

Study of Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency in Neonates with Jaundice

Dr. Priyanka Tiwari¹, Dr. Rajeev Sharma², Dr. Anshika Yadav³, Dr. Rateesh Sareen⁴, Dr. G N Gupta⁵

¹Assistant Professor, Dept. of Pathology, American International Institute of Medical Science, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

²Assistant Professor, Dept. of Medicine, Ananta Institute of Medical Sciences & Research center, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

³Senior Resident, Dept. of Transfusion Medicine, Santokba Durlabhji Memorial Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

⁴Consultant, Dept. of Pathology, Santokba Durlabhji Memorial Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

⁵Head of Dept., Dept. of Pathology and Transfusion Medicine, Santokba Durlabhji Memorial Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract: ***Aim:** The aim of this study is early detection of G6PD deficiency in neonates with hyperbilirubinemia and association of G6PD activity with appearance and severity of jaundice in G6PD deficient neonates. **Material and methods:** We conducted a Prospective Analytical study on all term and preterm neonates with hyperbilirubinemia admitted to tertiary care hospital in west India for one year 2017-2018. G6PD status, hemogram, bilirubin, blood groups, need for phototherapy was compared between G6PD-deficient and G6PD-normal neonates. **Result:** In our study, 121 neonates with jaundice were screened for G6PD deficiency. Out of which 7 neonates showed G6PD deficiency, the incidence was 5.7%. Most common age group in G6PD deficient was 3-5 days (71.43%), mean age being 4.03 days. The male female ratio was 4.5: 1 Among the G6PD deficient neonates total serum bilirubin was higher ($p=0.013$), hemoglobin was lower ($p=0.001$), requirement of phototherapy was much higher ($p=0.003$) as compared to G6PD normal neonates. No statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups as per gestational age, birth weight, direct serum bilirubin and reticulocyte count. **Conclusion:** We recommend screening of all neonates presenting with jaundice for deficiency of G6PD enzyme as it is cost effective and easily available test. Early diagnosis may prevent adverse consequences of hyperbilirubinemia as the G6PD deficiency may lead to severe hemolysis and anemia, if undiagnosed. Therefore, routine G6PD deficiency screening should be employed to all neonates with jaundice, especially in areas where its prevalence is high*

Keywords: Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase deficiency, Jaundice, Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia, Phototherapy

1. Introduction

Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency, a hereditary predisposition to hemolysis, is an X-linked disorder of antioxidant homeostasis that is caused by mutations in the G6PD gene 1 and estimated four hundred million people worldwide are affected¹.

Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia (defined as a total serum bilirubin level exceeding 5 mg/dl) is a frequent problem as neonatal jaundice affects 65% of full-term infants and 85% of preterm infants after 24 h of life. G6PD is an enzyme in the pentose phosphate pathway, a metabolic pathway that supplies reducing energy to cells, in particular erythrocytes, by maintaining the level of NADPH, which in turn maintains the level of glutathione that helps protect erythrocytes against oxidative damage. In states of oxidative stress, glutathione is rapidly consumed and the buildup of oxidants can cause the red cell to lyse.^{1, 4} Hence, G6PD deficiency most commonly manifests as either prolonged neonatal jaundice or acute hemolytic anemia. Extreme neonatal hyperbilirubinemia, with its severe sequelae of bilirubin neurotoxicity and the potential of death, is the most devastating manifestation of G6PD deficiency.^{5, 6, 7}

Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia occurs in 2.5-6 % neonates in India. Its incidence in other parts of the world is reported to vary from 3.2-33 %. Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia has been attributed to isoimmune incompatibility, low birth weight, prematurity, abnormal

parturition, G6PD deficiency, infection, liver diseases, drugs and maternal causes.^{31, 32, 33}

Neonatal screening for G6PD deficiency has long been established in many countries with high disease prevalence such as in the Middle East, Eastern Europe and Southeast Asia.^{1, 37, 38} Early diagnosis thereby may prevent adverse consequences of hyperbilirubinemia as the deficiency of G6PD may lead to severe hemolysis and anemia, if undiagnosed.^{39, 40} The World Health Organization recommends screening all newborns in populations with a prevalence of 3-5% or more in males.⁴¹

In India, G6PD deficiency was first reported in 1963 by Baxi et al. and the prevalence rate varied from 0 to 27% in various geographic regions.¹⁰ Examination of glutathione metabolism pathway within the red blood cells led to the Hexose monophosphate shunt and revealed that the abnormality was related to deficiency of red cell enzyme G6PD. With the confirmation of basic defect was a deficiency of G6PD, X-linkage was confirmed by estimation of enzyme activity.⁴⁵

