International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
SJIF (2022): 7.942

# Sustainable Project Finance and Financial Modelling: A Global Review with Reference to Construction Sector

**Arin Banerjee[1], Goutham Sunil[2]**

[1]Amrita School of Business, Kerala, India
*ambup2mba20026[at]am.students.amrita.edu*

[2]Amrita School of Business, Kerala, India
*gouthamsunilduth[at]gmail.com*

**Abstract:** ***Complex investment projects need an in - depth examination of several variables and a suitable reaction at each stage. This article addresses the subtleties of project finance, the major risks involved with projects, and the processes for executing recourse and debt payment. The objective of the study is to examine the laws, practices, and research controlling the financing of sustainable construction projects, and to recommend future research avenues. To accomplish the objectives, we examined sustainable building finance patterns in four developed economies: the UK, USA, Singapore, and Australia. The study then looked at the activities and objectives of three international organisations: the UN, the OECD, and the IFC. It then analysed peer - reviewed studies on sustainable building project funding. We focused on four main research areas such as financial players and the market for sustainable building, advantages and restrictions of sustainable construction finance, and novel methods. While more money is being invested in sustainable development, a complete look at sustainable building project finance is still lacking. Examine crucial elements impacting the execution of sustainable construction project finance and establish a knowledge base for sustainable construction project financing. This study adds to the body of knowledge by evaluating current sustainable building finance laws, practices, and research. It also helps practitioners learn more about sustainable building project funding. The paper is based on real - world project finance experience and complex investment project analysis.***

**Keywords:** financing modeling, Project finance; sustainable construction project; review

## 1. Introduction

The implementation of large - scale investment projects throughout the economy's numerous sectors is one of the most critical concerns facing the global construction industry community today. When it comes to large - scale projects, one might argue that their execution and finance are dependent on the success of certain operations undertaken by significant businesses and governments in a given region or even a country, and are often controlled manually. Parallel to this, complex projects are fraught with risk because of their scale and number of parties, cross - industry nature, and timeframe. [1] As a result, it is critical to fully and quickly identify the risks associated with investment projects and to arrange the financing transaction in an efficient manner. The scarcity of experience, as well as the high cost of doing business, are both major concerns in this market. Various methods of project financing, such as corporate financing and project financing, may be used. Traditionally, project finance has been accomplished via the establishment of special purpose vehicle/entity with the projected rate of return derived from the cash flows of the particular project / firm. This clearly separates project finance from corporate financing, in which a loan is issued to an existing firm (ongoing operation) on the basis of an appraisal of the company's financial situation, asset value, and developed assets, among other factors, in order to fund the project. [2]

At this point, it is generally acknowledged that humanity by and large confronts a number of enormous difficulties, including climate change, the loss of natural resources, and environmental degradation. Unfortunately, the building and construction sector is principally responsible for the development of these difficulties, as it uses a significant quantity of energy, water, and raw materials in the course of its operations. As reported by the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), the building and construction sector has expanded as significant energy user, accounting for 40 percent of global raw materials and 40 - 50 % of global energy consumption. Meanwhile, it has been shown that it is a significant source of rubbish, accounting for 40% of solid waste and 40% of global greenhouse gas emissions, respectively. In order to solve this essential problem, building authorities and businesses throughout the world have pushed and promoted sustainable construction for more than two decades, an innovative method to creating buildings that are both resource - efficient and environmentally benign in design. [4]

To make significant progress toward a genuinely sustainable built environment, it will be necessary for all stakeholders to work together. Finance for sustainable building projects is essential for promoting the development of a sustainable built environment, in addition to cutting - edge architectural design and material selections, better resource efficiency requirements, and thorough construction methods. Sustainable construction project financing is a broad term that refers to the process of funding construction projects or firms that are dedicated to supporting the development of a low - carbon, more sustainable built environment. Sustainable construction project financing is a subset of sustainable construction project financing. Sustainable

building is an approach that involves the incorporation of various financial institutions (including banks, insurance companies, real estate companies, investment trusts and institutional investors) with the goal of directing their financial resources toward the development of a sustainable built environment. At the moment, funding environmentally friendly building projects is becoming more popular. [3] As a result of the 2016 Hangzhou Summit of the Group of Twenty (G20), which resulted in the release of the G20 Action Plan on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and increased investment in sustainable construction, the momentum for sustainable construction project financing has been accelerated significantly. [5]

At the moment, there is a plethora of information available on sustainable building practices. However, the vast majority of them are focused solely on the environmental benefits of sustainable building projects as well as the innovative design and construction technologies employed in sustainable building projects, with no systematic examination of the financing of sustainable construction projects being carried out. Hence, This article examines present policies, practices, and research in the field of sustainable construction project finance, as well as highlight potential areas for the future research. As part of the corpus of knowledge, this article examines the current state of the art in sustainable construction project finance and suggests prospective topics for additional investigation. At the same time, our study assists industry practitioners by summarising and disseminating lessons learnt from current sustainable construction project finance methods, therefore boosting their knowledge and capabilities in this field.

