International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
SJIF (2022): 7.942

Sins of Human Memory

Pooja Desai

Academic Qualifications: LSE Graduate, Post Graduate in Foreign Trade Management, M. A. Economics
Profession: Teaching

Abstract: ***Human memory is inclined to mistakes and distortion. It has been recommended that memory's wrongdoings can be grouped into seven classes or 'sins'. This article examines the effect of media and innovation on four memory sins: transience (neglecting over the long run), absent-mindedness (slips in consideration that produce neglecting), misattribution (crediting a memory to some unacceptable source), and suggestibility (embedded recollections). Developing worries have been communicated about the adverse consequence of media and innovation on memory. As for brevity, I audit research in regards to the effect of the Internet (ie, Google), GPS, and photos. Studies have reported debilitated memory following explicit errands on which individuals depend on media/innovation (e.g., unfortunate memory for a course in the wake of utilizing GPS), however have uncovered little proof for more extensive impedances (e.g., for the most part hindered memory in GPS clients). For obliviousness, there is solid proof that media performing various tasks is related with unfortunate memory for an objective undertaking (e.g., a lecture) in light of attentional failures, proposing proof that ongoing media performing multiple tasks could be related with more extensive memory issues, and arising proof that innovation can assist with diminishing specific sorts of distracted mistakes. There is clear evidence that manipulated pictures associate to false memories, rumors and fake news, but there is no evidence leading it to memory distortion. Further investigation of the effect of media and innovation on the memory sins is a productive pursuit for interdisciplinary examinations.***

Keywords: Human Memory, Sins of human memory, Human Mistakes

1. Introduction

Endeavoring to comprehend the idea of memory has for some time been important to researchers working in a wide scope of disciplines including brain research, neuroscience, reasoning, software engineering, history, regulation, social science, and others. Memory concentrates inside every one of these disciplines stay lively, yet interdisciplinary ways to deal with memory have likewise demonstrated exceptionally productive.

In the current article, I center around a quality of human memory that has been recognized and investigated across an expansive scope of disciplines: human memory is certainly not an ideal record of involvement, however rather is inclined to different sorts of blunders, slips, and contortions. Inside trial brain science, this consciousness of memory mistakes dates to the spearheading investigations of Ebbinghaus (***Ebbinghaus, 1964)*** who delivered the main efficient proof of a neglecting bend, and is maybe generally broadly connected with the exploration of Bartlett (***Bartlett, 1932)*** who recorded hypothetically uncovering memory contortions in his investigations of how individuals recollect stories.

The seven sins are pertinent to investigations of memory and media on the grounds that a noticeable subject in conversations of how the Internet and related types of media or innovation impact memory is that they are having a profoundly adverse consequence. This viewpoint is reflected in titles of late famous press and online articles, for example, 'How the Internet is demolishing your memory' (***Peterson, 2015),*** 'Stand by, what? New examination says web use is killing your memory' (***Robitzski, 2019),*** and 'Is innovation annihilating our memory?*' **(Stuart, 2019).*** The Center for Humane Technology upholds a 'Record of Harms' that gives connects to investigate concerning costs related with media and innovation stages, including 'Loss of vital capacities including memory and concentration's.

In this article, I will initially talk about the effect of different types of innovation and media on transience and endeavor to draw a few qualifications that I accept can be helpful in refining our conceptualization of the idea of that effect. Then, I will stretch out this conversation to the wrongdoing of obliviousness. Inattentive memory blunders result from a breakdown at the point of interaction of consideration and memory; large numbers of these mistakes happen in light of the fact that we become engrossed with task-irrelevant worries, or potentially come up short on recovery sign or update that would set off the planned activity. From one viewpoint, exercises, for example, media performing multiple tasks could expand the frequency of distracted mistakes (***Uncapher and Wagner, 2018***) and on different, individuals can involve innovation as a wellspring of recovery signs to decrease the rate of oblivious blunders, frequently alluded to these days as mental offloading (***Risko and Gilbert, 2016).*** I will think about the two sides of the issue. At long last, I will likewise momentarily talk about what media and innovation can mean for the twisting related sins of misattribution and suggestibility.

