Customer Relationship Management in Retail Stores and its Impact on Shoppers' Brand Preference - A Comparative Study of SPAR and Nilgiris

Dr. Raghavendra K S

Assistant Professor, PG Department of Commerce, Mount Carmel College, Autonomous, Bengaluru - 560052, Karnataka, India Email: raghavendra[at]mccblr.edu.in ORCID ID: 0000 - 0003 - 0501 - 1799

Abstract: Traditional marketing focused only on one or few products which are marketed to as many customers as possible, where as in recent times Customer Relationship Management (CRM) focus on the customer and how a market tries to satisfy more of their needs and create lifetime values, trust and establish long lasting relationship with them. In the emerging market the use of highly advanced CRM strategies can only save the business for long term sustainability and retaining of the customer but unfortunately most of the business have failed in understanding and developing need - based strategies. The aim of the paper is to analyze the customer perception about the impact of the CRM on shoppers, brand preference of retail store at Spar and Nilgiris and also to understand factors influencing on customer perception and CRM strategies followed by these retailers. The factors considered were - store layout, types of offer and CRM strategies that were adopted during 2018 - 19. A structured questionnaire was administered to 250 respondent shoppers and data was analyzed using SPSS. Findings revealed that the CRM strategies will significantly influence on selection of Retail outlets by Shoppers. Hence it is suggested that the managers of retail stores should consider dynamic and effective strategies like virtual shopping, applications and also their after sale services so that the consumer prefer their retail outlets for shopping.

Keywords: Customer Relationship Management (CRM), Retail Stores, Consumer, Brand Preference, Consumer Behavior, Virtual shopping

1. Introduction

The purpose of a business dynamic strategy is to create and keep a customer for long and define its sustainability. According to Peter Drucker the one of the key purpose of the business is to create customers and find different ways to retain the customers which is essential for any business to sustain in the competitive market. Traditional marketing focused only on one or few products which are marketed to as many customers as possible, where as in recent times customer relationship management focus on the customer and how a market tries to satisfy more of their needs and create lifetime values, trust and establish long lasting relationship with them. It can be the said that CRM is a path or series of processes and strategies that create mutual value for individual customers and builds preferences for their outlets and improve sale over a long period of time. Some of them to mention can be loyalty programmes, membership cards, refer and earn etc. CRM strategies adopted by each retail outlet may have different effects on the consumers' behavior. Therefore the study seeks to understand different strategies used by the retail outlets that affect consumers' perception and brand preference in the sustainability of retail outlets.

Statement of the Problem and Scope:

In modern retail outlets like supermarkets and hypermarkets use different CRM strategies in order to attract and retain their customer base. With different lifestyles, the customers are choosing retail store which meet their preferences. Hence this study seeks to analyze the different CRM strategies which divide the customers into two categories, one where the CRM plays utmost importance and the other where only the product availability matters these categories will affect the perception of the customers towards a retail outlet and brand preferences. The scope of the study is to identify customers buying behavior towards retail outlets CRM practices. The study is conducted by approaching the customers of Nilgiris and Spar retail stores in Bangalore.

2. Literature Review

The Literature studies supported the identification of scope and gap of the above study are presented hereunder:

Satya Ranjan Dhal in his study 'Demographic profile and customer loyalty: a study on organized retail sector' (2015), threw light on how customer loyalty is affected by demographic profile of customer in organized retail sector. He found that a retailer should concentrate on factors like 'Quality', 'Trust' and 'Emotions' to develop and increase customer loyalty with them. M Rupesh Kumar and AGV Narayanan in the study 'Store Choice: Understanding the Shoppers' Preference in Selecting an Apparel Multi - Brand Outlet' (2016), the findings of the study reveal that as new organized retail categories are increasing the selection of stress by the shoppers are also based on various factors. The Multi - Brand Outlet (MBO) involves attractive prices, parking, store image, offers and a promotion along with many brands under one roof attracts the customers. According to the study the store image is the most preferred factor and parking facility is the least preferred factor to select a Multi - Brand Outlet. Offers and promotions are given highest priority by the shoppers which attract them