2. Material Methods

We conducted a Prospective Analytical study on all term and preterm neonates with hyperbilirubinemia admitted to the pediatric department of a tertiary care hospital in west India for one year 2017-2018. The inclusion criteria were:

a) Total serum bilirubin levels >12mg/dL in terms and

- >6mg/dL in preterm infants.^{24, 68}
- b) Clinically visible jaundice.⁶⁹
- c) Written consent for study participation
- d) No ongoing infections or acute episode of hemolysis or blood transfusion

The total number of cases included in study was 121. Written consent from the guardian of the neonate was obtained after explaining the nature and purpose of study. Relevant history like Information regarding age of neonate at the time of admission, gestational age, birth weight, family history of hemolytic event and any other relevant findings were collected and a unique identity number was allotted to each cases. Whole blood was collected using EDTA as an anticoagulant. These samples were processed within 30 minute from the time of venesection. The qualitative data were expressed in proportion and percentages and the quantitative data expressed as mean and standard deviations. The difference in proportion was analyzed by using chi square test and the difference in

means was analyzed by using student T Test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to determine the optimal cutoff values of significant variables. Significance level for tests was determined as 95% (P< 0.05).

3. Results

In our study, 121 neonates with jaundice that were enrolled were then screened for G6PD deficiency. Out of which 7 neonates showed G6PD deficiency on qualitative examination. Complete hemogram including reticulocyte count & examination of peripheral blood smear, Serum bilirubin (total & direct), ABO grouping & Rh typing, CRP (C reactive protein). 121 neonates were included in study after fulfilling the criteria. 7 were G6PD deficient, the incidence was 5.7%. Most common age group in G6PD deficient was 3-5 days (71.43%), mean age being 4.03 days. (Table-1)

Table 1: Distribution of study population according to Age

| Age (days) | Normal (N=114) | | Deficient (N=7) | | Total (121) | |
|------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | number | % |
| 1 - 2 | 9 | 7.89 | 2 | 28.57 | 11 | 9.09 |
| 3 - 5 | 55 | 48.25 | 5 | 71.43 | 60 | 49.59 |
| 6 - 10 | 39 | 34.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 39 | 32.23 |
| 11 - 28 | 11 | 9.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 11 | 9.09 |
| | 114 | 100.00 | 7 | 100.00 | 121 | 100.00 |

Chi-square = 6.886 with 3 degrees of freedom; P = 0.099 [Not Significant]

The male female ratio of the neonates with jaundice with G6PD deficiency was 4.5: 1. (Table-2)

Table 2: Distribution of the cases according to gender

| | Normal | | Deficient | | Total | |
|--------|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| | Number | Percentage % | Number | Percentage % | Number | Percentage % |
| Female | 21 | 18.42 | 1 | 14.29 | 22 | 18.18 |
| Male | 93 | 81.58 | 6 | 85.71 | 99 | 81.82 |
| Total | 114 | 100.00 | 7 | 100.00 | 121 | 100.00 |
| M: F | 4.43: 1 | | 6: 1 | | 4.5: 1 | |

Chi-square = 0.053 with 1 degree of freedom; P = 0.819 [Not significant]

Most of the G6PD deficient neonates with jaundice were of term pregnancy and no statistically significant difference was observed with G6PD normal neonates as per gestational age. (Table-3)

Table 3: Distribution of the cases According to Gestational Age

| Gestational Age (wks) | Normal (n=114) | | Deficient (n=7) | | Total (n=121) | |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Preterm (<37) | 37 | 32.46 | 2 | 28.57 | 39 | 32.23 |
| Term (37-42) | 77 | 67.54 | 5 | 71.43 | 82 | 67.77 |
| Total | 114 | 100.00 | 7 | 100.00 | 121 | 100.00 |
| Mean ± SD | 37.23±1.87 | | 36.86±1.46 | | 37.21±1.85 | |

Chi-square = 0.041 with 1 degree of freedom; P = 0.839 [Not Significant]

- Out of 7 G6PD Deficient cases 71.43% were term neonates and 28.57% were of preterm neonates. Mean gestational age was 36.86±1.46 weeks
- Out of 114 G6PD normal cases 67.54% were term neonates and 32.46% were preterm neonates. Mean gestational age was 37.23±1.87 weeks
- No significant difference was observed according to Gestational age.

