

Stability of Second Order Partial Differential Equation

V. P. Sonalkar

Department of Mathematics, S. P. K. Mahavidyalaya Sawantwadi, Maharashtra-416510, India
vpsonalkar[at]yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of second order partial differential equation:

$$p(x,t)u_{xx}(x,t)+p_x(x,t)u_x(x,t)+q(x,t)u_x(x,t)+q_x(x,t)u(x,t)=g(x,t,u(x,t)).$$

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, Partial differential equations, Banach contraction principle.

AMS subject classification: 35B35; 26D10.

1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam's [14] presented a famous talk to the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin, where he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. One of them was concerned with the stability of group homomorphism and D. H. Hyers [5] gave partial solution to it in 1941. Thereafter numbers of authors have studied the stability of solutions of differential equations [3, 6, 7] and partial differential equations [8, 9]. This is now known as Hyers-Ulam (HU) stability and its various extensions has been named with additional word. One such extension is Hyers Ulam Rassias (HUR) stability. In [10] and [11], HUR stability for linear differential operators of n^{th} order with non-constant coefficients was studied. HUR stability for special types of non-linear equations have been studied in [1, 2, 12]. HUR stability of second order partial differential equation have been studied in [13]. In 2011, Gordji et al. [4], proved the HUR stability of non-linear partial differential equations by using Banach's Contraction Principle. In this paper, by using the result of [4], we prove the HUR stability of second order partial differential equation:

$$p(x,t)u_{xx}(x,t)+p_x(x,t)u_x(x,t)+q(x,t)u_x(x,t)+q_x(x,t)u(x,t)=g(x,t,u(x,t)). \quad (1.1)$$

Here $p, q: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a differentiable function at least once w. r. t. both the arguments and $p(x,t) \neq 0, q(x,t) \neq 0 \forall x, t \in J, g: J \times J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $J=[a, b]$ be a closed interval.

Definition 1.1: A function $u: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a solution of equation (1.1) if $u \in C^2(J \times J)$ and satisfies the equation (1.1).

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: The equation (1.1) is said to be HUR stable if the following holds:

Let $\varphi: J \times J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a continuous function

$\Psi: J \times J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, which depends on φ such that whenever $u: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with

$$|p(x,t)u_{xx}(x,t)+p_x(x,t)u_x(x,t)+q(x,t)u_x(x,t)+q_x(x,t)u(x,t)-g(x,t,u(x,t))| \leq \varphi(x,t),$$

There exists a solution $u_0: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1.1) such that $|u(x,t)-u_0(x,t)| \leq \Psi(x,t), \forall (x,t) \in J \times J.$

We need the following.

Banach Contraction Principle:

Let (Y, d) be a complete metric space, then each contraction map $T: Y \rightarrow Y$ has a unique fixed point, that is, there exists $b \in Y$ such that $Tb=b$. Moreover,

$$d(b, w) \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} d(w, Tw), \quad \forall w \in Y \quad \text{and } 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

Following the results from Gordji et al. [4], we establish the following result.

3. Main Result

In this section we prove the HUR stability of first order partial differential equation (1.1).

Theorem 3.1: Let $c \in J$. Let p, q and g be a sin (1.1) with additional conditions:

- $p(x,t) \geq 1, \forall x, t \in J.$
- $\varphi: J \times J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function and $M: J \times J \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be an integrable function.
- Assume that there exists $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ such that

$$\int_c^x M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau \leq \alpha \varphi(x, t). \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$K(x, t, u(x, t))$$

$$= \{p(x, t)\}^{-1} \left[p(c, t)u_x(c, t) - q(x, t)u(x, t) + q(c, t)u(c, t) + \int_c^x g(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \right]$$

(3.2)

Volume 11 Issue 6, June 2022

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Suppose that the following holds:

C1: $|K(\tau, t, l(\tau, t)) - K(\tau, t, m(\tau, t))| \leq M(\tau, t) |l(\tau, t) - m(\tau, t)|, \forall \tau, t \in J$ and $l, m \in C(J \times J)$.

C2: $u: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the inequality (2.1).

Then there exists a unique solution $u_0: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the equation (1.1) of the form

$$u_0(x, t) = u(c, t) + \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u_0(\tau, t)) d\tau$$

Such that

$$|u(x, t) - u_0(x, t)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{(1-\alpha)} \varphi(x, t), \quad \forall x, t \in J.$$