Notably, the overall annual value of project finance transactions in the world ranges between 200 and 250 billion US dollars in the recent years depending on the date on which the transaction closes. According to 2016 figures, the total amount was close to 230.9 billion US dollars as in the previous year and continued to be similar in 2017 as well. [6] As a result, even minor changes in project features (such as lower flows or a shift in the project's timeline) may have a substantial impact on the financial health of the project's sponsor or initiator, the sector, and - in certain cases - the growth of the area. Each project has its own set of hazards, which must be recognised and managed in a timely and efficient manner. According to investment analysis theory, there are numerous risk classification schemes for projects, which include risks associated with the (pre -) investment and operating phases, external and internal risks inherent to a particular project, and risks classified by their nature, such as financial and operational risks, tax and legal risks, and risks associated with a project's financing. Consider the following areas when assessing risks associated with an investment project: technological and technical aspects of the potential project, marketing issues (prices, volume discounts, transportation and logistics), tax (rates, terms, benefits), legal issues (possible import/export restrictions, licence duration, and changes in legislation, among other things), and financial risks (rates, terms, benefits). [7] A big and complex project, sponsored by a bank syndicate or consortium, may need independent expert judgments and an in - depth inquiry into each of these difficulties. Depending on the circumstances, separate advisors may be required to assist each group of participants — sponsors and lenders — in certain scenarios. The procedure is generally handled by specialised legal project consultants who have previous experience managing such transactions, due to the complexity of the projects and the large number of parties involved. For the most part, conducting a sensitivity analysis or generating a few distinct scenarios for the development of the proposed project is the quickest and most straightforward method for depicting the effect of potential risks and uncertainties. A complete financial model of the project is unavoidably necessary to quantify and sort out the repercussions of the decision. [8] During this course, we will look at the crucial role that financial models play in detecting, monitoring, and mitigating a variety of risks associated with large - scale investment projects, as well as various transaction structures that take these risks into consideration. With no specific projects in mind, the authors' practical experience as head of the financial modelling function for the state corporation's investment block, as well as academic research on the subject, is incorporated into the article's conclusions. In addition, the authors' attendance at international conferences on project finance and financial modelling is taken into consideration.

**Objectives of the Study:**
To examine the laws, practices, and research controlling the financing of sustainable construction projects.

**Methodology**
Systematic descriptive literature study is undertaken in the study. In order to understand the sustainable construction projects. We examined sustainable building finance patterns in four developed economies: the UK, USA, Singapore, and Australia. The study then looked at the activities and objectives of three international organisations: the UN, the OECD, and the IFC. It then analysed peer - reviewed studies on sustainable building project funding. We focused on four main research areas such as financial players and the market for sustainable building, advantages and restrictions of sustainable construction finance, and novel methods.

**Chapter Scheme**
The study follows systematic chapter flow, the first sector is the Introduction: Introduces the Topic: Construction Sustainability project, following the objectives and methodology in the second and third section respectively. The fourth section is literature review: In depth analysis of the literature available on sustainable ficance construction projects.

## 2. Literature Review

The purpose of this article is to undertake an in - depth analysis of the literature on sustainable construction project finance, taking into consideration not only academic research results, but also policies and practices of government and industry. There are three types of literature reviewed in this paper: policies and practises relating to sustainable construction project financing that have been implemented by representative developed economies, efforts and initiatives undertaken by selected international organisations, and research findings published in peer - reviewed journals and books, among others.

The quest for environmentally friendly building is more active in industrialised nations as compared to emerging and developing economies. This is primarily due to the fact that richer countries frequently benefit from more favourable economic circumstances when it comes to addressing the concerns of sustainable built environment development. Because of this, we examined the sustainable building policies and practices of four representative industrialised countries. [10] For instances, four most advanced economies, Viz, the united states (US), the United Kingdom (UK), Singapore, and Australia, are the are the players in the global efforts in promoting environmentally friendly buildings and construction activities. In addition to these established economies, a number of international organisations are also seen committed to promoting environmentally friendly building practices. Over the last two decades, they have established a variety of rules and programmes to aid in the financing of the construction of a sustainable built environment. Hence, this article investigated three significant and representative international organisations, namely the United Nations, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), and the International Finance Corporation (IFC), in order to assess their efforts and initiatives in the direction of sustainable construction projects development. [12]It may be noted that these organizations have been instrumental in devising strategies and financing practices for Sustainable construction projects on a global scale in the Developed economies in particular. In what follows, we examine the status of development and practices being followed by the four countries in the realm of environmentally friendly construction practices.