The birth weight of most of the G6PD deficient neonates with jaundice was between 2.5-3.5 kilograms and no statistically significant difference was observed between them and G6PD normal neonates according to birth weight of the neonate. (Table-4)

Table 4: Distribution of the cases According to Birth weight

| Birth weight (kg) | Normal (N=114) | | Deficient (N=7) | | Total (121) | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | No | % | No | % | No | % |
| <2.5 | 11 | 9.64 | 1 | 14.29 | 12 | 9.91 |
| 2.5 - 3.5 | 101 | 88.59 | 6 | 85.71 | 107 | 88.42 |
| > 3.5 | 02 | 1.75 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 1.65 |
| Total | 114 | 100.00 | 7 | 100.00 | 121 | 100.00 |

Chi-square = 0.272 with 2 degrees of freedom; P = 0.873 [Not Significant]

The mean total serum bilirubin in G6PD deficient neonates with jaundice was 19.76±4.14 mg/dl while in G6PD normal neonates with was 14.50±5.41 mg/dl. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.013). (Table-5)

Table 5: Distribution of the cases according to Total Hyperbilirubenemia in study population

| Bilirubin level (mg/dl) | Normal (n=114) | | Deficient (n=7) | | Total (n=121) | | P value LS |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|--------|---------------|--------|------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | number | % | |
| <12.0 | 42 | 36.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 42 | 34.71 | |
| 12-20.0 | 53 | 46.49 | 5 | 71.43 | 58 | 47.93 | |
| 20.1-25.0 | 14 | 12.28 | 1 | 14.29 | 15 | 12.40 | |
| 25.1-30.0 | 5 | 4.39 | 1 | 14.29 | 6 | 4.96 | |
| | 114 | 100.00 | 7 | 100.00 | 121 | 100.00 | |
| mean±sd | 14.50 ± 5.41 | | 19.76 ± 4.14 | | 14.80 ± 5.47 | | .013 |

Chi-square = 2.523; P = 0.013 [Significant]

The mean direct serum bilirubin in G6PD deficient neonates with jaundice was 0.44±0.26 mg/dl while in G6PD normal neonates with was 0.48±0.72 mg/dl. The difference was not statistically significant. (Table-6)

Table 6: Distribution of the cases according to Direct Hyperbilirubenemia in study population

| Direct Bilirubin (mg/dl) | Normal | | Deficient | | Grand Total | | P Value LS |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | |
| <0.4 | 86 | 75.44 | 5 | 71.43 | 91 | 75.21 | 0.83NS |
| >0.4 | 28 | 24.56 | 2 | 28.57 | 30 | 24.79 | |
| Mean ±SD | 0.48±0.72 | | 0.44±0.26 | | | | .880NS |

Chi-square = 0.045 with 1 degree of freedom; P = 0.832 [Not Significant]

The mean hemoglobin in G6PD deficient neonates with jaundice was 10.81± 3.25 gm/dl while in G6PD normal neonates with was 15.89 ± 3.57 gm/dl. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.001). (Table-7)

Table 7: Distribution of the cases according Haemoglobin

| Haemoglobin (gm/dl) | Normal | | Deficient | | Grand Total | | P value |
|---------------------|--------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|---------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | |
| <13.4 | 24 | 21.05 | 5 | 71.43 | 29 | 23.97 | |
| 13.4 - 19.9 | 79 | 69.30 | 2 | 28.57 | 81 | 66.94 | |
| >19.9 | 11 | 9.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 11 | 9.09 | |
| Mean ± SD | 15.89 ± 3.57 | | 10.81± 3.25 | | 15.60± 3.74 | | <0.001S |

Chi-square = 3.670; P = <0.001 [Significant]

The mean reticulocyte count in G6PD deficient neonates with jaundice was 2.26±1.01 while in G6PD normal neonates with was 3.16±1.95. The difference was not statistically significant. (Table-8)

Table 8: Distribution of the cases According to Reticulocyte count

| Reticulocyte count (%) | Normal | | Deficient | | Total | | P Value LS |
|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | |
| <4.0 | 94 | 82.46 | 7 | 100.00 | 101 | 83.47 | 0.49NS |
| >4.0 | 20 | 17.54 | 0 | 0.00 | 20 | 16.53 | |
| Total | 114 | 100.00 | 7 | 100.00 | 121 | 100.00 | |
| Mean ± SD | 3.16±1.95 | | 2.26±1.01 | | 3.11±1.92 | | |

Chi-square = 0.474 with 1 degree of freedom; P = 0.491

The requirement of phototherapy in G6PD deficient neonates was much higher (57.14%) than G6PD normal neonates (10.53%) There is significant difference according the phototherapy received (p=0.003). (Table-9)

Table 9: Distribution of the cases according to Phototherapy received

| Phototherapy | Normal | | Deficient | | Grand Total | |
|--------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Not Received | 102 | 89.47 | 3 | 42.86 | 105 | 86.78 |
| Received | 12 | 10.53 | 4 | 57.14 | 16 | 13.22 |
| Total | 114 | 100.00 | 7 | 100.00 | 121 | 100.00 |

Chi-square = 8.758 with 1 degree of freedom; P = 0.003S

4. Discussion

In our study mean age of distribution for age of onset of jaundice in G6PD deficient neonates is 4.03 ± 3.5 days which is in concordance with most of the studies cited above. (Table-10)