2. Transience

Internet and Computer effects

Sparrow et al (***Sparrow, Liu and Wegner, 2011)*** detailed perhaps the earliest review to highlight a hindering impact of Internet use on memory, and their outcomes have been unmistakably referred to both in the logical writing and in a portion of the well known press articles that raise alerts with respect to the adverse consequence of the Internet on memory. Sparrow et al revealed that when given a troublesome general data question (e.g., What nation has a public banner that isn't rectangular?), individuals consequently consider PCs and the Internet. Their proof for this determination came from a cunning change of the notable Stroop task, in which individuals are more slow to name the shade of a printed word when the variety is

incongruent with the printed word (e.g., the word 'Green' imprinted in blue) than when it is compatible (e.g., the word 'Blue' imprinted in blue). Sparrow et al revealed that members were more slow to name the shade of PC related words (e.g., Google, Internet) than non-PC related words (e.g., Nike, Yoplait). They recommended that this impedance with variety naming shows that individuals naturally consider PCs and the Internet when given troublesome general information questions as opposed to looking through their recollections. Besides, they found that members showed more unfortunate memory for random data things that they composed into a PC when they were informed that the PC would save this data than when they were told that the PC would erase it. Be that as it may, members did regularly recollect where they saved the responses (ie, which envelope) in any event, when they neglected to review the actual data.

These discoveries raised the likelihood that programmed dependence on PCs and the Internet is adversely affecting memory. Nonetheless, it was (***Marsh and Rajaram, 2019***) noticed that they neglected to find a similar sort of memory-impeding impacts seen by Sparrow et al.

Besides, (***Storm and Stone, 2015)*** revealed three trials showing a gainful impact of depending on a PC to store data: when members saved a pdf that contained a word rundown to the PC as opposed to endeavoring to retain the actual words, they later reviewed additional things from an alternate rundown of words in a moment pdf introduced soon after the first pdf. This saving-upgraded memory was not seen when members were told that the saving system 'was possibly untrustworthy and that occasionally they could save a record on the PC just to later find it inaccessible for restudy. The saving-upgraded benefit likewise neglected to arise when the saved data didn't comprise a critical memory trouble-e.g., a two-word list; for related research (***Giebl, Mena, Storm, Bjork and Bjork, 2020).***

Taken together, these examinations uncover a blended example of results concerning maintenance of data over the long haul that incorporates PC related hindrances, improvements, and invalid impacts. What appears to be clear, in any case, is that the surviving information don't uphold extensive cases that the Internet or PCs are 'killing' or 'demolishing' memory.

GPS effects

The effect of innovation on memory has likewise been evaluated in examinations worried about the impacts of GPS and comparative navigational guides. Social examinations have shown that dependence on a navigational guide debilitates memory for the course in a virtual route task ***(Gardony et al, 2015).*** Extra proof comes from an extract by Javadi et al ***(Javadi, 2017).*** Preceding checking, members visited the Soho area of London and found out about its spatial format. During examination on the next day, members were shown a shot reproduction of Soho and were made to take part in two kinds of spatial route errands: one in which they needed to depend on their recollections from the earlier day to arrange for how they would explore, and one in which they adhered to route directions given by the experimenters, like exploring in view of GPS. In the condition that necessitated memory-based route, there was expanded action in the hippocampus, which various past examinations have connected to memory and spatial route, and furthermore in a piece of the prefrontal cortex that had been embroiled in spatial preparation by prior work. Conversely, these increments were not seen when members depended on experimenter-gave route guidelines that worked as a GPS.