### 2.1 The United Kingdom of Great Britain

To stimulate investment in sustainable built environment development, the UK has opted to implement a number of regulations and practises that will help manage sustainable building project funding. There are several rules and processes designed to encourage investment in environmentally friendly businesses. [21] The world's first Green Financing Bank, established in 2012 in the UK, aims to promote and remove barriers to green project investment. The Green Investment Bank focuses on sustainable development and infrastructure rehabilitation. In April 2010, the UK introduced the Feed - in Tariff to promote investment in green retrofitting of the existing buildings. Incentives are private businesses and households to install renewable energy sources including wind, solar, and small - scale cogeneration via the Feed - in Tariff. The Renewable Heat Incentive, comparable to the Feed - in Tariff, was announced by the UK in November 2011. It was created to promote the use of renewable heat sources (such as solar thermal panels, renewable district heating, heat pumps, biomass boilers, and deep geothermal systems). The Green Deal, introduced in 2012, is another scheme designed to help fund ecologically friendly development projects in the UK. Pay as you save is a concept wherein homeowners get an upfront loan to install energy - saving measures in their properties, before repaying the loan with savings on their power bills. However the Conservative administration ended the programme in July 2015, alleging it had failed to achieve its goals. [18]

### 2.2 The USA

Throughout the past few decades, the US government has helped promote a more ecologically friendly built environment via different financing options at the federal, state, and municipal levels. Governments and low - income people may get financial support to upgrade their buildings with environmentally friendly features via the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant and Weatherization Assistance Program, respectively. The US Department of Housing and Urban Development has developed many funding programmes, including the Energy Performance Contracting and Capital Fund Financing Programs, to aid in the development of energy efficient housing developments. Governments have developed grant, loan, and lease programmes, as well as rebate programmes, to support sustainable development. [32] Several municipal green bonds have been suggested as important mechanisms for funding sustainable development. Some examples of these bonds include Qualified Green Building and Sustainable Design Project Bonds, and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds. The US government has also introduced many financial incentives to encourage the construction and renovation of residential buildings to improve their energy efficiency. A few of the incentives offered to homeowners include the Home Builders Tax Credit, the Manufacturers Tax Credit for Energy - Efficient Appliances, and the Solar Home Improvements Tax Credit. The US Department of Energy also supported the Database of State Incentives for Renewables and Efficiency, which lists 1324 cost - saving programmes available throughout the US. The platform's main purpose is to make green retrofit projects more affordable in the US, maximising long - term returns. [29]

### 2.3 Singapore

Singapore has positioned itself as a global pioneer in sustainable built environment development. Green buildings in Singapore have expanded from less than 20 in 2005 to over 2100 in 2014. The Singapore government's financial initiatives played a vital part in this exceptional achievement. Singapore has launched at least ten government - sponsored green construction schemes. [15] These programmes co - finance building owners for energy efficiency retrofits (for example, Green Mark Incentive Scheme for Existing Buildings) and help the private sector develop green buildings (e. g., Green Mark Gross Floor Area Incentive Area). These policies have enhanced Singapore's built environment and show a real commitment from local lawmakers.

### 2.4 Australia

For existing buildings, the Australian Federal Government introduced a Green Building Fund Program in 2008, budgeting AUD 90 million (about USD 71.24 million). The creation of this fund marks the federal government's first real endeavour to promote a sustainable built environment. They also offer state - level financial possibilities. South Australia announced Environmental Upgrade Finance in 2015 to finance green building upgrades and retrofits. This programme offers property owners, occupiers, service providers, and property managers with a unique kind of

credit that is repaid to lenders through a government fee assessed on the property. [22] This technique may solve the problem of "split incentives" for green retrofits of rental properties, where tenants benefit but owners bear the expenses. Affordability of clean technologies and solutions is an advantage to landlords, renters, and companies.

**2.5 Initiatives of international organisations:**

The United Nations Environmenta Program (UNEP) was created in 1972 at the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment in Stockholm, Sweden, to be the organization's environmental conscience. [33]. It remains a major goal of the (UNEP) to find solutions that work for both economic growth and protecting the environment. The (UNEP) started finance initiatives (FI) programmes in 1992 with the help of more than 200 top global banks, investment funds, and insurance companies (such as Deutsche Bank and HSBC Holdings). Its goal is to help the world's people live in a more environmentally friendly way (e. g., General Accident and National Provident). People who work for big financial institutions want to learn about the environment, sustainable development, and economic growth by having a constructive conversation with them about how these things work together. FI also aimed to get businesses to invest in sustainable development, especially in areas like green buildings. This was done through a number of initiatives. The United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP - FI) has also written a lot of papers about the framework and decision - making process for investing in environmentally friendly buildings.