Table 10: Distribution of study group according to G6PD deficiency

| Study | Sample Size | Incidence | M: F | Mean age | Hemoglobin (Mg/dl) | Bilirubin (mg/dl) | Reticulocyte count % |
|--|-------------|-----------|--------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Moiz B et al. ⁶⁴ (2009) | 216 | 14.8% | | 1.6 ± 1.3 | 16.5 ± 2.5 | 16.7 ± 6.0 | 5 ± 2.5 |
| Marzban A et al. ⁶³ (2009) | 224 | 5.7% | | | 16.72 ± 1.9 | 19.9 ± 1.9 | 2.4 ± 1.0 |
| Boskabadi H et al. ⁶ (2010) | 1568 | 5.2% | | 4.9 ± 2.0 | | >24 | |
| Mondal M et al. ² (2012) | 176 | 13.6% | 5: 1 | 2.9 | | 12-20 | |
| Dholokia A et al. ⁵⁹ (2012) | 150 | 10.6% | | | | 11.8-13.7 | |
| Munir SS et al. ⁶⁵ (2014) | 163 | 6.7% | | 4.7 ± 2.8 | 14.7 ± 2 | 22.23 ± 7.0 | 2.94 |
| Goyal M et al. ²² (2015) | 270 | 4.5% | 5-4: 1 | | | | |
| Pardhe BD et al. ⁶⁶ (2015) | 100 | 3.0% | | | | 9.9 ± 6.0 | |
| Islam AKS et al. ¹⁰ (2015) | 1224 | 5.07% | 2.5: 1 | 3.0 ± 1 | | 20.03 ± 5.20 | |
| Patel H et al. ¹⁹ (2015) | 170 | 10.6% | 2: 1 | 2.0 | | | |
| Sinha R et al. ³ (2017) | 400 | 2.5% | | 4 ± 1.5 | 10.24 | 2.5 ± 5 | 4.15 ± 2.0 |
| Panelya CB et al. ³⁴ (2016) | 2400 | | 2: 1 | | | >15 | |
| Thilakarajan S et al. ³¹ (2016) | 310 | | | | | 13.15 | |
| Our study | 121 | 5.79% | 6: 1 | 4.03 ± 3.5 | 10.81 ± 3.25 | 19.76 ± 4.14 | 2.26 ± 1.01 |

In our study, the male female ratio derived is 6: 1 which is in concordance with studies cited like Mondal M et al.² and Goyal M et al.²² (Table-10) Few studies show lesser male female ratio difference like Islam AKS et al.¹⁰, Patel H et al.¹⁹, Pardhe BD et al.⁶⁶ and Panelya CB et al.³⁴. This variation in studies may be due to difference in sample size considered for these studies.

In our study, G6PD Deficient cases 5 (71.43%) were term neonates and 2 (28.57%) were of preterm neonates. G6PD normal cases 77 (67.54%) were term neonates and 37 (32.46%) were preterm neonates. Hence, No significant difference was observed according to gestational age between G6PD normal and G6PD deficient neonates which is in concordance with the study conducted by Modal et al. (Table-10)

In our study the mean value of total bilirubin is 19.76 ± 4.14 mg/dl in G6PD deficient neonates with jaundice whereas in G6PD normal cases mean total bilirubin level was 14.50 ± 5.41 mg/dl. Significant difference was observed according to total Hyperbilirubenemia. ($p=0.013$). Our finding is similar to almost all studies cited above. (Table-10)

In our study the mean direct bilirubin level in G6PD deficient is 0.44 ± 0.26 mg/dl and G6PD normal neonates it is 0.48 ± 0.72 mg/dl. No significant difference was observed according to direct bilirubin level. ($p=0.832$). However Sinha R et al.³ and Munir SS et al.⁶⁵ show a higher direct bilirubin level in G6PD levels which may be due to a larger sample size or ethnicity of the study population. (Table-10)

In our study the mean hemoglobin level in G6PD deficient neonates is 10.81 ± 3.25 which is similar with studies conducted by Sinha R et al.³ and Munir SS et al.⁶⁵ However, Marzban A et al.⁶³ and Moiz B et al.⁶⁴ show a higher mean hemoglobin level which may be due to higher sample size and different geographic area of study. (Table-10)

The mean value of reticulocyte count is 2.26 ± 1.01 in G6PD deficient neonates and 3.16 ± 1.95 which is in concordance with Munir SS et al.⁶⁵ and Marzban A et al.⁶³, however Sinha R et al.³ and Moiz B et al.⁶⁴ show a higher reticulocyte counts in G6PD deficient neonates. In our study there is no significant difference ($p=0.228$) in reticulocyte count between G6PD normal and G6PD deficient. (Table 10)

The requirement of phototherapy in G6PD deficient neonates was much higher (57.14%) than G6PD normal neonates (10.53%) There is significant difference according the phototherapy received ($p=0.003$). (Table 10)

The studies cited above shows incidence range G6PD deficient neonates from 3% to 14.8%. (Table 10) Our study shows incidence of 5.79% which falls within the range of incidence determined by various studies. The wide range of incidence rate of G6PD deficient neonates may be due to different geographic area and ethnicity of the study population.