Since some past exploration has connected hippocampal volume with the dynamic utilization of spatial route and memory capacities (***Weisberg, Newcombe and Chatterjee, 2019***), the outcomes detailed by Javadi et al (***Javadi, 2017)*** are reliable with social discoveries that depending on GPS adversely influences memory of an explored course, and raise the likelihood that it would adversely influence spatial memory all the more for the most part. More straightforward proof on this point comes from a concentrate by Dahmani and Bohbot (***Dahmani and Bohbot, 2020),*** who analyzed spatial memory and route execution as a component of lifetime GPS experience, as surveyed by a poll, in an example of 50 drivers. On two different virtual labyrinth errands that necessary spatial learning without GPS, they found that people with more prominent announced lifetime GPS experience showed weakened spatial route and memory contrasted with people with less lifetime GPS experience. While such a finding could show a hindering impact of GPS use on spatial memory, it could likewise be that people who depend more on GPS do so in light of the fact that they have poor spatial route and memory abilities. Be that as it may, Dahmani and Bohbot neglected to find any connection between GPS use and self-detailed internal compass, and they likewise announced impacts of GPS use on spatial memory even subsequent to representing the impacts of emotional ability to know east from west. Furthermore, Dahmani and Bohbot played out a subsequent evaluation three years after beginning testing with a subset of 13 members, and observed that more dependence on GPS since starting testing was related with a more extreme decrease in spatial memory at follow-up. Subsequently, the aftereffects of Dahmani and Bohbot offer some exact help for the possibility that dependence on GPS can debilitate spatial memory.

Photo-related effects

A third region wherein issues connected with the impacts of innovation on fleetingness have arisen concerns the effect of photos on memory. Considering that web-based stages, for example, Facebook and Instagram are invaded with photographs, questions concerning what taking and investigating photos mean for memory are opportune and getting expanding consideration. All the more as of late, advantages of photograph audit on ensuing memory have been archived in examinations in which sound and mind harmed members are furnished with wearable cameras, for example, SenseCam, which permit individuals to record and later survey ordinary encounters (***Khachatoorian et al, 2021),*** Nonetheless, the advantages of photograph survey can be joined by an expense. For instance, in a concentrate by Koutstaal et al (***Koutstaal et al, 1999***), in the wake of doing basic activities in the lab (e.g., beating a nail into a block of wood), members explored photographs of a portion of the activities, which helped review of those activities on a

later test. In any case, review of non-surveyed activities on that equivalent test was diminished contrasted with a condition wherein members evaluated no photos, recommending that particular audit of photos can impede memory for non-explored content. There has been proof delineating the advantages and expenses of checking on and altering photographs on memory (***Henkel and Milliken, 2020)***

Specialists have likewise started to examine what the demonstration of snapping a picture means for memory for the shot occasion. Henkel (***Henkel, 2014***) detailed a concentrate in which members visited a gallery and were told to take photographs of certain items and essentially check others out. At the point when they had snapped a picture of an item during the visit, members recalled less items and their areas on a later memory test than when they had quite recently checked an item out. Comparative outcomes were accounted for by Tamir et al (***Tamir, Templeton, Ward and Zaki, 2018)*** in a review where members visited a congregation; a few members had cameras and were told to take as numerous photographs as they needed, while others visited the congregation without a camera and took photographs. Members who took photographs reviewed less subtleties of the visit seven days after the fact contrasted and members who didn't.

One chance is that these disabling impacts of taking photographs on later memory are inferable from mental offloading (***Risko and Gilbert, 2016),*** where the members depend on the photograph as a sort of outer memory and consequently do negligible memory-related handling. Proof against this thought was gotten in a concentrate by Soares and Storm (***Soares and Storm, 2018***) in which members went on a virtual exhibition hall visit: they found an impeding impact of taking photographs on later memory in a condition where members erased their photographs not long after taking them. These discoveries raise the likelihood that, as opposed to mental offloading, the exercises wherein individuals draw in while snapping a picture, like tracking down the best point or lighting conditions for snapping the picture, could decrease encoding of the item or occasion and in this way hinder resulting memory. In any case, other proof demonstrates that debilitated memory is definitely not an essential outcome of snapping a picture of an occasion: Henkel (***Henkel, 2014)*** detailed that the photograph impedance impact was not seen when members were told to focus in on a particular piece of the captured object. Likewise, (***Barasch et al, 2017)*** revealed a photograph improvement impact on ensuing memory for visual parts of a gallery visit when members had the option to choose for themselves when to snap a picture. Taken together, the arising proof does not uphold the straightforward determination that snapping a picture essentially disables memory for the shot item or occasion, yet rather lays out a more intricate picture, showing that consequences for memory rely upon such factors as a singular's objectives while snapping a picture and what parts of memory for an occasion are tried.