**2.6 Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) (OECD)**

The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) started the Center on Green Finance and Investment in 2016. This is part of OECD's efforts to help the world move to a more sustainablelow - emissions, and climate - resilient economy by making sure that institutions, policies, and instruments work well. [29] This Center makes use of the OECD's economic and policy knowledge and serves as a global forum for talks with the world's financial institutions in order to make the world a better place. As part of its goal, the Centre intends to come a knowledge - sharing centre for all the people who work on green buildings. These people were from the government, the corporate sector, regulatory agencies, academic institutions, and civil society groups. There are also a number of publications from the (OECD) that look at a number of market processes and policies which are linked to the financing of sustainable building projects and renewable energy investment policy in particular. (OECD) published several studies that looked at the goals of publicly traded green investment banks, their mandates, and how they were able to fund projects. They also looked at the problems that international investors face when they want to invest in sustainable development, as well as the different ways that institutions can invest in sustainable development. Each of these papers is a good source of information and evidence - based analysis. They have helped industry professionals and policymakers better understand how to use sustainable construction finance.

**2.7 Organization for International Financial Cooperation (International Finance Corporation)**

A part of the World Bank Group, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) is the world's biggest development organisation dedicated completely to the development of developing nations. It is headquartered in Washington, DC. The International Finance Corporation's principal objective is to assist the private sector in achieving sustainable development via innovative investment and finance. Since 2005, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) has sponsored over $15.3 billion in long - term energy efficiency, renewable energy, and green construction projects. Additionally, (IFC) was a pioneer in the issuance of green bonds. In 2010, it began offering green bonds to private sector investors for the first time. As of 2014, (IFC) has funded 93 green bond - eligible projects in over 100 countries, with a total value of over USD 3 billion. (IFC) is also a pioneer in the development of the ideas, techniques, and standards that govern the global green bond market, advising issuers and investors on how to effectively launch a green bond for sustainable construction projects. Additionally, (IFC) publishes an annual study on the effect of green bonds, which is often cited by a range of financial sectors and organisations throughout the world. [35]

**2.8 Financial model and its role in risk mitigation and management**

The financial model should be used to predict the project's sensitivity to major input elements. As previously stated, variables vary by industry, level of implementation, and project aspects. In addition to the project's present execution and finance, the industry's and project's development prospects, rivals' actions and efforts, as well as government help all impact the project's unique traits. As a result, the company's strategy and financial model must contain assumptions about marketing, price, technology, transportation, and shipping, as well as tax consequences. The financial model should include all relevant metrics. It is a part of a company plan that involves financial estimates and analysis. Predictions of future financial statements and ratios are presented in this section. Key sensitivity variables are also discussed. Thus, the financial model is used throughout the process of analysing and financing a project in a bank, from the first evaluation, financing, and monitoring through the analysis of eventual restructuring and refinancing alternatives. On the other hand, neither major commercial nor public banks have established templates or centralised functions for financial model production or verification. The borrower, its consultant, or the bank creates the financial model. While the borrower has greater knowledge and expertise of the company, it may lack financial research and modelling skills. Consultants may be hired to help design the model. Bank employees may also help fine - tune the model. The borrower should construct the model to eliminate conflicts of interest and give transparency. Because the prior portfolio had a large number of problematic and non - performing assets, and because financial models were usually outdated, their quality was greatly reliant on the abilities and qualifications of specialists allocated to individual projects (project managers). One of the aims was to build and apply a single

financial model template to as many projects as feasible. This idea was rejected owing to the inability of accounting for each project's and sector's unique qualities. From turkey breeding to space vehicles, liquefied natural gas (Megaproject Yamal LNG, with a total capital expenditure of over 1.5 trillion rubles) to JSC Avtovaz, the bank's initiatives were diverse. The government and federal agencies also direct examination of particular projects and financial models, all highly professional vocations. Also, keep in mind that models vary even within the same business. As a result, we need a collection of high - quality, consistent, and specialised financial models to help us make sensible judgments. Simultaneously, model changes and overall financial modelling quality improvements were required. • enhancing the competencies of all bank personnel who deal with financial models; • extensive testing of particular financial models by specifically chosen experts. To create templates, we utilised the following method, which seemed to be balanced. One should add a summary page called Results or Outputs with all essential forecast indicators and ratios. Internal content is created by the firm or by consultants working on the project, depending on its stage and quality. Then we created additional examples, templates, and sensitivity analyses for key bank KPIs. [36]

The objective is to decrease internal model computations without compromising model logic or usability. To simplify a formula with more than two or three built - in functions, add lines, flags, and sheets. In the previous bank templates, we demonstrated both acceptable and inappropriate formula presentation, as well as how subtle mistakes may be hidden inside complex formulas. In addition to full - time investment and financial modelling training, the bank should develop a series of master workshops on specialised issues. Master seminars may be offered by bank staff or renowned consultancy firms. A monthly newsletter that covers five diverse topics of financial modelling may also be beneficial for general competence development. The final set of activities delves further into certain models. This is often the duty of project managers as project leaders. Additional assessments may be performed to check the bank's most important and complicated financial models for existing and future projects, or to help rebuild models where client skills are lacking. Here are some instances of common errors: Concurrent depreciation of the ruble and increase in oil costs. Calculate the discount rate using the fewest possible components from several sources. It is not conducted on the most critical components of a company or project. Hypotheses, computations, and outcomes are all one.

