Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis

Artan Shkurti¹, Argjend Tafaj²

¹Regional Hospital of Vlora, Albania

²Rheumatological Service - University Hospital Centre "Mother Teresa", Tirana, Albania

Abstract: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic inflammatory, autoimmune, chronic disease which mainly affects peripheral joints. Recent epidemiological studies have suggested that RA is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular (CV) diseases, and RA patients are 30–60% more likely to develop (CV) diseases, that are characterized as the most important cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with RA. The most likely explanation for this is the process of endothelial dysfunction and accelerated atherosclerosis that occur in these patients secondary to chronic inflammation and also to a higher prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors. The presence of metabolic syndrome (MS), also known as insulin resistance syndrome, characterized by the combination of cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, obesity, high blood glucose, insulin resistance (IR) and dyslipidemia, confers a greater cardiovascular morbidity than the sum of the risks associated with each individual component. Its etiology remains elusive, but studies point to IR as the main mediator in the pathophysiology of MS. Multiple metabolic pathways have been proposed to connect IR and compensatory hyper insulinemia to other metabolic risk factors.

Keywords: rheumatoid arthritis, metabolic syndrome, pathophysiology

1. Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic inflammatory, autoimmune, chronic disease which mainly affects peripheral joints. Recent epidemiological studies have suggested that RA is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular (CV) diseases, and RA patients are 30-60% more likely to develop (CV) diseases, that are characterized as the most important cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with RA (1, 2). The most likely explanation for this is the process of endothelial dysfunction and accelerated atherosclerosis that occur in these patients secondary to chronic inflammation and also to a higher prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors. The presence of metabolic syndrome (MS), also known as insulin resistance syndrome. characterized by the combination of cardiovascular risk factors such as hyper - tension, obesity, high blood glucose, insulin resistance (IR) and dyslipidemia, confers a greater cardiovascular morbidity than the sum of the risks associated with each individual component (3). Its etiology remains elusive, but studies point to IR as the main mediator in the pathophysiology of MS. Multiple metabolic pathways have been proposed to connect IR and compensatory hyper insulinemia to other metabolic risk factors (4, 5). Although the role of MS as a predictor of cardiovascular risk has been hotly debated, a meta - analysis of 2010 involving more than 950, 000 patients concluded that MS increases twice the risk of (CV) diseases and 1.5 times the over - all mortality, as well as an increase of five times for risk of occurrence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (6). MS and IR have also been associated with several other diseases, such as hepatic steatosis, fibrosis and cirrhosis, (7) polycystic ovary syndrome, (8) cholelithiasis, (9) sleep apnea, (10) chronic kidney disease, (11) and gout (12). The prevalence of MS in patients with RA varies from 14% to 63% (13-29). Some controlled cross - sectional studies have shown a higher prevalence in patients than in controls, (16, 22, 25, 26, 28) but other studies found no differences (14, 15, 20, 24, 27). Epidemiological and methodological factors may justify such different results, for instance, the characteristics of the study population, origin of the patients, criteria used to define MS, and study design. However, evidence of accelerated atherosclerosis in patients with RA related to systemic inflammatory activity, together with the high prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors in these patients, favor the increased risk of MS in patients with RA (1, 3, 6, 13-16). The approach to cardiovascular risk factors and MS in patients with RA is so important that in 2012 the Brazilian Society of Rheumatology proposed a consensus on the management of comorbidities in patients with RA, including an early identification and appropriate treatment of MS, besides other cardiovascular risk factors in all patients diagnosed with RA.30. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of MS in a population of RA patients followed in regional hospital of Vlora, Albania and to analyze associations of demographic and clinical factors with the presence of MS.