3. Summary and Conceptual Distinctions

To sum up, then, there is some proof from every one of the three regions audited (Internet, GPS, and photographs) that these types of innovation can hinder the capacity to hold recollections over the long haul, however questions remain concerning the unwavering quality of a portion of the proof (i.e., Internet and PC impacts), and there are likewise information from research on PC impacts and photographs that feature conditions under which parts of memory might be improved.

At a more broad reasonable level, nonetheless, I accept that conversations in regards with the impacts of the Internet, GPS, and photographs on memory are tangled by an inability to determine precisely exact thing is implied when claims, for example, 'Web use is killing your memory' are made. In particular, I recommend that it is vital to recognize among somewhere around three distinct manners by which innovation or media could weaken memory.

Absent-Mindedness

Distracted memory disappointments happen when there is a breakdown at the connection point of consideration and memory, frequently bringing about such irritating regular memory mistakes as forgetting where we set our keys, or that we had planned a meeting with a companion. Such a breakdown can happen either at the encoding or recovery phases of memory. The inquiry tended to this is whether or the way media and innovation influence these signs of preoccupied mistakes.

Mind Wandering and Media Multitasking

Mental examinations have long settled that separating consideration during encoding, commonly by expecting members to play out a consideration requesting task as well as encoding objective data (eg, a rundown of words), seriously debilitates a singular's capacity to shape another memory. Also, there is proof that partitioned consideration adds to hindered memory for a course following GPS use. A developing number of review meaningfully affect memory as an outcome of unconstrained movements of consideration during encoding that happen because of psyche meandering – i.e., when individuals center around contemplations that are inconsequential to the essential main job (***Seli, Risko, Smilek and Schacter2016***). Mind meandering is especially pertinent to instructive settings, as various examinations have exhibited that it happens regularly during both study hall and online talks, and that taking part as a primary concern meandering can disable maintenance of talk content (***Schacter and Szpunar, 2015).*** Of specific significance to this article, adverse consequences on memory have likewise been seen as a result of media performing various tasks, ie, redirecting consideration during a talk by utilizing a cell phone or PC.

A developing number of studies have inspected the connection between constant media performing multiple tasks and memory execution, and the general finding is that more elevated levels of media performing multiple tasks are frequently, however not dependably, related with less fortunate memory execution on an assortment of errands-much under task conditions in which members don't

participate in media performing various tasks ***(Uncapher and Wagner, 2018).*** As talked about by Uncapher and Wagner these impacts are no doubt inferable from slips of consideration that diminish memory execution. A new report by Madore et al (***Madore, 2020***) gives solid proof on this point. They detailed that attentional breaches during the recovery period of a research center memory task, uncovered by proportions of understudy width and mind electrical movement that have been dependably connected with transient omissions of consideration, were related with less fortunate memory execution on the recovery task. Besides, reactions on a media performing multiple tasks survey uncovered that more elevated levels of self-revealed media performing various tasks were related with expanded attentional slips, prompting more terrible memory execution during the recovery task.

The discoveries of Madore et al (***Madore, 2020***) and those of past investigations that have connected elevated degrees of media performing multiple tasks with unfortunate memory execution raise the likelihood that constant dependence on media performing various tasks causes weakened memory. Considering that these memory deficiencies have been seen during errands on which individuals are not participating in media performing various tasks, the information further recommend a potential space general memory debilitation. In any case, the surviving information are correlational, and in this way don't permit us to decide absolutely whether media performing multiple tasks causes attentional disappointments that outcome in memory hindrances, or whether people who begin with unfortunate consideration and memory capacities are particularly inclined to participating in media performing various tasks. Figuring out these two prospects is a significant issue to be tended to by future exploration. It will likewise be vital to survey whether various types of media performing multiple tasks are pretty much prone to prompt inattentive memory disappointments (***Baumgartner and Wiradhany, 2021).***