- Model inertia Calculations employ unreferenced values. The model has unknown relationships.
- Inexact calculations Several built - in formulae exist. Hidden rows and sheets are revealed. True models may need changes to between twenty and one hundred problems. The work with forecasts and assumptions is remarkable.

Regardless of model construction, the reasoning, confirmation, and consistency of projections are key issues of discussion. A real - world example of coal prices varying from year to year of estimations for a given product may be shown. [27] It went from roughly 112 to 60 USD per tonne between 2011 and 2015. However, the trend prediction was spot on. Each year's prognosis predicted a downward trend, but a price recovery and future gain, although weaker. It may help bank employees resolve conflicts with borrowers or consultants who overestimate their investment initiatives. Generally, the following technique appears most logical: These estimates should be justified by the customer and evaluated and changed by the bank, as well as reports from independent specialists. We've previously examined several project financial hazards, such as using templates and examples, as well as assumptions and predictions. The following financial ratios may help control risk in the financial model.

Keeping an eye on specific projects' financial ratios Harmonization of the covenants is critical to the project's success and is monitored closely. To increase process quality, it may be necessary to swiftly create and coordinate needs for financial model structure and indicator computation. [39] A challenging topic for any organisation, but especially for capital - intensive initiatives with extended implementation and payback periods. Initiators and financiers of projects need to know the basics [46] (Net Present Value, Payback Period, Discounted Payback Period) as well as the DSCR (Debt Service Coverage Ratio) and its special parameters (Project Loan Life Coverage Ratio). Ratios differ between Russian and international banks. Depending on the financial model, their regular and ad hoc updates may vary. See D. Tikhomirov's paper for further details on calculating EBITDA. [49] The usage of reserve accounts (DSRA - Debt service reserve account), cash on deposit reserves, or the buying of bank bills is also required for project finance. Clearly, this may be required to address unanticipated financial or operational needs, or simply to manage cash flow.10. It's worth noting that domestic banks and investors are frequently less cautious when big ratios like the DSCR are involved. Beginning in the first quarter of the project's operational stage (when product revenues begin to pour in), the borrower is required to maintain a DSCR debt service ratio of at least 1.3 until the bank loan is fully repaid. An yearly financial model update for the project is agreed upon in writing by the borrower and the supervising consultant company. Meanwhile, Russian banks often ask that the DSCR coefficient be between 1.1 and 1.3, and that cash holdings be included in the computation. Historically, western banks and export credit agencies (ECA) required higher beginning ratios, more cautious methods, and more restrictions and covenants (although foreign analysts note that the actual value of DSCR in the amount of not less than 1.1 is a positive characteristic of the project, see for example 3). However, there is no universally acknowledged definition or technique for calculating DSCR, whether it is for a single tranche/bank or for all loans in project finance theory and practice. Thus, the idea of Total DSCR utilised in the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development's approach 2, p.488 has not been thoroughly articulated, nor has it been universally recognised in the literature. Tracking a project after it has been reviewed and financed is crucial. Simultaneously, while constructing the transaction, the instruments should be completely filled out and recorded. As a result, while drafting the transaction, keep in mind the following:

- Definitions, calculating methods, and minimum criteria for the above covenants.

- Sponsor involvement criteria, such as retaining a portion of the project's overall funding. In certain cases, sponsors may be forced to pay more.
- Various put and call options.

Other therapy options. A debt payment obligation is one example of such a guarantee.

**4) Debt collection and debt management**

It is common for an initiator and an external investor to collaborate on the conception, appraisal, implementation, and funding of a project, with the initiator anticipating a reduction in creditor responsibilities (guarantees, sureties, pledges of its shares, etc). (s). Limited recourse against the borrower and risk dispersion mean less responsibility for the sponsor or initiator than in traditional business finance. Paradoxically, recourse is still an important factor in project financing agreements. Sponsors may provide several risk mitigation options, including direct guarantees. Depending on the risk, safeguards may include a cost overrun guarantee, a general loan agreement or hedging, and debt service obligation for complicated projects that may be delayed or entail considerable technical risk.

To cover the bank's loan agreement for the project execution, the borrower and the sponsor (or another sponsor - related company) enter into a general loan agreement.

