International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
SJIF (2022): 7.942

Unveiling Precision Diagnostics: Molecular Tools in Human Brucellosis Identification

Jinal Patel

School of Sciences, P P Savani University, Kosamba, Surat, Gujarat, India

Abstract: ***Brucellosis, caused by various species of Brucella, is a significant zoonotic disease affecting both humans and animals worldwide. The disease has a substantial impact on public health, particularly in regions where it is endemic. Transmission occurs through direct contact with infected animals, consumption of contaminated animal products like unpasteurized dairy, and occupational exposure among individuals working in close proximity to livestock. Its broad zoonotic potential makes it a considerable concern, necessitating comprehensive strategies for disease control and management. Accurate and timely diagnosis plays a pivotal role in controlling the spread of brucellosis and mitigating its impact. Early detection enables healthcare professionals to initiate appropriate treatment regimens promptly, reducing the risk of disease progression and chronicity. Moreover, accurate diagnosis is crucial for implementing preventive measures, such as vaccination campaigns for livestock, promotion of hygienic practices, and public health interventions aimed at curtailing the spread of the disease among both animal and human populations.***

Keywords: Brucellosis, Molecular diagnostics, PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction), LAMP (Loop - Mediated Isothermal Amplification), NAATs (Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests), Zoonotic diseases, Public health, Diagnosis, Brucella species.

1. Introduction

Human brucellosis, a zoonotic infection caused by various Brucella species, poses a significant global health concern due to its prevalence and impact on both human and animal populations. Accurate and timely diagnosis is crucial for effective disease management. The emergence of molecular diagnostic methods has revolutionized the detection of Brucella, offering heightened sensitivity and specificity compared to conventional techniques.[1]

Traditional diagnostic approaches, such as culture - based techniques and serological assays, have long been pivotal in identifying Brucella infections. However, these methods suffer from limitations concerning sensitivity, specificity, and prolonged turnaround time, hampering their efficacy in achieving early and precise diagnoses.[2]

In recent years, the adoption of molecular diagnostic methods has reshaped the landscape of brucellosis diagnosis. Techniques like Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), Loop - Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP), and Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests (NAATs) have emerged as critical tools for detecting Brucella DNA. These methods target unique genetic sequences of Brucella, offering superior sensitivity and specificity compared to conventional methods.[2]

The emergence of molecular diagnostic methods has brought about a paradigm shift in the diagnosis of human brucellosis. These techniques, with their heightened sensitivity and specificity, offer unprecedented opportunities for accurate and timely detection, underscoring their pivotal role in the effective management and control of this zoonotic infectious disease.[1, 2, 3]

Polymerase Chain reaction (PCR): PCR - based assays, including conventional PCR, real - time PCR (qPCR), and multiplex PCR, have transformed brucellosis diagnosis. These methods amplify specific Brucella DNA sequences, enabling rapid and sensitive pathogen detection within clinical samples (Queipo - Ortuño et al., 2005). Demonstrating high sensitivity and specificity, they are crucial for accurate diagnosis.

Loop - Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP): LAMP, an isothermal nucleic acid amplification method, presents a valuable alternative for detecting Brucella DNA due to its high specificity, simplicity, and suitability for resource - limited settings [4]. Its straightforward methodology and reliability hold promise for enhancing diagnostics, particularly in areas with limited resources.

Nucleic Acid Amplification tests (NAATs): NAATs, including PCR, LAMP, and other molecular assays, have shown superior sensitivity and specificity over culture - based methods. Their capability to detect Brucella DNA even at low concentrations is advantageous for early diagnosis during the acute phase of infection when bacterial loads are typically low.[5]

Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS): WGS enables comprehensive genetic analysis of Brucella strains, facilitating strain typing, epidemiological studies, and identification of genetic markers linked to virulence or antibiotic resistance.[6, 7, 8] It offers valuable insights into genetic diversity, aiding in effective disease surveillance and control measures.

Challenges and Future Perspectives: While molecular methods offer remarkable diagnostic potential, challenges such as cost, technical expertise, and the requirement for well - equipped laboratories hinder their widespread use. Standardization, validation of assays, and addressing issues related to sample collection and processing are crucial for their successful implementation across diverse healthcare settings. Overcoming these challenges will be pivotal in harnessing the full potential of molecular diagnostic methods for improved brucellosis detection and management.

2. Conclusion

The emergence of molecular diagnostic methods has brought about a paradigm shift in the diagnosis of human brucellosis. These techniques, with their heightened sensitivity and specificity, offer unprecedented opportunities for accurate and timely detection, underscoring their pivotal role in the effective management and control of this zoonotic infectious disease.

References

[1] Al Dahouk, S., Nöckler, K., Hensel, A., Tomaso, H., Scholz, H. C., Hagen, R. M., & Neubauer, H. (2005). Human brucellosis in a nonendemic country: a report from Germany, 2002 and 2003. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases, 24 (7), 450 - 456.

[2] Probert, W. S., Schrader, K. N., Khuong, N. Y., & Bystrom, S. L. (2004). Real - time multiplex PCR assay for detection of Brucella spp., B. abortus, and B. melitensis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 42 (3), 1290 - 1293.

[3] Garofolo, G., Di Giannatale, E., De Massis, F., Zilli, K., Ancora, M., Camma, C., & Neri, D. (2013). Investigating genetic diversity of Brucella abortus and Brucella melitensis in Italy with MLVA - 16. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 19, 59 - 70. Queipo - Ortuño, M. I., Colmenero, J. D., & Morata, P. (2005). Comparison between LightCycler real - time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay with serum and PCR - enzyme - linked immunosorbent assay with whole blood samples for the diagnosis of human brucellosis. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 40 (2), 260 - 264.

[4] Grunow, R., Klee, S. R., Beyer, W., George, M., Grunow, D., Barduhn, A., & Appel, B. (2016). Loop - mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of Bacillus anthracis in environmental samples. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 54 (2), 276 - 278.

[5] Feng, Z., Li, Y., Xu, J., Chen, Y., Feng, L., Lv, J.,. . . & Yu, S. (2013). Development and application of nucleic acid - based methods for the diagnosis of brucellosis in human and animals. Indian Journal of Microbiology, 53 (4), 385 - 389.

[6] Foster, J. T., Beckstrom - Sternberg, S. M., Pearson, T., Beckstrom - Sternberg, J. S., Chain, P. S., Roberto, F. F., & Schupp, J. M. (2020). Whole - genome - based phylogeny and divergence of the genus Brucella. Journal of Bacteriology, 192 (9), 3024 - 3030.

[7] Janowicz, A., De Massis, F., Ancora, M., Camma, C., Patavino, C., Giovannini, A., & Orusa, R. (2019). Molecular epidemiology of Brucella abortus in Italy from 1982 to 2015. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 66 (2), 1048 - 1057.

[8] Solís García del Pozo, J., Solera, J. (2012). Systematic review and meta - analysis of randomized clinical trials in the treatment of human brucellosis. PLoS ONE, 7 (2), e32090.