2. Material and Methods

Patients diagnosed with RA according to the 1988 ACRcriteria31and followed at the Rheumatology department of regional Hospital in Vlora district, in Albania were sequentially invited to participate in this study. Patients with other autoimmune diseases, except secondary Sjogren's syndrome, were excluded. This is a cross - sectional study over the period 2013 - 2015. A total of 120 patients was studied. Demographic (gender, age, race, education level) and clinical data related to RA (disease duration since diagnosis, the presence of extra - articular manifestations, rheumatoid factor, cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody [anti - CCP], medications used, bone erosions) and the presence of cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, heart disease, smoking) were collected from clinical records. Given that data on smoking are often not recorded properly in the clinical records,

Volume 11 Issue 6, June 2022 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

information about smoking was directly asked for the patient at the assessment day: never smoked, smoked in the past, currently smoking, number of cigarettes/day and smoking time. The patient was considered as a smoker if he/she had smoked or still was smoking for at least 6 months any number of cigarettes. Pack - years of smoking was calculated as the average number of cigarettes per day multiplied by years as a smoker, divided by 20. A history of >20 packs/year was considered as heavy smoking. 32 Information about dose and duration of prednisone use also were taken from the records and categorized as follows: no/very low exposure: daily use of prednisone <7.5 mg during <3 months; low exposure: daily use of prednisone <7.5 mg during >6 months; medium expo - sure: daily use of prednisone \geq 7, 5–30 mg up for > 6 months. Patients without any regular physical activity, or who per - formed sporadic physical activity (<150 min of activity/week), were classified as having a sedentary lifestyle. During the physical examination, the number of swollen and tender joints was determined (28 joints) and patients were evaluated for the presence of joint deformities (reversible or irreversible). Measurements of blood pressure, weight, height and waist circumference were determined in the assessment day. Waist circumference was measured in centimeters with a tape at the midpoint between the lower part of the last rib and the iliac crest. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated using the formula weight over height squared. Disease activity was measured by the instrument Disease Activity Score 28 (DAS28) 33also in the study visit day, always using the last ESR obtained. Functional capacity was assessed using the Health Assessment Ouestionnaire (HAO), 34 with scores from 0 to 3: 0 meaning no loss of physical function, and 3 meaning complete physical disability. Recent laboratory data (blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR], C reactive protein [CRP], fasting glucose, total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein [HDL] cholesterol, triglycerides, creatinine and urea) were also assessed.

MS criteria

There are five definitions for MS, all including dosages of HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose and blood pressure, and waist circumference measurement; and three of these include criteria for IR. These definitions also differ with respect to cutoff points in blood glucose, HDL, triglycerides and blood pressure levels and abdominal circumference measurement. The most widely used definitions are the Adult Treatment Panel III of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEPATP III) (35) and the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) (36); therefore, we used these two definitions updated in 2005 and 2006, respectively, to calculate only the prevalence. To compare demographic and clinical factors between the group of patients with RA and MS versus patients with RA, but without MS, we used the MS rate calculated by NCEP ATP III. The NCEP ATP III (2005) criteria requires three out of five factors to establish the diagnosis as follows: fasting glucose ≥ 100 mg/dL or use of hypoglycemic agents; HDL<40 mg/dL (men) or <50 mg/dL (women) or use of LDL lowering drugs; triglycerides $\geq 150 \text{ mg/dL}$ or use of triglyceride - lowering medications; waist circumference ≥ 102 cm (men) or ≥ 88 cm (women); and blood pressure \geq 130/85 mmHg or use of antihypertensive drugs. The criteria to consider MS according to IDF are: mandatory

presence of abdominal circumference ≥ 94 cm (men) or ≥ 80 cm (women) and at least two of the other four criteria described above. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 for descriptive analysis of general characteristics of the sample, proportions and means \pm standard deviations (SD) were calculated. Student's t test for independent samples was used to compare means between groups, and the chi squared test was applied to compare proportions. The level of statistical significance set at 5% (p < 0.05) was used for all statistical tests.