Volume 10 Issue 8, August 2021 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/ES24122162322

towards MBO. But customers who prefer a particular brand and specification shift to Exclusive Brand Outlet (EBO). Ramulu Bhukya and Sapna Singh (2016) in the paper on "Factors Affecting Shoppers' Brand Preference Towards Choosing a Retail Stores" highlighted that the customers prefer retail stores which has good ambience, well designed store layout, ample parking space, salespersons' service quality and is located nearby. These factors are all aiding to the CRM of the retail outlet and show certain percentage of it reflected in the behavior and perception of the customer when they consider to shop in a particular retail outlet. A study conducted by Dr. Meera Mathur and Sumbul Sammaon the topic "A Study on Customer Relationship Management Practices in Selected Organized Retail Stores in Udaipur City" (2010) highlighted that the customer don't think twice to change preference break the loyalty towards an organization, in such a situation it is the CRM of the organization will compel the customers continue their relationship with the organization. The observation of this study states that in order to achieve the above the Management of the Retail Store should give personal touch to the customer to encourage frequent visits and regular customer feedback should be taken.

Objectives:

The study aims at:

- 1) Understanding the concept of CRM and its importance and Identifying respondent shoppers' reaction to various CRM strategies.
- 2) To analyze the factors influencing the consumers for staying with the same retail outlet.
- 3) To examine the reason for shift from one retail outlet to another and to offer valuable suggestions with respect to findings.

3. Materials and Methods

The data required for the study is mined from a structured questionnaire during the year 2018 - 19 with various questions pertaining to factors determining shopper's behavior and perception towards strategies used by the sample firms was administered to a total of 250 respondent customers equally.125 each responses from Spar and Nilgiris were collected and analyzed with the help of factorial analysis and Chi - Square test.

Hypothesis:

 H_0 = CRM strategies used does not significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers.

 $H_{1=}$ CRM strategies used does significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers.

Operational Definitions:

- **Customer/ Shopper:** An individual who buys goods or services from a shop is known as a Customer or a Shopper.
- **Perception:** it refers to how someone interprets or understands something.
- **Customer Relationship Management:** CRM is the combination of business strategy and processes that help build long lasting relationships between the organization and their customers.

- **Retail Stores:** A place of Business operated by a Retailer in which goods are sold primarily to ultimate consumers.
- **Consumer:** An individual who purchases goods and services for personal use.
- **Brand Preference:** it is when you choose a specific company's products over other equally priced available options.
- **Consumer Behavior:** it is the study of how people make decisions about what they want to buy and where they want to buy it

4. Results and Findings

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondent Customers
(N=250)

	(11-2.5)	0)	
		Percentage of	Percentage of
Demographics	Category/Scale	respondents	respondents
		SPAR	Nilgiris
	Female	80	76
Gender	Male	20	24
	Others	-	-
	18 - 23	52	48
	24 - 29	24	24
Age group	30 - 35	-	16
	36 - 40	8	4
	Above 40	16	8
	Full time employed	28	20
	Part time	4	4
Employment	Retired	-	-
status	Home Maker	20	8
	Unemployed	-	-
	Student	48	68

Source: Primary Data

Table 1 depicts the classification of respondents based on age, gender and employment status. Among 250 respondents of Spar and Nilgiris, female respondents' participation is more than male and the percentage is 80 and 76 respectively. This is an evidence for who visit more to retail outlets for shopping. Regarding age of the respondents it is observed that 18 - 23 age category respondents are more than other age group specified.52 percent of respondent customers in Spar and 48 percent in Niligaries are belongs to this age group. It is clear that very that more young population is participated in the study and their perception is strongly helps in strategy development. On the other hand student group participation is significantly high in the survey compare to other employment status like full employed and Home maker. This signifies that the respondents are little aware of marketing concepts and can understand the importance of research.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/ES24122162322

S. No	Factors	Response Scale	Percentage SPAR	Percentage Nilgiris
		Weekly	20	20
	г	Bi - weekly	4	12
1	Frequency	Monthly	52	52
	of shopping	Bi - monthly	8	12
		Annually	16	4
		Advertisements	24	-
•		Word of Mouth/		00
2	Marketing	Reference	76	92
		Others	-	8
		Very helpful	-	-
	C1	Helpful	48	32
2	Shop	Average	48	64
3	Assistants	Not Helpful	4	4
	Attitude	Rude and		
		Indifferent	-	-
		Always	4	12
4	Meeting	Sometimes	92	84
	Expectation	Never	4	4
		Yes	84	60
5	Convenient	No	4	8
2	Layout	Cannot say	12	32
6		Product variety	28	24
	Best Aspect	Service	20	4
		Quality	28	28
		Price	20	12
		Availability of	-	32
		Products		
7	Provision of	Yes	92	20
'	Offers	No	8	80
		Combo offers	24	8
		Buy 1 get 1 free	40	-
8	Types of	Discounts to regular customers	16	8
	Offers	Free delivery	8	4
		Others	4	-
		No response	8	80
		Yes	36	20
c	Shift if No	May be	52	56
9	Offers	No	4	4
		No response	8	20
1.0	Frequent	Yes	68	12
10	Reminders	No	32	88
		Very good	12	8
	Service	Good	36	52
11	while	Average	52	40
• •	Shopping	Bad	-	-
	Suchang	Very bad	-	-
		Very good	8	4
	Post	Good	44	12
12	Post Purchase		44	80
14	Services	Average Bad	44	4
	201.1000	Duu	-	

Table 2: Factors Determining Shopper's Behavior (N=250)

Source: Primary Data

Table 2 explains the responses of sample customers with regard to various factors determining the perception towards Spar and Niligiries retail business services. Majority of customers shopping frequency in both of these retail outlets is monthly once and world of mouth or through others references more than ³/₄ of the participated respondents have come to know about these outlets business. Shop assistants attitude is satisfied the customers to an extent of average and these two outlets have failed to meet customer expectation

always. It is clearly indicates that customers are not highly satisfied and there is a gap in meeting their expectation by these outlets. Respondent customers have accepted that the layout part of outlet of both companies is convenient to do shopping and availability, product quality and price is other remarkable aspects where customers grade these two outlets on average basis. When it comes to offers the Spar provides highest offers when compared to Nilgiris and the later provides very limited offers. Study shows the importance of offers to attract and retain the customers in a business; otherwise customers may shift to other business. The Spar takes lead in reminding customers very frequently about new arrivals and other information whereas, Nilgiris failed in those aspects. With regard to after sales services both companies have ranked equally by the respondent shoppers and it is found a good after sales service by the companies.

Factorial Analysis

One aspect in factor analysis is KMO and Bartlett's test. It is a measure of sampling which varies between 0 to 1; the accepted index is over 0.6 KMO measure is well suited for the factorial analysis of this sample. It is the ratio of the sum of the squared correlations for all variables.

Table 3: KMO and Bartlett's Test – SPAR				
Kaiser - Meyer - Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy. 0.939				
Bartlett's Test of	Approx. Chi - Square	34.092		
Sphericity	Df	10		
Sphericity	Sig.	0		
Normally, 0< KMO < 1, If KMO> 0.5. The sample is				
adequate. Here, KMO= 0.939 which indicates that the				
sample is adequate and appropriate to proceed with the				
factors analysis procedure.				

Normally, 0 < KMO < 1, If KMO> 0.5. The sample is adequate. Here, KMO= 0.939 which indicates that the sample is adequate and appropriate to proceed with the factors analysis procedure.



The Scree plotgraph represents the Eigen value against each factor. It can be seen from the graph that at factor 3 there is a sharp change in the curvature of the scree plot.

Volume 10 Issue 8, August 2021 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Identification of Core Factors

The rotated factor matrix represents the correlation between the variables and the components. The components column represents the rotated factors that have been extracted out of the total factor. These are the final components after data reduction. According to grouping of the components, each group of components is named and represent the components.

Table 4: Rotated Compone	nt Matrix ^a
--------------------------	------------------------

	Component		
Factors	1	2	
F1: Rating on the basis of service	0.905	-0.101	
F2: Rating on the basis of product quality	0.793	0.283	
F3: Rating on the basis of pricing	0.135	0.867	
F4: Rating on the basis of variety	0.793	0.121	
F5: Rating on the basis of offers	0.046	0.903	

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. a. Rotation converged in 3 iterations.

Table 5: Component Transformation Matrix

	Component	1	2		
	1	0.861	0.509		
	2	-0.509	0.861		
rtid	tion Method: Principal Component Analysis				

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Table 6: Total Va	ariance Exr	plained –	SPAR
-------------------	-------------	-----------	------

Commonant		Initial Eigen	values	Extra	ction Sums of Squ	uared Loadings	Rotat	ion Sums of Squ	ared Loadings
Component	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.326	46.523	46.523	2.326	46.523	46.523	2.097	41.941	41.941
2	1.442	28.834	75.357	1.442	28.834	75.357	1.671	33.415	75.357
3	0.543	10.854	86.211						
4	0.424	8.482	94.693						
5	0.265	5.307	100						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Eigen values (select those components with those values > = 1). Five variables of factor analysis will be retained. The Eigen values are the variance of the factors. In this study the first two factors explain 75.357% of variance. The rotation sums of the squared loadings represents the distribution of the variance after the varianx rotation with Kaiser Normalization. Each factor is constituted of all those variables that has factor loadings greater than 0.5

