# International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2020): 7.803

# Impact of Mean Age at Marriage and Contraceptive Use in Rural and Urban India

## Dr. Firdous Ansari

Ph.D. (Statistics)

'Ansari Bldg' 'I' 'B'Road, Sardarpura, Jodhpur, Rajasthan (342003), India
Email Address: firdoushansari[at]gmail.com

Abstract: <u>Background</u>: Out of 33.9% women across India, 38.2% in rural and 24.7% in urban women got married between 18 and 20 years of age, as per the 2017 SRS survey. According to a 2019 report of the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, the mean age at marriage of women in India was 22.1 years. <u>Methodology</u>: For this study the data is taken from Health and Family Welfare statistics in India 2019-20, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, India. Using SPSS data was analyzed. The literature was searched using PubMed and Google. After analysis results and discussion were made. <u>Results</u>: Results showed non-significant t test and regression analysis. <u>Discussion</u>: No significant association between mean age at marriage and contraceptive use was found.

**Keywords:** Mean age at marriage, contraceptive use, Rural India, Urban India

# 1. Introduction

One of the study indicated that 66.5% of currently married women have used modern contraceptive methods at least once in their life time.[1] Various studies suggested that the women's age at marriage should be raised, to reduce the reproductive span of women, and bring down the birth rate. Some studies indicated that a 10-20% reduction can be observed in total birth rate in India, if it is raised from 18 to 20 years old[2-4].

# 2. Methodology

In our study we took the data from Health and Family Welfare statistics in India 2019-20, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, India. Using SPSS data was analyzed. The literature was searched using PubMed and Google. After analysis results and discussion were made.

#### 3. Results

Following tables were showing the results after applying the regression analysis. In both the area non-significant results were shown. It is indicating that Contraceptive use and marriage are not associated i.e. it is not reflecting that only after marriage contraceptives are used.t test also found non-significant.

**ANOVA Table for Rural Area** 

		Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
ſ	1	Regression	11.032	1	11.032	0.079	.781a
		Residual	2777.723	20	138.886		
		Total	2788.755	21			

a. Predictors: (Constant), MAMb. Dependent Variable: CU

# ANOVA Table for Urban Area

	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
1	Regression	65	1	65	0.782	.387ª					
	Residual	1661.818	20	83.091							
	Total	1726.818	21								

a. Predictors: (Constant), MAM b. Dependent Variable: CU Where MAMis mean age at marriage CU is Contraceptive use

## 4. Discussion

On the basis of above results it is observed that contraceptive use is existing before marriage also. We may lead to this conclusion that precaution is better than cure is taken into account in reural as well as urban India.

## References

- [1] TadeleAmente, Tariku Tesfaye1 and MesfinAddise. Contraceptive Use and Family Size Preferences among Married Women of Reproductive Age in JimmaArjo District of Eastern Wellega Zone in Oromia, Ethiopia. J Comm Pub Health Nurs 2017, 3:3 DOI: 10.4172/2471-9846.1000179.
- [2] Zachariah, K. C. and P. P. Talwar (1964), "The Effect of Increase in Age at Marriage on National Birth Rate", mimeograph, Bombay: Demographic Training and Research Centre.
- [3] Jain, S. P. (1964), Certain Statistics on Fertility of Indian Women Showing the Effect of Age at Marriage, New Delhi, India: Office of Registrar General of India.
- [4] Agarwala, S. N. (1967), "Effect of a Rise in Female Marriage Age on Birth Rate in India," in the conference proceedings of the World Population Conference, Belgrade, August 30-September 10,vol. II, New York: United Nations.

Volume 10 Issue 8, August 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: SR21730171143 DOI: 10.21275/SR21730171143 273