3. Results and Discussion

Regarding the general characteristics of the 120 patients the mean age was 54.2 \pm 11.7 years, and most of the subjects were female (72%), with middle educational level (65%). The mean disease duration was 10.4 ± 6.5 years.84% of the results for rheumatoid factor were positive; and for anti -CCP 81% were positive. X - rays of hands and feet were obtained only from 55 patients of this sample, with 19 patients presenting joint erosions (32%). More than 90% of the patients were continuously taking oral prednisone at a mean dose of 5.06 ± 2.20 mg/day. With respect to synthetic disease - modifying drugs, the most used was methotrexate (94%), followed by leflunomide (69%). Anti - TNF - alpha agents were used by 29% of the patients. Disease activity assessed by DAS28 at the time of evaluation was 3.84 \pm 1.19, and functional capacity assessed by HAQ was 0.99 \pm 0.72. Table 1 presents the characteristics of patient with and without MS. The prevalence of MS was 48% and 55% by NCEP ATPIII and IDF definitions, respectively. When demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with and without MS were compared (according to NCEP ATP III), only age showed statistically significant difference, with older subjects in MS group (59.1 versus 53 years; p=0.03). Cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, low HDL, high triglycerides, glucose intolerance or diabetes mellitus, abdominal adiposity, obesity, smoking history >20 packs/year) were more frequent in patients with MS. There was no statistical difference for inflammatory markers. No relationship was found between exposure to oral prednisone and presence of MS. The most frequent components of MS definition were abdominal adiposity (98.1%), hypertension (80%) and low HDL (72.2%). Hypertriglyceridemia and glucose intolerance/diabetes mellitus were present in 59.2% and 46.3%, respectively.

Although several studies on prevalence of MS in RA have already been performed worldwide, the frequency found varies from 14 to 63% among the various populations studied, not always the values found are higher than in controls, and the association of factors related to rheumatoid arthritis (disease activity, inflammatory markers, severity of the disease, treatment) with MS varies greatly in the literature (14-16, 18, 23-27, 29). A frequent explanation for these discrepancies is the use of different criteria for MS classification. However, even when observing studies that used the same criteria, the prevalence rates vary widely. Therefore, it is likely that other factors related to the characteristics of the study population, including genetic, ethnic, cultural, demo - graphic, socioeconomic and clinical factors, also influence the prevalence rates. Thus, studies conducted with different populations are critical to try to

Volume 11 Issue 6, June 2022 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