The following actions are appropriate within the basic loan arrangement:

- Providing extra funding (loans) to the project firm over time. It is the bank's fundamental lending capability.
- Determining the size of each loan tranche to get the required amount while keeping a particular DSCR ratio (for example, 1.1 - 1.3).
- The borrower's right to return the loan in whole or in part before the agreed - upon due date.
- Interest is owed when the loan is completely repaid.2. Plan for price drops and hedging. In certain cases, the fundraising amount is so big that even a major initiator cannot guarantee the project's success, objectives, and timely payback of all commitments. For example, given the company's existing and projected financial indicators, as well as the need for future investment, it is obvious that JSC NOVATEK cannot completely guarantee the Yamal - LNG project's full completion. Like PJSC Gazprom may face restrictions on the "The Power of Siberia" project, EBITDA for 2016 was about 4 billion USD despite total capital expenditures surpassing 20 billion USD. Because banks / creditors lack comprehensive experience and confidence in the project's success, hybrid tactics and procedures like the Debt Service Undertaking (DSU) are required. To the creditors of the borrower, each project sponsor guarantees the principle amount due under the financing plan and loan agreements, plus any interest or other payments required. [41]

***Sustainable Construction Project Financing: Benefits and Barriers***

The research revealed various advantages of sustainable building project finance. Using sustainable building project funding helps the industry adopt green building technology. Investing in sustainable building may improve a company's social and environmental reputation. Sustainable construction initiatives also pose less risks for financial institutions than traditional construction projects. Green building owners and constructors are less risk averse and take more care during construction, operation, and maintenance.

Besides the advantages, our research revealed many impediments to financial institutions building sustainably. These include divided motivations, inconsistent data analysis and interpretation, a lack of expertise about sustainable design, significant upfront expenses, and legal loopholes. [42] Split incentives are a problem that affects all organisations participating in sustainable building. It's a principal - agent conflict. In rental premises, building owners are compelled to pay for energy efficiency modifications while renters save money. Due to this obstacle, many landlords are reluctant to engage in green retrofits. There is also a lack of thorough, consistent, and reliable data analysis. Because most financial institutions will not invest in sustainable building projects unless they can demonstrate clear financial returns and advantages. [39] Currently, there are too many criteria, methods, and standards for certifying sustainable constructions, making data analysis and explanation difficult. Financing sustainable construction projects is also difficult due to a lack of understanding. While interest in and awareness of sustainable buildings has grown in recent years, financial professionals' knowledge and capacities may not have kept up. As a result, many financial experts are unable to properly fund sustainable construction projects. Significant upfront fees have also been noted as a major barrier. [50] The California Sustainability Alliance and Lee, Lee, Kim & Kim said hefty upfront expenses deter investors from green leasing. Another barrier to broad adoption of sustainable construction project financing is the lack of relevant legislation, rules, and monitoring and enforcement mechanisms.

## 3. Future Research & Conclusion

Identifying financial issues in sustainable construction projects, examining the performance of several financial vehicles for sustainable construction projects, examining critical drivers for implementing sustainable construction financing, and developing and improving sustainability will likely lead to increased investment in sustainable building over the next several decades. However, a full analysis of sustainable building funding is still absent.

To that purpose, this paper examines and suggests research opportunities in the field of sustainable construction project funding.

To begin with this research looked at the financing channels for sustainable building projects in many developed countries, including the UK, USA, Singapore, and Australia. The paper then analysed initiatives and efforts by international organisations such as the United Nations, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the International Finance Corporation toward sustainable building. The essay then analysed peer -

reviewed studies on sustainable building project funding. The review identified four major research themes in sustainable construction project financing: financial stakeholders and the market for sustainable construction, benefits and drawbacks of financing sustainable construction projects, identification of sustainable construction financial vehicles, and innovative financing models and mechanisms. To identify financial issues in sustainable construction projects, examine financial vehicles for sustainable construction projects in terms of strengths, limitations and performance, examine critical drivers of implementing sustainable construction project financing and develop a knowledge - based decision support system.

The article discussed the key features and challenges of project finance, as well as the risk that sponsors, banks, and other players face. We also emphasised that many topics are not covered or agreed upon in business theory or practise and must be handled in each circumstance. This pertains to the creation of financial models, financial covenants, and other assurances, such as a debt payment obligation. This is not a complete list. Regulation of syndicated lending, asset securitization, and collateral value is in the works. We would also want to highlight some beneficial trends that have developed from numerous participants' experience and work on project finance strategies throughout the decades.

While the article's goal was achieved, significant limitations remain. Due to time and space constraints, this study cannot cover all developed economies' and international organisations' sustainable building financing practises and activities. Second, the search code may have eliminated several studies and publications that analysed the funding of sustainable building projects. USGBC Announces International Rankings of Top Countries like Canada, china, India, Brazil etc., for LEED Green Building Constructions.

Despite these flaws, the information presented here is vital. This article analysed global sustainable building project funding regulations, practises, and research initiatives. This may help practitioners get a more comprehensive picture of sustainable building project financing and so improve their competency.

## References

[1] Bose, A.; Ramji, A.; Singh, J.; Dholakia, D. A case study for sustainable development action using financial gradients. Energy Policy 2012, 47, 79–86.
[2] Bradley, R. L.; Anantatmula, V. Greening Project Management Practices for Sustainable Construction. J. Manag. Eng.2010, 27, 48–57.
[3] Busch, T.; Bauer, R.; Orlitzky, M. Sustainable Development and Financial Markets: Old Paths and New Avenues. Bus. Soc.2016, 55, 303–329.
[4] Chang, N. - B.; Rivera, B. J.; Wanielista, M. P. Optimal design for water conservation and energy savings using green roofs in a green building under mixed uncertainties. J. Clean. Prod.2011, 19, 1180–1188.
[5] Chen, X.; Yang, H.; Lu, L. A comprehensive review on passive design approaches in green building rating tools. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2015, 50, 1425–1436.
[6] Chua, G. South Australia Introduces Green Building Upgrade and Retrofit Financing. Available online: http: //www.architectureanddesign. com. au/news/south - australia - introduces - green - building - upgrade (accessed on 20 June 2017).
[7] Darko, A.; Chan, A. P. C. Critical analysis of green building research trend in construction journals. Habitat Int.2016, 57, 53–63.
[8] Darko, A.; Chan, A. P. C. Review of Barriers to Green Building Adoption. Sustain. Dev.2017, 25, 167–179.
[9] Darko, A.; Zhang, C.; Chan, A. P. C. Drivers for green building: A review of empirical studies. Habitat Int.2017, 60, 34–49.
[10] Ding, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zou, P. X. W. An agent based environmental impact assessment of building demolition waste management: Conventional versus green management. J. Clean. Prod.2016, 133, 1136–1153.
[11] Finnegan, S. New Financial Strategies for Sustainable Buildings: Practical Guidance for Built Environment Professionals; Taylor & Francis Group: Abingdon, UK, 2017.
[12] Fu, T.; Chen, H. Z.; Choi, Y. Does the Sustainable PPI Investments Promote Financial Market's Sustainable Development? Sustainability 2016, 8, 120.
[13] GhaffarianHoseini, A.; Dahlan, N. D.; Berardi, U.; GhaffarianHoseini, A.; Makaremi, N.; GhaffarianHoseini, M. Sustainable energy performances of green buildings: A review of current theories, implementations and challenges. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2013, 25, 1–17.
[14] Group Twenty. G20 Action Plan on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Available online: https: //www.g20. org/Content/DE/_Anlagen/G7_G20/2016 - 09 - 08 - g20 - agenda - action - plan. pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=4 (accessed on 18 September 2017).
[15] Haapio, A.; Viitaniemi, P. A critical review of building environmental assessment tools. Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.2008, 28, 469–482.
[16] He, Q.; Wang, G.; Luo, L.; Shi, Q.; Xie, J.; Meng, X. Mapping the managerial areas of Building Information Modeling (BIM) using scientometric analysis. Int. J. Proj. Manag.2017, 35, 670–685.
[17] Hoffman, A. J.; Henn, R. Overcoming the Social and Psychological Barriers to Green Building. Organ. Environ.2008, 21, 390–419.
[18] Hong Kong Financial Services Development Council. Hong Kong as a Regional Green Finance Hub; Hong Kong Financial Services Development Council: Wanchai, Hong Kong, 2016.
[19] Hwang, B.; Shan, M.; Tan, E. Investigating reworks in green building construction projects: Magnitude, influential factors, and solutions. Int. J. Environ. Res.2016, 10, 499–510.
[20] Hwang, B. - G.; Shan, M.; Supa'at, N. N. B. Green commercial building projects in Singapore: Critical risk factors and mitigation measures. Sustain. Cities Soc.2017, 30, 237–247.
[21] Hwang, B. - G.; Shan, M.; Xie, S.; Chi, S. Investigating residents' perceptions of green retrofit