Deficiency of this enzyme was reported from India more than 50 years back. The prevalence varies from 2.3 to 27% with an overall prevalence of 7.7% in different tribal group.⁶⁷

Bisoiet al.¹⁴ (2012) in their study concluded that G6PD deficiency detection by neonatal screening is feasible and cost-effective. It also allows the early preventive measures against severe hemolysis, jaundice, kernicterus, to be implemented in neonatal life, as well as other preventive measures in later life. Some practical guidance to the family members of the G6PD deficient babies regarding the food items, herbs, chemicals and drugs to be avoided will be beneficial, provided that the diagnosis is done in timely manner.

Munir SS et al.⁶⁵ (2014) state that G6PD assay should be included in all jaundiced neonates for early detection and timely prevention of complications like kernicterus.

Goyal M et al.²² (2015) in their study found that G6PD deficiency screening test is sufficiently common, is a robust diagnostic test, if undetected can lead to adverse consequences of hemolysis and renal shut down, and can be managed with ease. The cost-effectiveness can easily be extrapolated for this disease that does not require active management in a resource-constraint setting. Regional centers, rather than multiple laboratories, across the country may serve the purpose. They emphasize the need for a newborn screening program for G6PD deficiency as an important public health perspective.

Pao M et al.⁶¹ (2015) by their study recommended that neonatal screening for G6PD deficiency should be routinely done so that complications like acute hemolysis and hyperbilirubinemia can be managed early and kernicterus eliminated.

Paneliya CB et al.³⁴ (2016) by their work suggested a newborn screening program to detect G6PD deficiency to help in establishing the actual incidence of this deficiency in various parts of our country. As there was a higher frequency of neonatal jaundice amongst babies who were G6PD deficient, they recommended that clinicians ensure a strict clinical & biochemical follow to monitor jaundice in these neonates.

Chhetri N et al.⁶⁷ (2017) concluded that G6PD deficiency leading to neonatal hyperbilirubinemia is not an uncommon disorder in India as seen from several publications. Delay in recognition can lead to rapid progression of severe hyperbilirubinemia and consequently bilirubin induced neurological damage.

Sinha R et al.³ (2017) conclude that G6PD deficiency is a common enzyme defect in Indian neonatal population (especially male) causing severe indirect hyperbilirubinemia requiring treatment; however, a larger study is required to validate the same. Early neonatal screening programs should be instituted to anticipate and institute early treatment to prevent morbidity and mortality.

Now the million dollar question that remains to answer - Shall G6PD deficiency become a mandatory investigation in all neonates with jaundice? There is a strong association between G6PD deficiency and the level of hyperbilirubinemia in neonates with jaundice. The

association of G6PD was associated with higher levels of bilirubin in comparison to normal neonates with jaundice. The incidence in our study was 5.79%. Considering the cost effectiveness, availability and utility of the G6PD deficiency screening test and also early detection of G6PD deficiency in neonates with jaundice and thereby preventing the consequences of this disease by preventing the triggering factors and educating the parents, we recommend that G6PD deficiency screening test should be carried out in all neonates with jaundice. Early diagnosis may prevent adverse consequences of hyperbilirubinemia as the deficiency of G6PD may lead to severe hemolysis and anemia, if undiagnosed. Therefore routine G6PD deficiency should be employed to all neonates with jaundice, especially in areas of where its prevalence is high. The neonates that were G6PD deficient on screening test could not be confirmed by quantitative assay of the G6PD levels due to financial constrain and limited resources.

5. Limitations

Molecular studies to confirm the G6PD mutation were not performed in the G6PD deficient neonates due to unavailability at the study area. The sample size enrolled for this study was limited due to constrain of time. The exact prevalence of the G6PD deficiency in neonates in India still requires more studies with large population based cohorts. More data is needed to determine whether G6PD screening could provide additional benefit in terms of reductions in adverse outcomes over current newborn hyperbilirubinemia surveillance, discharge and follow-up practices.

6. Conclusion

We recommend screening of all neonates presenting with jaundice, for deficiency of G6PD enzyme as it is a cost effective and easily available test.