detect other factors more associated with MS specific of that population and, from this point, one could try to interfere on such factors, in addition to offering information to a better understanding of the patho - physiological relationship between the different components and other cardiovascular risk factors. The majority of the patients seen in this study were female, with a mean age of 55.5 years, of low socioeconomic status, with long - standing disease, and moderate disease activity; the vast majority of subjects in this sample were in chronic use of low - dose prednisone, were methotrexate and/or leflunomide users, and 1/3 of them was in use of anti - TNF alpha agents. The prevalence of MS was about 48% when using NCEPIII (2005) criteria, and of 55% with IDF (2006) definitions. Both definitions require the presence of three out of five criteria, but the main difference is that IDF requires obesity (waist circumference \geq 94 cm for men or \geq 80 cm for women) as one of these three criteria, and the cutoff point to consider the presence of obesity is lower than that adopted in the NCEPIII definition $(\geq 102 \text{ cm for men and } \geq 88 \text{ cm for women})$; the other four criteria are the same. For this reason, the MS rate by IDF definition is almost always a little higher than 2005 NCEPIII's. With respect to demographic and clinical factors, only older age was associated with MS in this study. We found no association with disease duration, use of glucocorticoids, functional capacity and disease activity. What drew a lot of attention was the high prevalence of abdominal obesity in patients with MS (97%) and the association between heavy smoking story and MS. The prevalence of MS in our study has been among the largest ever recorded in all studies (Table 5). Comparing only studies using the definition of NCEPIII, MS prevalence in RA among various international populations ranged from 19% to 55.5%. Also, considering only studies that included a control group, some did not find a relationship between MS and RA, (14, 15, 20, 24) others have found increased MS, (16, 22, 25, 26, 28) and two studies showed higher rates in controls than inpatients with RA.21, 29 The study by La Montagna et al., 15con - ducted at the University of Naples, Italy, with 45 patients and 48 controls (patients with myofascial pain, carpal tunnel syndrome and shoulder periarthritis), found a MS rate in RA similar to ours (55.5%). La Montagna's patients had clinical and demographic characteristics similar to those of our patients with regard to age, disease duration, gender and current dose of glucocorticoids. However, they also found a high prevalence of MS in controls (45.8%), with no statistical difference between the two groups. A systematic review on the prevalence of MS in the Brazilian adult population included 10 studies conducted in several Brazilian regions, showing a mean rate of 29.6% for MS (37). The only study conducted in Northeastern Brazil was in a random population - based sample that consisted of 240 subjects aged 25-87 years in a town of the semi - arid region of Bahia, where an age adjusted rate of 24.8% was found with the use of NCEPIII 2001 definitions (cutoff point for glucose >110 mg/dL, rather than 100 mg/dL by NCEPIII 2005). La Montagna et al. (15) found a higher prevalence of IR (88.9% versus 6.2%) and a higher number of cases of subclinical atherosclerosis (assessed by measuring common carotid artery intima and media thicknesses by ultrasound) in patients with RA. The study by Karvounaris et al., (14) heldin Greece with 200 patients with RA and a control group of subjects without RA or other chronic inflammatory diseases, found a MS rate (NCEPIII 2001) of 44% in RA patients and of 41% in controls, also without significant difference. An interesting fact was observed in this study: a much higher pro - portion of controls with abdominal obesity (83% of controls versus 71% of patients) and hypertension (77.5% of controls versus 66% of patients) features which may be related to dietary habits of this population. Glucocorticoids were used by less than 30% of patients and anti - TNF - alpha agents by about 40%. The little use of glucocorticoids and the consider - able rate of biological users may have contributed to flatten the MS rate among patients, narrowing the gap between patient and control groups. Favorable effect of anti - TNF - alpha agents in IR in patients with RA has been documented (38, 39). With regard to corticosteroids, both cumulative dose and chronic corticosteroid use were associated with IR and subclinical atherosclerosis in the Italian study, and its authors believe that exposure to glucocorticoids may be the triggering factor for IR and atherosclerosis. In our study, we association between exposure to found no oral glucocorticoids and MS, but IR and subclinical atherosclerosis are earlier alterations than MS; furthermore, over 90% of our sample of patients with RA was in continued use of low - dose prednisone, making it difficult the demonstration of a statistically significant difference between groups, if in fact this difference occurred. Other studies also showed that the continuous use of corticosteroids is an independent predictor of IR and MS in patients with RA, (13, 28) while other authors have found no such association (18). It seems that, thanks to their systemic anti - inflammatory effect, short - term glucocorticoids could improve IR, (40, 41) but the long term side effects (dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, hypertension, IR and abdominal obesity) could collectively contribute to an increase of insulin resistance and the development of MS and atherosclerosis. An American study with 131 patients and 121 controls without RA showed MS rates of 36% in RA and 27% in controls, with no statistically significant difference (20). Although BMI and waist circumference values were similar between groups, the quantification of visceral fat by abdominal CT was higher in male patients than in male controls, while subcutaneous fat was higher in female patients than in female controls. Furthermore, the authors found a more important association of visceral fat with cardiometabolic risk factors in patients with RA of both genders versus controls, even in the face of similar waist circumference values. This has important practical implications, because measures to reduce visceral fat (weight loss) appear to exert most impact in reducing cardiovascular risk in patients with RA than in the general population. In our study, the most frequent component of MS was abdominal obesity, found in almost all patients (98.1%); and BMI230 kg/m2, indicating body obesity, was also found in more than half of our patients with MS. These two findings characterize a population of overweight patients, that may be secondary to carbohydrate - based eating habits, characteristic of the Northeastern Brazil population, sedentary lifestyle (more than half of patients), and chronic use of glucocorticoids (90.9%). Nutritional guidance, an adequate physical activity program and a more rational use of glucocorticoids can con - tribute to decrease the cardiovascular risk in patients with RA. Another study

