Delaying Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) Vaccine in HIV-Exposed Infants: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Jonathan Lukusa Tshimwanga¹, Jacques Lukenze Tamuzi²

¹Disaster Management Training and Education Centre for Africa (DiMTEC), University of the Free State, South Africa. HIV Clinical Mentor in the Republic of Namibia Corresponding authors Email: *jontshimwang[at]gmail.com*

²Division of Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine and Community Health, Stellenbosch University, South Africa Email: dracques.tamuzi[at]gmail.com

Abstract: <u>Background</u>: Infants who have been exposed to the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are at a higher risk of contracting Mycobacterium tuberculosis, have a higher chance of developing tuberculosis (TB), and are more likely to experience bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG)-related side effects. The only antituberculosis (TB) vaccine now available is Bacillus Calmette Guérin (BCG). We assessed when BCG may be given to an HIV-exposed uninfected (HEU) infant without exposing the child to HIV or tuberculosis. <u>Objective</u>: To establish the best time for administering BCG vaccination to HIV-exposed infants and to evaluate the impact of administering BCG vaccine at birth vs delaying BCG vaccine at delivery. <u>Methods</u>: We searched in studies related to the subject in different databases: Web of Science, LILACS, CENTRAL (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials), PubMed and CINAHL, Scopus, as well as conference abstracts from the HIV/AIDS website, then we conducted a systematic review and Meta-analysis. <u>Results</u>: CD4⁺ T cells activation after 14 weeks comparing BCG delayed to BCG given at birth in HIV-exposed infants, the random-effects meta-analysis of CD4⁺ T cells activation (14 weeks) yielded a pooled MD estimate of -3.58 (95% CI -6.73 to -0.43, P=0.03) with $I^2 = 97\%$. <u>Conclusion</u>: In HIV-exposed uninfected (HEU) infant, BCG vaccination causes immunological alterations, and the intensification in the percentage of activated CCR5+CD4+ HIV target cells. Therefore, delaying BCG vaccine would be advantageous to HEU rather than giving it shortly after the delivery, but more evidenceis still need to while exploring this new paradigm.

1. Background

Tuberculosis (TB) continues to be the global health concerns, with at least 1 million children getting the disease each year [WHO 2018]. According to the World Health Organization, 233 000 children die from tuberculosis (TB) per year (including children with HIV-related TB) [1]. Importantly, children account for around 10% of all TB cases and Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for one-quarter of all new cases [2]. In addition, the combined pandemic of HIV and TB is a primary cause of morbidity and mortality among children in Sub-Saharan Africa. Unfortunately, there is insufficient information on the association between TB and perinatal HIV exposure in HIV-exposed infants. Despite being born HIV-free, numerous studies have found that HIV-exposed newborns had higher rates of morbidity and mortality compared to those who are not exposed to HIV in utero [3,4,5,6], including high TB rates in their early life [7,8,9].

In reality, a reduced levels of particular maternal transferred antibodies to the infants from HIV-infected mothers are the most unequivocal immunological difference between HIVexposed and unexposed infants [10, 11, 12, 13]. A delay in immune system development has be proven among HIVexposed infantsand variety of factors might play a role in this, most notably immunological consideration, which have been linked to several pathways in HIV-exposed infant[14, 15, 16, 17].

These immune changes could be mediated by fetal HIV exposure, as they are not present in mothers who are on antiretroviral therapy (ART) at the time of conception

[18.19]. Infants accumulate maternal IgG antibodies during the third trimester, which actively cross the placenta via Fcreceptor-mediated transport and normally survive for many months, giving protection from infection based on the mother's immunological experiences [20,21]. Cell-mediated immunity is altered in HIV-exposed infant, with impaired Tcell maturation, hypo- and hyperresponsive T-cell activation [15, 22]. Importantly, many of these abnormalities appear to be temporary, with many of them fading as infant grow older [14]. Impaired immunity, increased household or maternal TB, and ART exposure are possible contributing factors that raise the risk of infectious in HIV-exposed infants, including TB.

In addition to altering immunogenicity in HIV-exposed uninfected (HEU) infants, immunological activation generated by bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) immunization has the potential to make these neonates more vulnerable to HIV infection in the post-partum period [23,24]. HIV preferentially infects and replicates in CD4+T cells that have been activated [24,25]. Furthermore, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from people who have persistent CD4+ T cell activation are also more vulnerable to in vitro HIV infection [24,26] however,in both in vivo and in vitro, a lower level of CD4 T cell immunological activation is associated with protection from HIV infection [24].