Prospective Memory and Cognitive Offloading

While worries that media performing various tasks could increment attentional disappointments that produce oblivious memory blunders are legitimate, it is additionally essential to take note of that preoccupied mistakes can be diminished considerably by dependence on outer memory helps (ie, mental offloading), and that cutting edge media and innovation offer powerful approaches to conveying such guides. For instance, research on imminent memory-making sure to complete goals later on-has shown that inattentive memory mistakes frequently happen when signs to do an expected activity are absent at the time a future activity should be executed (***McDaniel and Einstein, 2007***). Making and depending on outside updates fundamentally further developed execution in a naturalistic forthcoming memory task (***Gilbert Reference Gilbert, 2015).*** A new meta-examination of imminent memory concentrates on in moderately aged and more seasoned grown-ups uncovered in general certain results for outside memory helps with upgrading planned memory (***Jones, Benge and Scullin, 2021).***

An especially influencing illustration of a horrendous oblivious memory disappointment that can be cured by outside memory helps, including some made conceivable by present day innovation, concerns a peculiarity that happens consistently every mid-year: good natured guardians fail to remember that they have left their newborn child in a hot vehicle, bringing about the passing of the baby. These sad cases ordinarily result from a sad juncture of conditions that plot to deliver an apparently unthinkable sort of oblivious neglecting, incorporating retention with individual worries, dependence on programmed conduct, and-most basically-absence of a recovery prompt right now it is expected to remind a parent that their baby is in the secondary lounge (***Weingarten, 2009***). In any case, compelling outer update frameworks utilizing present day innovation have been fostered that can convey the missing recovery prompt. For instance, a gadget called the Elepho eClip can be joined to a kid's vehicle seat and sends both visual and hear-able cautions to cell phones to remind drivers that there is a child in the vehicle (***Baldwin, 2019).***

The fundamental important point from this short outline of outside updates is that innovation can be utilized to assist with conquering the irritating and at times risky outcomes of obliviousness. Consequently, while there are genuine worries with respect to the adverse consequence of exercises, for example, media performing multiple tasks on memory disappointments that outcome from attentional slips, these worries ought to be adjusted by the acknowledgment that cell phones and related gadgets that add to media performing multiple tasks can likewise assume a positive part in fighting preoccupied neglecting.

Misattribution and Suggestibility

In the seven sins structure, misattribution (crediting a memory or thought to some unacceptable source) and suggestibility (embedded recollections that outcome from idea or falsehood) are firmly related on the grounds that memory mutilations that outcome from idea generally include a misattribution of some sort. Here I will momentarily think about two related wellsprings of media or innovation related idea or deception that can bring about misattribution: deluding photos and counterfeit news flowed via online entertainment (***Clinch et al, 2021)***

In one of the early examinations on photographs and memory from lab, (***Schacter et al, 1997)*** had youthful grown-ups (on normal 18-19 years of age) and more established grown-ups (on normal in their late sixties) watch a recorded succession of activities, and afterward view 'genuine photos' (ie, photographs of activities they had seen before in the tape) and 'misleading photos' (ie, photographs of novel activities from a comparable tape that they had not seen before). On a later test, more established however not more youthful grown-ups were bound to dishonestly recall that a clever activity had happened in the tape in the wake of surveying a photograph that portrayed the activity.

Ensuing exploration utilizing an assortment of exploratory methodology has uncovered that photos can assist with making proposed bogus recollections in youthful grown-ups, as well. In a few examinations, youthful grown-ups saw controlled photos portraying occasions that had not really

happened in the members' pasts, along with photographs portraying occasions that had truly happened. Subsequent to endeavoring to review the portrayed occasions in a few meetings, a critical extent of members professed to recall the misleading occasions (***Garry and Wade, 2005***).