Volume 11 Issue 6, June 2022 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

that did not find an increased prevalence of MS in RA was held in Iran with 92 female RA patients, and 96 healthy women, with rates of 27.2% in patients and 35.4% in controls.24 The mean age of patients (48.3 \pm 14.6 years) and the duration of illness (median: 8 years) were lower than our findings. An interesting finding of this study is that over 90% of patients were taking hydroxychloroquine. A multicenter study in Argentina published quite recently (2013) evaluated409 patients with RA and 624 controls and found a protective effect of hydroxychloroquine in MS (29). In the Argentinian study the control group consisted of patients without RA and diagnosed with other rheumatic diseases: osteoarthritis, low back pain, fibromyalgia. The prevalence of MS by NCEPIII (2005) criteria was of 30% and 39% for patients and controls, respectively, with no statistically significant difference. Perhaps the patient characteristics in the control group caused an increase in the MS rate for this group, narrowing the gap between this group and RA patients' group. The only other factors associated with MS in this study were age and rheumatoid factor and anti - CCP positivity. Lee et al. (27) from Korea reported the lowest prevalence of MS in both AR and control groups (19% and 15.6%), with 84 cases and 109 healthy controls, all female. These patients presented an earlier disease (median: 3.5 years) and were younger (50.6 \pm 11.3 years). In addition to these demographic factors, genetic and cultural characteristics and factors related to dietary habits specific of this population may have contributed to such low MS rate. In the Korean study, RA patients had abdominal obesity (29.8%) and hypertension (44%) rates lower than in our study. The first study showing an increase of MS in RA was con - ducted at the University of Tennessee, USA, and published in 2008 with 154 patients and 85 controls (without chronic inflammatory disease).16 Using NCEPIII 2001 definition, 42% of patients with long standing RA (median: 20 years), 30% of patients with early RA (median: 2 years) and 22% of controls had MS. Compared to the present study, a higher proportion of male patients was included in the Tennessee study (27% versus 2.7%), the subjects had a higher level of education, and there had more Caucasian patients (~90% versus22.4%). These factors may explain, in part, an MS rate slightly lower than that found in our study. Also in that study, the authors demonstrated a protective effect of hydroxychloroquine. In 2011, another American study by Crowson et al. (22) was published. This study recruited 232 cases and 1241 controls (patients without RA), showing 33% and 25% for MS, respectively. The authors believe that the exclusion of patients with previous cardiovascular disease may have decreased the actual prevalence of MS in their patients. Two other studies inTurkey26and Morocco28showed prevalence rates of 42.6% and 32.4%, respectively, with statistically significant differences compared to controls. In both studies, patients were younger and had less - lengthy disease, compared to our patients. High ESR and glucocorticoid use were associated with MS in the Moroccan study.

Cross - sectional studies have shown MS prevalence in RA ranging from 17% to 40.1% by NCEPIII criteria (13, 17–19, 23). A UK study by Toms et al. (18) examined the relationship of expo - sure to glucocorticoids with MS, categorizing the exposure in "no exposure", "low exposure" (<7.5 mg/day for >6 months), or "medium exposure" (7.5–

30 mg/day for >6 months), similar toour study. Neither the authors' nor the present study found any association of corticosteroid use with MS. In the Mexican study by Zonana - Nacach et al. (17) evaluating MS in patients with RA and systemic lupus erythematous, the frequency of MS was 18.7% and 16.7%, respectively. The variables associated with MS were older age, educational level, monthly income and smoking. In our study, we found an association between smoking history >20 packs/year and MS. The association between smoking and MS is not fully understood. Although there are several studies showing an association, especially in males, (42) the presence of other factors related to smokers' lifestyle could explain this association. For example, sedentary lifestyle and alcoholism are admittedly more common among smokers and could act as confounding variables (43). This study has some limitations. The lack of a control group without RA precludes a comparison of MS prevalence among RA patients and individuals without the disease in this population. Thus, while a prevalence of 50% in patients may seem high, one cannot say that MS occurs more often in RA patients than in people without RA. MS prevalence studies in an adult Brazilian population by other authors (37) make us believe that the rate found in the present study has been larger than in the general population (29.6%). Regarding the components of MS, it should be noticed the high proportion of patients with abdominal adiposity (98.1%), hypertension (80%) and low HDL (72.2%).

4. Conclusion

Greater attention should be given to these patients regarding nutritional guidance and physical activity, in order to promote weight loss and a better control of metabolic changes. Heavy smoking was also significantly associated with MS in this study, but one cannot say that smoking is a risk factor for MS.