Proof: Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & |p(x, t)u_x(x, t) + p_x(x, t)u_x(x, t) + q(x, t)u_x(x, t) + q_x(x, t)u(x, t) - \\ & g(x, t, u(x, t))| \\ & = | \{p(x, t)u_x(x, t)\}_x + \{q(x, t)u(x, t)\}_x - g(x, t, u(x, t)) | \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & | \{p(x, t)u_x(x, t)\}_x + \{q(x, t)u(x, t)\}_x - g(x, t, u(x, t)) | \leq \varphi(x, t). \\ & \Rightarrow -\varphi(x, t) \leq \{p(x, t)u_x(x, t)\}_x + \{q(x, t)u(x, t)\}_x \\ & g(x, t, u(x, t)) \leq \varphi(x, t). \\ & \Rightarrow \{p(x, t)u_x(x, t)\}_x + \{q(x, t)u(x, t)\}_x - g(x, t, u(x, t)) \leq \varphi(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating from c to x we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x, t)u_x(x, t) - p(c, t)u_x(c, t) + q(x, t)u(x, t) \\ & - q(c, t)u(c, t) - \int_c^x g(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \\ & \leq \int_c^x \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow p(x, t) \{u_x(x, t) - \{p(x, t)\}^{-1} [p(c, t)u_x(c, t) - \\ & q(x, t)u(x, t) + q(c, t)u(c, t) - \\ & \int_c^x g(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau] \} \leq \varphi(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \left\{ u_x(x, t) \right. \\ & \left. - \{p(x, t)\}^{-1} \left[p(c, t)u_x(c, t) \right. \right. \\ & \left. - q(x, t)u(x, t) + q(c, t)u(c, t) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_c^x g(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \right] \left. \right\} \\ & \leq \{p(x, t)\}^{-1} \int_c^x \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \left\{ u_x(x, t) \right. \\ & \left. - \{p(x, t)\}^{-1} \left[p(c, t)u_x(c, t) \right. \right. \\ & \left. - q(x, t)u(x, t) + q(c, t)u(c, t) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_c^x g(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \right] \left. \right\} \\ & \leq \int_c^x \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

($\because p(x, t) \geq 1$).

$$\Rightarrow \{u_x(x, t) - K(x, t, u(x, t))\} \leq \int_c^x \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau.$$

where $K(x, t, u(x, t))$ is given by equation (3.2).

Since $M: J \times J \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be an integrable function, we have

$$\Rightarrow \{u_x(x, t) - K(x, t, u(x, t))\} \leq \int_c^x M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau.$$

Using inequality (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u_x(x, t) - K(x, t, u(x, t))\} \leq \int_c^x M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau \leq \alpha \varphi(x, t). \\ & \{u_x(x, t) - K(x, t, u(x, t))\} \leq \alpha \varphi(x, t). \\ & \{u_x(x, t) - K(x, t, u(x, t))\} \leq \varphi(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Again, integrating from c to x we get,

$$u(x, t) - u(c, t) - \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \leq \int_c^x \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau.$$

Since $M: J \times J \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be an integrable function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & u(x, t) - u(c, t) - \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \\ & \leq \int_c^x M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using inequality (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & u(x, t) - u(c, t) - \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \leq \\ & \int_c^x M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) d\tau \leq \alpha \varphi(x, t). \\ & \Rightarrow u(x, t) - u(c, t) - \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau \leq \alpha \varphi(x, t). \\ & \Rightarrow u(x, t) - [u(c, t) + \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau] \leq \varphi(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In a similar way, from the left inequality of (3.3), we obtain

$$- [u(x, t) - [u(c, t) + \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau]] \leq \varphi(x, t). \quad (3.6)$$

From the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) we get,

$$|u(x, t) - [u(c, t) + \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u(\tau, t)) d\tau]| \leq \varphi(x, t). \quad (3.7)$$

Let Y be the set of all continuously differentiable functions $\gamma: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We define a metric d and an operator T on Y as follows: For $l, m \in Y$

$$d(l, m) = \sup_{x, t \in J} \left| \frac{l(x, t) - m(x, t)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right|$$

and the operator

$$\begin{aligned} & (Tm)(x, t) \\ & = \left[u(c, t) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_c^x K(\tau, t, m(\tau, t)) d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Tl, Tm) = \sup_{x, t \in J} \left\{ \frac{|Tl(x, t) - Tm(x, t)|}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\}. \\ & = \sup_{x, t \in J} \left\{ \frac{\left| \left(\int_c^x K(\tau, t, l(\tau, t)) d\tau - \int_c^x K(\tau, t, m(\tau, t)) d\tau \right) \right|}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\}. \\ & \leq \sup_{x, t \in J} \left\{ \frac{\left| \left(\int_c^x K(\tau, t, l(\tau, t)) d\tau - \int_c^x K(\tau, t, m(\tau, t)) d\tau \right) \right|}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\}. \\ & \leq \sup_{x, t \in J} \left\{ \frac{\int_c^x |K(\tau, t, l(\tau, t)) - K(\tau, t, m(\tau, t))| d\tau}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using condition C1 we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(Tl, Tm) \\
 & \leq \sup_{x,t \in J} \left\{ \frac{\int_c^x \{M(\tau, t) |l(\tau, t) - m(\tau, t)|\} d\tau}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\} \\
 & = \sup_{x,t \in J} \left\{ \frac{\int_c^x \left\{ M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) \left(\frac{|l(\tau, t) - m(\tau, t)|}{\varphi(\tau, t)} \right) \right\} d\tau}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\} \\
 & \leq \sup_{x,t \in J} \left\{ \frac{\int_c^x \left\{ M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) \times \sup_{\tau, t \in J} \left(\frac{|l(\tau, t) - m(\tau, t)|}{\varphi(\tau, t)} \right) \right\} d\tau}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\} \\
 & d(Tl, Tm) \leq d(l, m) \times \sup_{x,t \in J} \left\{ \frac{\int_c^x \{M(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t)\} d\tau}{\varphi(x, t)} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using inequality (3.1) we get,
 $d(Tl, Tm) \leq \alpha d(l, m)$.