BCG protects young children from disseminated tuberculosis (TB); it also has various "non-specific" protective benefits and reduces non-TB-related child morbidity and death in environments with high infectious

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

www.ijsr.net

morbidity [27]. It is the only approved live-attenuated (BCG) vaccine which is normally given immediately after delivery to protect children against TB [24, 28, 29].

The coverage and success of local prevention of maternalto-child transmission programs, the possibility of deferring BCG vaccination based on the timely availability of appropriate HIV diagnostic tests, and the provision of early antiretroviral therapy are all factors that influence the riskbenefit ratio of BCG vaccination in HIV-exposed infants, according to current WHO tuberculosis treatment guidelines [27, 30].

On the one hand, if immunizing HIV-1-exposed infants with BCG at birth protects them from severe infection other than TB [26, 31, 32], delaying immunization might result in higher morbidity and even mortality [14]. Infants who have been exposed to HIV are at a higher risk of contracting TB early in life anddelaying BCG immunization to themuntil later a confirmed diagnosis might result in lower coverage and serious consequences [14, 34].

Research examining BCG immunogenicity in HIV- (HEU) infants show that cellular responses to BCG are changed [35,36], implying that HEU children may not benefit as much from BCG immunization as those who are not HIV exposed[24]. However, BCG vaccine remains efficient, safe, and cost-effective against TB in HIV-unexposed infants, especially in cases of disseminated infection [24,37]. Vaccine effectiveness and safety in the setting of HIV infection is a debatable issue that has been the subject of extensive recent and continuing research and the precise nature of protection against tuberculosis illness following BCG immunization has yet to be determined [38]. Even at birth, BCG triggers strong T-helper type 1 (Th-1) cellular immune responses but theimmune factors of vaccineinduced protection against TB are yet unknown. The Th-1 cytokine response, which is characterized by the production of IFN- γ , TNF- α , and IL-2, is commonly considered to be crucial. Research have demonstrated that BCG generate CD4 and CD8 populations in HIV-exposed infants that produce a combination of IL-2, IFN- γ , and TNF- α [39,40].

Mazzola and Nankabirwa observed that younger HIV-1exposed uninfected children's cellular immune responses to BCG were compromised compared to their unexposed peers andIFN-y concentrations as well as BCG-specific proliferation T-cell were found to be higher in the older than younger HIV-1-exposed uninfected infants compare to their unexposed counterparts [14,41]. These BCG-specific immunological aberrations are consistent with a broader variety of other abnormalities documented in HIV-1exposed uninfected infants and are considered to be the of in-utero HIV-1 infection consequence and/or antiretroviral medications [14]. These comprise a modified cell mediated immunity and T-cell maturation [42], decrease in IL-12 production that lasts until 6 months of age [43], decreased CD4/CD8 ratio, decreased CD4+ and CD8+ naive T-cell percentages, and an elevation percentage of activated CD8+ T-cells [42,44].

These criteria are geared at reducing the risk of mistakenly administering BCG vaccine to HIV-positive infants;

nonetheless, the unknowns surrounding the vaccine's immunogenicity and clinical effectiveness in HIV-exposed newborns are just as important [27]. BCG vaccine at the optimal period would allow ample time to establish HIV infection status in HIV-exposed newborns while still maintaining the vaccine's protective benefits [45].As a result, HIV transmission from mother to child has decreased dramatically; nonetheless, giving BCG vaccine to HIV highrisk infants may be a vital concern. Furthermore, in nations with limited resources, receiving findings for PCR or NAT tests may be difficult. Therefore, serious concerns about the risk and benefits of BCG vaccine in HIV-positive infants at birth become now questionable. The literature is still not evident about the impact of delaying the BCG vaccination on HIV-exposed infants' responses to other standard immunizations [45].Reason why this study has been conducted to emphasize the impact of delaying BCG vaccine in HIV-exposed infant, as well as to determine the best timing to administrate BCG vaccine without dramatically altering immunological response or vaccination coverage.

