 - 52.
- [20] Belaid Aouni, et. al. Financial portfolio management through goal programming model. European journal of operations research: 2014; 234 (2), 536 - 545
- [21] Hassan, N., et al., Goal programming with utility function for funding allocation of a university library. Applied Mathematical Sciences: 2012; 6 (110), 5487–5493.
- [22] Tunjo, P. et al., Financial structure optimization by using a goal programming approach, Croatian Operational Research Review (CRORR): 2012; 3, 150 - 162.
- [23] Soheyly, N., et al., Asset and liability optimal management mathematical modeling for banks. Journal of Basic and Applied scientific Research: 2013, 3 (1), 484 - 493.
- [24] Mohammadi et al., Optimization of bank liquidity management using goal programming and fuzzy AHP, Research Journal of Recent Sciences: 2015; 4 (6), 53 - 61.
- [25] Saaty. T. L. The analytical Hierarchy process. McGraw - Hill, New York: 1980
- [26] J. w. chen et al. Optimization on the financial management of the bank with goal programming model, journal of fundamental and applied sciences - 2017, 9 (6S) 442 - 451
- [27] Sebastian lozanto et al centralized resource allocation using lexicographic goal programming application to the Spanish public university system, socio – economic planning sciences 2022, 84 (101419)