

Fixed Point Theorem in Controlled Metric - Like Spaces

S. S. P. Singh¹, Ranjay Kumar²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, S. N. Sinha College, Warisaliganj, Nawada, Bihar, India
Email: [sspsinghrajgir\[at\]gmail.com](mailto:sspsinghrajgir[at]gmail.com)

²Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Magadh University, Bodhgaya, Bihar, India
Email: [ranjay_kumar28\[at\]rediffmail.com](mailto:ranjay_kumar28[at]rediffmail.com)

Abstract: In this paper, we establish fixed point theorem on rational type contractions in the setting of controlled metric- like spaces, Our result are the extension of several well- known results of literature.

Keywords: fixed point, controlled metricspace, controlled metric- like space, extended b- metric space

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of a b-metric spaces was studied by Bakhtin [1], Czerwik [2] and many fixed point results were obtained for single and multivalued mappings by Czerwik and many other authors. (See [3] - [8]) The generalizations of b- metric spaces Kamran et al. [9] and others (see [10]- [11]) was introduce extended b- metric spaces. Mlaiki et al. [11] introduced controlled metric spaces were obtained many fixed point results and many authors. (see [12]- [15]) Which is generalized form of extended b- metric spaces. Again Mlaiki [11] introduced new type of metric spaces we generalize many results in the literature. New type of metric spaces is metric -like spaces. Das and Gupta [16] established first fixed point theorem for rational contractive type conditions in metric spaces further many authors established fixed point theorems in rational contractive type conditions. (see [17]). Recently Pandey et al. [18] established fixed point theorem on rational type contractions in controlled metric spaces. In this paper, we establish fixed point theorem on rational type contractions in the setting of controlled metric- like spaces. We also provide example to illustrate significance of the established result. Our result are the extension of several well- known results of literature.

Definition 1: [1] Let $M \neq \emptyset$ and $s \geq 1$. A function $Y: M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called b- metric if for all $r, s, t \in M$,

- 1) $Y(r, s) = 0$ if $r = s$
- 2) $Y(r, s) = Y(s, r)$
- 3) $Y(r, t) \leq s [Y(r, s) + Y(s, t)]$

The pair (M, Y) is called a b-metric space.

Definition 2: [9] Let $M \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau: M \times M \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a function. A function $Y: M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an extended b- metric if for all $r, s, t \in M$,

- 1) $Y(r, s) = 0$ iff $r = s$
- 2) $Y(r, s) = Y(s, r)$
- 3) $Y(r, t) \leq \tau(r, t) [Y(r, s) + Y(s, t)]$

The pair (M, Y) is called an extended b-metric space.

Definition 3 [11] Let $M \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau: M \times M \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a function. A function $Y: M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called controlled metric if for all $r, s, t \in M$,

- 1) $Y(r, s) = 0$ if $r = s$
- 2) $Y(r, s) = Y(s, r)$
- 3) $Y(r, t) \leq \tau(r, s) Y(r, s) + \tau(s, t) Y(s, t)$

The pair (M, Y) is called controlled metric space.

Definition 4 [11] Let $M \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau: M \times M \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a function. A function $Y: M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called controlled metric- like space if for all $r, s, t \in M$,

- 1) $Y(r, s) = 0$ implies $r = s$
- 2) $Y(r, s) = Y(s, r)$
- 3) $Y(r, t) \leq \tau(r, s) Y(r, s) + \tau(s, t) Y(s, t)$

The pair (M, Y) is called controlled metric like space.

Example 1 [11] Let $M = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Define the function $Y: M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$Y(0, 0) = Y(1, 1) = 0, Y(2, 2) = \frac{1}{10}, Y(0, 1) = Y(1, 0) = 1, Y(0, 2) = Y(2, 0) = \frac{1}{2}, Y(1, 2) = Y(2, 1) = \frac{2}{5}.$$

Take $\tau: M \times M \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by

$$\tau(0, 0) = \tau(1, 1) = \tau(2, 2) = \tau(0, 2) = 1, \tau(1, 2) = \frac{5}{4}, \tau(0, 1) = \frac{11}{10}.$$

Hence Y is controlled metric-like on M and (M, Y) is controlled metric - like space.