References

- Avina Zubieta JA, Choi HK, Sadatsafavi M, Etminan M, Esdaile JM, Lacaille D. Risk of cardiovascular mortality in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a meta analysis of observational studies. Arthritis Rheum.2008; 59: 1690–7.2.
- [2] Naranjo A, Sokka T, Descalzo MA, Calvo Alén J, Hørslev - Petersen K, Luukkainen RK, et al. Cardiovascular disease in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from the QUEST - RA study. Arthritis Res Ther.2008; 10: R30.3.
- [3] Gami AS, Witt BJ, Howard DE, Erwin PJ, Gami LA, Somers VK, et al. Metabolic syndrome and risk of incident cardiovascular events and death: a systematic review and meta - analysis of longitudinal studies. J Am Coll Cardiol.2007; 49: 403–14.4.
- [4] Reaven G. The metabolic syndrome or the insulin resistance syndrome? Different names, different concepts, and differents goals. Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am.2004; 33: 283–303.5.
- [5] Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet.2005; 365: 1415–28.6.
- [6] Mottillo S, Filion KB, Genest J, Joseph L, Pilote L, Poirier P, et al. The metabolic syndrome and

Volume 11 Issue 6, June 2022

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

cardiovascular risk: a systematic review and meta - analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol.2010; 56: 1113–32.7.

- [7] Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Takeda N, Nakagawa T, Taniguchi H, Fujii K, et al. The metabolic syndrome as a predictor ofnonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Ann Intern Med.2005; 143: 722–70.8.
- [8] Apridonidze T, Essah PA, Iuorno MJ, Nestler JE. Prevalence and characteristics of the metabolic syndrome in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.2005; 90: 1929–35.9.
- [9] Grundy SM. Cholesterol gallstones: a fellow traveler withmetabolic syndrome. Am J Clin Nutr.2004; 80: 1– 2.10.
- [10] Coughlin SR, Mawdsley L, Mugarza JA, Calverley PM, Wilding JP. Obstructive sleep apnoea is independently associated with an increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome. Eur Heart J.2004; 25: 735– 41.11.
- [11] Chen J, Muntner P, Hamm LL, Jones DW, Batuman V, Fonseca V, et al. The metabolic syndrome and chronic kidney disease in US adults. Ann Intern Med.2004; 140: 167–74.12.
- [12] Choi HK, Ford ES, Li C, Curhan G. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in patients with gout: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Arthritis Care Res.2007; 57: 109–15.13.
- [13] Deissein PH, Tobias M, Veller MG. Metabolic syndrome and subclinical atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol.2006; 33: 2425–32.14.
- [14] Karvounaris SA, Sidiropoulos PI, Papadakis JA, Spanakis EK, Bertsias GK, Kritikos HD, et al. Metabolic syndrome iscommon among middle - to older aged Mediterranean patients with rheumatoid arthritis and correlates with disease activity: a retrospective, cross - sectional, controlled, study. Ann Rheum Dis.2007; 66: 28–33.15.
- [15] La Montagna G, Cacciapuoti F, Buono R, Manzella D, MennilloGA, Arciello A, et al. Insulin resistance is an independent risk factor for atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis. Diabetes Vasc Dis Res.2007; 4: 130–5.16.
- [16] Chung CP, Oeser A, Solus JF, Avalos I, Gebretsadik T, ShintaniA, et al. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome is increased inrheumatoid arthritis and is associated with coronaryatherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis.2008; 196: 756–63.17.
- [17] Zonana Nacach A, Santana Sahagun E, Jimenez -Balderas FJ, Camargo - Coronel A. Prevalence and factors associated withmetabolic syndrome in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. J Clin Rheumatol.2008; 14: 74–7.
- [18] Toms TE, Panoulas VF, Douglas KMJ, Griffiths HR, Kitas GD. Lack of association between glucocorticoid use and presence of the metabolic syndrome in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross - sectional study. Arthritis Res Ther.2008; 10: R145.
- [19] Elkan AC, Hakansson N, Frostegard J, Cederholm T, HafstromI. Rheumatoid cachexia is associated with dyslipidemia and low levels of atheroprotective natural antibodies against phosphorylcholine but not with dietary fat in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross - sectional study. Arthritis ResTher.2009; 11: R37.

