Induced Chemical Mutagenesis for the Improvement of Yield Attributing Traits and their Correlation Analysis for M1 Generation of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

Ramreddy Sindhuja Reddy¹, Gabrial M. Lal²

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom university of agriculture technology and science, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India - 21007

Abstract: Four widely adopted Chickpea genotypes SABOUR CHANA - 1, BRC - 5, BRC - 100 - 84, IPC 2012 - 49 were induced by chemical mutagen with different concentration (0.01%, 0.02%, 0.04%, 0.06%, 0.08% with SA) of sodium azide (NaN_3) and soaked with the above mentioned treatments for about 6hrs at the post graduate laboratory and were sown at field experimentation center, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, U. P (Rabi - 2019). For study of micro - mutation, randomly selected fifteen plants were selected from each concentration/treatment lines were selected and threshed separately. A trail laid in randomized block design with three replications. In the present study, the treatments showed that maximum GCV and PCV for seed yield per plant (54.94 & 52.964), biological yield (39.10 & 40.2), number of effective pods per plant (30.793 & 31.70) in M_1 generation. Heritability and genetic advance were recorded maximum for number of pods per plant (94.40% and 16.01%) and number of effective pods per plant (91.6% and 14.77%). High heritability coupled with genetic advance was recorded for seed yield per plant (98.2% and 96.18%) followed by biological yield (95.40% and 78.68%) in M_1 generation. Seed yield was positively correlated with days to 50% flowering, seed index, biological yield and harvest index at the both genotypic and phenotypic levels in M_1 generation.

Keywords: Mutagen, Sodium azide, GCV, PCV, Heritability, Genetic advance, Correlation coefficient

1. Introduction

Pulses can improve the overall nutritional value of cereals based diet in developing countries like India. Chickpea (Cicerarietinum L.) is also known as Bengalgram, Gram, Chana or Garbanzo bean. It is a self - pollinated diploid (2n=16) annual grain legume that belongs to the family Fabaceae, subfamily Faboideae. It originated in south eastern Turkey. The kabuli type chickpea is believed to have developed from desi type chickpea through natural mutation and selection (Moreno and Cubero, 1978; Giland Cubero, 1993). Mutation breeding has become a proven way of creating variation within a crop variety and offers the possibility of inducing desired attributes that either cannot be found in nature or have been lost during evolution (Novak and Brunner, 1992). To enhance the mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of sodium azide and especially the metabolite, more knowledge about the effect of time, pH value, temperature, seed soaking and various concentrations are required (Khanetal., 2009). Mutation breeding in India has yielded considerable dividends both in enhancing our knowledge on various mutagenes is processes relevant to crop improvement and for developing improved varieties. The present study was aimed to assess variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation coefficients for finding the optimal selection criteria to improve the seed yield of the chickpea

2. Materials and methods

The present investigation was carried out at the yield experimentation centre, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Allahabad, U. P. (India) during rabi, 2019. The experimental materials consist of 24 genotypes (04 chickpea genotypes, 5 concentrations and 1control). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The genotypes were sown by hand dibbling in each plot by imposing randomization in each replication. The spacing of 30cm between rows and 10cm between plants. Observations were recorded in each plot and replication by taking five plants randomly for 11 qualitative characters viz, number of days to maturity, number of days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant, biological yield, seed index, harvest index and seed yield per plant. Laboratory observations were germination percentage, root length, shoot length, seed dry weight, seed moisture weight, seedling length, seed vigor index I, seed vigor index II and LD 50. The statistical analysis was carried out for different experiment separately per standard statistical procedure. The concept of correlation was first put forward by Galton (1889) and later elaborated by Fisher (1918) and Wright (1921). The data obtained for each character in F1's and parents were analyzed for each statistical procedure given by Panse and Sukhtame (1967).

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 showed Analysis of variance for various quantitative characters revealed that the mean sum of squares due to genotypes showed high significant differences for all characters underdaysto50% flowering, days to maturity, number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant, seed index, biological yield, harvest index and seed

Volume 10 Issue 9, September 2021

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2020): 7.803

yield per plant study at 1% level and 5% level except for plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant where it is significant at 5% level.