program in mature residential estates: The case of Singapore. Habitat Int.2017, 63, 103–112.
[22] Le, Y.; Shan, M.; Chan, A. P. C.; Hu, Y. Overview of Corruption Research in Construction. J. Manag. Eng.2014, 30, 02514001.
[23] Lee, S.; Lee, B.; Kim, J.; Kim, J. A Financing Model to Solve Financial Barriers for Implementing Green Building Projects. Sci. World J.2013, 2013. PubMed
[24] Li, Y.; Yang, L.; He, B. - J.; Zhao, D. Green building in China: Needs great promotion. Sustain. Cities Soc.2013, 11, 1–6.
[25] Love, P. E. D.; Niedzweicki, M.; Bullen, P. A.; Edwards, D. J. Achieving the Green Building Council of Australia's World Leadership Rating in an Office Building in Perth. J. Constr. Eng. Manag.2012, 138, 652–660.
[26] Lutzkendorf, T.; Fan, W.; Lorenz, D. Engaging financial stakeholders: Opportunities for a sustainable built environment. Build. Res. Inf.2011, 39, 483–503.
[27] Malina, M. Delivering Sustainable Buildings: An Industry Insider's View; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2012.
[28] Marcelo, C.; Daniel, P. Green performs better: Energy efficiency and financial return on buildings. J. Corp. Real Estate 2013, 15, 53–72.
[29] Olubunmi, O. A.; Xia, P. B.; Skitmore, M. Green building incentives: A review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2016, 59, 1611–1621.
[30] Owusu, E. K.; Chan, A. P. C.; Shan, M. Causal Factors of Corruption in Construction Project Management: An Overview. Sci. Eng. Ethics 2017, 1–31. PubMed
[31] Patlitzianas, K. D.; Christos, K. Sustainable energy investments in Hellenic urban areas: Examining modern financial mechanisms. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2011, 15, 5186–5193.
[32] Polzin, F. Mobilizing private finance for low - carbon innovation—A systematic review of barriers and solutions. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2017, 77, 525–535.
[33] Rajendran, S.; Gambatese, J. A.; Behm, M. G. Impact of Green Building Design and Construction on Worker Safety and Health. J. Constr. Eng. Manag.2009, 135, 1058–1066.
[34] Siamanta, Z. C. Building a green economy of low carbon: The Greek post - crisis experience of photovoltaics and financial 'green grabbing'. J. Political Ecol.2017, 24, 258–276.
[35] Siew, R. Y. Alternative framework for assessing sustainable building funds: Green Building Fund. Build. Res. Inf.2015, 43, 160–169.
[36] Siew, R. Y. J.; Balatbat, M. C. A.; Carmichael, D. G. A review of building/infrastructure sustainability reporting tools (SRTs). Smart Sustain. Built Environ.2013, 2, 106–139.
[37] Soundarrajan, P.; Vivek, N. Green finance for sustainable green economic growth in India. Agric. Econ. (Zemed. Ekon.) 2016, 62, 35–44.
[38] Streimikiene, D. Review of financial support from EU Structural Funds to sustainable energy in Baltic States. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2016, 58, 1027–1038.
[39] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Energy and Cities: Sustainable Building and Construction. Available online: http: //www.unep. or. jp/ietc/focus/EnergyCities1. asp (accessed on 15 December 2017).
[40] Von Paumgartten, P. The business case for high performance green buildings: Sustainability and its financial impact. J. Facil. Manag.2003, 2, 26–34.
[41] Wong, J. K. W.; Zhou, J. Enhancing environmental sustainability over building life cycles through green BIM: A review. Autom. Constr.2015, 57, 156–165.
[42] Wu, P.; Low, S. P. Project Management and Green Buildings: Lessons from the Rating Systems. J. Prof. Issues Eng. Educ. Pract.2010, 136, 64–70.
[43] Wu, P.; Xia, B.; Pienaar, J.; Zhao, X. The past, present and future of carbon labelling for construction materials—A review. Build. Environ.2014, 77, 160–168.
[44] Wu, P.; Xia, B.; Zhao, X. The importance of use and end - of - life phases to the life cycle greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of concrete—A review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2014, 37, 360–369.
[45] Wu, W.; Issa Raja, R. A. BIM Execution Planning in Green Building Projects: LEED as a Use Case. J. Manag. Eng.2015, 31, A4014007.
[46] Yamaguchi, R.; Managi, S. New Financing for Sustainable Development: The Case for NNP - or Inclusive Wealth - Linked Bonds. J. Environ. Dev.2017, 26, 214–239.
[47] Zhan, C. J.; de Jong, M. Financing Sino - Singapore Tianjin Eco - City: What Lessons Can Be Drawn for Other Large - Scale Sustainable City - Projects? Sustainability 2017, 9, 201.
[48] Zhao, X. A scientometric review of global BIM research: Analysis and visualization. Autom. Constr.2017, 80, 37–47.
[49] Zhu, L.; Shan, M.; Hwang, B. - G. Overview of Design for Maintainability in Building and Construction Research. J. Perform. Constr. Facil.2018, 32, 04017116.
[50] Zuo, J.; Zhao, Z. - Y. Green building research—Current status and future agenda: A review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.2014, 30, 271–281.