We have $Y(2, 2) = \frac{1}{10} \neq 0$. Which imply (M, Y) is not a controlled metric type space.

The Cauchy and convergent sequence in controlled metric- like space are defined in this way

Definition 5: [11] Let (M, Y) be a controlled metric- like space and $\{r_n\}$ be a sequence in M . then

- 1) The sequence $\{r_n\}$ converges to some r in M : if for every $\epsilon > 0$, their exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Y(r_n, r) < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. in this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = r$.

- 2) The sequence $\{r_n\}$ is Cauchy; if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Y(r_m, r_n) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq N$. In this case, we write $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} (r_m, r_n) = 0$.
- 3) The controlled metric - like space (M, Y) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 6 [11] Let (M, Y) be a controlled metric- like space. Let $r \in M$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

- 1) The open ball $B(r, \epsilon)$ is $B(r, \epsilon) = \{s \in M : Y(r, s) < \epsilon\}$
- 2) The mapping $\mathcal{F} : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be continuous at $r \in M$; if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}(B(r, \delta)) \subseteq B(r, \epsilon)$.

2. Main Result

In this part of our main result, we establish fixed point theorem on controlled metric -like spaces. We also provide example to illustrate significance of the established result.

Theorem 2.1 Let (M, Y) be a complete controlled metric-like space. Let $\mathcal{F} : M \rightarrow M$ be so that there are $a_i \in (0, 1)$, for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ with $k = (a_1 + a_2) / (1 - a_3 - a_4 - a_5) < 1$,

$$Y(\mathcal{F}r, \mathcal{F}s) \leq a_1 Y(r, s) + a_2 Y(r, \mathcal{F}r) + a_3 Y(s, \mathcal{F}s) + a_4 \frac{Y(r, \mathcal{F}r)Y(s, \mathcal{F}s)}{1+Y(r,s)} + a_5 \frac{Y(r, \mathcal{F}r)[1+Y(s, \mathcal{F}s)]}{1+Y(r,s)} \quad (2.1)$$

For all $r, s \in M$. For $r_0 \in M$, take $r_n = \mathcal{F}^n r_0$. assume that

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_{i+1}, r_{i+2}) \tau(r_{i+1}, r_m) / \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) < 1/k. \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose that,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_n, r)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r, r_n)$ exist are finite, and $(a_3 + a_5) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r, r_n) < 1$ for every $r \in M$, then M posses a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let $r_0 \in M$ be initial point. Consider sequence (r_n) verifies $r_{n+1} = \mathcal{F}r_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, if there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $r_{n_0+1} = r_{n_0}$, then $\mathcal{F}r_{n_0} = r_{n_0}$, and the proof is finished. Thus, we suppose that $r_{n+1} \neq r_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) &= Y(\mathcal{F}r_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}r_n) \leq a_1 Y(r_{n-1}, r_n) + a_2 Y(r_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}r_{n-1}) \\ &+ a_3 Y(r_n, \mathcal{F}r_n) + a_4 \frac{Y(r_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}r_{n-1}) Y(r_n, \mathcal{F}r_n)}{1 + Y(r_{n-1}, r_n)} + \\ &+ a_5 \frac{Y(r_n, \mathcal{F}r_n) [1 + Y(r_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}r_{n-1})]}{1 + Y(r_{n-1}, r_n)} \\ &= a_1 Y(r_{n-1}, r_n) + a_2 Y(r_{n-1}, r_n) + a_3 Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + a_4 \frac{Y(r_{n-1}, r_n) Y(r_n, r_{n+1})}{1 + Y(r_{n-1}, r_n)} \\ &+ a_5 \frac{Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) [1 + Y(r_{n-1}, r_n)]}{1 + Y(r_{n-1}, r_n)} \\ &\leq (a_1 + a_2) Y(r_{n-1}, r_n) + (a_3 + a_4 + a_5) Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Thus we have

$$Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) \leq k Y(r_{n-1}, r_n) \leq k^2 Y(r_{n-2}, r_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq k^n Y(r_0, r_1) \quad (2.4)$$

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n < m$, we have

$$Y(r_n, r_m) \leq \tau(r_n, r_{n+1}) Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, r_m) Y(r_{n+1}, r_m)$$

$$\leq \tau(r_n, r_{n+1}) Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, r_m) \tau(r_{n+1}, r_{n+2}) Y(r_{n+1}, r_{n+2}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, r_m) \tau(r_{n+2}, r_m) Y(r_{n+2}, r_m)$$

$$\leq \tau(r_n, r_{n+1}) Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, r_m) \tau(r_{n+1}, r_{n+2}) Y(r_{n+1}, r_{n+2}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, r_m) \tau(r_{n+2}, r_m) \tau(r_{n+2}, r_{n+3}) Y(r_{n+2}, r_{n+3}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, r_m) \tau(r_{n+2}, r_m) \tau(r_{n+2}, r_m) Y(r_{n+3}, r_m)$$

$$\leq \tau(r_n, r_{n+1}) Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \tau(r_j, r_m)) \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) Y(r_i, r_{i+1}) + \prod_{j=n+1}^{m-1} \tau(r_j, r_m) Y(r_{m-1}, r_m). \quad (2.5)$$

This implies that,

$$Y(r_n, r_m) \leq \tau(r_n, r_{n+1}) Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \tau(r_j, r_m)) \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) Y(r_i, r_{i+1}) + \prod_{j=n+1}^{m-1} \tau(r_j, r_m) Y(r_{m-1}, r_m).$$

$$\leq \tau(r_n, r_{n+1}) k^n Y(r_0, r_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \tau(r_j, r_m)) \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) k^i Y(r_0, r_1) + \prod_{j=n+1}^{m-1} \tau(r_j, r_m) k^{m-1} Y(r_0, r_1).$$

$$\leq \tau(r_n, r_{n+1}) k^n Y(r_0, r_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \tau(r_j, r_m)) \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) k^i Y(r_0, r_1) \quad (2.6)$$

Let

$$u_r = \sum_{i=0}^r (\prod_{j=0}^i \tau(r_j, r_m)) \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) k^i Y(r_0, r_1) \quad (2.7)$$

Consider

$$V_i = \prod_{j=0}^i \tau(r_j, r_m) \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) k^i Y(r_0, r_1). \quad (2.8)$$

In view of condition 2.2 and the ratio test the series $\sum_i v_i$ converges. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ exists. Hence the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy. Using 2.6, we get

$$Y(r_n, r_m) \leq Y(r_0, r_1) [k^n Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + (u_{m-1} - u_n)] \quad (2.9)$$

If $\tau(r, s) \geq 1$. Letting $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ in 2.9, we have

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} Y(r_n, r_m) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Thus, the sequence $\{r_n\}$ is Cauchy in the complete controlled metric- like space (M, Y) . So. There is some $r^* \in M$. So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y(r_n, r^*) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Now, we prove that r^* is a fixed point of M . By 3.1 and condition (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y(r^*, \mathcal{F}r^*) &\leq \tau(r^*, r_{n+1}) Y(r^*, r_{n+1}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}r^*) Y(r_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}r^*) \\ &= \tau(r^*, r_{n+1}) Y(r^*, r_{n+1}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}r^*) Y(\mathcal{F}r_n, \mathcal{F}r^*) \\ &\leq \tau(r^*, r_{n+1}) Y(r^*, r_{n+1}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}r^*) [a_1 Y(r_n, r^*) + a_2 Y(r_n, \mathcal{F}r_n) \\ &+ a_3 Y(r^*, \mathcal{F}r^*)] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ a_4 \frac{Y(r_n, \mathcal{F}r_n) Y(r^*, \mathcal{F}r^*)}{1 + Y(r_n, r^*)} + a_5 \frac{Y(r^*, \mathcal{F}r^*) [1 + Y(r_n, \mathcal{F}r_n)]}{1 + Y(r^*, r_n)}$$