Genetic parameters of yield and their components are given in table 2 in the present study seed yield per plant showed highest GCV (52.495) and PCV (52.964) were as days to maturity showed lowest GCV (1.527) and PCV (3.343). Heritability ranged highest for seed yield per plant (98.2) while lowest is ranged for days to maturity (20.900). genetic advance ranged highest for number of effective pods per plant (16.012), number of pods per plant (14.779) and harvest index (10.918). genetic advance as mean present ranged highest for seed yield per plant (98.182) while lowest is ranged for days to maturity (1.436).

The genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient were composed among 11 characters in table 3 days to 50% flowering, primary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant, seed index, biological yield and harvest index. It has also been reported that the mutagenesis can weaken or strengthen the association between different agronomic traits (S. Khan et al., 2005, J. M. Shin et al., 2011). The increase in phenotypic and genotypic diversity in current crop using mutations can provide additional genetic markers for genetic enhancement and linkage studies. Correlation studies have become an important and useful tool in breeding to determine the selection criteria. Correlations studies in mutation breeding have already been reported by many workers (C. Toker et al., 2004, S. Khan et al., 2005).



LD₅₀ by Probit Analysis for four genotypes

Table 1: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) among 04 chickpea genotypes (5 concentrations and 1 control) for 11 quantitative traits

qualitative traits									
	Mean Sum of Squares								
Characters	Replications Treatments Error								
	(df=2)	(df=23)	(df=46)						
Days to 50% of Flowering	61.47	60.50**	33.04						
Days to Maturity	14.16	23.43**	13.08						
Plant Height (cm)	19.07	31.58*	12.68						
Number of Primary Branches per Plant	0.01	1.09*	0.04						
Number of Secondary Branches per Plant	0.04`	1.56*	0.07						
Number of Pods Per Plant	0.56	173.67**	5.14						
Number of Effective Pods Per Plant	1.28	195.91**	3.82						
Seed Index (gm)	2.23	57.33**	3.33						
Biological Yield (gm)	0.91	71.93**	1.13						
Harvest Index (%)	13.71	119.19**	10.49						
Seed Yield Per Plant (gm)	0.003	32.82**	0.19						

Table 2: Mean range, GCV, PCV, Heritability, Genetic

GCV	DOV	. 2		advance and Genetic advance as a % Mean										
001	PCV	h^2 %	GA	GAM										
4.207	9.033	21.700	2.903	4.036										
1.527	3.343	20.900	1.747	1.436										
5.839	10.137	33.200	2.978	6.929										
18.429	19.642	88.000	1.143	35.621										
15.597	16.762	86.600	1.350	29.896										
23.586	24.642	91.600	14.779	46.506										
30.793	31.700	94.400	16.012	61.619										
16.275	17.718	84.400	8.028	30.795										
39.102	40.027	95.400	9.777	78.687										
12.488	14.183	77.500	10.918	22.652										
52.495	52.964	98.2	6.734	98.182										
	1.527 5.839 18.429 15.597 23.586 30.793 16.275 39.102 12.488	1.527 3.343 5.839 10.137 18.429 19.642 15.597 16.762 23.586 24.642 30.793 31.700 16.275 17.718 39.102 40.027	1.527 3.343 20.900 5.839 10.137 33.200 18.429 19.642 88.000 15.597 16.762 86.600 23.586 24.642 91.600 30.793 31.700 94.400 16.275 17.718 84.400 39.102 40.027 95.400 12.488 14.183 77.500	1.527 3.343 20.900 1.747 5.839 10.137 33.200 2.978 18.429 19.642 88.000 1.143 15.597 16.762 86.600 1.350 23.586 24.642 91.600 14.779 30.793 31.700 94.400 16.012 16.275 17.718 84.400 8.028 39.102 40.027 95.400 9.777 12.488 14.183 77.500 10.918										