- [20] Giles JT, Allison M, Blumenthal RS, Post W, Gelber AC, Petri M, et al. Abdominal adiposity in rheumatoid arthritis. Association with cardiometabolic risk factors and disease characteristics. Arthritis Rheum.2010; 62: 3173–82.
- [21] Sahebari M, Goshayeshi L, Mirfeizi Z, Rezaieyazdi Z, Hatef MR, Ghayour - Mobarhan M, et al. Investigation of the association between metabolic syndrome and disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis. Sci World J.2011; 11: 1195–205.
- [22] Crowson CS, Myasoedova E, Davis JM, Matteson EL, Roger VL, Therneau TM, et al. Increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome associated with rheumatoid arthritis in patients without clinical cardiovascular disease. J Rheumatol.2011; 38: 29–35.
- [23] Mok CC, Ko GTC, Ho LY, Yu KL, Chan PT, To CH. Prevalence of atherosclerotic risk factors and the metabolic syndrome inpatients with chronic inflammatory arthritis. Arthritis CareRes.2011; 63: 195–202.
- [24] Karimi M, Mazloomzadeh S, Kafan S, Amirmoghadami H. The frequency of metabolic syndrome in women with rheumatoid arthritis and in controls. Int J Rheum Dis.2011; 14: 248–54.
- [25] da Cunha VR, Brenol CV, Brenol JCT, Fuchs SC, Arlindo EM, Melo IMF, et al. Metabolic syndrome prevalence is increased in rheumatoid arthritis patients and is associated with disease activity. Scand J Rheumatol.2012; 41: 186–91.
- [26] Karakoc M, Batmaz I, Sariyildiz MA, Tahtasiz M, Cevik R, Tekbas E, et al. The relationship of metabolic syndrome withdisease activity and the functional status in patients withrheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Med Res.2012; 4: 279–85.
- [27] Lee SG, Kim JM, Lee SH, Kim KH, Kim JH, Yi JW, et al. Is the frequency of metabolic syndrome higher in South Korean women with rheumatoid arthritis than in healthy subjects. Korean J Intern Med.2013; 28: 206– 15.
- [28] Rostom S, Mengat M, Lahlou R, Hari A, Bahiri R, Hajjaj - Hassouni N. Metabolic syndrome in rheumatoid arthritis: case control study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord.2013; 14: 147.
- [29] Salinas MJH, Bertoli AM, Lema L, Saucedo C, Rosa J, QuintanaR, et al. Prevalence and correlates of metabolic syndrome in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in Argentina. J ClinRheumatol.2013; 19: 439– 43.
- [30] Pereira IA, Henrique da Mota LM, Cruz BA, Brenol CV, FronzaLSR, Bertolo MB, et al. Consenso 2012 da Sociedade Brasileirade Reumatologia sobre o manejo de comorbidades empacientes com artrite reumatoide. Rev Bras Reumatol.2012; 52: 474–95.
- [31] Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, McShane DJ, Fries JF, Cooper NS, et al. The American Rheumatism Association1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum.1988; 31: 315–24.
- [32] Frare e Silva RL, Carmes ER, Scwartz AF, Blaszkowski DS, Cirino RHD, Ducci RDP. Cessac ao de tabagismo em pacientesde um hospital universitário em Curitiba. J Bras Pneumol.2011; 37: 480–7.

- [33] Prevoo ML, van't Hof MA, Kuper HH, van Leeuwen MA, van de Putte LB, van Riel PL. Modified disease activity scores that include twenty - eight - joint counts. Development and validation in a prospective longitudinal study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum.1995; 38: 44–8.
- [34] Ferraz MB, Oliveira LM, Araujo PM, Atra E, Tugwell P. Crosscultural reability of the physical ability dimension of the Health Assessment Questionnaire. J Rheumatol.1990; 17: 813–7.
- [35] Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome. An American HeartAssociation/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific statement. Circulation.2005; 112: 2735–52.
- [36] Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. Metabolic syndrome: a newworldwide definition. A consensus statement from the International Diabetes Federation. Diabet Med.2006; 23: 469–80.37.
- [37] Vidigal FC, Bressan J, Babio N, Salas Savado J. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Brazilian adults: a systematic review. BMC Public Health.2013; 13: 1198.
- [38] Stagakis I, Bertsias G, Karvounaris S, Kavousanaki M, Virla D, Raptopoulou A, et al. Anti - tumor necrosis

factor the rapyimproves insulin resistance, beta cell function and insulin signaling in active rheumatoid arthritis patients with high insulin resistance. Arthritis Res Ther.2012; 14: R141.

- [39] Gonzalez Gay MA, Gonzalez Juanatey C, Vazquez
 RodriguezTR, Miranda Filloy JA, Llorca J. Insulin resistance in rheumatoid arthritis: the impact of the anti
 TNF - alpha therapy. Ann N Y Acad Sci.2010; 1193: 153–9.
- [40] Svenson KLG, Pollare T, Lithell H, Hallgren R. Impaired glucose handling in active rheumatoid arthritis: relationship to peripheral insulin resistance. Metabolism.1998; 37: 125–30.
- [41] Deissen PH, Joffe BJ, Stanwix AE, Christian BF, Veller M. Glucocorticoids and insulin sensitivity in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol.2004; 131: 867–74.
- [42] Sun K, Liu J, Ning G. Active smoking and risk of metabolic syndrome: a meta - analysis of prospective studies. PLoS ONE.2012; 7: e47791.
- [43] Rabaeus M, Salen P, de Lorgeril M. Is it smoking or related lifestyle variables that increase metabolic syndrome risk? BMC Med.2013; 11: 196

Variables	Metabolic syndrome $(+)$ (n = 60)	Metabolic syndrome (-) (n = 60)	Р
Demographics			
Female gender (%)	72.0	71.0	0.8
Age, years (mean ± SD)	59.1 ± 10.8	53.0 ± 12.7	0.03
Educational level \geq high school (%)	25.5	27.3	0.83
Clinical features			
Disease duration, years (mean ± SD)	11.8 ± 7.2	10.5 ± 7.4	0.3
Rheumatoid factor (%)	84.9	83	0.7
Extra - articular manifestations (%)	9.0	14.5	0.3
Number of DMARDs (mean \pm SD)	2.3 ± 0.8	2.5 ± 0.9	0.5
Current prednisone dose, mg/day (mean ± SD)	5.3 ± 2.1	4.8 ± 2.2	0.3
Methotrexate use (%)	94.5	96.3	0.6
Irreversible deformities (%)	35.2	24.5	0.2
Radiological erosions (%)	37.9	30.7	0.5
HAQ (mean \pm SD)	1.07 ± 0.72	0.88 ± 0.65	0.1
DAS 28 (mean ± SD)	3.89 ± 1.38	4.05 ± 1.39	0.6
Cardiovascular risk factors			
Smoking history >20 packs/year (%)	29.0	9.0	< 0.01
Hypertension (%)	80.0	32.7	< 0.01
Low HDL (%)	72.2	21.1	< 0.01
Triglycerides >150 mg/dL (%)	59.2	16.9	< 0.01
Diabetes mellitus/glucose intolerance (%)	46.3	16.7	< 0.01
Abdominal adiposity (%)	98.1	52.7	< 0.01
BMI \geq 30 kg/m2 (%)	56.3	20.0	< 0.01
Sedentary lifestyle (%)	56.4	50.9	0.5
Menopause (%)	83.3	72.0	0.1
Inflammatory markers			
CRP (mg/dL) (mean \pm SD)	0.95 ± 1.3	0.87 ± 0.85	0.6
ESR (mean \pm SD)	28.4 ± 20.5	30.9 ± 22.7	0.5

Table 1: Characteristics of	patient with a	nd without MS
-----------------------------	----------------	---------------

DOI: 10.21275/SR22530135854