$$= \tau(r^*, r_{n+1}) Y(r^*, r_{n+1}) + \tau(r_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}r^*) [a_1 Y(r_n, r^*) + a_2 Y(r_n, r_{n+1}) + a_3 Y(r^*, \mathcal{F}r^*)]$$

$$+a_4 \frac{Y(r_n, r_{n+1})Y(r^*, \mathfrak{r}^*)}{1+Y(r_n, r^*)} + a_5 \frac{Y(r^*, \mathfrak{r}^*)[1+Y(r_n, r_{n+1})]}{1+Y(r^*, r_n)} \quad (2.12)$$

Taking , limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using 2.,10, 2.11 and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_n, r)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r, r_n)$ exist, are finite, hence

$$Y(r^* \mathfrak{r}^*) \leq [(a_3 + a_5) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_{n+1}, \mathfrak{r}^*)]Y(r^*, \mathfrak{r}^*) \quad (2.13)$$

Suppose

$r^* \neq \mathfrak{r}^*$, having $(a_3 + a_5) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_{n+1}, \mathfrak{r}^*) < 1$, so

$$0 < Y(r^* \mathfrak{r}^*) \leq [(a_3 + a_5) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_{n+1}, \mathfrak{r}^*)]Y(r^*, \mathfrak{r}^*) < Y(r^* \mathfrak{r}^*) \quad (2.14)$$

Contradiction then $r^* = \mathfrak{r}^*$.

Now, prove the uniqueness of r^* . Let s^* be another fixed point of \mathfrak{f} in M then $\mathfrak{f}s^* = s^*$.

Now, by 2.1, we have

$$Y(r^*, s^*) = Y(\mathfrak{f}r^*, \mathfrak{f}s^*) \leq a_1 Y(r^*, s^*) + a_2 Y(r^*, \mathfrak{f}r^*) + a_3 Y(s^*, \mathfrak{f}s^*) + a_4 \frac{Y(r^*, \mathfrak{f}r^*)Y(s^*, \mathfrak{f}s^*)}{1+Y(r^*, s^*)} + a_5 \frac{Y(s^*, \mathfrak{f}s^*)[1+Y(r^*, \mathfrak{f}r^*)]}{1+Y(r^*, s^*)}$$

$$= a_1 Y(r^*, s^*) + a_2 Y(r^*, r^*) + a_3 Y(s^*, s^*) + a_4 \frac{Y(r^*, r^*)Y(s^*, s^*)}{1+Y(r^*, s^*)} + a_5 \frac{Y(s^*, s^*)[1+Y(r^*, r^*)]}{1+Y(r^*, s^*)}$$

$$\leq a_1 Y(r^*, s^*) .$$

Contradiction. Hence $Y(r^*, s^*) = 0$ implies $r^* = s^*$.

Example 3.1: Let $M = \{ 0, 1, 2\}$. Define the function $Y : M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$Y(0,0) = Y(1,1) = 0, Y(2,2) = \frac{1}{10}, Y(0,1) = Y(1,0) = 1/2, Y(0,2) = Y(2,0) = \frac{1}{2}, Y(1,2) = Y(2,1) = 1/11$$

Take $\tau : M \times M \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by

$$\tau(0,0) = \tau(1,1) = \tau(2,2) = \tau(0,2) = 1, \tau(1,2) = \frac{5}{4}, \tau(0,1) = \frac{11}{10}.$$

Hence Y is controlled metric-like on M and (M, Y) is controlled metric - like space.

We have $Y(2,2) = \frac{1}{10} \neq 0$. Which imply (M, Y) is not a controlled metric type space.

Given $\mathfrak{f} : M \rightarrow M$ as $\mathfrak{f}0 = 2, \mathfrak{f}1 = \mathfrak{f}2 = 1$.