Objectives

- To assess the effect of administrating BCG vaccine compared to delaying BCG vaccine at birth in HIV-exposed infants
- To determine the optimal time of administrating BCG vaccine to HIV-exposed infants

2. Methods

The review protocol was registered on PROSPERO with ID: Jacques LukenzeTamuzi, Jonathan Lukusa Tshimwanga BCG vaccine and HIV-exposed infants: a review. PROSPERO 2017 CRD42017058730 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID =CRD42017058730

We undertook an electronic search in different databases among which the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), the Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, CINHAL, Scopus, LILACS, the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP; <u>http://www.who.int/ictrp/en/</u>), ClinicalTrials.gov and the ISRCTN registry (<u>http://www.isrctn.com/</u>).

Authorsscreened 377 studies after excluding duplicates. 364 studies were eliminated, and 13 full texts were assessed for eligibility. At that point, 5 studies had been rejected for various reasons, and 8 RCTs had been included in the qualitative analysis. After all, the meta-analysis comprised 6 RCTs (Figure 1).

Data from the included studies table was gathered by the two authors (Table 1). The critical appraisal was carried out by J.L.T and J.L.T, who assessed the risk of bias in the studies that were included. Six standard domains of RCT were used to assess the quality of trials among which sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other biases. For each of these domains, a 'yes', 'no,' or 'unclear' assessment was assigned to the possibility of bias. Authors entered the findings into Review Manager (RevMan) 5.1. standard tables and used figures to describe

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021 www.ijsr.net

the risk of bias assessment. The included studies were evaluated by two reviewers (J.L.T and J.L.T) using Cochrane Collaboration methods. A judgement of high risk was made for domains with insufficient information for assessing risk of bias (such as undescribed randomization processes) and where this domain was likely to impact the outcome of interest.

gh risk activity, and plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations on for (II-13 and INF-α). The results were presented in the mean difference with 95% confidence interval (CI). Sensitivity analyses were planned and performed for assessing the effects of heterogeneous studies and studies with high risk of bias by sequential exclusion.

more than 50%. Inverse variance analysis was used for

continuous data including CD4⁺ T cell activity, CD8⁺ T cell

Using review manager (RevMan), meta-analyses where conducted. When the heterogeneity (I^{2}) statistic value was less than or equal to 50%, a fixed-effect model was chosen, in contrast a random-effects when the heterogeneity (I^2) was

3. Results



	Table 1:	Characteristics	of	included	studies
--	----------	-----------------	----	----------	---------

Study ID	Methods	Participants	Interventions	Outcomes/status
Blakney 2015	Randomized control trial	149 HIV-exposed uninfected infants were recruited. Setting: community health center in Khayelitsha, Western Cape Province, South Africa.	routine BCG vaccination versus delayed vaccination until 8weeks	At 8 and 14 weeks CD4+ T cell activation CD8+ T cell activation Plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations
Gasper 2017	Randomized, open- label trial	149 HIV-exposed uninfected infants were recruited. Setting: Western Cape Province, South Africa	routine BCG vaccination versus delayed vaccination until 8weeks	At 8 and 14 weeks CD4+ T cell activation CD8+ T cell activation Plasmainflammatory cytokine concentrations
Hesseling 2015	Open-label, exploratory randomized Phase 2 clinical trial		routine BCG vaccination versus delayed vaccination until 14 weeks	Plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations
Kagina 2009	Randomized control trial		routine BCG vaccination versus delayed vaccination	Plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations
Nemes 2018	A Phase 2 Randomized,	A total of 248 HIV-exposed infants were enrolled	BCG at birth versus delayed vaccination until 8 weeks	At 8 and 16 weeks CD4+ T cell activation

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2020): 7.803

	Controlled Trial			Plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations
Tchakoute 2015	Randomized control trial		To receive BCG vaccination at birth (the early vaccination arm) or 8 weeks of age (the delayed vaccination arm)	At 8 and 14 weeks CD4+ T cell activation CD8+ T cell activation Plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations
Jaspan 2012	Randomized controlled trial	HIV-exposed infants	Delayed BCG vs BCG at birth; standard of care	Ongoing trial: NCT02062580
Nankabirwa 2017	Randomized controlled trial	HIV-1-exposed infants/ The study is being conducted in three health centers in Uganda.	The intervention is BCG vaccination within 24 h of birth while the comparator is BCG given at 14 weeks of age	Ongoing trial

1) CD4⁺ T cells activation (6-8 weeks)



Risk of bias legend

(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Figure 2

Interpretation: In HIV exposed infants, the mean difference of CD4⁺ T cellsactivation percentage after 6 to 8 weeks was decreased in delaying BCG compared to BCG administered at birth -0.15 (-0.70 to 0.39) with P=0.58, showing that the results were not statistically significant. The results were highly heterogeneous ($I^2 = 94\%$).

CD4⁺ T cells activation (14 weeks) 2)

,	ing BC	GÒ	BC	G at birt	h		Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Blakney 2015	24.375	5.85	58	35.25	10.25	63	27.8%	-10.88 [-13.82, -7.93]	←	••••••
Gasper 2017	4.45	2.05	59	4	1.5	61	36.1%	0.45 [-0.19, 1.09]		•••••
Tchakoute 2015	3	2	121	5	3	121	36.1%	-2.00 [-2.64, -1.36]	-	•••••
Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	7.05; Chi Z = 2.23 (i² = 71. (P = 0.1	238 94, df= 03)	= 2 (P ≺	0.00001	245); ² = 9	100.0% 97%	-3.58 [-6.73, -0.43]	-10 -5 0 5 Delaying BCG BCG at birth	10
Risk of bias legend (A) Random sequenc (B) Allocation conceal	e genera ment (se	tion (s lection	electio bias)	n bias)						

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) (D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Figure 3:

Interpretation: For 3 RCTs included in CD4⁺ T cells activation after 14 weeks comparing BCG delayed to BCG given at birth in HIV-exposed infants, the random-effects meta-analysis of CD4⁺ T cells activation (14 weeks) yielded a pooled MD estimate of -3.58(95% CI -6.73 to-0.43, P=0.03) with I²=97%

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

www.ijsr.net

3)	CD8 ⁺ T cells a	ctivati	on (6-8	weel	ks)								
		Del	aying BCG	i	BCG	at birth	1		Mean Difference	Mean Difference		Risk of	f Bias
	Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		АВСD	EFG
	Blakney 2015	30.937	12.1125	58	15.2625	3.635	63	29.1%	15.67 [12.43, 18.92]		-	•??•	••?
	Gasper 2017	10.77	3.077	58	5.23	2.54	63	35.4%	5.54 [4.53, 6.55]			•••	$\Theta \oplus \Theta$
	Tchakoute 2015	10.5	5.5	121	1.25	0.55	121	35.5%	9.25 [8.27, 10.23]		-	₽ <u>?</u> ?⊕	•••
	Total (95% CI)			237			247	100.0%	9.80 [5.89, 13.72]				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	: 10.99; C Z = 4.91	hi² = 50.19 (P < 0.000	9, df = 2 01)	(P < 0.000	001); I² =	= 96%			-10 -5 0 5 Delaying BCG BCG at birth	10		

Risk of bias legend

(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

100

(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Figure 4:

Interpretation: HIV-exposed infants had high MD of CD8⁺T cells activation at birth than delaying from 6 to 8 weeks (MD 9.80, 95% CI 5.89, 13.72, P<00001). Among three included studies, the results were consistent, with higher point estimates.

4) CD8 ⁺ T cells	activat	ion (14	4 wee	ks)						
	Delaying BCG BCG at birth					ı		Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Blakney 2015	0.1077	0.1077	58	0.705	0.4725	63	50.6%	-0.60 [-0.72, -0.48]		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Tchakoute 2015	2	0.9	121	5.5	3.5	121	49.4%	-3.50 [-4.14, -2.86]	•	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Total (95% Cl)			179			184	100.0%	-2.03 [-4.88, 0.81]	-	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	4.16; Chi	² = 75.45	i, df = 1	(P < 0.0	00001); P	= 99%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.40 ((P = 0.16))						Delaving BCG_BCG at hirth	10
									belaying bee bee at shar	
<u>Risk of bias legend</u>										
(A) Random sequenc	e genera	tion (sele	ection b	ias)						
(B) Allocation conceal	ment (se	lection bi	as)							
(C) Blinding of particip	oants and	personn	iel (per	forman	ce bias)					
(D) Blinding of outcom	ne asses:	sment (d	etectio	n bias)						
(E) Incomplete outcon	ne data (a	attrition bi	ias)							

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Figure 5:

Interpretation: After 14 weeks, HIV-exposed infants had low MD of CD8⁺T cells activation indelayingBCG administration compared to giving BCG at birth (MD -2.03, 95%CI -4.88, 0.81, P<0.16).

5) CD4⁺ T cell plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations (8 weeks)

	Dela	iying BC(G	BC	G at birth			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Blakney 2015	0.0089	0.0089	58	0.0295	0.0295	63	28.9%	-0.02 [-0.03, -0.01]	•	•••••••
Gasper 2017	0.017	0.01	59	0.046	0.021	61	29.3%	-0.03 [-0.03, -0.02]	•	•••••••
Kagina 2009	0.16	0.1275	21	0.085	0.055	25	11.7%	0.07 [0.02, 0.13]	+	<u>???</u> @@@@
Nemes 2018	0.0019	0.0015	106	0.00025	0.00025	107	29.7%	0.00 [0.00, 0.00]	•	€?€€€€?
Tchakoute 2015	2.15	1.25	121	3.75	1.75	121	0.4%	-1.60 [-1.98, -1.22]	-	+ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Total (95% CI)			365			377	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.04, 0.01]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Ch	i ^z = 210.4	49, df=	4 (P < 0.0	0001); I 2 =	98%				10
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.94	(P = 0.35)						Delaying BCG BCG at birth	10
Risk of bias legend										
(A) Random sequen	re nenera	tion (sele	ection h	ias)						

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias) (C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Figure 6

Interpretation: Plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations (II-13 & INF- α) did not shown statistically significant MD between delaying and administrating BCG vaccine at birth in HIV- exposed infants with MD -0.01 (95%CI -0.04, 0.01), P=0.35.

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/SR21625211803

4. Discussion

The risk of HIV infection by administering the BCG vaccination to an infant born to HIV positive mother with undetectable viral load despite a high CD4 + T cell count has been determined to be insignificant. The administration of BCG vaccine at birth induces cytokines which protect infant born to HIV mother from getting TB; however, it intensifies the activation of CD4+ CCR5+ HIV target cells, exposing the new-born to HIV infection (Card et al., 2012). Furthermore, the risk of tuberculosis (TB) among HIV-exposed uninfected infants in South Africa was substantial, with incidence of 41 per 1,000 child years (95 percent CI 31–52 per 1,000 child years) as studies by Madhi et al. [8].

Gasper et al. observed that the expression of CCR5, which is the HIV coreceptor, after the administration of BCG vaccine at birth might be susceptible to HIV infection and the persistent T cell activation observed in the systemic circulation of BCG-vaccinated newborns implies that BCG may generate a longer opening period of enhanced HIV susceptibility which activation is 3-fold increase in HIVexposed infants who received BCG at birth compared to HIV-exposed uninfected infant who delayed the BCG vaccine [24]. Nevertheless, BCG vaccine administered to HEU infants at 8 weeks of age boost cytokines functionality and in CD4 and CD8 T cells and increase IFN- γ responses [46,47] and infants administered at 14 weeks of age had the same response to BCG and TB which was raised considerably [48,49]

Delaying giving BCG vaccine to infants born to mothers who tested HIV positive during labor or early postpartum and those who have inadequate adherence to antiretroviral treatment with unsuppressed viral load during delivery might reduce the HIV susceptibility opening period while also giving the mother more time to attain the virological suppression [24,50,51]. Our findings from the meta-analysis show that postponing BGG vaccination in HIV-exposed, uninfected infants until they are 8 weeks old has no detrimental impact on vaccine immunogenicity and may even improve Th1 responses in HIV-exposed, uninfected infant as also found by Tchakoute et al. [46]. BCG vaccination should only be given when HIV status has been confirmed as negative and HIV infection has been ruled out for maximum safety.

5. Conclusion

Despite the fact that antiretroviral therapy has decreased HIV transmission from mother to child, the BCG vaccination has also helped to protect against fulminant TB [38], However, the risk and the benefit of BCG administration to HEU infant should be established with regard to the appropriate timing. On the other hand, delaying BCG vaccine slows humoral immune development, placing the child at danger of contracting tuberculosis. According to our research, delayed BCG to HEU infants at 8 and 14 weeks of age from birth have a lower risk of HIV by producing a marked IFN- γ responses and protect the infants from TB infection as shown. Administering BCG vaccine as an option for HIV-exposed infants in tuberculosis-endemic areas should be investigated further research is still needed

for more evidence especially about delaying the administration timing.

6. Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Dr JL Tamuzi and Dr JL Tshimwanga for conceiving and registering the review on International prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) and conducting the critical appraisal and ongoing included and excluded studies, conducting the electronic search, extracting data, conducting meta-analysis, assessed the risk of biases.

7. Declarations of interest

The authors have declared not having any conflict of interests

References

- World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2018. In: https://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/. 2018.
- [2] World Health Organization. Roadmap towards ending TB in children and adolescents. In: https://www.who.int/tb/publications/2018/tbchildhoodroadmap/en/. 2018.
- [3] Marinda E, Humphrey JH, Iliff PJ, Mutasa K, Nathoo KJ, Piwoz EG, et al. Child mortality according to maternal and infant HIV status in Zimbabwe. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 2007;26(6):519-26.
- [4] Torre P 3rd, Zeldow B, Hoffman HJ, Buchanan A, Siberry GK, Rice M, et al. Hearing loss in perinatally HIV-infected and HIV-exposed but uninfected children and adolescents. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 2012;31(8):835-41.
- [5] Marquez C, Chamie G, Achan J, Luetkemeyer AF, Kyohere M, Okiring J, et al. Tuberculosis Infection in Early Childhood and the Association with HIVexposure in HIV-uninfected Children in Rural Uganda. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 2016;35(5):524-9.
- [6] Barron P, Pillay Y, Doherty T, Sherman G, Jackson D, Bhardwaj S, et al. Eliminating mother-to-child HIV transmission in South Africa. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 2013;91(1):70-4
- [7] Jones CE, Hesseling AC, Tena-Coki NG, Scriba TJ, Chegou NN, Kidd M, et al. The impact of HIV exposure and maternal Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection on infant immune responses to bacille Calmette-Guerin vaccination. AIDS (London, England) 2015;29(2):155-65.
- [8] Madhi SA, Nachman S, Violari A, Kim S, Cotton MF, Bobat R, et al. Primary isoniazid prophylaxis against tuberculosis in HIV-exposed children. The New England journal of medicine 2011;365(1):21-31.
- [9] Colditz GA, Berkey CS, Mosteller F, Brewer TF, Wilson ME, Burdick E, et al. The efficacy of bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination of newborns and infants

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

in the prevention of tuberculosis: meta-analyses of the published literature. Pediatrics 1995;96(1 Pt 1):29-35.

- [10] De Moraes-Pinto MI, Verhoeff F, Chimsuku L, Milligan PJ, Wesumperuma L, Broadhead RL, et al. Placental antibody transfer: influence of maternal HIV infection and placental malaria. Archives of disease in childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition 1998;79(3):F202-5.
- [11] Cumberland P, Shulman CE, Maple PA, Bulmer JN, Dorman EK, Kawuondo K, et al. Maternal HIV infection and placental malaria reduce transplacental antibody transfer and tetanus antibody levels in newborns in Kenya. The Journal of infectious diseases 2007;196(4):550-7.
- [12] Bunders M, Pembrey L, Kuijpers T, Newell ML. Evidence of impact of maternal HIV infection on immunoglobulin levels in HIV-exposed uninfected children. AIDS research and human retroviruses 2010;26(9):967-75
- [13] Cranmer LM, Kanyugo M, Jonnalagadda SR, Lohman-Payne B, Sorensen B, MalecheObimbo E, et al. High prevalence of tuberculosis infection in HIV-1 exposed Kenyan infants. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 2014;33(4):401-6.
- [14] Nankabirwa V, Tumwine JK, Namugga O, Tylleskar T, Ndeezi G, Robberstad B, et al. Early versus late BCG vaccination in HIV-1-exposed infants in Uganda: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2017;18(1):152.
- [15] Hesseling AC, Blakney AK, Jones CE, Esser MM, de Beer C, Kuhn L, et al. Delayed BCG immunization does not alter antibody responses to EPI vaccines in HIV-exposed and -unexposed South African infants. Vaccine 2016;34(32):3702-9.
- [16] Heather BJ. Early Versus Delayed BCG Vaccination of HIV-exposed Infants. In: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02062580. 2012.
- [17] Kagina BM, Abel B, Bowmaker M, Scriba TJ, Gelderbloem S, Smit E, et al. Delaying BCG vaccination from birth to 10 weeks of age may result in an enhanced memory CD4 T cell response. Vaccine 2009;27(40):5488-95.
- [18] Fry SHI, Barnabas S, Cotton MF. Tuberculosis and HIV–an update on the 'cursed duet'in children. Frontiers in Pediatrics 2019;7:159.
- [19] Vigano A, Saresella M, Schenal M, Erba P, Piacentini L, Tornaghi R, et al. Immune activation and normal levels of endogenous antivirals are seen in healthy adolescents born of HIV-infected mothers. AIDS (London, England) 2007;21(2):245-8.
- [20] Zinkernagel RM. Maternal antibodies, childhood infections, and autoimmune diseases. The New England journal of medicine 2001;345(18):1331-5.
- [21] Elliott AM, Mawa PA, Webb EL, Nampijja M, Lyadda N, Bukusuba J, et al. Effects of maternal and infant coinfections, and of maternal immunisation, on the infant response to BCG and tetanus immunisation. Vaccine 2010;29(2):247-55.
- [22] Afran L, Garcia Knight M, Nduati E, Urban BC, Heyderman RS, Rowland-Jones SL. HIV-exposed uninfected children: a growing population with a

vulnerable immune system? Clinical and experimental immunology 2014;176(1):11-22.

- [23] Card CM, Rutherford WJ, Ramdahin S, Yao X, Kimani M, Wachihi C, et al. Reduced cellular susceptibility to in vitro HIV infection is associated with CD4+ T cell quiescence. PloS one 2012;7(9):e45911.
- [24] Gasper MA, Hesseling AC, Mohar I, Myer L, Azenkot T, Passmore JAS, et al. BCG vaccination induces HIV target cell activation in HIV-exposed infants in a randomized trial. 2017;2(7).
- [25] Begaud E, Chartier L, Marechal V, Ipero J, Leal J, Versmisse P, et al. Reduced CD4 T cell activation and in vitro susceptibility to HIV-1 infection in exposed uninfected Central Africans. Retrovirology 2006;3:35.
- [26] Koning FA, Otto SA, Hazenberg MD, Dekker L, Prins M, Miedema F, et al. Low-level CD4+ T cell activation is associated with low susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950) 2005;175(9):6117-6122.
- [27] Kay AW, Blish CA. Delayed BCG vaccination--time to take a shot. The Journal of infectious diseases 2015;211(3):335-7.
- [28] Fine Paul EM, Carneiro Ilona AM, Milstien Julie B, Clements C John, World Health Organization. Issues relating to the use of BCG in immunization programmes: a discussion document. 1999.
- [29] Labeaud AD, Malhotra I, King MJ, King CL, King CH. Do antenatal parasite infections devalue childhood vaccination? PLoS neglected tropical diseases 2009;3(5):e442.
- [30] Van Schalkwyk C, Mndzebele S, Hlophe T, Calleja JMG, Korenromp EL, Stoneburner R, et al. Outcomes and impact of HIV prevention, ART and TB programs in Swaziland–early evidence from public health triangulation. 2013;8(7):e69437.
- [31] Roth A, Garly ML, Jensen H, Nielsen J, Aaby P. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination and infant mortality. Expert review of vaccines 2006;5(2):277-93.
- [32] Shann F. Commentary: BCG vaccination halves neonatal mortality. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 2012;31(3):308-9.
- [33] Roth AE, Stensballe LG, Garly ML, Aaby P. Beneficial non-targeted effects of BCG--ethical implications for the coming introduction of new TB vaccines. Tuberculosis (Edinburgh, Scotland) 2006;86(6):397-403.
- [34] Hesseling AC, Caldwell J, Cotton MF, Eley BS, Jaspan HB, Jennings K, et al. BCG vaccination in South African HIV-exposed infants--risks and benefits. South African medical journal Suid-Afrikaansetydskrifvirgeneeskunde 2009;99(2):88-91.
- [35] Van Rie A, Madhi SA, Heera JR, Meddows-Taylor S, Wendelboe AM, Anthony F, et al. Gamma interferon production in response to Mycobacterium bovis BCG and Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigens in infants born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected mothers. Clinical and vaccine immunology: CVI 2006;13(2):246-52.
- [36] Kidzeru EB, Hesseling AC, Passmore JA, Myer L, Gamieldien H, Tchakoute CT, et al. In-utero exposure to maternal HIV infection alters T-cell immune

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

responses to vaccination in HIV-uninfected infants. AIDS (London, England) 2014;28(10):1421-30.

- [37] Trunz BB, Fine P, Dye C. Effect of BCG vaccination on childhood tuberculous meningitis and miliary tuberculosis worldwide: a meta-analysis and assessment of cost-effectiveness. Lancet (London, England) 2006;367(9517):1173-80.
- [38] Nuttall JJ, Eley BS. BCG Vaccination in HIV-Infected Children. Tuberculosis research and treatment 2011; 2011:712736.
- [39] Soares AP, Scriba TJ, Joseph S, Harbacheuski R, Murray RA, Gelderbloem SJ, et al. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination of human newborns induces T cells with complex cytokine and phenotypic profiles. Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950) 2008;180(5):3569-77.
- [40] Mansoor N, Scriba TJ, de Kock M, Tameris M, Abel B, Keyser A, et al. HIV-1 infection in infants severely impairs the immune response induced by Bacille Calmette-Guerin vaccine. The Journal of infectious diseases 2009;199(7):982-90.
- [41] Mazzola TN, da Silva MT, Abramczuk BM, Moreno YM, Lima SC, Zorzeto TQ, et al. Impaired Bacillus Calmette-Guerin cellular immune response in HIVexposed, uninfected infants. AIDS (London, England) 2011;25(17):2079-87.
- [42] Clerici M, Saresella M, Colombo F, Fossati S, Sala N, Bricalli D, et al. T-lymphocyte maturation abnormalities in uninfected newborns and children with vertical exposure to HIV. Blood 2000;96(12):3866-71.
- [43] Chougnet C, Kovacs A, Baker R, Mueller BU, Luban NL, Liewehr DJ, et al. Influence of human immunodeficiency virus-infected maternal environment on development of infant interleukin-12 production. The Journal of infectious diseases 2000;181(5):1590-7.
- [44] Embree J, Bwayo J, Nagelkerke N, Njenga S, Nyange P, Ndinya-Achola J, et al. Lymphocyte subsets in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected and uninfected children in Nairobi. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 2001;20(4):397-403.
- [45] Blakney AK, Tchakoute CT, Hesseling AC, Kidzeru EB, Jones CE, Passmore JA, et al. Delayed BCG vaccination results in minimal alterations in T cell immunogenicity of acellular pertussis and tetanus immunizations in HIV-exposed infants. Vaccine 2015;33(38):4782-9.
- [46] Tchakoute CT, Hesseling AC, Kidzeru EB, Gamieldien H, Passmore JA, Jones CE, et al. Delaying BCG vaccination until 8 weeks of age results in robust BCG-specific T-cell responses in HIV-exposed infants. The Journal of infectious diseases 2015;211(3):338-46.
- [47] Miles DJ, Gadama L, Gumbi A, Nyalo F, Makanani B, Heyderman RS. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection during pregnancy induces CD4 T-cell differentiation and modulates responses to Bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine in HIV-uninfected infants. Immunology 2010;129(3):446-54.
- [48] Hesseling AC, Jaspan HB, Black GF, Nene N, Walzl G. Immunogenicity of BCG in HIV-exposed and nonexposed infants following routine birth or delayed

vaccination. The international journal of tuberculosis and lung disease: the official journal of the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease 2015;19(4):454-62.

- [49] Mawa PA, Nkurunungi G, Egesa M, Webb EL, Smith SG, Kizindo R, et al. The impact of maternal infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis on the infant response to bacille Calmette-Guerin immunization. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences 2015; 370(1671).
- [50] Nemes E, Hesseling AC, Tameris M, Mauff K, Downing K, Mulenga H, et al. Safety and Immunogenicity of Newborn MVA85A Vaccination and Selective, Delayed Bacille Calmette-Guerin for Infants of Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Mothers: A Phase 2 Randomized, Controlled Trial. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2018;66(4):554-63.
- [51] Thysen SM, Fisker AB. Comment on the trial protocol "Early versus late BCG vaccination in HIV-1-exposed infants in Uganda: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial". Trials 2019; 20(1):123.

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>