References

- [1] Leong A. Is There a Need for Neonatal Screening of Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency in Canada? *Mcgill J Med.*2007; 10: 31-4.
- [2] Mondal M, Datta AK, Mandal S, Das PK. Study of Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency in Neonatal Jaundice. *IOSR. J Pharm Biol Sci.*2012; 30-6.
- [3] Sinha R, Sachendra B, Syed VS, Nair L, John BM. To study the prevalence of glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency in neonates with neonatal hyperbilirubinemia and to compare the course of the neonatal jaundice in deficient versus non-deficient neonates. *J Clin Neonatol.* 2017; 6: 71-4.
- [4] Glader BE. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and related disorders of hexose monophosphate shunt and glutathione metabolism. *Wintrobe's Clinical Hematology.* Ed 13. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 2014. p.1297-1309.
- [5] Kaplan M, Wong RJ, Stevenson DK. Hemolysis and

- Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency-Related Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia Neonatology. 2018; 114: 223-5.
- [6] Boskabadi H, Omindian M, Mafinejad S. Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency in Newborns with Hyperbilirubinemia in Mashhad, Iran. *Maced J Med Sci.* 2010; 15: 383-7.
- [7] Stoll BJ, Kliegman RM. Jaundice and hyperbilirubinemia in the newborn. In: Behrman, Kliegman, and Jenson. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*. 17th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2005. p.513-7.
- [8] Tiwari M, Parmar D, Sharma NC. G6PD deficiency and haemolytic anaemia in urban heterogeneous population of Bhopal. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci.* 2017; 8: 46-51.
- [9] Mohanty D, Mukerjee NB, Colah RB. Glucose-6-phosphate deficiency in India. *Indian J Pediatr.* 2004; 71: 525-9.
- [10] Islam AKS, Bora R, Ramasamy S, Boruah M. Study of glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency in jaundiced neonates of a tertiary care centre of north-east India. *J. Evolution Med. Dent. Sci.* 2016; 5: 2271-5.
- [11] Almuhaiani MS, Alruzayhi MK, Alwassel AI, Alateeq OM. Public Awareness of Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) Deficiency Causes and Prevalence Factors. *J. Middle East North Afr.* 2018; 4: 45-7.
- [12] Luzzatto L. Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: from genotype to phenotype. *Haematologica.* 2006; 91: 1305.
- [13] Kaplan M, Hammerman C. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and severe neonatal hyperbilirubinemia: a complexity of interactions between genes and environment. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2010; 15: 148-56.
- [14] Bisoi S, Chakraborty S, Chattopadhyay D, Biswas B, Ray S. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase screening of babies born in a tertiary care hospital in West Bengal. *Indian J Public Health.* 2012; 56: 146-8.
- [15] Mukherjee MB, Colah RB, Martin S, Ghosh K. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency among tribal populations of India-Country scenario. *Indian J Med Res.* 2015; 141: 516-20.
- [16] Kaplan M, Hammerman C. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: a hidden risk for kernicterus. *Semin Perinatol.* 2004; 28: 356-64.
- [17] Rehman GSS, Ziaullah KK, Talaat A. Erythrocyte glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: A cause of neonatal jaundice. *JPMI.* 2004; 18: 70-5.
- [18] Luzzatto L. Hemolytic anemia and anemia due to blood loss. In: Longo, Hasper F, Jansen H, Calzo L editors. *Harrison's Principles Of Internal Medicine*. New York, MacGraw-Hill. 18th ed; 2012. p.872-86.
- [19] Patel H, Patel N, Maniyar A, Gandhi K, Patil R. A study of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *Int J Med Sci Public Health* 2015; 4: 621-3.
- [20] Brito M, Tchonhi CL, Santos B, Veiga. Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency in Children from 0 to 14 Years Hospitalized at the Pediatric Hospital David Bernardino, Luanda, Angola. *J Pharmacogenomics Pharmacoproteomics* 2014; 5: 125.
- [21] Howes RE, Piel FB, Patil AP, Nyangiri OA, Gething PW, Dewi M. G6PD Deficiency prevalence and estimates of affected populations in malaria endemic countries: A Geostatistical model-based map, *PLOS Med.* 2012; 9: 1-15.
- [22] Goyal M, Garg A, Goyal MB, Kumar S, Ramji S, Kapoor S. Newborn Screening for G6PD Deficiency: A 2-year Data from North India. *Indian J Public Health.* 2015; 2: 145-8.
- [23] Fody EP. Liver function. In: Bishop ML, Fody EP, Schoeff L editors. *Lippincott Williams and Wilkins*. Philadelphia, 5th ed; 2005. p.476-95.
- [24] Wong RJ, Desandre GH, Sibley E. Neonatal jaundice and liver disease. In: Martin RJ, Fanaroff AA, Walsh MC. *Fannaroff and Martin's Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine*. Philadelphia Mosby. 8th ed; 2006. p.1429.
- [25] Luzzatto L, Areses P. Favism and Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. *N Eng J Med.* 2018; 378: 60-71.
- [26] Iranpour R, Akbar MR, Haghshenas I. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in neonates. *Indian J Pediatr* 2003; 70: 855-7.
- [27] Koopmans J, Hiraki S, Roll LF. Attitudes and beliefs of pediatricians and genetic counselors regarding testing and screening for CF and G6PD: implications for policy. *Am J Med Genet A* 2006; 140: 2305-11.
- [28] Srivatsa A, Bharti B, Shighi SC. Does nimesulide induce hemolysis in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency? *Acta Paediatr.* 2003; 92: 637-8.
- [29] Ghai OP. *Essential Paediatrics*. Chap. 7. New Delhi: CBS Publishers and Distributors. 6th ed; 2006. p.169-75.
- [30] Fico A, Pagliarunga F, Cigliano L, Abrescia P, Verde P, Martini G, et al. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase plays a crucial role in protection from redox-stress-induced apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ.* 2004; 11: 823-31.
- [31] Thilakarajan S, Niveditha SR, Keshavamurthy. G6PD Deficiency Screening in Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia. *IJNMR.* 2015; 3: 1-6.
- [32] Elyassi AR, Rowshan HH. Perioperative management of the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficient patient: a review of literature. *Anesth Prog.* 2009; 56: 86-91.
- [33] Isa HM, Mohamed MS, Mohamed AM, Abdulla A, Abdulla F. Neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. *Korean J of Pediatr.* 2017; 60: 106-11.
- [34] Paneliya CB, Lurshay RM, Deb S, Gogoi PR. Incidence of G6PD Deficiency and its Association with Neonatal Jaundice in Babies Born at Tertiary Care Hospital in Meghalaya. *Int J Sci Res.* 2016; 5: 70-3.
- [35] Maidens MJ, MacDonald MG, Seshia MMK. G6PD and jaundice. *Avery's Neonatology: Pathophysiology and Management of the Newborn*. Walters Kluwer. 7th ed. ; 2016. p.587.