In some other tests (***St Jacques and Schacter2013),*** members visited a gallery furnished with a wearable camera that took photographs of items experienced during explicit stops on the visit. On a later test, members were bound to dishonestly recollect a clever photograph of an item they had not seen on the visit after they saw a few photographs of related objects that precisely paired either the transient succession or visual viewpoint in which those items showed up during the visit, contrasted and when they saw photographs of items that didn't match either the recently seen fleeting grouping or visual point of view. The matching photographs were thought to all the more firmly reactivate the visit setting, hence adding to a misguided feeling of having recently seen the clever item.

These discoveries are applicable to contemporary worries about the effect of phony news on convictions and recollections, which have risen emphatically since the 2016 Presidential political race in the United States, both in open conversations and in mental examination (***Pennycook and Rand, 2021***). Will counterfeit news photographs or titles lead to recommended recollections of occasions that didn't really occur? (***Strange, Garry, Bernstein and Lindsay, 2011)*** showed that when photos were matched with counterfeit news titles, members were thusly bound to erroneously recall the phony news occasion than when no photographs went with the title. A new report by (***Murphy et al, 2019)*** gives further indisputable proof. Seven days before a questionable May 2018 mandate that brought about the cancelation of the eighth amendment to the constitution of Ireland, which safeguards the right to life of the unborn and is answerable for profoundly prohibitive fetus removal regulations, Murphy et al managed a web-based study to north of 3000 grown-ups. The review included photographs and titles of four valid and two phony new stories connected with either the 'yes' crusade, which leaned toward canceling the eighth amendment, or to the 'no' crusade, which needed to safeguard it. There were two variants of every one of the phony reports, one that pondered adversely the 'yes' side and another that thought about adversely the 'no' side. Murphy et al examined whether members recollected the occasions, and they found that almost 50% of the members professed to recall the occasion portrayed in something like one of the phony photographs/titles. Besides, a higher level of 'yes' allies revealed a bogus memory for counterfeit news about the 'no' side, and the inverse was noticed for 'no' allies. As well as giving proof to a proposed bogus memory of phony news prompted by a title and photograph that could conceivably be experienced on the Internet, this last option finding likewise shows the impact of one more memory sin: inclination, where memory is slanted by prior convictions, information, and affections.

4. Conclusion

In this article, I have examined the nature and degree of the effect of media and innovation on transience, obliviousness, misattribution, and suggestibility. The proof shows that the nature and degree of this effect relies upon both the kind of media or innovation that individual's use, and the particular memory process viable. In addition, a few positive effects have likewise been recorded, for example, a few parts of photograph survey for decreasing brevity and innovation helped offloading for distractedness. Accordingly, we ought to move toward wide cases about the harming impacts of innovation and media on memory with alert. My methodology has been directed by the seven sins system for arranging memory mistakes, which is established principally in mental brain science and mental neuroscience. In any case, I anticipate future conversations of these issues according to the point of view of the numerous different disciplines that have a lot to offer concerning both the hypothetical and cultural ramifications of media and innovation effects on neglecting and distortions in human memory.

References

[1] Baldwin, D (2019) Life-saving car seat alarms that remind parents there's a baby in the back seat. Available at https: //www.fatherly. com/gear/best-car-seat-alarms/.
[2] Barasch, A, Diehl, K, Silverman, J and Zauberman, G (2017) Photographic memory: The effects of volitional photo taking on memory for visual and auditory aspects of an experience. Psychological Science 28, 1056–1066. https: //doi. org/10.1177/0956797617694868. Google ScholarPubMed
[3] Bartlett, FC (1932) Remembering: A Study in Experimental and Social Psychology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Google Scholar
[4] Baumgartner, SE and Wiradhany, W (2021) Not all media multitasking is the same: The frequency of media multitasking depends on cognitive and affective characteristics of media combinations. Psychology of Popular Media. https: //doi. org/10.1037/ppm0000338. Google Scholar
[5] Clinch, S, Mack, CC, Ward, G and Steeds, M (2021) Technology-mediated memory impairment. In Dingler, T and Niforatos, E (eds), Technology-Augmented Perception and Cognition. Switzerland: Springer Nature, 71–124. https: //doi. org/10.1007/978-3-030-30457-7_4. Google Scholar
[6] Coughlan, S (2015) Digital dependence 'eroding human memory'. BBC News. Available at https: //www.bbc. com/news/education-34454264.
[7] Dahmani, L and Bohbot, VD (2020) Habitual use of GPS negatively impacts spatial memory during self-guided navigation. Scientific Reports, 10, Article number: 6310. https: //doi. org/10.1038/s41598-020-62877-0Google ScholarPubMed
[8] Ebbinghaus, H (1964 [1885]) Über das Gedächtnis [Memory]. Leipzig, Germany: Duncker and Humblot. [English translation, Dover Press, 1964]. Google Scholar
[9] Gardony, AL, Brunyé, TT and Taylor, HA (2015) Navigational aids and spatial memory impairment: The role of divided attention. Spatial Cognition &