**1% level of significance

*5% level of significance

Tab	le 3: Est	imation	of	pheno	typic	and	genotyp	oic	correlatior	n coeffici	ent

		D (500/	D (DI (<u>ъ</u> .				C 1	D' 1 ' 1	TT /	C 1
		Days to 50%	Days to	Plant	Primary	Secondary	Pods/	Effective	Seed	Biological	Harvest	Seed
		flowering	maturity	height	branches	branches	plant	pods/plant	index	yield	index	yield
Days to 50%	Р	1	0.285*	- 0.106	0.121	- 0.102	0.523**	0.536**	0.18	0.399**	0.388**	0.379**
flowering	G	1	0.295*	- 0.191	0.178	- 0.208	0.671**	0.693**	0.203	0.503**	0.244*	0.455**
Days to	Р		1	0.016	0.278*	0.01	0.359**	0.414**	0.132	- 0.022	0.004	- 0.037
maturity	G		1	0.084	0.438**	0.083	0.455**	0.523**	0.137	- 0.015	- 0.003	- 0.044
Diant height	Р			1	- 0.054	0.045	0.089	0.052	0.055	0.186	0.343**	0.196
Plant height	G			1	- 0.097	- 0.213	0.108	0.144	- 0.016	0.005	0.316**	0.087

Volume 10 Issue 9, September 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2020): 7.803

Primary	P1		1	- 0.085	0.298^{*}	0.380^{**}	0.188	0.207	0.2	0.193
branches	G		1	- 0.065	0.557**	0.651**	0.396**	0.432**	0.226	0.386**
Secondary	Р			1	- 0.036	- 0.073	- 0.231	- 0.237*	- 0.573**	- 0.261*
branches	G			1	0.147	0.128	- 0.622**	- 0.994**	- 0.548**	- 0.283*
Do do/plant	Р				1	0.947**	- 0.039	0.207	0.023	0.272*
Pods/plant	G				1	0.991**	- 0.034	0.184	0.038	0.321*
Effective	Р					1	0.018	0.229	0.033	0.387**
pods/plant	G					1	0.052	0.224	0.053	0.462**
Seed index	Р						1	0.478^{**}	0.588^{**}	0.531**
Seed muex	G						1	0.629**	0.649**	0.624**
Biological	Р							1	0.801**	0.964**
yield	G							1	0.905**	0.935**
Howyoot in dow	Р								1	0.462**
Harvest index	G								1	0.535**
Seed yield	Р									1
	G									1

Genet.6 (2005) 155 - 160.

4. Conclusion

It is concluded that LD₅₀ determined for mutagen is important as doses to prove in effective and higher doses may cause lethality. Analysis of variance showed high significant differences for all characters.5% level of significance is shown for number of primary branches per plant and number of secondary branches per plant. All other characters shown 1% level of significance. Seed yield per plant showed highest GCV, PCV, heritability and genetic advance as mean present were as effective pods per plant shown highest genetic advance. Correlation coefficient analysis revealed that seed yield per plant exhibited positive and significant association with days to 50% flowering, primary branches, pods per plant, effective pods per plant, seed index, biological yield, biological yield and harvest index. Hence utmost importance should be given to these characters during selection for yield improvement in chickpea.

References

- [1] **C. Toker, M. I. Cagirgan**, Spectrum and frequency of induced mutations in chickpea, International Chickpea and Pigeonpea Newsletter.11 (2004) 8 10.
- [2] **Fisher, R. A. (1918).** The correlation between relative on the supposition of Mendelian Inheritance TranceRoyaleSoc., *Edinburg*, 52: 399 - 403.
- [3] Gil, J. and Cubero, J. L. (1993). Inheritance of seed coat thickness in chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.) and its evolutionary implications. *Plant Breeding*, 111: 257 260.
- [4] J. M. Shin et al., Mutation breeding of sweet potato by gamma - ray radiation, African Journal of Agricultural Research.6 (2011) 1447 - 1454
- [5] Khan, S. and Goyal, S. (2009). Improvement of mung bean varieties through induced mutations. *African journal Plant Science*, **3** (8): 174 180.
- [6] Moreno, M. T. and Cubero, J. I. (1978). Variation in *Cicer arietinum* L. *Euphytica.*27: 465 468.
- [7] Novak, F. J. and Brunner, H. (1992). Plant breeding: Induced mutation technology for crop improvement. *IAEA Bulletin*, 4: 25 - 33.
- [8] **Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1967).** Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 2: 361.
- [9] S. Khan, M. R. Wani, Genetic variability and correlations studies in chickpea mutants, J. Cytol.

Volume 10 Issue 9, September 2021

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY