Attitude and Scope of Practice of Minor Surgical Procedures among PHC Physicians in Dammam, Alkhobarand Al-Qatif Cities

Zahra AlHubail¹, Fatimah Alnemer², Dr. Ammr Bamana³

Family Medicine Resident, Family Medicine Academy, Al Khobar, Saudi Arabia za.alhubail[at]gmail.com

Family Medicine Resident, Family Medicine Academy, Al Khobar, Saudi Arabia dr.fatma.nmr[at]gmail.com

Family Medicine Academy, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Postgraduate Family Medicine Program, Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia drammrb[at]yahoo.com

Abstract: <u>Background</u>: Minor surgery is one of the beneficial services provided in PHC. It is considered safe, cost effective, satisfying for patient and physician and a lower referral rate. <u>Objectives</u>: to assess PHC physicians attitude about MS, to determine the types of MS being performed and to estimate percentage of physicians practicing MS. <u>Design</u>: A cross sectional study. METHOD: online self-administered questionnaire. SAMPLE SIZE: 464 participants. <u>Results</u>: Majority of participant had a positive attitude towards MS practice in PHC. (36.5%) of physicians considered themselves to be competent to practice MS procedures. (70.8%) have interest to practice MS. (80%) encouraged establishing MS at PHC and (86.9%) encouraged establishing MS training program. (54.3%) of physicians were not satisfied with referring patients to hospitals. (49.1%) of physicians perform MS procedures, most commonly suturing, giving local anesthesia, and injections at a rate of (65.1%), (50.9%), (42.3%), respectively. Barriers perceived by participants were lack of training, lack of facilities and equipment and fear of complications at a rate of (90.8%), (88%) and (73.3%) respectively. <u>Conclusion</u>: This study demonstrates the importance of adequate physicians' training and establishing a MS program in PHC. And providing PHC with necessary equipment.

Keywords: attitude, practice, barriers, and minor surgery

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
WHO	World health organization
PHC	Primary health care
MS	Minor surgery
GP	General physician
MSI	Musculoskeletal injection
PCP	Primary care physicians
SPSS	Statistical package for social
	science

1. Introduction

Primary health care is defined as the essential care based on practical, scientifically sound and socially acceptable method and technology made universally accessible to individuals and families in the community through their full participation and at a cost they and the country can afford to maintain in the spirit of selfreliance and selfdetermination. Better health outcomes, efficiency and improved quality of care can be achieved with a strong PHC structure (WHO). Services provided are essential, important and community based. This includes diagnostic, therapeutic, promotive and preventive measures most suitable for the society being served.^[1]

Among these services are minor surgical procedures. Which can be defined as" anysurgicalprocedure that can be performed in a brief period of time (usually< 1 hour under local anesthesia) and does not under normal circumstances

constitute a major hazard to life or function of organs or bodyparts".^[2] Provided for the most prevalent illness which can be managed by primary health care providers. Examples: sebaceous cyst, lipomas, ingrown toenail, cryotherapy, bursitis and intraarticular injections.^[3]

There are several benefits for performing MS in PHC .It is considered safe with low complication rate, cost effective, more satisfying for the patient and physician and a lower referral rate. Despite these benefits, MS is not fully implemented in all countries.^{[3],[4],[5],[6]}

A consensus recommendation by the Association of Surgeons in Training (2014) proposed that pooling of minor surgical procedures into community centers may prevent surgical trainees from exposure to such experiences, and it may be beneficial for them to practice in these centers.^[7]

Another study done in Ireland, published on 2003 measured the number and types of joint and soft tissue injections done by GPs along with perceived barriers. It found that 54% of the sampleperformed joint and soft tissue injections during the past year. The most common performed type was shoulder injection. Sixty-seven percent of them had attended training courses in the past 5 years and 9% of those trained on real patients. The main perceived barriers were inability to maintain injection skills followed by lack of confidence in making the right diagnosis.^[8]

A prospective observational study in Australia 2016, studied the impact of a short surgical skills course on the confidence

Volume 10 Issue 7, July 2021 <u>www.ijsr.net</u>

level of GP's inperforming surgical procedures. The study showed that there is a statistically significant change in the level of confidence after the courses. (P < 0.001).^[9]

A study conducted in Bahrain published in 1993 studied the practice, attitude and barriers of minor surgical procedures in PHC. Out of the total participants 93.4% performed suture of simple lacerations, 84.6% performed incision and drainage of an abscess and 82.4% removed foreign bodies from eye, ear or nose. There was no statistical significance between GPs and family physicians. The main surgical procedures rated as essential were: suture of lacerations (95.6%), cryotherapy for warts (94.5%) and incision and drainage of an abscess (92.3%). The most reported barrier were lack of facilities, lack of time and lack of training.^[10]

A cross sectional study conducted in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia on 2012 to quantify the number and types of injections performed in PHC and to identify the barriers. The study found that 43.6% of physicians received orthopedic training. 53.1% of them performed joint and soft tissue injections. Most commonly performed injections were knee followed by tennis elbow. The perceived barriers among PCPs were lack of up to date skills and clinic overload.^[11]

A study carried in Al-Qatif city, Saudi Arabia between 2006 –2007 published on 2015 to identify the attitude and barriers of performing MS among PHC practitioners found that 68.8% of participants were considering themselves as competent to perform MS in PHC setting. 70.5% were not satisfied with referring patients to hospital for minor surgical illnesses. The main barriers were lack of facilities (90%) followed by lack of training and fear of complications (80% - 73.7% respectively).^[12]

1.2 Justification

Performing clinic based surgical procedures is an important part of primary health care services worldwide. In Saudi Arabia, recent studies investigating the current situation of MS services in PHC are limited. With the era of developing PHC adopted by the ministry of health in Saudi Arabia, which started with increasing the number of trained family physicians; it is time to evaluate the current situation of such an essential service for proper utilization of resources.

1.3 Study question

What is the attitude and current practice of minor surgical procedures among PHC physicians in Dammam, AlKhobar and Al-Qatif cities?

1.4 Aim

To study the attitude and scope of practice of minor surgical procedures among PHC physicians in Dammam, Alkhobar and Al-Qatif cities.

1.5 Objectives

1.5.1 Primary objectives

- 1) To assess PHC physicians attitude about MS.
- 2) To determine the types of MS being performed in PHC centers.

1.5.2 Secondary objectives

1) To estimate percentage of physicians practicing MS.

2. Methodology

2.1 Study design

A cross sectional study.

2.2 Study setting and time

PHC centers (MOH) in Dammam, AlKhobar and Al-Qatif sectors in eastern province, Saudi Arabia. It was conducted during the period of 2019-2021.

2.3 Study population

All primary healthcare physicians working in Dammam, Alkhobar and Al-Qatif PHC.

2.4 Inclusion criteria

All GPs and family physicians working in Dammam, Alkhobar and Al-Qatif sectors. (general practitioners are physicians who graduated from medical college, MD or MBBS, but not board or diploma certified)

2.5 Exclusion criteria

Physicians with pure administrative work, i.e. not attending patients.

2.6 Sampling and sample size

Estimated number of physicians is 464. All physicians will be included in the study.

2.7 Data collection method

Data is collected through online self-administered questionnaire that is sent to each doctor through e-mail or mobile as feasible. 2 reminderswere sent for those who did not response to increase the response rate.

2.8 Data collection tool

A questionnaire is constructed by the researchers with variables obtained after extensive literature review. The questionnaire was tested for validity and reliability by 3 consultants in the relevant specialties and pilot study. Pilot study was done on 30 family medicine residents.

The questionnaire consists of 4 parts:

1) Demographic data of physicians which includes: age, gender, nationality, qualifications, years of experience,

sector, received training about surgical skills and the presence of minor surgery room in the PHC.

- 2) Physicians attitude towards minor surgical procedures in PHC: Considering the aspect of competency and interest in performing MS, belief in the importance of MS, desire to improve surgical skills, physician satisfaction with referring patients to secondary care for minor surgical illness and if patients would be more satisfied when managed in the PHC center.
- 3) Scope of minor surgical procedures physicians practicing, among a list of minor surgeries.
- 4) A list of factors which can be considered as barriers preventing physicians from performing MS.

2.9 Study variables

- 1) **Independent variables:** Age, gender, nationality, qualification, years of experience, sector, any previous surgical training and the presence of minor surgery room in the PHC.
- 2) **Dependent variables:** Physicians' attitude, scope of practice and perceived factors affecting their practice.

2.10 Data analysis

Using Statistical Package of the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23, on personal computer. The type of statistical tests were Chi-square , one way ANOVA. Level of significance will be considered as 95 % for CI (confidence interval) and ≤ 0.05 for P value.

2.11 Ethical consideration

- 1) Approved by Family Medicine Program in Eastern Province before conducting the research.
- 2) As data was collected through online self administered questionnaire, participant answer to the questionnaire were considered as an agreement to participate in the study.
- 3) All data was dealt with strict confidentiality and used for research purposes only.
- 4) The researchers have no conflict of interest.

2.12 Budget:

This study is self-funded.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 describes the biodemographic characteristics. The study questionnaire was sent to 464 participants. A total of 175 have completed the questionnaire (response rate 37.7%). Around (39.4%) of physicians were from Al-Qatif sector while (38.3%) and (22.3%) were from Dammam and Alkhobar sectors respectively. The majority (62.9%) of physicians were females, between the age of 25 to 35 years (62.9%), Saudi (94.3%), and married (86.3%). About (65.7%) of physicians were MBBS certified while (28%) and (6.3%) were family medicine board and diploma certified respectively. The majority were general practitioners accounting for (47.4%) while (28.6%), (13.7%), (10.3%) were family medicine residents, specialists, and consultants respectively. Regarding years of experience, (33%) worked for less than a year while (28.6%), (28%) worked for 6 to 10 years and more than 10 years respectively. More than two-thirds of participants (75.4%) received surgical training during internship while (42.3%) received training during residency. More than two-thirds (77.7%) did not have a minor surgical room in the PHC however (49.7%) perform minor surgical procedures. The mean of number of clinics covered per week is 8 while the mean of number of patients with minor surgical illness is 4 per week.

100			
	h		
_ 1 a	U.	IC.	_

Table 1				
		Count	Column N %	
	25-35	110	62.9%	
Age	36-45	44	25.1%	
U	>45	21	12.0%	
	male	65	37.1%	
Gender	female	110	62.9%	
NT	saudi	165	94.3%	
Nationality	non-saudi	10	5.7%	
Marital status	single	24	13.7%	
Marital status	married	151	86.3%	
	MD or MBBS	115	65.7%	
Qualifications	family medicine diploma	11	6.3%	
	family medicine board	49	28.0%	
	family medicine consultant	18	10.3%	
your current job title	family medicine specialist	24	13.7%	
	family medicine training resident	50	28.6%	
	general practitioner	83	47.4%	
	<1 year	18	10.3%	
vegets of experience	1-5	58	33.1%	
years of experience	6-10	50	28.6%	
	>10	49	28.0%	
Comment DUC	Dammam	67	38.3%	
Current PHC Center sector:	Alkhobar	39	22.3%	
Center sector.	al-qatif	69	39.4%	
none	yes	17	9.7%	
training during internship	yes	132	75.4%	
during residency	yes	74	42.3%	
courses	yes	55	31.4%	
elective minor surgery rotation	yes	20	11.4%	
Is there a minor	yes	39	22.3%	
surgery room in the PHC you are working at ?	no	136	77.7%	
Do you perform	yes	86	49.1%	
minor surgical procedures?	no	89	50.9%	

Table 2 summarizes the results of physicians' attitudes towards MS practice at PHC. The majority of the participant had a positive attitude towards minor surgical practice in PHC. Around (70.8%) agreed on having an interest in practicing MS, while much less number (14.3%) disagreed. (80%) of participants encouraged establishing MS at PHC and most of them (86.9%) encouraged establishing minor surgery training programs. The majority were not satisfied with referring patients to the hospital and believe that

Volume 10 Issue 7, July 2021 www.ijsr.net

patients would be more satisfied if they were managed in PHC.

. . . .

Table 2					
		Count	Column N %		
	disagree	49	28%		
[Vou fact yourself competent to	neutral	62	35.4%		
[You feel yourself competent to practice minor surgical procedures]	agree	64	36.5%		
	disagree	24	14.3%		
	neutral	26	14.9%		
	agree	124	70.8%		
[Vou anaqueraça astablishing	disagree	9	5.1%		
[You encourage establishing minor surgery at PHCC.]	neutral	26	14.9%		
minor surgery at Trice.j	agree	140	80%		
[You encourage establishing	disagree	8	4.6%		
minor surgical program-training	neutral	15	8.6%		
at PHCC.] ag		152	86.9%		
[You believe that minor surgery	disagree	98	56 %		

is difficult to manage on PHC	neutral	38	21.7%
level.]	agree	39	22.2%
[You feel satisfied with referring	disagree	95	54.3%
patients to hospital for minor	neutral	42	24.0%
surgical procedures.]	agree	38	21.7%
[You think patients would be	disagree	18	10.3%
more satisfied if their minor	neutral	30	17.1%
surgical procedures done in PHC.]	agree	127	72.5%

Figure 1 illustrates participants' practice of MS in PHC. Most performed (independently) surgical procedures were suturing, giving local anesthesia, and giving injections with a rate of (65.1%), (50.9%), (42.3%), respectively. On the other hand, the least observed procedures were trigger finger injection, IUCD insertion, reduction of dislocated joints, and joint aspiration/injection at a rate of (74.3%), (64.6%), (62.9%), and (58%) respectively.





Table 3 demonstrates perceived barriers to perform MS in PHC. (90.8%) of participants perceived lack of training as a barrier for MS practice and around (88%) of participants agreed that lack of facilities and equipment were barriers against MS performance. (73.3%) of participants considered fear of complications was a barrier as well.

- T- 1		1
- 9	nie	•
		~

		Count	Column N %
	Disagree	8	4.8%
[Lack of training]	Not sure	8	4.6%
	Agree	159	90.8%
[Lack of clinical experience]	Disagree	11	6.3%
	Not sure	20	11.4%

	Agree	144	82.3%
	Disagree	30	17.1%
[Shortage of staff]	Not sure	39	22.3%
	Agree	106	60.6%
[Lack of facilities and	Disagree	11	6.3%
equipment]	Not sure	10	5.7%
equipmentj	Agree	154	88%
	Disagree	17	9.7%
[Fear of complications]	Not sure	29	16.6%
	Agree	129	73.7%
	Disagree	22	12.6%
[Lack of time]	Not sure	38	21.7%
	Agree	115	65.7%
[Difficulties in establishing the	Disagree	56	32%
diagnosis]	Not sure	58	33.1%
ulugilosisj	Agree	61	43.8%

Volume 10 Issue 7, July 2021 www.ijsr.net

	Disagree	24	13.7%
[Medicolegal issues]	Not sure	45	25.7%
	Agree	133	60.6%

Table 4 Summarizes the relationship between the perceived barriers and biodemographic characteristics of the participants. Lack of training was perceived as a significant barrier (p=0.000) for both Saudi and non-Saudi doctors (92.7% and 60%) respectively. And it was also a significant barrier (p=0.047) for all 3 PHC sectors. Lack of facilities and equipment perceived as a barrier regardless of the age and years of experience of the participants (p = 0.005, p= 0.027) respectively. There was no statistically significant association between all barriers and participants' degree of qualification.

Table 4						
			Ag	gree	Р.	
			Count	N %	Value	
Lack of	Nationality	Saudi	153	92.7%	0.000	
training	nationality	non-Saudi	6	60.0%	0.000	
	Current	Dammam	56	83.6%		
	PHC center	Alkhobar	38	97.4%	0.047	
	sector	Al-qatif	65	94.2%		
		25-35	102	92.7%		
	Age	36-45	34	77.3%	0.005	
Lack of		>45	18	85.7%		
facilities and		<1 year	15	83.3%		
equipment	Years of	1-5	55	94.8%	0.027	
	experience	6-10	44	88.0%	0.027	
		>10	40	81.6%		

Regarding the type of training, (33.3 %) of Saudi physicians received surgical courses training compared to (0%) of non-Saudi (p =0.027). Family medicine consultants, family medicine specialists, family medicine residents, and general practitioners received training during residency (88.9%, 87.5%, 54%, and 12% respectively) (p = 0.000). There was no statistically significant difference in the number of surgical patients seen per week with relation to the number of clinics covered per week. (Table5)

Tana af tuainin a		Nama	Training during	Courses	Elective minor
Type of training		None	internship	Courses	surgery rotation
	25-35	12.7%	73.6%	35.5%	13.6%
Age	36-45	2.3%	84.1%	25.0%	6.8%
	>45	9.5%	66.7%	23.8%	9.5%
Gender	Male	7.7%	83.1%	29.2%	10.8%
Gender	Female	10.9%	70.9%	32.7%	11.8%
Nationality	Saudi	9.7%	75.8%	33.3%	11.5%
Inationality	non-Saudi	10.0%	70.0%	0.0%	10.0%
	Single	16.7%	70.8%	33.3%	0.0%
Marital status	Married	8.6%	76.2%	31.1%	13.2%
Waritar status	Divorced	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Widowed	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	MD or MBBS	11.3%	80.9%	31.3%	9.6%
Qualifications	Family medicine diploma	0.0%	72.7%	27.3%	18.2%
	Family medicine board	8.2%	63.3%	32.7%	14.3%
	Family medicine consultant	0.0%	72.2%	55.6%	22.2%
Vour current ich title	Family medicine specialist	4.2%	58.3%	20.8%	8.3%
Your current job title	Family medicine training resident	14.0%	70.0%	38.0%	16.0%
	General practitioner	10.8%	84.3%	25.3%	7.2%
	<1 year	16.7%	61.1%	38.9%	16.7%
Voors of avrenier as	1-5	12.1%	77.6%	34.5%	6.9%
Years of experience	6-10	8.0%	78.0%	30.0%	14.0%
	>10	6.1%	75.5%	26.5%	12.2%
	Dammam	9.0%	80.6%	25.4%	6.0%
Current PHC center sector :	Alkhobar	15.4%	59.0%	33.3%	12.8%
	Al-qatif	7.2%	79.7%	36.2%	15.9%

Table 5

Pearson Chi-Square Tests

		None	Training during internship	During residency	Courses	Elective minor surgery rotation
Age	P value	.141	.241	.977	.327	.466
Gender	P value	.488	.071	.638	.630	.833
Nationality	P value	.975	.681	.611	.027	.884
Marital status	P value	.216	.573	.339	.829	.058
Qualifications	P value	.438	.055	.000	.940	.526
Current job title	P value	.267	.041	.000	.036	.189
Years of experience	P value	.523	.510	.519	.727	.568
Current PHC center sector :	P value	.377	.025	.121	.378	.179

Volume 10 Issue 7, July 2021

www.ijsr.net

Table6 summarizes physicians' performance of minor surgical procedures with their biodemographic data. Age was significant (p=0.001), (85.7%) of physicians aged more than 45 years and (59.1%) of those aged 25-35 years perform minor surgeries. (47.3%) of Saudi participants and (80%) of non-Saudi perform MS in PHC (p= 0.044).

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } & Yes & No & P \\ value \\ \hline Procedures? & Yes & No & P \\ value \\ \hline Procedures? & 40.9\% & 59.1\% & \\ \hline Procedures? & 40.9\% & 59.1\% & \\ \hline Procedures? & 40.9\% & 59.1\% & \\ \hline Procedures & 52.3\% & 47.7\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S5.5\% & 41.5\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S8.5\% & 41.5\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S9.5\% & 41.5\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S1.7\% & 48.3\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S1.7\% & 52.7\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S1.7\% & 52.7\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S1.7\% & 51.0\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S1.7\% & \\ \hline Procedure & S1.$	Table 6						
procedures? 2.0 value Age 25-35 40.9% 59.1% Age 36-45 52.3% 47.7% .001 >45 85.7% 14.3% .058 Gender Male 58.5% 41.5% .058 Nationality Saudi 47.3% 52.7% .044 Marital status Single 33.3% 66.7% .044 Marital status Single 33.3% 66.7% .095 Qualifications MD or MBBS 47.8% 52.2% .095 Family medicine 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% Family medicine soconsultant 83.3% 16.7% Family medicine soconsultant soconsultant .003 General practitioner 53.0% 47.0% .003 Years of 1-5 32.8% 67.2% .001	Do you per	Vas	No	Р			
Age $36-45$ 52.3% 47.7% .001 >45 85.7% 14.3% .058 Gender Male 58.5% 41.5% .058 Nationality Saudi 47.3% 52.7% .044 Married 43.6% 56.4% .044 Marrial status Single 33.3% 66.7% .044 Married 51.7% 48.3% .095 .044 Married 51.7% 48.3% .095 .044 Qualifications MD or MBBS 47.8% 52.2% .095 Qualifications Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 Years of experience -1.9 32.3% 66.7% .001 Years of experience 6.10 56.0%	pi	res	INU	value			
$\frac{>45}{Male} = \frac{>45}{85.7\%} \frac{14.3\%}{14.3\%}$ $\frac{Male}{Female} = \frac{58.5\%}{41.5\%} \frac{41.5\%}{56.4\%} .058$ $\frac{Mationality}{Nationality} = \frac{Saudi}{47.3\%} \frac{52.7\%}{52.7\%} .044$ $\frac{Saudi}{80.0\%} \frac{47.3\%}{20.0\%} \frac{52.7\%}{0.044}$ $\frac{Single}{33.3\%} \frac{66.7\%}{67.7\%} .095$ $\frac{MD \text{ or MBBS}}{Married} \frac{51.7\%}{51.7\%} \frac{48.3\%}{52.2\%} \frac{0.095}{51.0\%}$ $\frac{MD \text{ or MBBS}}{Family \text{ medicine}} \frac{63.6\%}{36.4\%} \frac{36.4\%}{51.0\%} .605$ $\frac{Family \text{ medicine}}{General \text{ practicine}} \frac{37.5\%}{32.8\%} \frac{62.5\%}{62.5\%} \frac{0.03}{66.7\%}$ $\frac{<1 \text{ year}}{33.3\%} \frac{66.7\%}{62.5\%} \frac{41.5\%}{62.5\%} .001$ $\frac{<1 \text{ year}}{51.0\%} \frac{33.3\%}{52.7\%} \frac{62.5\%}{52.2\%} \frac{-1.5}{52.2\%} \frac{-1.5}{52.5\%} -1.$		25-35	40.9%	59.1%			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age	36-45	52.3%	47.7%	.001		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Female & 43.6\% & 56.4\% & .058 \\ \hline Nationality & Saudi & 47.3\% & 52.7\% & .044 \\ \hline Nationality & non-Saudi & 80.0\% & 20.0\% & .044 \\ \hline Marital status & Single & 33.3\% & 66.7\% & .095 \\ \hline Married & 51.7\% & 48.3\% & .095 \\ \hline Married & 51.7\% & 48.3\% & .095 \\ \hline MD or MBBS & 47.8\% & 52.2\% & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		>45	85.7%	14.3%			
Female 43.6% 56.4% 100 Nationality Saudi 47.3% 52.7% .044 Marital status Single 33.3% 66.7% .044 Marital status Married 51.7% 48.3% .095 Qualifications MD or MBBS 47.8% 52.2% .095 Qualifications Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine consultant 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .605 Family medicine consultant 87.5% 62.5% .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 Years of experience 1-5 32.8% 67.2% .001 Years of experience 51.0 67.3% 32.7% .001 Current PHC center sector Dammam 43.3% 56.7% .000	Gandar	Male	58.5%	41.5%	058		
Nationality Image of the sector	Gender	Female	43.6%	56.4%	.058		
Non-Saudi 80.0% 20.0% Marital status Single 33.3% 66.7% .095 Marital status Married 51.7% 48.3% .095 Qualifications MD or MBBS 47.8% 52.2% .605 Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 Years of experience 1-5 32.8% 67.2% Single >10 67.3% 32.7% .001 Current PHC center sector Dammam 43.3% 56.7% .000	Nationality	Saudi	52.3% 85.7% 58.5% 43.6% 47.3% 80.0% 33.3% 51.7% 47.8% 63.6% 49.0% 83.3% 37.5% 36.0% 53.0% 33.3% 32.8%	52.7%	044		
Marital status 0.095 Married 51.7% 48.3% .095 MD or MBBS 47.8% 52.2% .605 Qualifications Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine bittle Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% Family medicine consultant 37.5% 62.5% .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 Years of experience 1-5 32.8% 67.2% Stopperience 51.0 67.3% .001 Current PHC center sector Dammam 43.3% 56.7% .000	Inationality	lity non-Saudi 8		20.0%	.044		
Married \$1.7% 48.3% 100 MD or MBBS 47.8% \$2.2% 605 Qualifications Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 Years of experience <1 year	Monital status	Single	33.3%	66.7%	005		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Marital status	Married	51.7%	48.3%	.095		
Qualifications diploma 63.6% 36.4% .605 Family medicine board 49.0% 51.0% .605 Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% .003 Family medicine consultant 37.5% 62.5% .003 Family medicine specialist 36.0% 64.0% .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 Years of experience 1-5 32.8% 67.2% .001 State of the sector >10 67.3% 32.7% .001 Current PHC center sector Dammam 43.3% 56.7% .000		MD or MBBS	47.8%	52.2%			
Image: Current job title Family medicine board 49.0% 51.0% Family medicine consultant 83.3% 16.7% 62.5% .003 Family medicine specialist 37.5% 62.5% .003 .003 Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% .003 .003 Years of experience <1 year	Qualifications	Family medicine	62 604	36.4%	.605		
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Family medicine} & 83.3\% & 16.7\% \\ \mbox{current job} & \mbox{itle} & \mbox{Family medicine} & 37.5\% & 62.5\% \\ \mbox{Family medicine} & 37.5\% & 62.5\% \\ \mbox{Family medicine} & 36.0\% & 64.0\% \\ \mbox{Family medicine} & 36.0\% & 47.0\% \\ \mbox{General practitioner} & 53.0\% & 47.0\% \\ \mbox{General practitioner} & 53.0\% & 47.0\% \\ \mbox{Current PHC} & \mbox{Current PHC} & \mbox{Dammam} & 43.3\% & 56.7\% \\ \mbox{Current sector} & \mbox{Alkhobar} & 25.6\% & 74.4\% \\ \end{array} .000 $	Quanneations	diploma	05.0%				
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} consultant \\ \hline consultant \\ \hline Family medicine \\ specialist \\ \hline Family medicine \\ training resident \\ \hline General practitioner \\ \hline General practitioner \\ \hline S1.0\% \\ \hline S2.0\% \\ \hline S2.0\%$		Family medicine board	49.0%	51.0%			
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \ \ consultant \\ \hline \ consultant \\ \hline \ \ consultant \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			83 3%	16.7%	.003		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			05.570				
specialist .003 title Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% General practitioner 53.0% 47.0% Years of experience <1 year	Current job		37 5%	62.5%			
Family medicine training resident 36.0% 64.0% General practitioner 53.0% 47.0% Years of experience <1 year	5		57.570				
training resident Constraint General practitioner 53.0% 47.0% General practitioner 53.0% 47.0% Years of <1 year	titie	5	36.0%	64 0%			
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} & <1 & year & 33.3\% & 66.7\% \\ \hline & <1-5 & 32.8\% & 67.2\% \\ experience & 6-10 & 56.0\% & 44.0\% \\ \hline & >10 & 67.3\% & 32.7\% \\ \hline \\ \hline Current PHC \\ center sector & Alkhobar & 25.6\% & 74.4\% \\ \hline \end{array} .001$				0			
Years of experience 1-5 32.8% 67.2% 6-10 56.0% 44.0% .001 >10 67.3% 32.7% Current PHC center sector Dammam 43.3% 56.7% Alkhobar 25.6% 74.4% .000		^					
experience 6-10 56.0% 44.0% .001 >10 67.3% 32.7% .001 Current PHC Dammam 43.3% 56.7% Alkhobar 25.6% 74.4% .000							
experience 6-10 56.0% 44.0% >10 67.3% 32.7% Current PHC Dammam 43.3% 56.7% Alkhobar 25.6% 74.4% .000		1-5	32.8%	67.2%	001		
Current PHCDammam43.3%56.7%Center sectorAlkhobar25.6%74.4%.000				44.0%			
Current PHC Alkhobar 25.6% 74.4% .000		>10	67.3%	32.7%			
center sector Alkhobar 25.6% 74.4% .000	Current PHC						
Al-gatif 68.1% 31.9%		Alkhobar		74.4%	.000		
in qui 00.170 51.770		Al-qatif	68.1%	31.9%			

Table 6

3.1 Discussion

This research aims to study the attitude and scope of practice of minor surgical procedures and perceived barriers among PHC physicians in Dammam, Alkhobar, and Al-Qatif cities.

This study revealed that most PHC physicians have a positive attitude toward MS procedures in PHC and encourage establishmentof MS service at PHC as well as MS training programs. The practice was limited to certain procedures, most commonly performed procedures were suturing, giving local anesthesia, and injections. This can be attributed to lack of training, lack of facilities and equipment as illustrated in the results.

A study conducted in Bahrain published in 1993 studied the practice, attitude, and barriers of minor surgical procedures in PHC. Out of the total participants,(93.4%) performed suture of simple lacerations, (84.6%) performed incision and drainage of an abscess, and (82.4%) removed foreign bodies from the eye, ear, or nose as opposed to (65.1%,30.9%, and 33.7%) respectively in this study. The most reported Barriers were lack of facilities, lack of time, and lack of training, similar barriers were found in this study.^[11]

For example, the rate of joint injections done by GPs in Ireland ^[9] was(54%) for joint and soft tissue injections, compared to (5.1%) in this study.

In the study which was done by Alfaraj A et al,(68.8%) of physicians consider themselves to be competent to practice minor surgical procedures in contrast to (36.5%) in this study. The barriers considered in that study were lack of training, lack of facility and equipment. The perceived barriers were close to the barriers found in this study^[13].

3.2 Study limitations

This is a cross-sectional study with a low response rate that involves only part of the eastern province.

3.3 Recommendation

The results of this study demonstrate the importance of adequate physicians' training and establishing a minor surgery program in PHC. As well as, providing PHC with the necessary equipment.

3.4 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Mohammed Ali Alaminfor his guidance and assistance in data analysis. Acknowledgement and thanks to the efforts of Sukainah Adel Alabkary, Arwa Abdullah Zabran, Tuqa Hussain Aldarwish for their efforts in data collection.

References

- [1] Primary health care [Internet]. Western Pacific. 2018 [cited 5 November 2018]. Available from: http://www.who.int/westernpacific/healthtopics/primary-health-care
- [2] Segen J. minor surgery [Internet]. Concise Dictionary of Modern Medicine. bookbaby; 2010 [cited 7 November 2018]. Available from: https://medicaldictionary.thefreedictionary.com/minor+surgery
- [3] Marsden J, Lipp A, Kumar V. Day surgery: implications for general practice. British Journal of General Practice. 2016;66(646):232-233.
- [4] George S, Pockney P, Primrose J, Smith H, Little P, Kinley H et al. A prospective randomised comparison of minor surgery in primary and secondary care. The MiSTIC trial. Health Technology Assessment. 2008;12(23).
- [5] Vaquero Martinez J, Gracia Aparicio J, Diaz Gomiz J, Blasco Paredes D. Efficiency of minor surgery in primary care according to the cost. Aten Primaria. 2002;30(30):86-91.
- [6] Botting J, Correa A, Duffy J, Jones S, de Lusignan S. Safety of community-based minor surgery performed by GPs: an audit in different settings. British Journal of General Practice. 2016;66(646):e323-e328.
- [7] Gokani V, Ferguson H, Fitzgerald J, Beamish A. Surgical training in primary care: Consensus recommendations by the Association of Surgeons in Training. International Journal of Surgery. 2014;12:S1-S4.

Volume 10 Issue 7, July 2021

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

- [8] Gormley G. Joint and soft tissue injections in the community: questionnaire survey of general practitioners' experiences and attitudes. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2003;62(1):61-64.
- [9] Ward O, Hamdorf J, Byrd P. The Effect of a Surgical Skills Course on Confidence Levels of Rural General Practitioners: An Observational Study. The Surgery Journal. 2016;02(04):e109-e112.
- [10] Roberto Saab, B. and Izzat zurba, F. (1993). Minor surgery in Bahrain's primary care system. Bahrain medical bulletin, 15(3), pp.82-89.
- [11] Al-Ahaideb A, Khoshhal K, Alsiddiky A. Patterns and Obstacles of Provision of Minor Orthopedic Procedures among Primary Care Physicians in Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Health Sciences. 2012;6(1):13-21.
- [12] Alfaraj A, Alharbi W, Sebiany A. Primary healthcare physicians' attitude and perceived barriers regarding minor surgeries. Journal of Health Specialties. 2015;3(2):67.

Appendix I

Questionnaire

Research title Attitude and scope of practice of minor surgery among PHC physicians in Dammam, Alkhobar and Al-Qatif city.

The aim of this study is to assess the attitude and scope of practice of minor surgical procedures and the perceived obstacles faced in this service among PHC physicians in Eastern province, Saudi Arabia.

This study is an academic requirement and prerequisite for certification by Saudi Commission for Health Specialties.

Your answer to this questionnaire will be considered as an agreement to participate in this study.

Be assured that all answers you provide will be kept strictly confidential and will be used for research purposes only.

Researchers	E-mail address
Dr.Zahra Al Hubail	za.alhubail@gmai.com
Dr.FatimahAlnemer	Dr.fatma.nmr@gmail.com

Part I: demographic data

 Age in years
 Gender : Male Female 3) Nationality :

Saudi Non Saudi

- 4) Qualifications :
- MBBS Diploma Board 5) Years of experience : < 1 year 1 - 5 years >5- 10 years >10 years
- 6) Sector : Dammam Alkhobar Al-Qatif
- 7) Have received any training about surgical skills: Yes No
- 8) Is there a minor surgery room at the PHC center you are working :
- Yes No
 9) Do you perform minor surgical procedures? Yes No

Part II: physician's attitude towards minor surgical procedures in PHC

- 10) Do you have a previous surgical experience? Yes No
- 11) Do you have advanced cardiac life support certification?YesNo
- 12) Do you find yourself competent to practice minor surgical procedures?Yes No
- 13) Do you find yourself interested to practice minor surgical procedures?Yes No
- 14) Would you encourage establishing minor surgery at PHCC?Yes □ No □
- 15) Would you encourage establishing minor surgery program\ training?
 Yes □ No □
- 16) Do you believe that minor surgery is difficult to manage on the PHC level?Yes No
- 17) Do you feel satisfied with referring patients to hospital for minor surgical illness?YesNo
- 18) Do you think patients would be more satisfied if their minor surgical illness managed in PHC?Yes No

Part III: Physician's own practice of minor surgical procedures

For each procedure, rate our level of experience:

Procedure	Observed	Never observed	Never performed	Performed with assistance	Performed independently
19. Abscess incision and drainage					
20.Sebaceous cyst					
21.Wound debridement					
22.suturing					
23.Excision of dermal lesion					
24. Cryotherapy of skin lesion					

Volume 10 Issue 7, July 2021

www.ijsr.net

25. Electrocautery of skin lesion			
26. Subungual hematoma			
27. Acute paronychia drainage			
28.Ingrown toe nail			
29.Removal of foreign bodies from skin			
30. Local anesthesia			
31. Digital block			
32. Removal of ear and nose foreign bodies			
33. Anterior nasal packing			
34. NGT insertion			
35 Proctoscopy			
36. Thrombosed external hemorrhoids I& D			
37. Placement of transurethral catheter			
38. Pap smear			
39. IUD insertion			
40. Reduced dislocated joint			
41. Application of cast			
42. Aspiration & injection of joints			
43. Giving injections			
44. Venipuncture			
45. Neonatal circumcision			
46. Trigger finger injection			

Part IV: perceived barriers to perform minor surgical procedures in PHC center

Choose one for each statement:

Barrier	Strongly agree	agree	Not sure	disagree	Strongly disagree
47. Lack of training					
48. Lack of clinical experience					
49. Shortage of staff					
50. lack of facilities					
51. Fear of complications					
52. lack of time					
53. It is easier to refer the patient					
54. Difficulties in establishing the diagnosis					
55. Medicolegal issues					

Thank you for your participation.