Computation 15, 246–284. https: //doi. org/10.1080/13875868.2015.1059432Google Scholar
[10] Garry, M and Wade, KA (2005) Actually, a picture is worth less than 45 words: Narratives produce more false memories than photographs. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review 12, 359–366. https: //doi. org/10.3758/BF03196385. Google ScholarPubMed
[11] Giebl, S, Mena, S, Storm, BC, Bjork, EL and Bjork, RA (2020) Answer first or Google first? Using the Internet in ways that enhance, not impair, one's subsequent retention of needed information. Psychology Learning & Teaching 20, 58–75. https: //doi. org/10.1177/1475725720961593Google Scholar
[12] Gilbert, SJ (2015) Strategic offloading of delayed intentions into the external environment. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology 68, 971–992. https: //doi. org/10.1080/17470218.2014.972963. Google ScholarPubMed
[13] Henkel, LA (2014) Point-and-shoot memories: The influence of taking photos on memory for a museum tour. Psychological Science 25, 396–402. https: //doi. org/10.1177/0956797613504438Google ScholarPubMed
[14] Henkel, LA and Milliken, A (2020) The benefits and costs of editing and reviewing photos of one's experiences on subsequent memory. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition 9, 480–494. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. jarmac.2020.07.002. Google Scholar
[15] Javadi, A-H, et al. (2017) Hippocampal and prefrontal processing of network topology to simulate the future. Nature Communications 8, 14652. https: //doi. org/10.1038/ncomms14652. Google ScholarPubMed
[16] Jones, WE, Benge, JF and Scullin, MK (2021) Preserving prospective memory in daily life: A systematic review and meta-analysis of mnemonic strategy, cognitive training, external memory aid, and combination interventions. Neuropsychology 35, 123–140. https: //doi. org/10.1037/neu0000704. Google ScholarPubMed
[17] Khachatoorian, N, Loveday, C, Dima, D, Illingworth, S, Conway, MA and Hanschel, C (2021) A behavioural and ERP investigation of wearable camera photo review in autobiographical memory. Memory 29, 224–233. https: //doi: 10.1080/09658211.2021.1880601. Google ScholarPubMed
[18] Koutstaal, W, Schacter, DL, Johnson, MK and Galluccio, L (1999) Facilitation and impairment of event memory produced by photograph review. Memory & Cognition 27, 478-493. https: //doi. org/10.3758/BF03211542. Google ScholarPubMed
[19] Madore, KP, et al. (2020) Memory failure predicted by attention lapsing and media multitasking. Nature 587, 87–91. https: //doi. org/10.1038/s41586-020-2870-z. Google ScholarPubMed
[20] Marsh, EJ and Rajaram, S (2019) The digital expression of the mind: Implications of Internet usage for memory and cognition. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition 8, 1–14. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. jarmac.2018.11.001. Google Scholar
[21] McDaniel, MA and Einstein, GO (2007) Prospective Memory: An Overview and Synthesis. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications. Google Scholar
[22] Murphy, G, Loftus, EF, Grady, RH, Levine, LJ and Greene, CM (2019) False memories for fake news during Ireland's abortion referendum. Psychological Science 30, 1449–1459. https: //doi. org/10.1177/0956797619864887. Google ScholarPubMed
[23] Pennycook, G and Rand, DG (2021) The psychology of fake news. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 25, 388–402. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. tics.2021.02.007. Google ScholarPubMed
[24] Peterson, A (2015). How the Internet is ruining your memory. The Washington Post. Available at https: //www.washingtonpost. com/news/the-switch/wp/2015/07/01/how-the-internet-is-ruining-your-memory/.
[25] Risko, EF and Gilbert, SJ (2016) Cognitive offloading. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 20, 676–688. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. tics.2016.07.002. Google ScholarPubMed
[26] Risko, EF, Anderson, N, Sarwal, A, Engelhardt, M and Kingstone, A (2012) Everyday attention: Variation in mind wandering and memory in a lecture. Applied Cognitive Psychology 26, 234–242. https: //doi. org/10.1002/acp.1814. Google Scholar
[27] Robitzski, D (2019) Wait, what? New research says Internet use is killing our brains. The Byte. Available at https: //futurism. com/the-byte/internet-killing-memory.
[28] Schacter, DL and Szpunar, KK (2015) Enhancing attention and memory during video-recorded lectures. Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology 1, 60–71. https: //doi. org/10.1037/stl0000011. Google Scholar
[29] Schacter, DL, Koutstaal, W, Johnson, MK, Gross, M and Angell, KA (1997) False recollection induced via photographs: A comparison of older and younger adults. Psychology and Aging 12, 203–215.
[30] Seli, P, Risko, EF, Smilek, D and Schacter, DL (2016) Mind-wandering with and without intention. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 20, 605 617.
[31] Soares, JS and Storm, BC (2018) Forget in a flash: A further investigation of the photo-taking-impairment effect. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition 7, 154–160. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. jarmac.2017.10.004. Google Scholar
[32] Sparrow, B, Liu, J and Wegner, DM (2011) Google effects on memory: Cognitive consequences of having information at our fingertips. Science 333, 776–778. doi: 10.1126/science.1207745. Google ScholarPubMed
[33] St Jacques, PL and Schacter, DL (2013) Modifying memory: Selectively enhancing and updating personal memories for a museum tour by reactivating them. Psychological Science 24, 537–543. https: //doi. org/10.1177/0956797612457377. Google Scholar
[34] Storm, BC and Stone, SM (2015) Saving enhanced memory: The benefits of saving on the learning and remembering of new information. Psychological Science 26, 182–188. https: //doi.