- [36] Tanphaichitr V, Pungamirt P, Youdthong S. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in the newborn, its prevalence and relation to neonatal jaundice. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2006; 46: 137-44.
- [37] Watchko JF, Kaplan M, Stark AR, Stenenson DK, Bhutani VK. Should we screen newborns for Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in the United States? *J Perinatol*. 2013; 33: 499-504.
- [38] Nkhoma ET, Poole C, Vannappagari V, Hall SA, Beutler E. The global prevalence of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2009; 42: 267-78.
- [39] Nair H. Neonatal Screening Program for G6PD Deficiency in India: Need and Feasibility *Indian Pediatrics*. 2009; 46: 1045-9.
- [40] Parthasarathy A, Ramachandran P, Thangavelu S. Essential newborn care: Selected Topics in Paediatrics for Practitioners. 1st ed. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers Ltd. 2004. p.23-4.
- [41] Nock ML, Johnson EM, Krugman RR, Di Fiore JM, Fitzgerald S, Sandhaus LM. Implementation and analysis of a pilot in-hospital newborn screening program for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in the United States. *J Perinatol*. 2011; 31: 112-7.
- [42] Meletis J, Konstantopoulos. Favism-from the "avoid fava beans" of Pythagoras to the present. 2004; 7: 17-21.
- [43] Peters AL, Van Noorden CJ. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and malaria: cytochemical detection of heterozygous G6PD deficiency in women. *J Histochem Cytochem*. 2009; 57: 1003-11.
- [44] Beutler E. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: a historical perspective. *Blood* 2008; 111: 16-24.
- [45] Alvi MY, Laeeq A, Khan MA, Iqbal MA. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency associated with neonatal jaundice. *Pak Pediatr J*. 2006; 30: 28-33.
- [46] Bhutani VK, Vilms RJ, Hammerman JL. Universal bilirubin screening for severe neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *J Perinatol*. 2010; 30: 6-15.
- [47] Minucci A, Giardina B, Zuppi C, Capoluongo E. Glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase laboratory assay: How, when and why? *IUBMB Life*. 2009; 61: 27-34.
- [48] Ramadevi AR, Naushad SM. Newborn screening in India. *Indian J Pediatr*. 2004 Feb; 71: 157-60.
- [49] Bora R, Panyang P. Prevalence of Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase deficiency in neonatal hyperbilirubinemia & to compare the course of jaundice in G6PD deficient & normal patients. *Pedicon-2010*; p.33.
- [50] Frank JE. Diagnosis and management of G6PD deficiency. *Am Fam Physician* 2005; 72: 1277-82.
- [51] Kumar V, Abbas K, Aster JC. Red Blood Cell and Bleeding Disorders. *Robbins and Contran Pathologic Basis of Disease*. Ed 9, Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2014. p.629-634.
- [52] Jalloh A, Tantular IS, Pusarawati S, Kawilarang AP, Kerong H, Lin K. Rapid epidemiologic assessment of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in malaria-endemic areas in Southeast Asia using a novel diagnostic kit. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2004; 9: 615-23.
- [53] Tripathy V, Reddy BM. Present status of understanding on the Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and natural selection. *J. Postgrad Med*. 2007; 53: 193-202.
- [54] Hussain M, Irshad M, Musa K, Ali L. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in jaundiced neonates. *J of postgraduate Medicine*. 2010; 24: 122-6.
- [55] Kaplan M, Muraca M, Vreman HJ, Hammerman C, Vilei MT, Rubaltelli FF. Neonatal bilirubin production-conjugation imbalance: effect of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and borderline prematurity. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal*. 2005; 90: 123-7.
- [56] Kaplan M, Hammerman C. The need for neonatal glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase screening: a global perspective. *J Perinatol* 2009; 29: 46-52.
- [57] Kumar P, Yadav U, Rai V. Prevalence of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase in India: An updated metaanalysis. *Egypt J Med Hum Genet*. 2016; 17: 295-302.
- [58] Cappellini MD, Fiorelli G. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. *Lancet*. 2008; 5: 64-74.
- [59] Dholokia A, Darad D, Chauhan S. Neonatal hyperbilirubinemia and its correlation with G6PD enzyme deficiency in a tertiary care hospital in Gujarat. *Natl J Med Res*. 2012; 2; 59-62.
- [60] Mukherjee S. Prevalence of Glucose 6 Phosphate Dehydrogenase deficiency in Eastern India, a Study from a Tertiary Care Hospital. *JOJ Pub Health*. 2017; 2: 106-11.
- [61] Pao M, Kulkarni A, Gupta V, Kaul S, Balan S. Neonatal screening for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. *Indian J Pediatr*. 2005; 72: 835-7.
- [62] Atay E, Bozaykut A, Ipek IO. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in neonatal indirect hyperbilirubinemia. *J Trop Pediatr*. 2006; 52: 56-8.
- [63] Marzban A, Mosavinasab N. Correlation between Hemolysis and Jaundice in Glucose 6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficient Neonates. *ActaMedicaIranica*. 2009; 47: 379-82.
- [64] Moiz B, Nasir A, Khan SA, Kherani SA, Qadir M. Neonatal Hyperbilirubinemia in infants with G6PD c.563C > T Variant. *BMC Pediatrics*. 2012; 12: 126 Weng YH, Chiu YW. Spectrum and outcome analysis of marked neonatal hyperbilirubinemia with blood group incompatibility. *Chang Gung Med J*. 2009; 32: 400-8.
- [65] Munir SS, Tahir NB, Qazi TU. Frequency of G6PD deficiency in neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *Gomal J Med Sci*. 2014; 12: 93-6.
- [66] Pardhe BD, Joshi M, Pandey R, Sharma PD, Singh J, Paudyal P. G6PD deficiency and its correlation with serum bilirubin in neonates. *World J Pharm Sci*. 2015; 4: 937-45.
- [67] Chhetri N, Chhetri A. Pattern of glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in neonates with hyperbilirubinemia in a tertiary care center. *Int. J.*