By using Banach contraction principle, there exists a unique $u_0 \in X$ such that $Tu_0 = u_0$, that is

$$\left[u(x, t) + \int_c^x K(\tau, t, u_0(\tau, t)) d\tau \right] = u_0(x, t),$$

(By using equation (3.8))

and

$$d(u_0, u) \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} d(u, Tu). \tag{3.9}$$

Now by using in equality (3.7) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |u(x, t) - (Tu)(x, t)| \leq \alpha \varphi(x, t). \\
 & \Rightarrow \frac{|u(x, t) - (Tu)(x, t)|}{\varphi(x, t)} \leq \alpha. \\
 & \Rightarrow \sup_{x,t \in J} \frac{|u(x, t) - (Tu)(x, t)|}{\varphi(x, t)} \leq \alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$d(u, Tu) \leq \alpha. \tag{3.10}$$

Again

$$d(u_0, u) = \sup_{x,t \in J} \left| \frac{u_0(x, t) - u(x, t)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right|.$$

From equation (3.9) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(u_0, u) \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} d(u, Tu). \\
 & \sup_{x,t \in J} \left| \frac{u_0(x, t) - u(x, t)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} d(u, Tu). \\
 & \left| \frac{u_0(x, t) - u(x, t)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right| \leq \sup_{x,t \in J} \left| \frac{u_0(x, t) - u(x, t)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} d(u, Tu). \\
 & \left| \frac{u_0(x, t) - u(x, t)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} d(u, Tu).
 \end{aligned}$$

From equation (3.10) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{u_0(x, t) - u(x, t)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \alpha. \\
 & |u_0(x, t) - u(x, t)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{(1-\alpha)} \varphi(x, t), \forall x, t \in J.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have proved the HUR stability of the second order partial differential equation (1.1) by employing Banach's contraction principle.

References

- [1] Q.H.Alqifiary *Some properties of second order differential equation*, Mathematica Moravila, Vol.17,(1),89-94,2013.
- [2] Q.H.Alqifiary, S. M. Jung *on the Hyers-Ulam stability of differential equations of second order*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Vol.2014,1-8,2014.
- [3] C. Alsina, R. Ger *on some inequalities and stability results related to the exponential function*, J. Inequal. Appl., Vol.2, 373-380,1998.
- [4] M. E. Gordji, Y. J.Cho, M. B. Ghaemi, B. Alizadeh *stability of second order partial differential equations*, J. of Inequalities and Applications, Vol.2011:81,1-10,2011.
- [5] D.H.Hyers, *on the stability of the linear functional equation*, proc.Natl., Acad.ScienceUSA27,222-224,1941.
- [6] A.Javadian, *Approximately n - order linear differential equations*, Inter. Jour. Nonlinear analysis and applications, 6(2015), No.1, 135-139.
- [7] Javadian, E. Sorouri, G.H.Kim, M.Eshaghi Gordji, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a second order linear differential equations*, Applied Mathematics, Vol.2011, ArticleID813137, doi:10.1155/2011/813137,2011.
- [8] S. M. Jung, *Hyers- Ulam stability of linear differential equation of first order, II*, Applied Mathematics Letters, Vol.19, 854-858, 2006.
- [9] S. M. Jung, *Hyers -Ulam stability of linear partial differential equation of first order*, Applied Mathematics Letters, Vol.22, 70-74, 2009.
- [10] N.Mohapatra, *Hyers -Ulam and Hyers - Ulam - Aoki - Rassias stability for ordinary differential equations*, Application and Applied Mathematics, vol.10, Issue1 (June 2015), 149-161.
- [11] D. Popa, I. Rosa, *Hyers s-Ulam stability of the linear differential operator with non constant coefficients*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol.212, 1562-1568, 2012.
- [12] M. N. Qarawani *Hyers s-Ulam stability of linear and nonlinear differential equations of second order*, Inter. J. of Applied Mathematics Research, Vol.1, No.4, 422-432, 2012.
- [13] V. P. Sonalkar, *Hyers- Ulam- Rassias stability of second order partial differential equation*, Journal of Applied Science and Computations, Vol.VIII, Issue V, 156-163, May 2021.
- [14] S. M. Ulam, *A collection of Mathematical problems*, Inter science publication, New York, 1960.