org/10.1177/0956797614559285. Google ScholarPubMed

[35] Strange, D, Garry, M, Bernstein, D and Lindsay, DS (2011) Photographs cause false memories for the news. Acta Psychologica 136, 90–94. doi: 10.1016/j. actpsy.2010.10.006. Google ScholarPubMed

[36] Stuart, SC (2019). Is technology destroying our memory? PC Magazine. Available at https: //www.pcmag. com/news/is-technology-destroying-our-memory.

[37] Tamir, DI, Templeton, EM, Ward, AF and Zaki, J (2018) Media usage diminishes memory for experiences. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology 76, 161–168. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. jesp.2018.01.006. Google Scholar

[38] Uncapher, MR and Wagner, AD (2018) Minds and brains of media multitaskers: Current findings and future directions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 40, 9889–9896. https: //doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1611612115. Google Scholar

[39] Weingarten, G (2009). Fatal distraction. Washington Post. Available at https: //www.washingtonpost. com/lifestyle/magazine/fatal-distraction-forgetting-a-child-in-thebackseat-of-a-car-is-a-horrifying-mistake-is-it-a-crime/2014/06/16/8ae0fe3a-f580-11e3-a3a5-42be35962a52_story. html?noredirect=on.

[40] Weisberg, SM, Newcombe, NS and Chatterjee, A (2019) Everyday taxi drivers: Do better navigators have larger hippocampi? Cortex 115, 280 293. https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. cortex.2018.12.024. Google ScholarPubMed