- Med. Health Res.2017; 3: 61-5.
- [68] McPherson RA, Pincus MR. Henry's Clinical Diagnosis and Management by Laboratory Methods, Saunders Elsevier.21st ed; 2008. p.533.
- [69] Mishra S, Agarwal R, Deorari AK. Jaundice in the newborns. Indian J Pediatr. 2008; 75: 157.
- [70] Wilmanski J, Villanueva E, Deitch EA, Spolarics Z. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and the inflammatory response to endotoxin and polymicrobial sepsis. Crit Care Med. 2007; 3: 510-8.
- [71] Layton M, Roper D. Investigation of the hereditary hemolytic anemias: membrane and enzyme abnormalities. Bain BJ. Bates I, Laffan MA. Dacie and Lewis Practical Haematology 12th Ed. Elsevier; 2017, p. 239-41.
- [72] Robertson LD, Roper D. Laboratory methods used in the investigation of the haemolytic anaemias. Bain BJ. Bates I, Laffan MA. Dacie and Lewis Practical Haematology 12th Ed. Elsevier; 2017, p. 221.
- [73] Briggs C. Bain BJ. Basic haematological techniques. Bain BJ. Bates I, Laffan MA. Dacie and Lewis Practical Haematology 12th Ed. Elsevier; 2017, p. 18-44.