Let $a_1 = 1/11, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 2/11$. Then

$$K = (a_1 + a_2)/(1 - a_3 - a_4 - a_5) = \frac{1/11 + 2/11}{1 - 3(2/11)} = 3/5 < 1,$$

And

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau(r_{i+1}, r_{i+2}) \tau(r_{i+1}, r_m) / \tau(r_i, r_{i+1}) = 1 < 1/k.$$

Clearly 2.2 satisfied and all the condition of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, and so \mathfrak{f} has a unique fixed point , which is $r^* = 1$.

References

- [1] Bakhtin I.A. "The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces". *Funct. Anal.*, Vol. 30, pp. 26-37, 1989.[Google Scholar]
- [2] Czerwik S. "Contraction mapping in b-metric spaces". *Acta Math. Inform. Univ.* , Vol.1, pp. 5-11, 1993.[Google Scholar]
- [3] Abdeljawad T., Abodayeh K. and Mlaiki N, "On fixed point generalizations to partial b- metric spaces", *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 19, pp. 883-891, 2015. [Google Scholar]
- [4] Afshari H., Atapour M. and Aydi H., " Generalized α - Φ Geraghty multivalued mapping on b- metric spaces endowed with a graph". *TWNS.J.Appl. Eng. Math.*, Vol.7, pp. 248-260,2017.[Google Scholar]
- [5] Alharbi N., Aydi H., Felhi A., Ozel C. and Sahmim S. " α - contraction mappings n rectangular b- metric space and application to integral equations." *J. Math. Anal.*, Vol. 9, pp. 47-60, 2018. [Google Scholar]
- [6] S. S. P. Singh "On some fixed point results in complete b_2 -metric spaces". *Int. J. of Aquatic Sci.* Vol.12 (3), pp. 2584-2596, 2021.
- [7] S. S. P. Singh "Common fixed point theorem in b_2 -metric-like spaces. *Int.J. Resent Sci. Research.* Vol.12(8), pp. 1-6, 2021.
- [8] Thirunavukarasu P. and Uma M. "Fixed point theorems in b- metric spaces." *Adv. Appl. Math. Sci.* Vol. 21(8), pp. 4467-4474, 2022.
- [9] Kamran T. Samreen M. and lazvic V. "A generalization of b- metric space and some fixed point theorems." *Mathematics* Vol.5, pp. 1-17, 2017.
- [10] Huang H., Den G. and radevovic S. "Fixed point theorems in b- metric spaces with applications to differential equations." *J. Fixed point Theory Appl.*, 2018.
- [11] Mlaiki N., Aydi H., Souayah N. and Abdeljawad T. "Controlled metric type and the related contractions principle." *Mathematics* Vol.6, no. 10 p. 194, 2018.
- [12] Ahmad J., Mazrooei A., Aydi H. and Sen M. "on fixed point results in controlled metric spaces. *J. Func. Space*, Vol. 20, article ID 2108167.
- [13] Hussain S. "Fixed point theorems for nonlinear contraction in controlled metric type space." *Appl. Math. E- Notes*, Vol. 21, pp. 53-61, 2021.
- [14] S. S. P. Singh "Fixed point theorems on controlled metric spaces." *IJAMSS* , Vol.10(2), pp. 25-32, 2021.
- [15] S. S. P. Singh "on fixed point result in double controlled metric spaces." *IJRASET*, vOL. 9(VII), pp. 1256-1261, 2021.
- [16] Dass B. K, and Gupta S. "An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions." *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* Vol. 6, pp. 1455-1458, 1975.
- [17] Nazam M., Aydi H and Arshad M. "A real generalization of the Dass- Gupta fixed point theorem." *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 11, pp. 109-118, 2020.
- [18] Pandey B., Pandey A.K. and Ughade M. "Rational type contraction in controlled metric spaces." *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* Vol. 11(4), pp. 4631-4639, 2021