 Table 7: KMO and Bartlett's Test - Nilgiris

Kaiser - Meyer - Sampling	0.755	
Dentiettle Test of	Approx. Chi - Square	50.486
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Df	10
sphericity	Sig.	0

Normally, 0 < KMO < 1, If KMO> 0.5. The sample is adequate. Here, KMO= 0.755 which indicates that the sample is adequate and appropriate to proceed with the factors analysis procedure.



The Scree plotgraph represents the Eigen value against each factor. It can be seen from the graph that after factor 2 there is a sharp change in the curvature of the scree plot.

Table 8: Comp	onent Matrix ^a
---------------	---------------------------

	Component
Factors	1
F1: Rating on the basis of service	0.879
F2: Rating on the basis of product quality	0.745
F3: Rating on the basis of pricing	0.865
F4: Rating on the basis of variety	0.831
F5: Rating on the basis of offers	0.562

The component matrix shows that the highest component is the rating on the basis of service and the lowest component is the rating on the basis of offers.

Comment	Initial Eigen values			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			
Component	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	
1	3.084	61.677	61.677	3.084	61.677	61.677	
2	0.884	17.688	79.365				
3	0.458	9.152	88.517				
4	0.364	7.272	95.789				
5	0.211	4.211	100				

Table 9: Total Variance Explained - Nilgiris

Eigen values (select those components with those values > = 1). Five variables of factor analysis will be retained. The Eigen values are the variance of the factors. In this study the first factor explains 61.677% of variance. The rotation sums of the squared loadings represent the distribution of the variance after the varianx rotation with Kaiser Normalization. Each factor is constituted of all those variables that has factor loadings greater than 0.5

CHI - SQUARE ANALYSIS

Chi - square test enables the researcher to find out whether the divergence between expected and actual frequencies is significant or not. The CRM strategies and its effect on the purchase behavior of consumers and their choice of retail outlets.

 Table 10: Preference on basis of membership and CRM

 strategy * CRM strategies affecting the purchase behavior

 Cross tabulation SPAR

Closs tabulation SI AK					
CRM strategies affecting the purchase behavior		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Total
Preference on basis of	Yes	16	40	28	84
membership and CRM	No	0	0	18	18
strategy	Can't say	9	14	0	23
Total		25	54	46	125

 H_0 = CRM strategies used does not significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers.

 $H_{1=}$ CRM strategies used does significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers.

Table 11: Chi - Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2 - sided)		
Pearson Chi - Square	5.305 ^a	4	0.257		
Likelihood Ratio	6.288	4	0.179		
Linear - by - Linear Association	0.193	1	0.66		
N of Valid Cases 125					
a.7 cells (77.8%) have expected count less than 5. The					
minimum expected count is.40.					

The table significant being 0.05, the table ------ shows that the calculated significant value 0.257 is greater than 0.05 and hence the null hypothesis is accepted. Thus according to the customers of Spar CRM strategies used does not significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers.

 Table 12: Preference on basis of membership and CRM strategy * CRM strategies affecting the purchase behavior - Cross tabulation NILGIRIS

CRM strategies affecting the purchase behavior		Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total
Preference on basis of	Yes	15	56	15	0	86
membership and	No	11	0	0	6	17
CRM strategy	Can't say	0	9	13	0	22
Total		26	65	28	6	125

 H_0 = CRM strategies used does not significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers. H_1 = CRM strategies used does significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers.

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2 - sided)		
Pearson Chi - Square	16.728 ^a	6	.010		
Likelihood Ratio	11.568	6	.072		
Linear - by - Linear Association	.624	1	.429		
N of Valid Cases 125					
a.10 cells (83.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 08.					

The table significant being 0.05, the above table shows the calculated significant value 0.010 is less than 0.05 and hence the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative is accepted. Thus according to the customers of Nilgiris CRM strategies

used significantly impact on the choice of retail outlets by Shoppers.

5. Recommendations and Implications

The study shows that Spar indulges in minimum advertisements and Nilgiris does not indulge in advertisements at all. The most influential factors of Spar are their service and product quality whereas; service is the most influential factors at Nilgiris. Spar provides maximum customers with offers whereas Nilgiris does not. Customers of Spar believe that the choice of retail outlet is not influenced by their CRM strategies. Since they are provided with CRM, they failed to comprehend its significance. Customers of Nilgiris believe that the choice of retail outlet is significantly influenced by CRM strategies. They

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/ES24122162322

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

understand the significance of what they are not provided with. With all these major finding it is essential for both the retail stores to improve their marketing through advertising and other promotion tools. Especially Nilgiris should start providing more attractive offers to their customers and maintain better relationship with them to improve their goodwill. An effort has to be made in connection with educating the customers about the available strategy. After sales services can be improved (direct/indirect) through proper strategies which is more convenient to target. They should consider implementing some CRM techniques like virtual shopping, credit facility and Apps which are practiced in foreign countries in order to increase sales. A similar kind of research can be conducted in other retail outlets and even retail giants by taking into various other variables.

This study it shows that some of customers do not understand importance and significance of the CRM facilities they are provided with hence feel that it does not influence the purchase behavior whereas the some who are not provided with such facilities comprehend its importance and think that it plays a role in influencing their purchase behavior. It is also found that the customers shop in retail stores with products under a roof when varieties of product have to be purchased by them available in one place. But the customer's go to nearby retail outlets for immediate consumption according to their requirements and other factors like nearness of the retail outlet.

References

- Ahmed, J. (2002). Customer's Satisfaction and Retail Banking: An Assessment of Some of the Key Antecedents of Customers Satisfaction in Retail Banking; International Journal of Bank Marketing, 20 (4): 146 - 160
- [2] Bhukya, Ramulu and Singh, Sapna (2016). Factors Affecting Shoppers' Brand Preference towards Choosing Retail Stores, The IUP Journal of Brand Management, Vol. XIII, No.2, June 2016, pp.75 - 86, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn. com/abstract=2900090
- [3] BikrantKesari (2014). A Review of Customer Preference towards Organized Retail Stores, International Journal Research in Social and Management Studies, Vol.2 (3), PP.24 - 28.
- [4] Dash, A. (2012). Online shopping and customer satisfaction: An empirical investigation. International Journal of Research in Management, Economics and Commerce, Vol.2 (11), 42 - 49.
- [5] Dash, A. (2014). Perceived Risk and Consumer Behavior towards Online Shopping: An Empirical Investigation. Parikalpana, P.79.
- [6] Gibsn G. (2007). Modern Retailing Comprehensive Policy Vital, The Hindu, PP281 - 284.
- [7] Jacoby, J. and Chestnut, W. R. (1978). Brand Loyalty Measurement and Management, New York: Wiley and Sons.
- [8] Kumar, M. Rupesh and Narayanan (2016). Store Choice: Understanding the Shoppers' Preference in Selecting an Apparel Multi - Brand Outlet, The IUP Journal of Marketing Management, Vol. XV, No.1,

pp.36 - 48, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2815816

- [9] MeeraMathur and SumbulSamma (2010). A Study on Customer Relationship Management Practices in Selected Organized Retail Stores in Udaipur City, Pacific Business Review - A Quarterly Refereed Journal, PP 17 - 29.
- [10] Mittal, B. and Lassar, W. M. (1998). Why do customers switch? The dynamics of satisfaction versus loyalty, The Journal of Services Marketing, Vol.12 No.3, pp.177 - 194.
- [11] Puneeet Shweta (2008). Technology The Heart of Organized Retail, Marketing Mastermind, p. p.45 48.
- [12] Satya Ranjan Dhal (2015). Demographic Profile and Customer Loyalty: A Study on Organized Retail Sector, International Journal on global Business Management & Research, Vol.4, Issue 1, PP 23 - 32.
- [13] Seiders, K., and Tigert, D. J (1997). Impact of market entry and competitive structure on store switching/store loyalty, The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research, Vol.7 (3), PP.227 - 247.
- [14] Siddiqi, K. O. (2010). Interrelations between service quality attributes, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty in the retail banking sector in Bangladesh, International Trade & Academic Research Conference (ITARC) – London 2010.
- [15] Sunder K Shyama& Dr. Ramji (2000). Coming Closer to the Customer, Indian Management, PP.49 - 51.

Volume 10 Issue 8, August 2021 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY